
SMT-based Weighted Model Integration with Structure Awareness (Supplementary material)

Giuseppe Spallitta¹

Gabriele Masina¹

Paolo Morettin²

Andrea Passerini¹

Roberto Sebastiani¹

¹University of Trento

²KU Leuven

$\llbracket y = w \rrbracket_{\mathcal{EUF}}$ DEFINITION

Algorithm 1 is such that, given a FIUC^{LRA} weight function w on conditions Ψ , Convert(w, \emptyset) returns $\langle w', \text{defs}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$ s.t.

$\llbracket y = w \rrbracket_{\mathcal{EUF}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (y = w') \wedge \bigwedge_{\varphi_i \in \text{defs}} \varphi_i$, on variables $\mathbf{x} \cup \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{A}$.

Algorithm 1 Convert(w , cond s)

returns $\langle w', \text{defs}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$

w' : the term w is rewritten into

cond s : the current partial assignment to conditions Ψ , representing the set of conditions which w depends on

defs: a set of definitions in the form $y_i = w_i$ needed to rewrite w into w'

\mathbf{y} : newly-introduced variables labeling if-then-else terms

f^g : uninterpreted function naming the function/operator g

- 1: **if** ($\{w$ constant or variable $\})$ **then**
- 2: **return** $\langle w, \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle$
- 3: **if** ($w == (w_1 \bowtie w_2)$, $\bowtie \in \{+, -, \cdot, /\}$) **then**
- 4: $\langle w'_i, \text{defs}_i, \mathbf{y}_i \rangle = \text{Convert}(w_i, \text{conds})$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$
- 5: **return** $\langle f^{\bowtie}(w'_1, w'_2), \text{defs}_1 \cup \text{defs}_2, \mathbf{y}_1 \cup \mathbf{y}_2 \rangle$
- 6: **if** ($w == g(w_1, \dots, w_k)$, g unconditioned) **then**
- 7: $\langle w'_i, \text{defs}_i, \mathbf{y}_i \rangle = \text{Convert}(w_i, \text{conds})$, $i \in 1, \dots, k$
- 8: **return** $\langle f^g(w'_1, \dots, w'_k), \bigcup_{i=1}^k \text{defs}_i, \bigcup_{i=1}^k \mathbf{y}_i \rangle$
- 9: **if** ($w == (\text{If } \psi \text{ Then } w_1 \text{ Else } w_2)$) **then**
- 10: $\langle w'_1, \text{defs}_1, \mathbf{y}_1 \rangle = \text{Convert}(w_1, \text{conds} \cup \{\psi\})$
- 11: $\langle w'_2, \text{defs}_2, \mathbf{y}_2 \rangle = \text{Convert}(w_2, \text{conds} \cup \{\neg\psi\})$
- 12: **let** y be a fresh variable
- 13: $\text{defs} = \text{defs}_1 \cup \text{defs}_2 \cup$
 $\quad (\bigvee_{\psi_i \in \text{conds}} \neg\psi_i \vee \neg\psi \vee (y = w'_1) \cup$
- 14: $\quad (\bigvee_{\psi_i \in \text{conds}} \neg\psi_i \vee \psi \vee (y = w'_2) \cup$
- 15: $\quad (\bigvee_{\psi_i \in \text{conds}} \neg\psi_i \vee \neg(y = w'_1) \vee \neg(y = w'_2))$
- 16: $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}_1 \cup \mathbf{y}_2 \cup \{y\}$
- 17: **return** $\langle y, \text{defs}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$

Dataset	$ \mathbf{A} $	$ \mathbf{x} $	# Train	# Valid
balance-scale	3	4	1875	205
iris	3	4	450	50
cars	33	7	2115	234
diabetes	1	8	4149	459
breast-cancer	12	4	1650	180
glass2	1	9	970	100
glass	7	9	1280	140
breast	1	10	4521	495
solar	25	3	2522	273
cleve	17	6	2492	266
hepatitis	14	6	940	100
heart	3	11	2268	252
australian	34	6	6210	690
crx	38	6	6688	736
german	41	10	12600	1386
german-org	13	12	15000	1650
auto	56	16	2522	260
anneal-U	74	9	21021	2301

Table 1: UCI datasets considered in our experiments. We report the number of Boolean and continuous variables, training and validation data size.