µNF: A Disaggregated Packet Processing Architecture

Shihabur Chowdhury, Anthony, Haibo Bian, Tim Bai, and Raouf Boutaba David R. Cheriton School of Computer Science, University of Waterloo

IEEE NetSoft 2019, Paris, France, June 26, 2019

Transition from middleboxes to VNFs





Session Border Controller



CDN

Firewall

DPI

Carrier Grade NAT





SGSN/GGSN

WAN

Acceleration







Tester/QoE Radio Network monitor Controller

Purpose-built hardware middlebox

NFs are run as Virtual Network Functions (VNFs) on pool of (virtual) resources



Commodity computing, storage & switching equipment

Transition from middleboxes to VNFs



Purpose-built hardware middlebox

Commodity computing, storage & switching equipment

Monolithic VNF Limitations



Functional decomposition of commonly found NFs in Data Centers¹

¹S.R. Chowdhury, *et al.* Re-architecting NFV Ecosystem with Microservices: State-of-the-art and Research Challenges. IEEE Network, 33(3): 168-176, May 2019

Monolithic VNF Limitations

Redundant development of common tasks

Coarse-grained resource allocation & scaling



Functional decomposition of commonly found NFs in Data Centers¹

¹S.R. Chowdhury, *et al.* Re-architecting NFV Ecosystem with Microservices: State-of-the-art and Research Challenges. IEEE Network, 33(3): 168-176, May 2019

Monolithic VNF Limitations

Redundant development of common tasks

Coarse-grained resource allocation & scaling

Wasted CPU cycles when VNFs are chained



Functional decomposition of commonly found NFs in Data Centers¹

¹S.R. Chowdhury, *et al.* Re-architecting NFV Ecosystem with Microservices: State-of-the-art and Research Challenges. IEEE Network, 33(3): 168-176, May 2019

Monolithic VNFs: Impact on CPU usage



Monolithic VNFs: Impact on CPU usage

Click Element	CPU Cycles/packet saved in C2	Element weight in CI
FromDevice	71.9%	0.22%
ToDevice	67.1%	0.25%
CheckIPHeader	65.1%	0.44%
HTTPClassifier	48.28%	47.8%
Overall	29.5%	-

How can we engineer VNFs to better consolidate functions on the same hardware, enabling finer-grained resource allocation while maintaining the same level of performance as the state-of-the-art approaches?

How can we engineer VNFs to better consolidate functions on the same hardware, enabling finer-grained resource allocation while maintaining the same level of performance as the state-of-the-art approaches?

Microservices approach: Decompose VNFs into independently deployable and loosely-coupled packet processing entities.

Micro Network Functions (µNFs)

||

VNF Disaggregate UNF UNF UNF Disaggregate

µNF Processing Graph: Pipelined execution of µNFs

µNFs are: reusable, loosely-coupled, independently deployable

Micro Network Functions (µNFs)



VNF templates (µNF Processing Graph): Pipelined execution of µNFs

> µNFs are: reusable, loosely-coupled, independently deployable



Optimized μ NF Processing Graph 12

System Overview



SFC + VNF templates + μNF configuration generators + μNF descriptors

µNF Components



Agent

Rx/

Τx

μNF

Primary DPDK process. Responsible for bootstrapping (initialize NIC, pre-allocate objects in memory, *etc.*)

Implemented using DPDK Poll Mode Driver to bypass kernel. Implements packet classifier to distribute packets to μ NFs

Secondary DPDK processes. Obtains preallocated memory objects from the agent; works in polling mode.

Implemented using lockless multi-producer multi-consumer circular queue. Holds packet references for zero-copy packet exchange.

Implementation

Port

Point-to-Point Ingress/Egress Ports



Experiment Setup

Two machines connected back-to-back without a switch

2x6 core 2.1 GHz Intel Xeon E5 CPUs, 32GB RAM, Intel 10G NIC

Hyper-threading disabled; All but cpu-0 isolated from kernel scheduler; µNFs pinned to CPU cores

Traffic generators: *pktgen-dpdk* (throughput) and *Moongen* (latency)

Microbenchmark: Throughput



Microbenchmark: Latency





Can we improve latency?

Parallelize sequential blocks of µNFs if:

The µNFs do not modify the same headers

The µNFs do not modify the packet stream

2

Parallelize sequential blocks of µNFs if:

The µNFs do not modify the same headers

The µNFs do not modify the packet stream

2



Parallelize sequential blocks of µNFs if:

The µNFs do not modify the same headers

The µNFs do not modify the packet stream



Parallelize sequential blocks of µNFs if:

The µNFs do not modify the same headers

The µNFs do not modify the packet stream



Parallelize sequential blocks of µNFs if:

The µNFs do not modify the same headers

The µNFs do not modify the packet stream



counter in packets

2

counter in packets

SyncIngressPort Releases packets after all the μNFs have incremented the atomic counter²⁴

Parallelize sequential blocks of µNFs if:

The µNFs do not modify the same headers

The µNFs do not modify the packet stream

2



Parallelize sequential blocks of µNFs if:

The µNFs do not modify the same headers

The µNFs do not modify the packet stream

2



Impact of NUMA configuration



Impact of NUMA configuration



~3x drop in throughput

Before processing starts: Prefetch a cacheline from first *k* packets

Before processing starts: Prefetch a cacheline from first *k* packets

While processing packet i: 2 Prefetch a cacheline from packet (i + k)

Before processing starts: Prefetch a cacheline from first *k* packets

While processing packet i: 2 Prefetch a cacheline from packet (i + k)



Prefetching ~20% packets in a batch improves throughput by ~3x

Performance of µNF-based SFC



What's Next?

Disaggregated & pipelined-packet processing for 25/40/100G line rate

End-to-end aspects of the system: e.g., optimized µNF processing pipeline deployment with specific SLOs



https://github.com/micronf

Questions?