The following Appendix (Appendixes 1-20) is the Electronic Supplementary Material of the article entitled "Half-a-century (1971–2020) of glacier shrinkage and climatic variability in the Bhaga basin, western Himalaya" at https://doi.org/10.1007/s11629-022-7598-9

## Methods used for clean ice mapping: Automatic approach

For clean ice glaciers mapping, band ratio is a time-efficient and robust method in comparison to manual delineation techniques (Racoviteanu et al. 2008; Racoviteanu et al. 2009; Rastner et al. 2012; Wang et al. 2014; Schmidt and Nüsser 2012; Bhambri et al. 2012; Chand and Sharma 2015). Automated mapping of snow and ice is based on the fact that snow and ice exhibit high reflectance in the visible and near-infrared region (VIS and NIR) compared to the short-wave infrared (SWIR) region of the solar spectrum. Sentinel 2A images for clean ice mapping in the Northern Tibet region using threshold and band ratio techniques were tested (Paul et al. 2016). For the present study following ratios were tested for the Bhaga basin as suggested by Paul et al. (2016).

The following band ratios were tested using Sentinel images:

- (a) the red/SWIR ratio (MSI4/MSI11) using the first SWIR band (MSI11; center wavelength 1.610 μm);
- (b) the red/SWIR ratio (MSI4/MSI12) using the second SWIR band (MSI12; center wavelength 2.19 μm);
- (c) the NIR/SWIR ratio (MSI8/MSI11)

For (a), thresholds are changed in steps of 0.2 (from 1.6 to 3.0). For (b) and (c), thresholds were selected 2.2 and compare with (a). The MSI4/MSI11 ratio performs better than the other two ratios with only minor differences in mapped glacier extents than the MSI8/MSI11 or MSI4/MSI12 ratios (Appendix 5). Band ratio results were smoothed with a median filter (3\*3 kernel size) before converting the binary glacier maps to vector files. The SWIR band was resampled from 20 to 10 m using a cubic convolution to calculate the ratios. Water bodies were misclassified as glaciers (Appendix 8), but this is strongly dependent on their turbidity and thus varies from place to place (Paul et al. 2016). It is found that MSI8/MSI11 maps fewer water pixels compared to MSI4/MSI11 and MSI4/MSI12 and is, therefore, less sensitive on turbid lakes. However, none of the band ratios exclude glacier lakes completely. So, manual cross-checking and adjustment of glacier outlines derived using a semi-automatic approach were mandatory almost for every glacier in the Bhaga basin.

The Landsat TM/ETM+ sensors have proven to be a particularly efficient tool for mapping glacier extent and monitoring changes even for small alpine glaciers (Andreassen et al. 2008). However, the major limitation is the availability of suitable cloud-free scenes at the end of the ablation season without remaining seasonal snow. The commonly used band ratio images consider Landsat TM or ETM+ bands 2 (green), 3(red), 4 (NIR), and 5 (SWIR) to take advantage of these spectral differences at different wavelengths and separate clean glacier ice from non-glacier surfaces, for example, band 3/band 5 (Andreassen et al. 2008; Bolch et al. 2010), band 4/band 5 (Paul et al. 2002), and the normalized difference snow index ((band 2 – band 5)/(band 2 + band 5))(Silverio and Jaquet 2005). Clean glacier ice has high values in these ratio images; supraglacial debris and other nearby non-glacier rocky surfaces have low values because the supraglacial debris and the other rocky surfaces have similar spectral responses at these wavelengths.



**Appendix 1** Terminus characteristics of Dali glacier in 2016. (a) Dead ice part with ponds. (b) Ice collapse. (c) High resolution Google Earth image of terminus zone.



**Appendix 2** Terminus characteristics of Mayar I glacier. (a) Snout characteristics. (b) Supraglacial streams. (c) High resolution Google Earth image with location of (a) and (b).

## J. Mt. Sci. (2023) 20(2)



Appendix 3 Terminus characteristics of Mayar II glacier in 2017.



**Appendix 4** Terminus characteristics of the Bagrari Glacier in the Jankar Chhu watershed in 2018. (a) Snout characteristics. (b - d) Debris morphology (shape, size, types). (e) Example of debris thickness measurements. (f - h) Ice cliffs morphology.



**Appendix 5** Clean ice glaciers mapping using band ratio techniques from Sentinel 2 images. (a) Multiple threshold values were tested using the MSI4/MSI11 bands ratio. Black indicates the largest glacier extent, and red indicates the smallest extent of snow and ice. (b) Three different band ratios were tested using the same thresholds values. Results show misinterpretation of shadow areas, lakes, and cloud cover. Background image FCC with MSI bands 12, 4, and 3 as RGB. Coordinate grid: UTM zone 43N.



**Appendix 6** Post-processing of band ratio techniques using Sentinel 2A images of 2016. (a) Band ratio (MSI4/MSI11). (b) Effects of kernel 3\*3 median filter. (c) Vectorization of glaciers mask using threshold value of 2.1 (d) Clean ice glaciers mask overlaid on Sentinel true color composite. Yellow arrow indicates the effects of median filter. Red arrow indicates shadow area.



