### ICIT-01553; No of Pages 10

# ARTICLE IN PRESS

Cities xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Cities



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/cities

### Historical trajectories of currently shrinking Portuguese cities: A typology of urban shrinkage

Daniel Alves<sup>a,\*</sup>, Ana Paula Barreira<sup>b</sup>, Maria Helena Guimarães<sup>b,c</sup>, Thomas Panagopoulos<sup>b</sup> 03

<sup>a</sup> Faculty of Social and Human Sciences, New University of Lisbon, Av. de Berna, 26-C, P-1069-061 Lisbon, Portugal 4

<sup>b</sup> Research Centre for Spatial and Organizational Dynamics (CIEO), University of Algarve, Campus de Gambelas, Building 9, P-8005-139 Faro, Portugal

<sup>c</sup> Landscape Dynamics and Social Processes Group, Instituto de Ciências Agrárias e Ambientais Mediterrânicas (ICAAM), University of Évora, Núcleo da Mitra, Edifício Principal, 6 Apartado 94, 7002-554 Évora, Portugal

7 8

9

36 35

### ARTICLE INFO

10 Article history: Received 10 May 2015 11 12 Received in revised form 9 November 2015

Accepted 12 November 2015 1314 Available online xxxx

15

Kevwords: 28

29Shrinking cities

30 Urban history

31 Urban theory 32 Portuguese cities

### ABSTRACT

Cities develop according to different patterns, undergoing population growth during some periods and decline 16 (shrinkage) during others. Theories attempting to understand these behaviours include: 1) shrinkage is a natural 17 process in the life cycle of a city, alternating with periods of growth, or 2) shrinkage is an extreme event that 18 places cities into a continuous decline process with no return to population growth. We use retrospective data 19 over a period of 130 years to study 25 Portuguese cities currently facing population decline, and show that 20 both theories coexist in time and space. Five types of shrinking city are revealed: "Persistent Early Shrinkage" 21 due to exodus from the rural periphery, "Metropolitan Shrinkage" due to the challenges of urban sprawl, "Recent 22 Shrinkage" in de-industrialisation hotspots, "Cyclic Shrinkage" occurring in political transformation cores, and 23 "Mild Shrinkage" due to life-style disamenity. As diversity of city population trajectories appears to be the 24 norm in both Portugal and other Western European countries, the incorporation of this range into the 25 management of urban transitions is recommended in order to reinforce city resilience. 26

© 2015 Published by Elsevier B.V. 27

69

#### 1. Introduction 37

Population decline in cities has been reported throughout history 38 (Beauregard, 2009; Oswalt & Rieniets, 2006). Urban development has 39 complex stages of growth, stagnation, and decline. The dynamics of 40 growth and shrinkage are well described in the urban life-cycle theory 41 (van den Berg, Klaassen, Rossi, & Vijverberg, 1982), in which periods 42 of population boom alternating with population decline are interpreted 43 44 as a natural cycle; however, more recent observations have questioned 45 this view (Champion, 2001; Metzger, 2000). The emergence of the 46 concept of shrinkage and the hypothesis of a continuous (no-return) process of decline associated with drivers such as economic transforma-47 tions, demographic changes, suburbanization, and political and envi-48 49ronmental transformations have brought about a new way of looking at the phenomenon of urban population loss. 50

The two theoretical branches of urban development, namely, urban 51life cycle and continuous decline, are often regarded separately, with 52most of the relevant literature supporting one or the other (e.g. 53Friedrichs, 1993; Mykhnenko & Turok, 2008). The urban life cycle can 54 be explained with resilience theory (Holling, 1973) and the product-55

life-cycle model (Levitt, 1965), where long-established cities eventually 56 become less popular, although resilience allows some of them to self- 57 organize in response to sudden changes, which have become more 58 unpredictable under globalization. Continuous decline can be under- 59 stood in terms of the post-Keynesian regional growth theory, which 60 supports the argument that disparities between territories in regards 61 to per capita income are permanent and self-perpetuating and can be 62 reinforced by certain events as explained by cumulative causation 63 mechanisms (Alexiadis, 2013). Nevertheless, a combination of the 64 two approaches (life cycle and continuous decline) might prove 65 productive for explaining population migration flows, given the 66 flexibility that would be introduced into the analysis (Haase, Bernt, 67 Grobmann, Mykhnenko, & Rink, 2013; Haase, Rink, Grossmann, Bernt, 68 & Mykhnenko, 2014).

In the present work, we empirically demonstrate that cities develop 70 according to different patterns of transition between growth and 71 decline. The study tracks population figures during 130 years in 25 72 shrinking Portuguese cities. Here, as in Beauregard (2009) and Turok 73 and Mykhnenko (2007), depopulation is viewed as an indicator of 74 urban decline. This paper presents a historical perspective of shrinkage 75 by examining demographic, economic, political, and social drivers of the 76 phenomenon. The identification of different patterns of urban evolution 77 adds insights to the phenomenon of shrinkage in Portugal, as well as to 78 the overall discussion regarding urban theories used to explain popula-79 tion decline. 80

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2015.11.008 0264-2751/© 2015 Published by Elsevier B.V.

Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: alves.r.daniel@gmail.com (D. Alves), aprodrig@ualg.pt (A.P. Barreira), mguimaraes@ualg.pt (M.H. Guimarães), tpanago@ualg.pt (T. Panagopoulos).

2

# **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

D. Alves et al. / Cities xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

### 81 2. Literature review

The way in which cities evolve, showing periods of population 82 increase followed by periods of inhabitant decline, has received 83 attention from scholars since the early works of urban planners Rust 84 85 (1975); Berry (1977), and van den Berg et al. (1982). This cyclic process has been given different designations, including decline, decay, 86 abandonment, deurbanization, urban crisis, and demographic change 87 (Haase et al., 2014). However, only after the 1980s did the term 'shrink-88 89 age' begins to appear in the literature, most probably because this type 90 of transformation had by that stage reached more countries and cities 91 (Oswalt & Rieniets, 2006; Turok & Mykhnenko, 2007). Beauregard 92(2009) referred to shrinking cities as pertaining only to those U.S. cities that had lost population since the 1980s, with cities that had 93 94 undergone reductions in inhabitants during the periods 1820–1920 and 1950-1980 being defined as aberrant and declining cities, respec-95 96 tively. In Europe, urban decline has been reported in the Anglo-Saxon 97 literature since the end of WWII and urban shrinkage has been introduced more recently (since the late 1980s) by German scholars 98 (Hoekveld, 2014). 99

An initial examination of urban population evolution leads to the 100 101 conclusion that episodes of growth and decline have been part of the life cycle of the city. According to this view, European urban transforma-102 tion in the past two centuries followed such a pattern, showing a 103 104 sequence of urbanization, suburbanization, and deurbanization (van 105den Berg et al., 1982; Buzar et al., 2007). Facing city shrinkage, local governments developed and implemented policies aimed at attracting 106 107 back the people who had previously left cities for the suburbs or other towns. Nevertheless, some other studies have reported population 108 decline as a continuous process rather than a cyclical one (Metzger, 109 2000). Champion (2001) argued that the development of Western 110 Europe since the 1970s had created a variety of life-course trajectories 111 112of urban development.

