

To appear in *Adv. Math. (China)*.

On the cardinality and sum of reciprocals of primitive sequences

Shane Chern, Tianxin Cai, and Hao Zhong

Abstract. Let $\mathcal{A}(2n)$ denote the set of primitive sequences $A(2n)$ with cardinality n . In this paper, we consider the upper bound of reciprocal sum of $A \in \mathcal{A}(2n)$ and obtain

$$\max_{A \in \mathcal{A}(2n)} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{a_i} = \log 3 + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\log_3 2}}\right)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We also find some interesting properties of $|\mathcal{A}(2n)|$.

Keywords. Primitive sequence, reciprocal sum, cardinality.

2010MSC. 11B75.

1. Introduction

We first introduce some notations. Let $A = \{a_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ be a subset of \mathbb{N} . For convenience we set $a_1 < a_2 < \dots$. Note that A can also be viewed as an increasing sequence $(a_i)_{i \geq 1}$. For $x \leq y$, $(x, y]$ and $[x, y]$ equal the set of integers n such that $x < n \leq y$ and $x \leq n \leq y$, respectively. We use the abbreviation $A(x) = A \cap [1, x]$. A sequence $A = (a_i)_{i \geq 1}$ is primitive, if $a_i \nmid a_j$ for $i \neq j$. In 1935, Paul Erdős [3] proved that for every primitive sequence $A = (a_i)_{i \geq 1}$,

$$\frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{a_i \leq n} \frac{1}{a_i} = o(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.1)$$

In the same year, Felix Behrend [2] showed that there exists a constant γ such that for every primitive sequence $A = (a_i)_{i \geq 1}$,

$$\frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{a_i \leq n} \frac{1}{a_i} \leq \gamma \frac{1}{(\log \log n)^{1/2}} \text{ for } n \geq 3. \quad (1.2)$$

Later in 1967 Paul Erdős, András Sárközy and Endre Szemerédi [4] proved that for every infinite primitive sequence $A = (a_i)_{i \geq 1}$,

$$\sum_{a_i \leq x} \frac{1}{a_i} = o\left(\frac{\log x}{(\log \log x)^{1/2}}\right), \quad (1.3)$$

and that this bound is best possible. In fact, one may refer to the paper by Rudolf Ahlswede and Levon H. Khachatryan [1] for more details on relevant results. On the other hand, it is easy to verify by the pigeonhole principle that the cardinality of each primitive sequence $A(2n)$ is less than $n + 1$. As Professor Qi Sun told us, Paul Erdős wrote to Chao Ko in 1960s and suggested to find some properties of primitive sequences $A(2n)$ with cardinality n where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote by $\mathcal{A}(2n)$ the set of such primitive sequences, and by $s(n)$ the cardinality of $\mathcal{A}(2n)$. Chao Ko and

Qi Sun [5] showed that $a_1 \geq 2^{\lfloor \log_3 2n \rfloor}$ holds for all $A \in \mathcal{A}(2n)$. It is easy to see that $\{n+1, n+2, \dots, 2n\} \in \mathcal{A}(2n)$, hence we have

$$\min_{A \in \mathcal{A}(2n)} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{a_i} = \log 2 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \quad (1.4)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In this paper, we consider the upper bound of reciprocal sum of $A \in \mathcal{A}(2n)$ and some other interesting properties of $s(n)$. We have the following theorems.

Theorem 1.1. *If n goes to infinity, then*

$$\max_{A \in \mathcal{A}(2n)} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{a_i} = \log 3 + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\log_3 2}}\right). \quad (1.5)$$

Theorem 1.2. *Let t be a positive integer. If n satisfies (i) $n = 6t$, or (ii) $n = 12t + 9$ where $t \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$, then $s(n+1) = 2s(n)$.*

Theorem 1.3. *Let t be a positive integer. If n satisfies (i) $n = 6t + 4$ where $t \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, or (ii) $n = 12t + 1$ where $t \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $t \not\equiv 4 \pmod{5}$, then $s(n+1) = s(n)$.*

2. Proofs of the theorems

To prove Theorem 1.1, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $A^{(1)}(2n) = \{2^{k_i} i : i = 1, 3, \dots, 2n-1\}$ where each k_i satisfies $\frac{2n}{3^{k_i+1}} < i \leq \frac{2n}{3^{k_i}}$, then $A^{(1)}(2n) \in \mathcal{A}(2n)$. Moreover, all $A \in \mathcal{A}(2n)$ have the form $\{2^{\alpha_i} i : i = 1, 3, \dots, 2n-1\}$ with each $\alpha_i \geq k_i$.*