**Appendix** 7 Normalized difference indices for clean ice mapping using Sentinel 2A images. Analysis was performed on Sentinel 2A images of 01.11.2016. (a) FCC with MSI bands 12, 4 and 3 as RGB. (b) NDSI (MSI3-MSI1)/ (MSI3+MSI1). (c) NDSII (MSI3-MSI8)/ (MSI3+MSI8). (d) NDGI (MSI3-MSI4)/ (MSI3+MSI4). Clean ice glaciers can be mapped using NDSI but it cannot distinguish between shadow and ice. NDSII and NDGI clearly distinguish water body, although it is difficult to differentiate between shadow and cloud cover areas.



**Appendix 8** Observation of automatic clean-ice glacier mapping from Sentinel 2A images. Automatic clean ice mapping is not effective for differentiating lakes, shadow, and clouds cover areas in the Bhaga basin. Different threshold values were tested although no one gives satisfying results.

Appendix 9 Glacier mapping uncertainty derived based on buffer method. Details of this method is provided in section 3.5.

Sensor	Analyzed glaciers	Buffer size (m)	Area with buffer size (km <sup>2</sup> )	Calculated area (km <sup>2</sup> )	Uncertainty (km²)	Uncertainty (%)			
(a) Uncertainty for mapped 306 glaciers in the Bhaga basin									
LISS IV	306	2.5	364.22	360.28	3.94	1.08			
(b) Uncertainty for analyzed 233 glaciers in the Bhaga Basin									
Corona KH-4B	233	3	367.28	362.87	4.41	1.20			
Landsat ETM+	233	7.5	357.97	347.38	10.59	2.96			
LISS IV	233	2.5	340.33	336.83	3.50	1.03			
Sentinel 2A	233	5	342.35	335.38	6.97	2.04			
Sentinel 2B	233	5	341.07	334.10	6.96	2.04			



**Appendix 10** Change point analysis for climatic data used in this study. Change point analysis tool was used in Origin pro software for identification of break of points. A significant shift was observed for temperature data around 2000.



**Appendix 11** Distribution of debris-covered ice in the Bhaga basin and its tributary watersheds in 2013. a) Glaciers were categorized based on their percentage of debris-covered ice. b) Percentage of the debris-covered area to the total area in different watersheds in the Bhaga basin.



Appendix 12 Glacier surface area change rate in the Bhaga basin between 1971 and 2020.