Life-cycle and continuous-decline theories are usually approached 113 114 separately, meaning that empirical observations have led to supporting 115one or the other. The fact that the theories evolved in different periods 116 and within their own disciplinary perspectives probably explains this. However, as pointed out by Haase et al. (2013), the two views can 117 118 coexist. In fact, a bridge between the two approaches would add flexibil-119ity and an integrative analysis of population decline, because historical trajectories portray plural shrinkage realities rather than invariant 120 processes (Haase et al., 2014). 121

Studies of how the populations of cities evolve cover a range of 122123 durations, with shrinkage being observed over both long and short periods of time. Beauregard (2009) studied the large cities of the U.S. 124 over two periods, 1820-1920 and 1950-2000, and found that cities 125lost inhabitants over both long and short time frames. Mykhnenko 126and Turok (2008) examined city evolution between 1960 and 2005 127for Eastern European countries, and found a medium-term decline 128(during the last 15 years) as the predominant trajectory, followed by a 129recent decline (during the last 5 years). Turok and Mykhnenko (2007) 130analysed a set of European countries for the same time span, and 131 found that the shrinkage of cities in Western Europe is less prevalent 132than that in Eastern Europe. However, those authors identified popula-133 tion decline in 22% of the Western European sample cities and 13 of 134these registered long-term decline (lasting 25 years). 135

136The phenomenon of shrinkage has been studied using various sets Q4 of countries (e.g. Reiniets, 2005, for Western European countries; 138 Mykhnenko & Turok, 2008, for Eastern European cities; Turok & Mykhnenko, 2007, for both Western and Eastern European cities; 139Großmann, Haase, Rink, & Steinführer, 2008, for several Poland and 140 Czech Republic cities; and Beauregard, 2009, for the United States). 141 Besides searching for the pattern of urban shrinkage, those studies 142also investigated the underlying causes and suggested diversified typol-143 ogies, promoting a continuing and intense debate about how to best 144 understand the phenomenon (Haase et al., 2013; Hoekveld, 2014). 145

The main types of shrinkage identified in Western Europe are those 146 relating to deindustrialization, suburbanization, comparative disadvantages due to globalization, political and environmental transformations, 148 and demographic changes (Haase et al., 2014; Oswalt & Rieniets, 2006). 149 Wu, Zhang, Chu, and Chu (2013) rearranged the typologies around 150 three concepts: "shrinkage is imposed", which includes political, 151 economic, and environmental crises; "shrinkage due to comparative 152 disadvantages", which relates to differences between places in econom-153 ic opportunities, lifestyles, and/or climatic conditions; and "shrinkage due to societal/global changes", which includes fertility decline, ageing, 155 resource depletion, and climate change. In many cases, there are overlapping reasons for the loss of population (Cortese, Haase, Grossmann, 157 & Ticha, 2014; Couch, Karecha, Nuissl, & Rink, 2005). 158

During the 1950s, industrialisation caused a flow of population from 159 urban hinterlands into city centres in northern Europe (Cheshire, 1995). 160 The decline in population after deindustrialisation in Europe was a 161 process that first affected the northern countries, after the 1970s, and 162 subsequently reaching countries in southern Europe. 163

Changes in the economic profiles of cities promoted new preferences of city residents who, supported by the availability and accessibility of transportation options, moved out of city cores, leading to urban sprawl and suburbanization (Clark, 1989; Couch et al., 2005). In Europe, these processes impacted first the northern countries (in the 1950s) as a result of the greater wealth of the inhabitants of these countries, and then gradually spread into southern countries (Cheshire, 1995). 171

Globalization has affected cities and countries unevenly 172 (Martinez-Fernandez, Audirac, Fol, & Cunningham-Sabot, 2012; Oswalt, 173 2005), with smaller cities and those not included in international 174 networks being the most affected (Cunningham-Sabot & Fol, 2007; Q5 Elzerman & Bontje, 2015). The neoliberal economic trend that 176 emerged from the post-Fordist period has challenged the capacity of 177 former industrialized cities to retain inhabitants. Globalization 178 has also brought a new role to suburbs, with some of them emerging 179 as new development poles, at the expense of increasingly empty 180 city centres (Audirac, Cunningham-Sabot, Fol, & Moraes, 2012; 181 Martinez-Fernandez et al., 2012). As such, deindustrialization and sub-182 urbanization have affected mainly larger cities, but more recent 183 economic transformations have caused population loss and economic 184 declines across a broader spectrum of cities.

Political and environmental drivers have also been used to explain 186 population loss (Groβmann et al., 2008; Oswalt & Rieniets, 2006). The 187 fall of the Berlin Wall had a very substantial impact on the cities of the 188 former East Germany, and epidemics as well as environmental shocks 189 have been identified as causes of population decline (Cheshire & 190 Magrini, 2006; Vale & Campanella, 2005). Further, demographic 191 changes that emerged from reductions in fertility rate have also 192 promoted a decrease in the number of inhabitants living in cities 193 (Klingholtz, 2009). 194

Table 1 proposes a shrinking city typology that summarizes the 195 international reports of city shrinkage referred to above. The main 196 shrinkage types reflect societal and global changes and comparative dis- 197 advantages (Wu et al., 2013). The scalar dimension of the different 198 causes of shrinkage, city size, and the location of shrinking cities should 199 also be taken into consideration (Geys, Heinemann, & Kalb, 2007). 200 Furthermore, when categorizing shrinking cities, the time span of the 201 process should be considered. According to Turok and Mykhnenko 202 (2007), a separation between episodic and continuous shrinkage should 203 be taken into account to describe the historical dimension and to sepa-204 rate long-term trends from short-term 'events'. The causes identified for 205 each type of shrinkage overlap to a large extent those described by 206 Oswalt and Rieniets (2006), aggregating causes such as low fertility 207 rates, changes in economic profile, legal constraints due to changes in 208 political regime, and lifestyle transformations, as well as reasons related 209 to climate, all of which emerge from demographic, economic, political, 210 social, and environmental drivers. 211