Proof. It is easy to show that $2^{k_i} i \leq 2n$ for each odd i . We now divide $\{1, 2, \dots, 2n\}$ into the following $k+1$ subsets:

$$\left(\frac{2n}{3^1}, \frac{2n}{3^0}\right], \left(\frac{2n}{3^2}, \frac{2n}{3^1}\right], \dots, \left(\frac{2n}{3^{k+1}}, \frac{2n}{3^k}\right],$$

where $k = \lfloor \log_3 2n \rfloor$. Given $2i-1$ and $2j-1$, where $2i-1 < 2j-1$, in the same subset, say $\left(\frac{2n}{3^{\alpha+1}}, \frac{2n}{3^\alpha}\right]$, we have $k_{2i-1} = k_{2j-1} = \alpha$. If $2^{k_{2i-1}}(2i-1) \mid 2^{k_{2j-1}}(2j-1)$, then $2i-1 \mid 2j-1$. Thus $2i-1 \leq (2j-1)/3 \leq 2n/3^{\alpha+1}$, which contradicts to the assumption $2i-1 \in \left(\frac{2n}{3^{\alpha+1}}, \frac{2n}{3^\alpha}\right]$. If we pick $2i-1 < 2j-1$ from two different subsets, then $k_{2i-1} > k_{2j-1}$. It readily follows that neither of $2^{k_{2i-1}}(2i-1)$ and $2^{k_{2j-1}}(2j-1)$ divides another. Thus $A^{(1)}(2n) \in \mathcal{A}(2n)$.

We next show that each $A \in \mathcal{A}(2n)$ has the form $\{2^{\alpha_i} i : i = 1, 3, \dots, 2n-1\}$. Otherwise, by the pigeonhole principle, there exists an odd i and two integers $0 \leq \beta_1 < \beta_2$ such that both $2^{\beta_1} i$ and $2^{\beta_2} i$ are in A . Thus $2^{\beta_1} i \mid 2^{\beta_2} i$, which leads to a contradiction. Note that for odd i , if both $2^{\alpha_i} i$ and $2^{\alpha_{3i}} 3i$ are in A , then $\alpha_i \geq \alpha_{3i} + 1$. Now if odd $i \in \left(\frac{2n}{3^{k_i+1}}, \frac{2n}{3^{k_i}}\right]$, we have $3^j i \leq 2n$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, k_i$. Thus, $\alpha_i \geq k_i$ by induction. \square

Example 2.1. If $n = 12$, then $A^{(1)}(24) = \{4, 6, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 17, 19, 21, 23\}$.

Remark 2.1. One readily verifies $a_1^{(1)} = 2^{\lfloor \log_3 2n \rfloor}$. In this case, the inequality of Ko and Sun mentioned above is in fact an equality.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since

$$\sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{n} = \log x + \gamma + O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$$

and

$$\left| \log \frac{x}{2} - \log \left\lfloor \frac{x}{2} \right\rfloor \right| \leq \log \frac{x}{2} - \log \left(\frac{x}{2} - 1 \right) = O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} S(x) &:= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq n \leq x \\ n \text{ odd}}} \frac{1}{n} = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq \lfloor x/2 \rfloor} \frac{1}{n} \\ &= \left(\log x + \gamma + O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\log \left\lfloor \frac{x}{2} \right\rfloor + \gamma + O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \right) \\ &= \frac{\log x}{2} + \frac{\gamma + \log 2}{2} + O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Using this estimate, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{A \in \mathcal{A}(2n)} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{a_i} &= \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \log_3 2n \rfloor} \frac{1}{2^j} \sum_{\substack{\frac{2n}{3^{j+1}} < 2i-1 \leq \frac{2n}{3^j}}} \frac{1}{2i-1} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \log_3 2n \rfloor} \frac{1}{2^j} \left(S\left(\frac{2n}{3^j}\right) - S\left(\frac{2n}{3^{j+1}}\right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \log_3 2n \rfloor} \frac{\log 3}{2^{j+1}} + O\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \log_3 2n \rfloor} \frac{(3/2)^j}{2n} \right) \\ &= \log 3 + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\log_3 2}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. □