Years	Ice extent (km <sup>2</sup> )		Period	Absolute chan	ge (km²)	Relative change (%)		
	Clean ice	DC ice		Clean ice	DC ice	Clean ice	DC ice	
(a) Enti	re Bhaga basin							
1971	$318.0 \pm 3.5$	$44.9 \pm 0.5$	1971-2020	-42.9 ± 5.0	$13.9 \pm 0.7$	$-13.5 \pm 1.2$	$31.1 \pm 1.6$	
2000	$299.1 \pm 3.3$	$48.3 \pm 0.5$	1971-2000	$-18.9 \pm 4.7$	$3.4 \pm 0.7$	$-5.9 \pm 0.1$	$7.7 \pm 1.4$	
2013	$281.8 \pm 3.1$	$54.9 \pm 0.6$	2000-2013	$-17.2 \pm 4.2$	$6.7 \pm 0.7$	$-5.8 \pm 0.1$	$13.8 \pm 1.5$	
2016	$278.8\pm3.0$	$56.6 \pm 0.6$	2013-2016	$-3.1 \pm 4.2$	$1.6 \pm 0.7$	$-1.1 \pm 0.1$	$2.9 \pm 0.1$	
2018	$276.1 \pm 3.0$	$58.1 \pm 0.6$	2016-2018	$-2.7 \pm 4.2$	$1.4 \pm 0.7$	-0.9 ± 0.1	$2.5 \pm 0.1$	
2020	$275.1 \pm 3.0$	$58.8 \pm 0.6$	2018-2020	$-1.0 \pm 2.1$	$0.7 \pm 0.3$	$-0.3 \pm 0.1$	$1.2 \pm 0.1$	
(b) Bhag	ga Lower							
1971	$37.3 \pm 0.4$	$6.1 \pm 0.1$	1971-2020	$-4.5 \pm 0.5$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$-12.1 \pm 1.4$	$1.6 \pm 0.1$	
2000	$34.9 \pm 0.4$	$6.1 \pm 0.1$	1971-2000	$-2.4 \pm 0.5$	$-0.1 \pm 0.1$	$-6.5 \pm 1.1$	$-0.9 \pm 0.1$	
2013	$33.5 \pm 0.4$	$5.9 \pm 0.1$	2000-2013	$-1.4 \pm 0.5$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$-4.0 \pm 0.5$	$2.5 \pm 1.2$	
2016	$33.2 \pm 0.4$	$6.0 \pm 0.1$	2013-2016	$-0.3 \pm 0.5$	$-0.03 \pm 0.1$	$-0.8 \pm 0.6$	$-0.5 \pm 0.8$	
2018	$33.0 \pm 0.4$	$6.0 \pm 0.1$	2016-2018	$-0.2 \pm 0.5$	$0.02 \pm 0.1$	$-0.5 \pm 0.6$	$0.3 \pm 0.8$	
2020	$32.8 \pm 0.4$	$6.2 \pm 0.1$	2018-2020	$-0.2 \pm 0.5$	$0.2 \pm 0.1$	$-0.6 \pm 0.5$	$3.2 \pm 1.2$	
(c) Jank	ar Chhu							
1971	$183.4 \pm 2.0$	$12.8 \pm 0.1$	1971-2020	$-23.8 \pm 2.6$	$8.4 \pm 0.2$	$-13.1 \pm 2.1$	$65.1 \pm 2.4$	
2000	$174.5 \pm 1.9$	$14.0 \pm 0.2$	1971-2000	-8.8 ± 2.6	$1.2 \pm 0.2$	$-4.8 \pm 1.2$	$9.1 \pm 1.3$	
2013	$163.1 \pm 1.8$	$19.2 \pm 0.2$	2000-2013	$-11.5 \pm 2.6$	$5.2 \pm 0.2$	-6.6 ± 1.0	$36.9 \pm 2.1$	
2016	161.6 ± 1.8	$19.9 \pm 0.2$	2013-2016	$-1.5 \pm 2.6$	$0.7 \pm 0.2$	-0.9 ± 1.0	$3.8 \pm 1.2$	
2018	$159.8 \pm 1.8$	$20.9 \pm 0.2$	2016-2018	-1.7 ± 2.6	$1.0 \pm 0.2$	-1.1 ± 1.6	$4.9 \pm 1.3$	
2020	159.6 ± 1.8	$21.2\pm0.2$	2018-2020	$-0.2 \pm 0.1$	$0.3 \pm 0.2$	$-0.1 \pm 0.1$	$1.3 \pm 0.5$	
(d) Bhag	ga Upper							
1971	$27.4 \pm 0.3$	$6.7 \pm 0.1$	1971-2020	$-6.9 \pm 0.3$	$2.3 \pm 0.1$	$-25.1 \pm 2.4$	$34.1 \pm 1.2$	
2000	$24.1 \pm 0.3$	$7.7 \pm 0.1$	1971-2000	$-3.3 \pm 0.3$	$1.0 \pm 0.1$	$-12.2 \pm 2.1$	$14.7 \pm 1.2$	
2013	$21.6 \pm 0.2$	$8.7 \pm 0.1$	2000-2013	$-2.4 \pm 0.3$	$1.0 \pm 0.1$	$-10.1 \pm 2.0$	$12.6 \pm 1.2$	
2016	$21.3\pm0.2$	$8.8 \pm 0.1$	2013-2016	$-0.4 \pm 0.3$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$-1.6 \pm 1.5$	$1.2 \pm 0.5$	
2018	$20.9 \pm 0.2$	$8.9 \pm 0.1$	2016-2018	$-0.3 \pm 0.3$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$-1.4 \pm 1.5$	$1.3 \pm 0.5$	
2020	$20.5 \pm 0.2$	$9.0 \pm 0.1$	2018-2020	$-0.4 \pm 0.1$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	-1.9 ± 1.5	$1.1 \pm 0.4$	
(e) Mila	ng Valley							
1971	69.9 ± 0.8	$19.2 \pm 0.2$	1971-2020	$-8.8 \pm 0.9$	$3.6 \pm 0.1$	$-12.3 \pm 1.5$	$18.7 \pm 1.2$	
2000	$65.6 \pm 0.7$	$20.4 \pm 0.2$	1971-2000	$-4.3 \pm 0.9$	$1.2 \pm 0.1$	$-6.2 \pm 1.0$	$6.4 \pm 0.5$	
2013	$63.7 \pm 0.7$	$21.1\pm0.2$	2000-2013	-1.9 ± 0.9	$0.7 \pm 0.1$	$-2.9 \pm 0.9$	$3.4 \pm 0.5$	
2016	$62.8 \pm 0.7$	$21.9 \pm 0.2$	2013-2016	$-1.0 \pm 0.9$	$0.8 \pm 0.1$	$-1.5 \pm 0.9$	$3.7 \pm 0.5$	
2018	$62.2 \pm 0.7$	$22.3\pm0.2$	2016-2018	$-0.5 \pm 0.9$	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	$-0.8 \pm 0.5$	$1.6 \pm 0.5$	
2020	$61.1 \pm 0.7$	$22.8 \pm 0.2$	2018-2020	$-0.1 \pm 0.1$	$0.5 \pm 0.1$	$-0.2 \pm 0.1$	$2.1 \pm 0.6$	

Appendix 13 Multitemporal changes in clean and debris-covered (DC) ice in the Bhaga basin and tributary watersheds during last five decades (1971-2020).



**Appendix 14** Scatter plots between two glacier change indicators (area change and length change) and multiple topographic parameters in the Bhaga basin.