### D. Alves et al. / Cities xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

### t1.1 Table 1

t1.2 Developed typology of shrinking cities

t1.3	Type of shrinkage (TS)	Location (L)	City size (S)	Time (T)	Driver (D)	Cause (C)		
				1. Cyclical	1. Demographic	1. Ageing/low birth rate/migration		
t1.6	1. Due to comparative	1. Coastal or metropolitan area	1. Large	2. Recent	2. Social	2. Suburbanization/lifestyle/ infrastructure		
t1.5	disadvantage	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	2 Modium	3. Medium-term	3. Political	3. Protectionism/reforms		
t1:8			2. Weuluiii	4. Long-term	4. Economic	<ol><li>Economic decline of sectors/jobs/housing</li></ol>		
t1.9	2. Due to societal and	2. Rural/periphery	3. Small	5. Episodic	5. Environmental	5. Natural hazards/climate change/resource constrains		
t1.10	global changes	hanges						

t1.11 Note: This generic typology is subsequently applied to the five types of shrinking city in Portugal described in Section 4. To illustrate its use here, we employ as an example the shrinking t1.12 Portuguese cities included in type 2: These cities have undergone a shrinkage process due to societal and global changes (TS2), are located in coastal/metropolitan areas (L1), are large t1.13 cities (S1) that show medium-term periods of shrinkage (T3) driven by social factors (D2) that cause suburbanization and changes in lifestyle and in infrastructure (C2). Given this catt1.14 egorization, type-2 cities are identified as depicting the city type of "Metropolitan Shrinkage" facing the challenges of urban sprawl.

212 Up to the 1990s, the phenomenon of urban decline was not even 213 mentioned in the Portuguese literature when a long-term analytical perspective was adopted, as it was considered essentially irrelevant or 214merely a one-time event (Nunes, 1989; Silva, 1997; Soares, 1998). It 215was even stated that Portugal, contrary to what was already occurring 216in other European cities, would "probably never" go through this 217218 phenomenon (Gaspar & Jensen-Butler, 1992, p. 461). In contrast, although without referring explicitly to the concept of urban decline, 219 Reher (1994) claimed that some Portuguese cities would likely "follow 220 221 and inclusively accentuate the stagnation and decay that was already found between 1981 and 1991" (p. 22). In a more recent study, also 222 using a long-term perspective, Moreira, Rodrigues, and Henriques 223224 (2009) reported some cases of contemporary urban decline for the period 1993-2004 detected through "general indices of demographic, 225226 economic and social well-being" (p. 102). The closest reference to "urban decline" in studies of Portuguese cities was presented by 227Soares (1998) in his analysis of urban system development. By 228 229examining the evolution of population between 1981 and 1991, he hypothesised that Lisbon, Oporto, and their respective metropolitan 230231 areas may have already been undergoing "urban decline" (shrinkage), 232although considered that this was a "premature hypothesis, requiring 233 further research" (p. 149). It should be noted that with the exception of the investigations of Reher (1994) and Soares (1998), the various 234235studies of population change in Portugal have been based on 236municipality- or even district-level data and not on city-level data, and hence suffer from the limitations that derive from aggregating mainly 237 rural inhabitants with urban populations. 238

### 239 3. Methodology

There are several proposed definitions of shrinking cities (e.g. 240Beauregard, 1993; Pallagst et al., 2009). For the present work, an 241 adaptation of the definition proposed by the COST Action (CIRES) -242Cities Regrowing Smaller (COST, 2012), was used. A shrinking city is 243defined here as an urban area with more than 3000 residents in 1991 244and which underwent a population decline for at least 10 years in the 245246 period 1991-2011. These cities have undergone a cyclic decline or a recent, medium-term, or long-term decline and present symptoms 247248of a structural crisis as a result of economic, political, or social transformations. 249

250The life-cycle process, as described above, generates a cyclic decline 251in population, and contrasts with an episodic decline, which is related to 252 a single event. The definition of recent, medium-term, and long-term decline used in the present study is similar to that of Turok and 253254Mykhnenko (2007), but since a wider temporal range is considered 255here, the terms correspond, respectively, to population decline since the 1990s, the 1980s, and the 1940s/1950s/1960s. A city is considered 256 under a "structural crisis" when a profound demographic or economic 257transformation occurs, leading to a shift in the city's basis for develop-258ment in order to recover. 259

By using as a reference the time span of shrinkage crossed with its 260 drivers, and trying to overcome the methodological shortcomings of 261 previous studies of the evolution of the Portuguese urban population, 262 a historical series of census data at the level of the parish<sup>1</sup> was used 263 for the period 1878-2011. The parishes used are included in the 264 delimitations of the cities and are predominantly urban. The use of the 265 "parish" as the unit of interest was adopted because data at the spatial 266 unit of "city" started to be measured only in 2004 when the Portuguese 267 National Statistical Institute (INE) introduced this level of data aggrega- 268 tion. Therefore, only the "parish" was a stable unit during the period of 269 interest and was therefore considered the best proxy available. The use 270 of the "city" as the sum of parishes that constitute it allowed urban 271 demographic evolutions to be tracked on a broad temporal basis, and in- 272 terpolating the census data to the 2011 city boundaries allowed the 273 modifiable areal unit problem to be overcome, using the methodology 274 presented by Silveira, Alves, Painho, Costa, and Alcântara (2013). Over- 275 all, 25 cities that showed a declining population trend between 1991 276 and 2011, identified as currently shrinking cities by Guimarães, 277 Barreira, and Panagopoulos (2014), were used in the analysis. Our anal- 278 ysis of the population data from 1878 to 2011 allowed five types of 279 shrinking city to be identified. These five types of shrinking city in the 280 Portuguese case were also characterized using the generic typology pre- 281 sented in Table 1. 282

### 4. Population trajectories and typology of currently shrinking 283 Portuguese cities 284

The majority of studies of the Portuguese urban system converge 285 towards a conception that its evolution has been characterized by a 286 moderate, if sometimes slow, and constant rate of growth (Moreira 287 et al., 2009; Nunes, 1989, 1996; Silva, 1997; Soares, 1998) (Fig. 1). The 288 trajectory of population values for the combined 25 currently shrinking 289 cities is always shallower than that for the rest of the country (excluding 290 inhabitants in cities), but until 1981 follows the major fluctuations iden-291 tified for the remaining (121) Portuguese mainland cities. However, 292 after 1981, the shrinking-cities and rest-of-country trajectories clearly 293 diverge, with a persistent urban population decline occurring in the 294 25 cities while the rest of the country recovered from the relative stag- 295 nation experienced in the 1980s. Since 1878 until 2011, the Portuguese 296 urban system was being consolidated around three main axes: (1) A 297 polarization around two large cities, Lisbon and Oporto, which clearly 298 stand out from the other Portuguese cities and which gave rise to the 299 only two metropolitan areas, currently containing nearly 4 million 300

Please cite this article as: Alves, D., et al., Historical trajectories of currently shrinking Portuguese cities: A typology of urban shrinkage, *Cities* (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2015.11.008

3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The parish is a political unit with management responsibilities in coordination with the municipality. The municipality combines a certain number of parishes and is the political unit appointed to manage the affairs within its area. One municipality can include several cities, but one city is defined as the headquarters of the municipality. The existing cities in Portugal vary largely in terms of area, from those where the municipality area is the same as the city area (e.g. Lisbon) to those that cover a portion of one parish (e.g. Moura).