Remark 2.2. For $x \geq 1$, let $\mathcal{A}'(x)$ be the set of primitive sequences $A(x)$ with cardinality $\lfloor (x+1)/2 \rfloor$, we also have

$$\max_{A \in \mathcal{A}'(x)} \sum_{a \in A} \frac{1}{a} = \log 3 + O\left(\frac{1}{x^{\log_3 2}}\right) \quad (2.1)$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Letting $\{2^{\alpha_i} i : i = 1, 3, \dots, 2n-1\} = A \in \mathcal{A}(2n)$ and $\{2^{\alpha'_i} i : i = 1, 3, \dots, 2n+1\} = B \in \mathcal{A}(2n+2)$, we next prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We first prove case (i). Note that $2n+1 = 12t+1$ and $2n+2 = 2(6t+1)$. Since $3 \nmid 12t+1$, for any proper divisor d of $12t+1$, we have $d \leq \frac{12t+1}{5} \leq \frac{12t+2}{3} = \frac{2n+2}{3}$. Thus, $\alpha'_d \geq 1$, which leads to $2^{\alpha'_d} d \nmid 12t+1$. We therefore have

$$\#\{B \in \mathcal{A}(2n+2) : 6t+1 \in B\} = \#\{A \in \mathcal{A}(2n) : 6t+1 \in A\} = s(n).$$

Since $3 \nmid 6t+1$, for any proper divisor d of $6t+1$, we have $d \leq \frac{6t+1}{5} \leq \frac{12t+2}{9} = \frac{2n+2}{3^2}$. Thus, $\alpha'_d \geq 2$, which leads to $2^{\alpha'_d} d \nmid 2(6t+1)$. We therefore have

$$\#\{B \in \mathcal{A}(2n+2) : 6t+1 \in B\} = \#\{B \in \mathcal{A}(2n+2) : 2(6t+1) \in B\} = \frac{s(n+1)}{2}.$$

This readily implies $s(n+1) = 2s(n)$.

We next prove case (ii). Note that $2n+1 = 24t+19$ and $2n+2 = 4(6t+5)$. Since $3(6t+5) \in A, B$, we have $\alpha_{6t+5} = 1$ and $\alpha'_{6t+5} = 1, 2$. Note also since that $3 \nmid 24t+19$, for any proper divisor d of $24t+19$, we have $d \leq \frac{24t+19}{5} \leq \frac{24t+20}{3} = \frac{2n+2}{3}$. Thus, $\alpha'_d \geq 1$, which leads to $2^{\alpha'_d} d \nmid 24t+19$. We therefore have

$$\#\{B \in \mathcal{A}(2n+2) : 2(6t+5) \in B\} = \#\{A \in \mathcal{A}(2n) : 2(6t+5) \in A\} = s(n).$$

Since $t \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$, it follows that $15 \nmid 6t+5$. For any proper divisor d of $6t+5$, we have $d \leq \frac{6t+5}{7} \leq \frac{24t+20}{27} = \frac{2n+2}{3^3}$. Thus, $\alpha'_d \geq 3$, which leads to $2^{\alpha'_d} d \nmid 4(6t+5)$. We therefore have

$$\#\{B \in \mathcal{A}(2n+2) : 2(6t+5) \in B\} = \#\{B \in \mathcal{A}(2n+2) : 4(6t+5) \in B\} = \frac{s(n+1)}{2}.$$

This readily implies $s(n+1) = 2s(n)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We first prove case (i). Note that $2n+1 = 3(4t+3)$ and $2n+2 = 2(6t+5)$, so that

$$\#\{B \in \mathcal{A}(2n+2) : 6t+5 \in B\} = \#\{A \in \mathcal{A}(2n) : 2(4t+3) \in A\}.$$

Since $t \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, it follows that $3 \nmid 4t+3$. For any proper divisor d of $4t+3$, we have $d \leq \frac{4t+3}{5} \leq \frac{12t+8}{9} = \frac{2n}{3^2}$. Thus, $\alpha_d \geq 2$, which leads to $2^{\alpha_d} d \nmid 2(4t+3)$. We therefore have

$$\#\{A \in \mathcal{A}(2n) : 2(4t+3) \in A\} = \#\{A \in \mathcal{A}(2n) : 4t+3 \in A\} = \frac{s(n)}{2}.$$

Since $3 \nmid 6t+5$, for any proper divisor d of $6t+5$, we have $d \leq \frac{6t+5}{5} \leq \frac{2(6t+5)}{9} = \frac{2n+2}{3^2}$. Thus, $\alpha'_d \geq 2$, which leads to $2^{\alpha'_d} d \nmid 2(6t+5)$. We therefore have

$$\#\{B \in \mathcal{A}(2n+2) : 6t+5 \in B\} = \#\{B \in \mathcal{A}(2n+2) : 2(6t+5) \in B\} = \frac{s(n+1)}{2}.$$

This readily implies $s(n+1) = s(n)$.