Appendix 15 Glacier	changes in the Bha	ga basin based on	topographic parameters.	A = Area, L = Length, ASL =
Average strip line.				

		Area cha	inge				Length ch	ange			
Parameters	Count	$A_{1971}$	$A_{2020}$	$\Delta A$	$\Delta A$	$\Delta A$	$L_{1971}$	$L_{2020}$	$\Delta L$	$\Delta L$	$ASL(\Delta L)$
		(km <sup>2</sup> )	(km²)	(km²)	(%)	(% yr <sup>-1</sup> )	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m yr <sup>-1</sup> )	(m yr <sup>-1</sup> )
Size class (km <sup>2</sup> )											
<0.5	116	25.32	18.99	-6.33	-25.00	-0.52	758.32	609.13	-149.19	-3.13	-3.05
0.5-1	48	33.52	28.96	-4.56	-13.60	-0.28	1619.63	1417.97	-201.66	-4.22	-4.25
15	52	103.72	94.86	-8.86	-8.54	-0.18	2771.87	2504.97	-266.92	-5.64	-5.56
510	10	73.16	68.19	-4.97	-6.79	-0.14	6355.07	5682.49	-672.58	-14.01	-9.95
>10	7	127.21	122.33	-4.88	-3.84	-0.08	10001.3	9008.31	-992.99	-20.72	-16.0
Elevation zones (	m a.s.l)										
4600-4800	9	30.01	28.14	-1.86	-6.20	-0.13	3485.32	3181.30	-304.02	-6.33	-5.74
4800-5000	24	17.81	15.81	-2.00	-11.23	-0.23	1476.45	1280.69	-195.76	-4.08	-4.31
5000-5200	51	103.55	98.01	-5.54	-5.35	-0.11	1996.65	1746.17	-250.48	-5.22	-4.67
5200-5400	88	163.12	149.64	-13.48	-8.26	-0.17	2221.36	1956.03	-265.33	-5.53	-4.95
5400-5600	37	44.54	39.62	-4.88	-10.97	-0.23	1767.53	1531.91	-235.62	-4.91	-4.41
5600-5800	17	3.07	2.31	-0.76	-24.76	-0.52	621.20	518.23	-102.97	-2.15	-2.07
5800-6000	7	0.79	0.63	-0.16	-20.25	-0.42	478.80	399.66	-79.14	-1.65	-1.83
Slope class (degre	ee)	/ /					17	0,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	12.1		
<15	5	11.71	10.95	-0.76	-6.49	-0.14	3117.64	2757.77	-359.87	-7.50	-6.92
1520	77	272.37	255.51	-16.86	-6.19	-0.13	3340.83	2086.06	-353.87	-7.37	-6.46
20-25	65	51.04	44.42	-6.62	-12.07	-0.27	1403.25	1203.30	-100.05	-4.17	-4.10
25-30	53	10.76	16.71	-3.05	-15.44	-0.32	018.22	767.06	-151.16	-3.15	-2.88
>30	33	7.06	6 / 0	-1 47	-18 /17	-0.38	752 86	610.36	-133 50	-2.78	-2 50
Aspect	55	/.90	0.49		10.4/	0.00	/52.00	019.00	100.00	/0	
N	19	6.87	6 25	-0.52	-7 57	-0.16	1228 61	1021 24	-207 27	-1 22	-4 82
NF	57	76 56	70.1	-6.46	-8 44	-0.18	1801.01	1661 41	-220 50	-4.80	-4.74
F	07 91	16 27	/0.1	-4 49	-0.57	-0.20	1714 85	1465 11	-240.74	-5.20	4.74
SE	16	20.27	20.24	-4.97	9.07	-0.27	1807.76	1405.11	-220 82	-6.87	-5.91
S	10	20.52	18 70	4·4/	-8 42	-0.18	102/./0	1997 40	-100 71	-2.07	-2 54
SW	19	20.52	15.79	-1.75	-10.43	-0.10	2077 61	1804.21	-190./1	-3.9/	-3.04
	10	50.07	10.00	-1.00	-6 -8	-0.22	20//.01	1011 47	-2/3.30	-5.09	-4.01
	34 50	23.3/	49.00	-3.51	-0.50	-0.14	2110.52	1070.76	-199.05	-4.15	-3.05
Dobrig antogory (	03 %)	100.31	102.03	-5.00	-5.24	-0.11	2200.1/	19/2./0	-233.41	-4.00	-4.43
Debris category (	/0)	F0 61	45.05	9.04	15 56	0.00	1000 40	005 01	164 40	0.06	0.09
Depris-free	90	53.01	45.27	-0.34	-15.50	-0.32	1099.49	935.01	-104.40	-3.30	-3.20
1-10	31	99.44	92.00	-0.50	-0.00	-0.14	2920.20	2008.30	-311.90	-0.3/	-5./0
1020	29	107.03	102.23	-5.403	-5.02	-0.10	3195.20	2/00.35	-414.05	-0.47	-/.21
2030	31	30.31	26.96	-3.35	-11.05	-0.23	1830.87	1603.1	-227.77	-4.05	-4.13
3040	10	21.84	20.26	-1.58	-7.23	-0.15	2098.18	1909.23	-188.95	-3.86	-3.25
>40	36	50.01	46.47	-3.54	-7.08	-0.15	2045.97	1814.45	-231.52	-4.72	-4.34
Hypsometric class											
(HI<-1.5)	29	18.78	16.58	-2.27	-11.71	-0.24	1158.67	992.82	-165.78	-3.38	-3.12
Top-heavy (–1.5 <hi<–1.2)< td=""><td>27</td><td>58.127</td><td>55.39</td><td>-2.74</td><td>-4.72</td><td>-0.10</td><td>2020.77</td><td>1804.22</td><td>-216.55</td><td>-4.42</td><td>-4.23</td></hi<–1.2)<>	27	58.127	55.39	-2.74	-4.72	-0.10	2020.77	1804.22	-216.55	-4.42	-4.23
Equidimensional (-1.2 <hi<1.2)< td=""><td>83</td><td>127.471</td><td>117.23</td><td>-10.23</td><td>-8.04</td><td>-0.16</td><td>1855.56</td><td>1619.8</td><td>-235.76</td><td>-4.81</td><td>-4.48</td></hi<1.2)<>	83	127.471	117.23	-10.23	-8.04	-0.16	1855.56	1619.8	-235.76	-4.81	-4.48
Bottom-heavy (1.2 < HI < 1.5)	56	92.45	83.31	-9.16	-9.85	-0.20	1958.97	1736.33	-222.64	-4.54	-4.51
Very bottom-heavy (HI > 1.5)	38	66.07	60.94	-5.15	-7.86	-0.16	2350.31	2096.27	-254.04	-5.18	-4.98