D. Alves et al. / Cities xxx (2015) xxx-xxx



Fig. 1. Number of inhabitants in the cities of the Portuguese mainland.

people; (2) a small set of about forty medium-sized cities, mostly 301 302 between 20,000 and 150,000 inhabitants, corresponding to satellite cities of the two metropolitan areas and also to several district capitals, 303 overall accounting for around 1.8 million inhabitants; and (3) about 200 304 small urban centres, mostly with fewer than 10,000 inhabitants, which 305 form a denser complementary network in the centre and north of the 306 country, containing about 1.7 million inhabitants (Ferrão & Marques, 307 308 2003, pp. 11-13).

By examining the population evolution of the 25 shrinking cities, 309 310 they were categorized into five types, presented in Figs. 2 to 6, 311 respectively. This categorization differentiated the cities according to 312 location and to population trajectory. The trajectories show some cities 313 as being more resilient to shrinkage than others, probably explained by a combination of factors, although identifying which particular factors 314 were involved lies beyond the scope of the present study. After the cities 315 316 had been categorized, absolute and relative population changes for the 25 cities over the period 1991-2011 were calculated, and are reported 317 according to typology in Table 4; the locations of these cities are 318 shown in Fig. 7, coded by city type. Table 4 indicates that all cities 319

declined in the number of inhabitants over that period, in accordance 320 with the definition of shrinking city described in the methodology. 321

The cities grouped in type 1, "Persistent Early Shrinkage: Exodus 322 from rural periphery" (classified in Table 1 as TS2, L2, S3, T4, D4, and 323 C4), are characterized predominantly by slow and long-duration chang- 324 es, under population growth recorded between 1878 and 1950/1960 325 and population loss thereafter due to a movement out of agricultural ac- 326 tivities (Fig. 2). Cities affected by persistent early shrinkage are capitals 327 of hinterland municipalities, with the exception of Alcácer do Sal, and 328 appear to have been unable to resist the economic and population 329 changes that emerged after World War II. These cities are located in 330 areas traditionally focused on the agricultural sector and were most 331 likely affected by the "transfer of industrial jobs from the interior to 332 the coast" (Mata, 2008, p. 178) that occurred during the first half of 333 the twentieth century. Those cities and municipalities evolved in a 334 path of divergence compared with the coastal cities, in accordance 335 with the predictions of post-Keynesian regional growth theory. 336

The way in which the population in shrinking Portuguese cities 337 evolved (Fig. 1) was influenced mostly by the population trajectories 338





D. Alves et al. / Cities xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

900 800 700 Thousand inhabitants 600 500 400 300 200 100 0 1878 1890 1900 1911 1920 1930 1940 1950 1960 1970 1981 1991 2001 2011 -Lisbon -Oporto Amadora ---Almada

Fig. 3. Type-2 cities: Metropolitan Shrinkage: Urban sprawl challenges.



Fig. 4. Type-3 cities: Recent Shrinkage: De-industrialisation hotspots

of the cities classified in type 2, "Metropolitan Shrinkage: Urban sprawl 339 challenges" (classified in Table 1 as TS2, L1, S1, T3, D2, and C2) (Fig. 3). 340 In fact, if Lisbon, Oporto, Almada, and Amadora are removed from the 341 data set, the rest of the cities show a path of much slower growth, 342 especially in the first half of the twentieth century. Three cities were 343 affected by suburbanization from the 1980s, namely, Lisbon, Oporto, 344and Almada, and in the case of Amadora from the 1990s. The use of an 345 urbanization ratio  $(Q)^2$  (Table 2) allows an in-depth analysis to be 346 made of the population trajectory of all 25 shrinking cities, type-2 cities, 347 348 and the remaining shrinking cities. A ratio value of >1 implies that the 349 city's population is increasing more quickly than the national 350 population. A ratio value of [0, 1] means that the national population is increasing more quickly than or equal to (=1) that of the analysed 351set of cities. A ratio equal to 0 implies a constant population in the 352

selected cities. Negative values represent population decline in the cities 353 compared with the evolution of the Portuguese population (de Vries, 354 1990). 355

During the first 40 years (1878–1920), there was an acceleration in 356 the process of urbanization in Metropolitan Shrinkage cities (Table 2). 357 The process of urbanization decreased in intensity in the following 358 period starting in 1920. After the end of WWII, cities included in this 359 type recovered slightly; however, the out-migration that took place 360 during the 1960s generated profound changes, including the loss of 361 the economic and social dynamics of those cities. The decline in the 362 number of inhabitants in Metropolitan Shrinkage cities from the 363 1980s, registering a greater rate of reduction up to 2001 and subse-364 quently slowing, is the main explanation for the observed overall 365 decline in the population of the 25 shrinking cities.

The two most important cities of Portugal, Lisbon and Oporto, 367 showed rapid growth until the 1920s, which was later reinforced by 368 the simultaneous growth of the Metropolitan Area of Lisbon after 369 World War II, as reflected in the population trajectories of Amadora 370 and Almada (Fig. 3). The continuous population growth observed until 371

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The ratio is defined as:  $Q = [(U_2 - U_1)/(P_2 - P_1)]/(U_1/P_1)$ , where U<sub>2</sub> and U<sub>1</sub> are the number of inhabitants in the last and first dates of each column range in the selected cities, respectively, and P<sub>2</sub> and P<sub>1</sub> are the number of inhabitants in Portugal in the last and first dates of each column range, respectively.