We next prove case (ii). Note that $2n+1 = 3(8t+1)$ and $2n+2 = 4(6t+1)$. Since $3(6t+1) \in A, B$, we have $\alpha_{6t+1} = 1$ and $\alpha'_{6t+1} = 1, 2$. It also follows that

$$\#\{B \in \mathcal{A}(2n+2) : 2(6t+1) \in B\} = \#\{A \in \mathcal{A}(2n) : 2(8t+1) \in A\}.$$

Since $t \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, it follows that $3 \nmid 8t+1$. For any proper divisor d of $8t+1$, we have $d \leq \frac{8t+1}{5} \leq \frac{24t+2}{9} = \frac{2n}{3^2}$. Thus, $\alpha_d \geq 2$, which leads to $2^{\alpha_d} d \nmid 2(8t+1)$. We therefore have

$$\#\{A \in \mathcal{A}(2n) : 2(8t+1) \in A\} = \#\{A \in \mathcal{A}(2n) : 8t+1 \in A\} = \frac{s(n)}{2}.$$

Since $t \not\equiv 4 \pmod{5}$, it follows that $15 \nmid 6t+1$. For any proper divisor d of $6t+1$, we have $d \leq \frac{6t+1}{7} \leq \frac{4(6t+1)}{27} = \frac{2n+2}{3^3}$. Thus, $\alpha'_d \geq 3$, which leads to $2^{\alpha'_d} d \nmid 4(6t+1)$. We therefore have

$$\#\{B \in \mathcal{A}(2n+2) : 2(6t+1) \in B\} = \#\{B \in \mathcal{A}(2n+2) : 4(6t+1) \in B\} = \frac{s(n+1)}{2}.$$

This readily implies $s(n+1) = s(n)$. \square

3. Final remarks

The first 46 members of $s(n)$ are listed in sequence A174094 of OEIS [6]. Since $46 = 6 \times 7 + 4$ and $7 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, by Theorem 1.3, we have $s(47) = s(46) = 529920$. Moreover, denote by $\hat{s}(n)$ the number of members in $\mathcal{A}(2n)$ with $a_1 = 2^{\lfloor \log_3 2n \rfloor}$. It is not difficult to see that both $s(n)$ and $\hat{s}(n)$ go to infinity as n goes to infinity. Naturally we have the following question: can we find the formula or the order of $s(n)$ or $\hat{s}(n)$?

Acknowledgments. This project is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11501052). We would like to express our gratitude to the reviewers for valuable suggestions which have improved the readability of this paper.

References

1. R. Ahlswede and L. H. Khachatryan, Classical results on primitive and recent results on cross-primitive sequences, *The mathematics of Paul Erdős, I*, 104–116, Algorithms Combin., **13**, Springer, Berlin, 1997.
2. F. Behrend, On sequences of numbers not divisible one by another, *J. London Math. Soc.* **10** (1935), 42–44.
3. P. Erdős, Note on sequences of integers no one of which is divisible by any other, *J. London Math. Soc.* **10** (1935), 126–128.
4. P. Erdős, A. Sárközy, and E. Szemerédi, On a theorem of Behrend, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **7** (1967), 9–16.
5. C. Ko and Q. Sun, *100 Examples of Elementary Number Theory* (in Chinese), Harbin Institute of Technology Press, Harbin, 2011.
6. N. J. A. Sloane, On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, <http://oeis.org>.

(S. Chern)¹⁾ SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY, HANGZHOU, 310027, CHINA

²⁾ DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802, USA

E-mail address: shanechern@psu.edu; chenxiaohang92@gmail.com

(T. Cai) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY, HANGZHOU, 310027, CHINA

E-mail address: txcai@zju.edu.cn

(H. Zhong) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY, HANGZHOU, 310027, CHINA

E-mail address: 11435011@zju.edu.cn