	APHRODITE			NCEP/NCAR			University of Delaware		
Months/seasons	(1961-2015)			(1948-2018)			(1901-2017)		
	Test Z	Signific.	Sen slope	Test Z	Signific.	Sen slope	Test Z	Signific.	Sen slope
Jan	0.799		0.006	1.524		0.011	-0.459		-0.001
Feb	2.004	*	0.023	1.995	*	0.018	1.333		0.005
Mar	1.858		0.026	0.695		0.005	0.342		0.001
Apr	2.091	*	0.019	-1.023		-0.007	1.067		0.004
May	2.715	**	0.031	-0.511		-0.004	0.071		0
Jun	0.900		0.007	-1.752		-0.012	-1.395		-0.003
Jul	4.472	***	0.023	1.137		0.008	-0.669		0
Aug	5.474	***	0.027	1.608		0.006	-0.327		0
Sep	4.007	***	0.023	0.477		0.004	-0.118		0
Oct	3.107	**	0.020	0.685		0.008	-0.210		0
Nov	4.022	***	0.028	4.289	***	0.043	1.208		0.003
Dec	3.775	***	0.019	4.447	***	0.035	0.978		0.003
Mean	5.358	***	0.022	2.154	*	0.010	1.211		0.002
Mean summer (Apr-Sep)	5.096	***	0.023	0.045		0.000	0.158		0.000
Mean winter (Oct-March)	4.211	***	0.021	3.435	***	0.020	1.274		0.002
Winter (Dec-Feb)	2.802	**	0.016	3.658	***	0.019	0.789		0.002
pre-melting (Mar-may)	2.846	**	0.026	-0.099		-0.001	0.954		0.003
Summer (Jun-Aug)	4.109	***	0.020	0.422		0.002	-1.237		-0.002
Post-melting (Sep-Nov)	5.372	***	0.022	2.149	*	0.018	0.700		0.001

Appendix 16 Results of Mann-Kendall tests of temperature for the Bhaga basin for three different types of datasets.

**Note:** Significance level: \*  $P \le 0.05$ ; \*\*  $P \le 0.01$ ; \*\*\*  $P \le 0.001$ 

Appendix 17 Results of Mann-Kendall tests of precipitation for the Bhaga basin.

Months/Seasons	APHRODITE (1951-2007)			NCEP/NCAR (1948-2008)			University of Delaware (1900-2017)		
	Test Z	Signific.	Sen slope	Test Z	Signific.	Sen slope	Test Z	Signific.	Sen slope
Jan	-3.586	***	-1.010	-2.194	*	-0.065	0.561		0.074
Feb	-1.879	+	-0.634	0.258		0.013	1.561		0.205
Mar	-3.229	**	-1.232	-2.124	*	-0.065	1.412		0.214
Apr	-3.297	***	-0.852	-0.824		-0.031	1.772	+	0.194
May	-3.270	**	-0.809	-2.938	**	-0.169	0.702		0.074
Jun	0.406		0.073	-2.144	*	-0.179	1.844	+	0.163
Jul	-1.026		-0.323	-1.549		-0.173	-0.547		-0.050
Aug	1.081		0.293	-1.603		-0.128	-1.407		-0.171
Sep	-0.255		-0.074	-1.181		-0.111	1.735	+	0.260
Oct	-2.327	*	-0.237	-2.184	*	-0.106	1.740	+	0.148
Nov	-1.687	+	-0.117	0.477		0.021	3.203	**	0.087
Dec	-2.230	*	-0.331	-1.201		-0.033	1.312		0.107
Mean	-4.812	***	-0.511	-2.943	**	-0.092	1.030		0.038
Mean summer (Apr-Sep)	-2.430	*	-0.349	-2.844	**	-0.141	0.053		0.003
Mean winter (Oct-March)	-4.757	***	-0.743	-2.437	*	-0.058	2.261	*	0.105
Winter (Dec-Feb)	-4.454	***	-0.729	-2.556	*	-0.043	1.314		0.084
pre-melting (Mar-may)	-4.660	***	-1.023	-2.665	**	-0.087	1.170		0.098
Summer (Jun-Aug)	0.158		0.031	-2.199	*	-0.171	-1.102		-0.092
Post-melting (Sep-Nov)	-1.645	+	-0.246	-1.171		-0.056	1.796	+	0.127