D. Alves et al. / Cities xxx (2015) xxx-xxx



Fig. 5. Type-4 cities: Cyclic shrinkage: Political transformation cores (the colonial war and fall of the dictatorship in 1974).

the 1960s in both Lisbon and Oporto slowed down because of the out-372 373 migration to Europe that affected the entire country, as well as because of suburbanization and the subsequent growth of peripheral cities. The 374 change in population between the 1960s and the 1980s in Lisbon and 375 Oporto is somewhat artificial, as a stabilization or a decrease in the 376 377 trajectory of population was observed until that time, thus postponing the beginning of the shrinkage process in these two cities by about 378 379 two decades. After 1981, Lisbon and Oporto began to lose inhabitants, as shown by the high negative values for the urbanization ratio 380 (Table 2). In addition to the factors already mentioned, the impact of 381 high prices of real estate and degradation of housing conditions are 382 383 factors that help explain the trend of population loss in more recent 384 years, a feature that is common to several European metropolitan areas (Abrantes, Pimentel, & Tenedório, 2010, p. 72). 385

Cities such as Almada, Amadora, Barreiro, Espinho, Fiães, and Vila Franca de Xira benefited from suburbanization as recipients of residents (1960–1980), allowing some of these places to be formally classified as "cities" after the 1960s. However, from the 1980s, Almada and Amadora started to experience the same phenomenon that had earlier been the cause of their growth, namely, suburbanization. It should be noted that the population peak registered in the 1970s in Almada and Amado-392 ra was a result of in-migration emerging from the decolonization of 393 Portugal's overseas territories, with the political circumstances for 394 such migration having been generated by the establishment of a 395 democratic regime (after the Carnation Revolution, a coup in April 396 1974) and by the return of some of the previous emigrants. 397

Although the economic and social transformations produced by the 398 transfer of industrial jobs from the hinterland to coastal areas penalized 399 Persistent Early Shrinkage cities (Fig. 2), this process was beneficial for 400 type-2 and type-3 cities (Figs. 3 and 4). All cities included in types 2 401 and 3, with the exception of Seia, are located in the so-called Atlantic 402 industrial corridor, which benefited from the "positive impact of the 403 joint forces between railways and ports" (Mata, 2008, p. 178).

In type-3 cities, "Recent Shrinkage: De-industrialisation hotspots" 405 (TS2, L1, S2, T2, D4, and C4 in Table 1), the identified shrinkage after 406 1991 was a result of a lack of economic diversification, as argued by 407 Friedrichs (1993). With respect to this city type, Seia is an exception 408 because the city faced a slight decline between 1940 and 1960 related 409 to a crisis in the textile industry (Carvalho, 2006), the city's main 410 economic driver, and has shrunk since 2001. In the cases of Barreiro, 411





Please cite this article as: Alves, D., et al., Historical trajectories of currently shrinking Portuguese cities: A typology of urban shrinkage, *Cities* (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2015.11.008

### D. Alves et al. / Cities xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

### t2.1 Table 2

t2.2 Values of the urbanization ratio (Q) for shrinking cities.

t2.3	Q	1878-1900	1900-1920	1920-1940	1940-1960	1960-1981	1981-2001	1981-2011
t2.4 t2.5 t2.6	Total shrinking cities (N = 25) Cities of the type "Metropolitan Shrinkage: Urban sprawl challenges"	2.337	2.364	1.368	1.484	1.460	-3.319	-1.703
t2.7 t2.8	(N = 4) Remaining cities $(N = 21)$	2.679 1.090	2.545 1.543	1.386 1.279	1.602 0.879	1.381 1.904	-3.935 -0.046	-1.952 -0.373

t3.1 Table 3

t3.2 Rates of population change (%) for Persistent Early Shrinkage and Cyclic Shrinkage cities, 1878–2011.

t3.3		1878-1900	1900-1920	1920-1940	1940-1960	1960–1981 1981–2001	1981-2011
t3.4	Inhabitants in persistent early shrinkage cities	8.4	12.3	41.8	8.4	-22.0 -4.9	-10.7
t3.5	Inhabitants in cyclic shrinkage cities	16.7	2.1	17.0	4.6	5.7 -1.5	-4.4

Espinho, and Fiães, rapid growth in the 1950s led to the birth of the 412 413 metropolitan areas of Lisbon and Oporto and included the economic development induced by rapid industrialization, especially in Barreiro 414 with its chemical industry. Nevertheless, it was the same rapid 415 416 expansion based on a poorly diversified economy that led to the subsequent population decline. The reduction in the quality of life 417 between 1993 and 2004 (Moreira et al., 2009) may have contributed 418 419 to the recent/current shrinkage of these three cities categorized as de-industrialization hotspots. The process in Vila Nova de Santo 420 André was even more transformative. Until the 1970s, this city was 421 422 a small fishing village, which became quickly transformed by the opening of the port and industrial complex of Sines and the subse-423424 quent influx of people attracted by the new employment opportunities (Dias & Alves, 2010). 425

Cities of types 4 and 5 are located in contrasting geographic settings,
 thus requiring different policy approaches, which justifies their separate
 analysis. Those cities present slight declines in population in the later
 period of the analysis (i.e. 2001–2011).

430 The cities of type 4, "Cyclic Shrinkage: Political transformation cores" 431 (TS1, L2, S3, T1, D3, and C1 in Table 1) (Fig. 5), are inland cities and are located predominantly in the central part of the country. These cities 432433 have population trajectories bearing some similarities to those of Persis-434tent Early Shrinkage cities. Cyclic Shrinkage cities also present a pattern of alternating growth and shrinkage, although in the case of Persistent 435 Early Shrinkage cities the growth alternates with stagnation and in 436 Cyclic Shrinkage cities there are alternations between growth and 437 438 shrinkage. Moreover, in Cyclic Shrinkage cities the decline occurred only after the 1980s, whereas Persistent Early Shrinkage cities showed 439 decline at least from the 1960s. These results are presented in Table 3, 440 which compares the rates of population evolution in Persistent Early 441 442 Shrinkage and Cyclic Shrinkage cities. The fluctuations registered in the cities with cyclic shrinkage were due mainly to out-migration influ-443 enced by the colonial war (1961–1974), the poor living conditions, and 444 political persecution during the dictatorship, which was intensified 445from the 1960s with the radicalization of actions of those opposing 446 the regime. Out-migrants moved mostly into Europe, which affected 447 Gouveia, São Pedro do Sul, and Santa Comba Dão. During the 1960s, 448 these movements into Europe were accompanied by the internal migra-449 tion of inhabitants from cities included in the Cyclic Shrinkage category 450451to coastal cities, mainly involving inhabitants of Alentejo (a region that 452includes the cities of Portalegre and Elvas), and predominantly to the 453metropolitan area of Lisbon; these inhabitants were exiting a region that was based on an agricultural economy to seek employment in 454"industrial activities" (Sena, 2011, pp. 1685–1686). During the 1970s, 455Cyclic Shrinkage cities recovered population as a result of the political 456transformation of Portugal from dictatorship to democracy, which 457brought about the return of those living in the former Portuguese colo-458nies. After the 1980s, given the lack of job opportunities and the lower 459quality of life offered by those cities compared with coastal cities, 460

many residents moved into coastal cities or even migrated to other 461 countries in search of better economic and social conditions; however, 462 with the exception of Santa Comba Dão, where city and municipality 463 geographically coincide, the decline was almost imperceptible. In 464 Gouveia, São Pedro do Sul, Portalegre, and Elvas, the associated municipalities experienced an accentuated population decline (-14.8% for 466 the period 1981–2011), which has not yet reached the cities because 467 inhabitants from rural areas of the municipalities have first moved 468 into cities, thus delaying city shrinkage.