Note: Significance level: \*  $P \le 0.05$ ; \*\*  $P \le 0.01$ ; \*\*\*  $P \le 0.001$ 

I.I.		8				
Region/ Basin/ Sub-basin	Glaciers	Period	Change (%)	Change rate (% yr <sup>-1</sup> )	Data used	References
Western Him	alava					
Ravi basin		1971– 2010/2013	4.6 ± 4.1	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	Corona, Landsat, Worldview, Aster	Chand and Sharma 2015
Saraswati/Ala Bhagirathi	aknanda	1968–2006 1968–2006	$4.6 \pm 2.8$ 57 ± 27	$0.12 \pm 0.07$ 0.15 ± 0.7	Corona, Landsat, ASTER, LISS IV. Cartosat	Bhambri et al. 2011
Nanga Parbat	t massif	1934-2019	7	0.1	Topographical maps (1934), Corona, Hexagon, Landsat, Sentinel-2	Nüsser and Schmidt 2021
Kang Yatze Massif, Ladal	ch	1969–2010	14.3	0.3	Corona, Landsat, Spot, Worldview	Schmidt and Nüsser
Central	Phutse	1060-2016	6.3	0.4	Corona, Landsat, and field	Schmidt and Nüsser
Ladakh	Nangtse	1909 2010	72	0.5	campaigns	2017
Range	Tunguse		/	0.5	F9	,
Stok Range			22.4	0.5		
Kang Yatze R	ange		21.4	0.5		
Lungser Rans	ze		17.7	0.4		
Ladakh range	2	1991–2014	12.8	0.6	Landsat TM, ETM+, OLI	Chudlev et al. 2017
Chenab basin	1	1962–	21.3	0.5	SOI Maps, LISS III	Kulkarni et al. 2007
Parbati basin		2001/2004	22.4	0.52	1	· ·
Baspa basin		, .	19.1	0.45		
Goriganga ba	sin	1962–	19.3	0.45	SOI Maps, LISS III	Kulkarni et al. 2011
Bhagirathi ba	sin	2001/2004	14.4	0.33	<b>1</b>	
Chandra basi	n		20.1	0.48		
Bhaga basin			30.2	0.71		
Miyar basin			8.4	0.19		
Bhut basin			10.3	0.24		
Warwan basin			21.4	0.5		
Zanskar basir	1		9.4	0.21		
Bhaga basin	Baralacha La	1971–2011	16.37 ± 3.74	0.41 ± 0.09	Corona, Landsat, LISS IV, Cartosat 1	Negi et al. 2013
Zanskar range	Parkachik	1971–2015	$1.5 \pm 0.09$	0.03± 0.002	Corona, Landsat, GE	Mir and Majeed 2016
Zansar	Pensilungpa	1977–2013	14.47	0.40	Landsat	Shukla and Qadir
range	Durung-dru ng		12.67	0.35		2016
	Haskira		20.74	0.58		
	Kange		13.61	0.38		
	Hagshu		15.55	0.43		
Chandrabhag	ga basin	1980–2010	2.5	0.08	Landsat, LISS III, AWiFS	Pandey and Venkataraman 2013
Alaknanda basin	Tipra	1962–2008	~18	0.39	SOI Maps, LISS III, Field observation	Mehta et al. 2011
Kashmir Himalaya	Kolahai	1962–2014	22.99 ± 2.3	$0.44 \pm 0.04$	SoI Maps, Landsat, Aster, LISS IV	Shukla et al. 2017
Upper Tons basin		1962–2010	5.4	0.11	SOI Maps, Landsat, LISS III, Field observation	Mehta et al. 2012
Chandra basin	Samudra Tapu	1962–2000	10.96	0.30	SoI Maps, IRS PAN and LISS III	Kulkarni et al. 2006
Kashmir Him	nalaya	1980–2013	17.92	0.54	Landsat and Aster GDEM	Murtaza and Romshoo 2016
Upper	Sakchum	1993–2014	3.7	0.18	Landsat, Terra ASTER,	Garg et al. 2017
Chandra basin	Chhota Shigri		1.26	0.06	SRTM, Worldview	
	Bara Shigri		0.92	0.04		
Chenab basin (Warwan-Bh	ut region)	1962– 2001/02	11	0.28	SoI maps, LISS III, AWiFS	Brahmbhatt et al. 2017

Patel et al. 2018

Appendix 18 Glacier surface area change rate across the Himalaya.

(-To be continued-)

1989–2014

Miyar basin

 $9 \pm 0.7$  0.36 ± 0.01 Landsat and Aster GDEM

Region/ Basin/ Sub-basin	Glaciers	Period	Change (%)	Change rate (% yr <sup>-1</sup> )	Data used	References	
Bhaga basin		1971–2018	1971–2018 7.48 ± 2.17 0.17 ± 0.05 Corona, Landsat, Sentinel 2A ASTER GDEM v2		Corona, Landsat, Sentinel 2A, ASTER GDEM v2	Present Study	
Central Hima	alaya						
Kumbhu Hin	nalaya	1962–2005	5.2	0.12	Corona KH- 4, Landsat, Aster	Bolch et al. 2008	
Sagarmantha National Parl	n k	1950–1990	4.9	0.12	Based on topographic maps	Salerno et al. 2008	
Mt. Everest r	egion	1974–2008	10.4	0.3	Landsat MSS/TM, ASTER, ALOS/AVNIR2	Ye et al. 2009	
Kanchenjunga–Sikkim area		1962–2000	19 ± 7	$0.5 \pm 0.2$	Corona KH- 4, Landsat, ASTER, Quick bird, Worldview	Racoviteanu et al. 2015	
Imja valley,	Nuptse		27.3	0.91		Bajracharya et al. 2015	
Nepal	West Lhotse		54.9	1.83			
	Lhotse		30.6	1.02			
	Imja	1080 0010	16.2	0.54	Londoot		
	East Amadablam	1980–2010	17.4	0.58	Lanusat		
	Amadablam		13	0.43			
	Duwo		5.3	0.18			
Eastern Him	alaya						
Sikkim Hima	laya	1989/90– 2010	$3.3 \pm 0.8$	$0.2 \pm 0.1$	Landsat, LISS III	Basnett et al. 2013	
Bhutan Himalaya		1980–2010	$23.3 \pm 0.9$	$0.8 \pm 0.03$	Landsat	Bajracharya et al. <b>2014</b>	
Lunana	Bechung	1980–2010	18.6	0.62	Landsat	Bajracharya et al.	
area,	Raphstreng		29.3	0.98		2015	
Bhutan	Thorthormi		14.5	0.48			
	Lugge		21.2	0.71			
	Drukchang		8.2	0.27			

Appendix 18 Glacier surface area change rate across the Himalaya.(-Continued-)

(a) Evolution of two pro-glacial lakes at the terminus zone of Mayar II glacier (G076984E32920N).1971 (Corona2000 (Landsat ETM+)2016 (Sentinel 2A)N 2017 (GE)



(b) Field photograph (2016) of pro-glacial lakes.



**Appendix 19** (a) Evolution of proglacial lakes at the terminus zone of the Mayar II Glacier in the Jankar Chhu watershed, Bhaga basin during 1971 and 2017. (b) Field photographs of lakes. Calving of ice is visible in one lake.



Appendix 20 Spatial extent of single NCEP/NCAR and APHRODITE grid. One NCEP/NCAR grid extent is 2.5° (~277 km<sup>2</sup> at ground resolution). On the other hand, the APHRODITE grid extent is 0.5° (~55 km<sup>2</sup> at ground resolution). In this study, the analyzed NCEP/NCAR grid (32.5°N and 77.5°E) covers a vast area spanning from monsoon-dominated Pir-Panjal to the arid trans-Himalayan range. In contrast, APHRODITE represents a smaller area with the same microclimatic region.