Cities of type 5, "Mild Shrinkage: Life-style disamenity" (TS1, L2, S2, 470 T1, D4, and C2 in Table 1) (Fig. 6), probably also benefited from the 471 population wave from the former colonies, from Europe, and from the 472 Portuguese hinterland. Almost all Mild Shrinkage cities show a path 473 characterized by growth and decline, but with a significant growth 474 trend from 1878 to 1981 (Fig. 6). The exception is Peso da Régua, 475 which has a flatter population curve. Mild Shrinkage cities are 476 average-sized cities (in the context of Portugal) and are included, with 477 the exception of Peso da Régua, either in the Metropolitan Area of 478 Lisbon (Vila Franca de Xira) or within its area of influence (Peniche, 479 Tomar, and Torres Vedras). However, Mild Shrinkage cities showed 480 quality of life index values below national average values from 1993 481

### Table 4

Population change in shrinking Portuguese cities during the last 20 years. Source: INE t4.2 (2012). t4.3

Type of shrinking city		Change in population 1991–2011	Change in population 1991–2011 (%)	-
	Alcácer do Sal	-138	-1.6	
	Borba	-181	- 3.8	
	Meda	-305	-11.0	
Persistent early shrinkage:	Moura	-224	-2.6	
Exodus from rural	Sabugal	-300	- 9.9	
periphery	Serpa	-174	-2.7	
	Almada	-10,956	-10.9	
Metropolitan shrinkage:	Amadora	-6638	- 3.7	
Urban sprawl challenges	Lisbon	-115,661	-17.4	
	Oporto	-64,881	-21.5	
	Barreiro	-10,172	-21.2	
Recent shrinkage:	Espinho	-2726	-9.2	
De-industrialisation	Fiães	-851	-9.6	
hotspots	Seia	-123	- 1.9	
	V. Nova de Sto. André	-104	-1.0	
	Elvas	-207	-1.2	
Cuclic chriplescov Dolitical	Gouveia	-465	-11.8	
transformation cores	Portalegre	-454	Change in population 1991–2011 (%) - 1.6 - 3.8 - 11.0 - 2.6 - 9.9 - 2.7 - 10.9 - 3.7 - 17.4 - 21.5 - 21.2 - 9.2 - 9.6 - 1.9 - 1.0 - 1.2 - 11.8 - 2.8 - 5.0 - 2.5 - 3.6 - 3.1 - 2.3 - 3.9 - 1.6	
Persistent early shrinkage: Exodus from rural periphery Metropolitan shrinkage: Urban sprawl challenges Recent shrinkage: De-industrialisation hotspots Cyclic shrinkage: Political transformation cores Mild shrinkage: Life-style disamenity	Sta Comba Dão	-612	- 5.0	
	S. Pedro do Sul	-93	-2.5	
	Peniche	- 555	- 3.6	
Mild shripkaga: Life style	Peso da Régua	-318	- 3.1	
Metropolitan shrinkage: Urban sprawl challenges Recent shrinkage: De-industrialisation hotspots Cyclic shrinkage: Political transformation cores Mild shrinkage: Life-style disamenity	Tomar	-427	-2.3	
	Torres Novas	-656	- 3.9	
	V. Franca de Xira	-290	- 1.6	

Please cite this article as: Alves, D., et al., Historical trajectories of currently shrinking Portuguese cities: A typology of urban shrinkage, *Cities* (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2015.11.008

t4.1

D. Alves et al. / Cities xxx (2015) xxx-xxx





Fig. 7. The spatial distribution of shrinking cities in Portugal, 1991–2011, coded by city type. Source: INE (2012).

to 2004 (Moreira et al., 2009). This may explain the stagnation that 482 those cities have undergone in the last 30 years. Moreover, all these 483 cities are secondary cities that have grown as satellites of other 484cities-Peso da Régua for Vila Real, Torres Novas for Santarém, Tomar 485for Leiria, Peniche for Caldas da Raínha, and Vila Franca de Xira for 486 Lisbon-all of which have exhausted their capacity for attracting inhab-487 488 itants, either because of a possible saturation in the phenomenon of suburbanization, or because new products and associated appealing 489

jobs tend to be generated in growing environments such as metropoli- 490 tan areas, in accordance with the product-life-cycle theory. 491

### 5. Conclusions

492

The population trajectories of Portuguese cities from 1870 to 2011 493 showed mostly slow growth, interrupted in some instances by periods 494 of stagnation or even decline. Three major transformations explain the 495

D. Alves et al. / Cities xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

loss of inhabitants. The first period of population loss, during and after 496 the 1960s, was due to economic transformations that affected inland 497cities (cities categorized as type "Persistent Early Shrinkage: Exodus 498 499from rural periphery"), specifically, the attraction of industrial jobs in coastal cities. The second period of population loss, during and after 500501the 1980s, was associated with the emergence of suburbanization (cities categorized as type "Metropolitan Shrinkage: Urban sprawl chal-502 503lenges"). The third, during and after the 1990s, occurred as an outcome of profound transformations in industrial cities (cities categorized as 504505type "Recent Shrinkage: De-industrialisation hotspots"). Cities of these 506three types experienced events that represented a turning point in the path of population evolution, from which the cities were unable to 507recover, indicating a low level of resilience. Therefore, further 508research should focus on identifying the characteristics that can re-509 510inforce the resilience of such cities. Such an approach implies that governments need to learn how to cope with and manage change 511 so that ways of orienting these cities towards more desirable direc-512513tions can be identified.

However, other cities have shown a more persistent pattern of 514 growth and decline in their populations. Cyclic shrinkage is evident in 515 cities where political transformations brought about a loss of inhabi-516tants between the 1960s and the 1970s with recovery in the 1980s, 517but although such cities are currently in decline, most have not yet 518 reached the population minima of the 1970s. Mild Shrinkage cities, 519 520resulting from life-style disamenity, presented irregular paths of popu-521lation growth until the 1980s, since when there have been small declines but no particularly substantial changes in the number of 522inhabitants 523

Population records over the last 130 years for currently (1991–2011) 524525shrinking cities show that different patterns of evolution have coexisted in time. Whereas some cities show a consistent trend of 526 527growth and episodic decline, others show a more erratic behaviour where growth and decline alternate, consistent with a life-cycle 528explanation for city evolution. The present work empirically shows 529530 that continuous decline and life-cycle theories can be sustained 531simultaneously in the same country and period and that their 532integration allows a deeper and more fruitful understanding of the evolution of cities. This result reinforces the argument that both real-533534ities can coexist, and thus a more accurate interpretation of the real-535ity benefits from an integrative analysis of population decline. Accepting that a regularity in the stages of urban development does -536not exist either in Portuguese or other Western European cities, gen-537eralist approaches such as "one-size-fits-all" policies can no longer 538539be suitably applied. This observation opens space for a different set of policies to be developed that aim to deal with urban shrinkage 540and smart growth. Resilience theory should prove helpful for 541 formulating policies that use the strengths of the cities and their 542543 available opportunities as assets for innovation. This approach rein-544forces the capability for adaptation in a complex social-ecological 545system such as that represented by each city. The case of Portugal shows that cities built on multidimensional characteristics have 546higher resilience compared with other cities when confronted with 547stressors. 548

The analysis of the historical population trajectories of cities allowed 549distinctive patterns of urban evolution to be identified that would have 550been indistinguishable using a shorter temporal span, and was therefore 551552able to portray plural shrinkage realities in Portugal. Diverse trajectories 553have also been found in other Western European countries, in which 554 suburbanization and economic, political, and life-style transformations have been identified as common drivers of urban shrinkage. Further-555556more, the findings support theoretical arguments that the population trajectory of a particular city should be analysed in the context of its 557region and in relation to other cities because of the interconnections 558 that exist between such cities, and therefore the continuous decline 559and life-cycle theories should also be articulated with the product-life-560 561 cycle and post-Keynesian regional growth theories.

### Acknowledgments

This work was funded by FEDER funds through the Operational 563 Programme for Competitiveness Factors (COMPETE) and National 564 Funds through FCT (Foundation for Science and Technology) under 565 the project EXPL/ATP-EUR/0464/2013 entitled "Policy guidelines for **Q6** regeneration in shrinking cities". This paper benefited from the 567 comments of three anonymous referees. 568

### References

- Abrantes, P., Pimentel, D., & Tenedório, J. A. (2010). Metropolitan dynamics typology of 570 the Portuguese urban system. Open Urban Studies Journal, 3, 68–77. 571
   Alexiadis, S. (2013). Convergence clubs and spatial externalities: Models and applications of 572 regional convergence in Europe. Berlin: Springer. 573
   Audirac, I., Cunningham-Sabot, E., Fol, S., & Moraes, S. T. (2012). Declining suburbs in 574 Europe and Latin America. International Journal of Urban and Regional Research, 575
- 36(2), 226–244. 576 Beauregard, R. A. (1993). Representing urban decline. Postwar cities as narrative objects. 577 *Urban Affairs Review*, 29(2), 187202. 578
- Beauregard, R. A. (2009). Urban population loss in historical perspective: United States, 579
   1820–2000 Environment & Planning A, 41(3), 514–528.
- van den Berg, L, Klaassen, L. H., Rossi, A., & Vijverberg, C. H. T. (1982). Urban Europe. A 581 study of growth and decline, Oxford: Pergamon. 582
- Berry, B. J. L. (Ed.). (1977). Urbanization and counter urbanization. Urban affairs annual 583 reviews. vol. II, . London: Sage. 584
- Buzar, S., Ogden, P., Hall, R., Haase, A., Kabisch, S., & Steinführer, A. (2007). Splintering 585 urban populations: Emergent landscapes of reurbanisation in four European cities. 586 Urban Studies, 44(4), 651–677. 587

Carvalho, M. F. C. C. P. (2006). Viver os têxteis: um complexo museológico Para o concelho 588 de Seia. Fundamentos e proposta de organização. Coimbra: Universidade de Coimbra. 589

- Champion, A. (2001). A changing demographic regime and evolving polycentric urban 590 regions: Consequences for the size, composition and distribution of city populations. 591 Urban Studies, 38(4), 657–677. 592
- Cheshire, P. (1995). A new phase of urban development in western Europe? The evidence 593 for the 1980s *Urban Studies*, 32(7), 1045–1063. 594
- Cheshire, P., & Magrini, S. (2006). Population growth in European cities: Weather 595 matters – but only nationally. *Regional Studies*, 40(1), 23–37. 596
- Clark, D. (1989). Urban decline: The British experience. London: Routledge. 597
   Cortese, C., Haase, A., Grossmann, K., & Ticha, I. (2014). Governing social cohesion in 598
   shrinking cities: The cases of Ostrava, Genoa and Leipzig. European Planning Studies, 599
   22(10), 2050–2066. 600
- COST (2012). COST Action TU0803 Cities regrowing smaller [Internet] cited 2012 Jun 20. 601 Available from http://www.shrinkingcities.eu 602
- Couch, C., Karecha, J., Nuissl, H., & Rink, D. (2005). Decline and sprawl: an evolving type of urban development — Observed in Liverpool and Leipzig. *European Planning Studies*, 604 13(1), 117–136. 605
- Cunningham-Sabot, E., & Fol, S. (2007). Shrinking cities in France and Great Britain: A silent process? Proceedings of the conference: The future of shrinking cities – Problems, 607 patterns and strategies of urban transformation in a global context. Berkeley: University 608 of California, Institute of Urban and Regional Development (February). 609
- Dias, E., & Alves, J. F. (2010). Ports, policies and interventions in ports in Portugal 20th 610 century. Cahiers de La Méditerranée, 80, 41–64. 611
- Elzerman, K., & Bontje, M. (2015). Urban shrinkage in Parkstad Limburg. European 612 Planning Studies, 23(1), 87–103. 613
- Ferrão, J., & Marques, T. S. (2003). National urban system Overview. Lisboa: DGOTDU. 614
   Friedrichs, J. (1993). A theory of urban decline: economy, demography and political elites. 615
   Urban Studies, 30, 907–917. 616
- Gaspar, J., & Jensen-Butler, C. (1992). Social, economic and cultural transformations in the 617
   Portuguese urban system. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 16(3), 618
   442–461.
- Geys, B., Heinemann, F., & Kalb, A. (2007). Local governments in the wake of demographic 620 change: Efficiency and economies of scale in German municipalities. Paper available 621 at ZEW – Zentrumfür Europäische Wirtschaftsforschung/Center for European Economic 622 Research, discussion paper 07–036. 623
- Groβmann, K., Haase, A., Rink, D., & Steinführer, A. (2008). Urban shrinkage in east Central 624
   Europe? Benefits and limits of a cross-national transfer of research approaches In M. 625
   Nowak, & M. Nowosielski (Eds.), Declining cities/developing cities: Polish and German 626
   perspectives (pp. 77–99). Poznän: Instytut Zachodni. 627

Guimarães, M. H., Barreira, A. P., & Panagopoulos, T. (2014). Shrinking cities in Portugal – 628
 Where and why. Paper presented at the 20th APDR congress, 10–11 July, Évora, Portugal 629
 (pp. 706–721). 630

Haase, A., Bernt, M., Grobmann, K., Mykhnenko, V., & Rink, D. (2013). Varieties of shrinkage in European cities. European Urban and Regional Studies, 1–17. 632

- Haase, A., Rink, D., Grossmann, K., Bernt, M., & Mykhnenko, V. (2014). Conceptualizing 633 urban shrinkage. *Environment & Planning A*, 46, 1519–1534. 634
- Hoekveld, J. J. (2014). Understanding spatial differentiation in urban decline levels. 635
   European Planning Studies, 22(2), 362–382.
   636
- Holling, C. S. (1973). Resilience and stability of ecological systems. Annual Review of 637 Ecology and Systematics, 4, 1–23.
   Klingholtz, R. (2009). Europe's real demographic challenge. Policy Review, 32, 60–70.
- Klingholtz, R. (2009). Europe's real demographic challenge. *Policy Review*, 32, 60–70. Levitt, T. (1965). Exploit the product life cycle. *Harvard Business Review*, 43, 81–94.

Please cite this article as: Alves, D., et al., Historical trajectories of currently shrinking Portuguese cities: A typology of urban shrinkage, *Cities* (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2015.11.008

562

569

640

10

### D. Alves et al. / Cities xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

- Martinez-Fernandez, C., Audirac, I., Fol, S., & Cunningham-Sabot, E. (2012). Shrinking
   cities: Challenges of globalization. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 36(2), 213–225.
- Mata, M. E. (2008). As bees attracted to honey. Transport and job mobility in Portugal, 1890–1950. The Journal of Transport History, 29(2), 173–192.
- Metzger, J. T. (2000). Planned abandonment: The neighborhood life-cycle theory and national urban policy. *Housing Policy Debate*. 11. (pp. 7–40).
- Moreira, M. J. G., Rodrigues, T. F., & Henriques, F. C. (2009). O sistema urbano português:
   Dinâmicas contemporâneas e diversidade regional. Evolução demográfica e bemestar social. Revista de Demografia Histórica, XXVII(1), 83–114.
- Mykhnenko, V., & Turok, I. (2008). East European cities Patterns of growth and decline,
   1960–2005. International Planning Studies, 13(4), 311–342.
- Nunes, A. B. (1989). A rede Urbana Portuguesa e o moderno crescimento económico (provas complementares de doutoramento em economia). Lisboa: Universidade Técnica de Lisboa.
- Nunes, A. B. (1996). Portuguese urban system: 1890–1991. In P. T. Pereira, & M. E. Mata
   (Eds.), Urban dominance and labour market differentiation of a European capital city:
- Lisbon, 1890–1990 (pp. 7–47). London: Springer.
   Oswalt, P. (Ed.). (2005). Shrinking cities: International research. Vol. 1. Ostfildern: Hatje
- Cantz.
   Oswalt, P., & Rieniets, T. (Eds.). (2006). Atlas of shrinking cities. Ostfildern: Hatje Cantz
- Verlag.
  Pallagst, K., Aber, J., Audirac, I., Cunningham-Sabot, E., Fol, S., Martinez-Fernandez, C., ...
- Rich, J. (2009). The future of shrinking cities: Problems, patterns and strategies of urban transformation in global context. Berkeley, CA: IURD.
- Reher, D. S. (1994). Ciudades, procesos de urbanización y sistemas urbanos en la península ibérica, 1550–1991. In M. Guàrdia, I. Bassols, F. X. Monclús, & J. L. Oyón (Eds.), Atlas histórico de ciudades europeas: Península Ibérica (pp. 1–30). Barcelona: Salvat.
- 692

Rust, E. (1975). No growth: Impacts on metropolitan areas. Lexington, MA: Lexington 669 Books. 670

- Sena, A. P. S. F. (2011). Notas sobre a emigração Portuguesa no Pós Guerra (1939–1945). 671 Revista de Estudios Extremeños, LXVII(III), 1681–1700. 672
- Silva, Á. F. (1997). A evolução da rede urbana Portuguesa (1801–1940). Análise Social, 673 XXXII(143–144), 779–814. 674
- Silveira, L. E., Alves, D., Painho, M., Costa, A. C., & Alcântara, A. (2013). The evolution of 675 population distribution on the Iberian peninsula: A transnational approach 676 (1877–2001). Historical Methods: A Journal of Quantitative and Interdisciplinary 677 History, 46(3), 157–174. 678
- Soares, N. P. (1998). O sistema urbano português: 1890–1991. Lisboa: Faculdade de 679 Ciências Sociais e Humanas. 680
- Turok, I., & Mykhnenko, V. (2007). The trajectories of European cities, 1960–2005. *Cities*, 681 24(3), 165–182. 682
- Vale, L J., & Campanella, T. J. (2005). The resilient city: How modern cities recover from 683 disaster. New York: Oxford University Press. 684
- de Vries, J. (1990). Problems in the measurement, description and analysis of historical 685 urbanization. In A. van der Woude, A. Hayami, & J. de Vries (Eds.), Urbanization in 686 history: A process of dynamic interactions (pp. 43–61). Oxford: Clarendon Press. 687
- Wu, C. -T., Zhang, X. -L., Chu, G. -H., & Chu, S. -P. (2013). Shrinkage and expansion in peri- urban China. In K. Pallagst, T. Wiechmann, & C. Martinez-Fernandez (Eds.), Shrinking 689 cities: International perspectives and policy implications. Routledge Advances in 690 Geography. 691