## Additional references

- Andreassen LM, Paul F, Kaab A, Hausberg JE (2008) Landsat-derived glacier inventory for Jotunheimen, Norway, and deduced glacier changes since the 1930s. Cryosphere 2:131-145. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-2-131-2008
- Bajracharya SR, Maharjan SB, Shrestha F, et al. (2015) The glaciers of the Hindu Kush Himalayas: current status and observed changes from the 1980s to 2010. Int J Water Resour Dev 31:161-173. https://doi.org/10.1080/07900627.2015.1005731
- Bajracharva SR, Maharjan SB, Shrestha F (2014) Understanding dynamics of Himalayan glaciers: scope and challenges of remote sensing. Int Arch Photogramm Remote Sens Spat Inf Sci XL-8:1283-1289. https://doi.org/10.5194/isprsarchives-XL-8-1283-2014
- Basnett S, Kulkarni A V., Bolch T (2013) The influence of debris cover and glacial lakes on the recession of glaciers in Sikkim Himalaya, India. J Glaciol 59:1035-1046. https://doi.org/10.3189/2013J0G12J184
- Bhambri R, Bolch T, Chaujar RK (2012) Frontal recession of Gangotri Glacier, Garhwal Himalayas, from 1965 to 2006, measured through high- resolution remote sensing data. Curr Sci 102:1462–1466. https://www.zora.uzh.ch/id/eprint/59630/ Bhambri R, Bolch T, Chaujar RK, Kulshreshtha SC (2011) Glacier changes in the Garhwal Himalaya, India, from 1968 to 2006 based on
- remote sensing. J Glaciol 57:543-556. https://doi.org/10.3189/002214311796905604
- Bolch T, Buchroithner M, Pieczonka T, Kunert A (2008) Planimetric and volumetric glacier changes in the Khumbu Himal, Nepal, since 1962 using Corona, Landsat TM and ASTER data. J Glaciol 54:592–600. https://doi.org/10.3189/002214308786570782 Bolch T, Menounos B, Wheate R (2010) Landsat-based inventory of glaciers in western Canada, 1985-2005. Remote Sens Environ
- 114:127-137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2009.08.015
- Brahmbhatt RM, Bahuguna IM, Rathore BP, et al. (2017) Significance of glacio-morphological factors in glacier retreat: a case study of
- part of Chenab basin, Himalaya. J Mt Sci 14:128–141. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11629-015-3548-0 Chand P, Sharma MC (2015) Glacier changes in the Ravi basin, North-Western Himalaya (India) during the last four decades (1971-2010/13). Glob Planet Change 135:133-147. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2015.10.013
- Chudley TR, Miles ES, Willis IC (2017) Glacier characteristics and retreat between 1991 and 2014 in the Ladakh range, Jammu and Kashmir. Remote Sens Lett 8:518-527. https://doi.org/10.1080/2150704X.2017.1295480
- Garg PK, Shukla A, Tiwari RK, Jasrotia AS (2017) Assessing the status of glaciers in part of the Chandra basin, Himachal HimalayaA multiparametric approach. Geomorphology 284:99-114. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2016.10.022
- Kulkarni A V, Bahuguna IM, Rathore BP, et al. (2007) Glacial retreat in Himalaya using Indian Remote Sensing satellite data. Curr Sci 92:69-74
- Kulkarni A V, Dhar S, Rathore BP, et al. (2006) Recession of Samudra Tapu glacier, Chandra river basin, Himachal Pradesh. J Indian Soc Remote Sens 34:39-46. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02990745

- Kulkarni A V, Rathore BP, Singh SK, Bahuguna IM (2011) Understanding changes in the Himalayan cryosphere using remote sensing techniques. Int J Remote Sens 32:601–615. https://doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2010.517802
- Mehta M, Dobhal DP, Bisht MPS (2011) Change of Tipra glacier in the Garhwal Himalaya, India, between 1962 and 2008. Prog Phys Geogr 35:721-738. https://doi.org/10.1177/0309133311411760
- Mehta M, Dobhal DP, Pratap B, et al. (2012) Glacier changes in Upper Tons River basin, Garhwal Himalaya, Uttarakhand, India. Zeitschrift fur G 57:225–244. https://doi.org/10.1127/0372-8854/2012/0095
- Mir RA, Majeed Z (2016) Frontal recession of Parkachik Glacier between 1971-2015, Zanskar Himalaya using remote sensing and field data. Geocarto Int 6049:1–15. https://doi.org/10.1080/10106049.2016.1232439
- Murtaza KO, Romshoo SA (2016) Recent glacier changes in the Kashmir Alpine Himalayas, India. Geocarto Int 6049:1-18. https://doi.org/10.1080/10106049.2015.1132482
- Negi HS, Saravana G, Rout R, Snehmani (2013) Monitoring of great Himalayan glaciers in Patsio region, India using remote sensing and climatic observations. Curr Sci 105:1383–1392.
- Patel LK, Sharma P, Fathima TN, Thamban M (2018) Geospatial observations of topographical control over the glacier retreat, Miyar basin, Western Himalaya, India. Environ Earth Sci 77:1–12. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-018-7379-5
- Paul F, Kääb A, Maish M, et al. (2002) The new remote sensing derived Swiss glacier inventory: I Methods. Ann Glaciol 34:362–366. https://doi.org/10.3189/172756402781817941
- Paul F, Winsvold SH, Kääb A, et al. (2016) Glacier Remote Sensing Using Sentinel-2. Part II: Mapping Glacier Extents and Surface Facies, and Comparison to Landsat 8. Remote Sens 8:1–15. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs8070575
  Racoviteanu AE, Arnaud Y, Williams MW, Manley WF (2015) Spatial patterns in glacier characteristics and area changes from 1962 to
- Racoviteanu AE, Arnaud Y, Williams MW, Manley WF (2015) Spatial patterns in glacier characteristics and area changes from 1962 to 2006 in the Kanchenjunga-Sikkim area, eastern Himalaya. Cryosphere 9:505–523. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-9-505-2015
- Racoviteanu AE, Arnaud Y, Williams MW, Ordonez J (2008) Decadal changes in glacier parameters in the Cordillera Blanca, Peru, derived from remote sensing. J Glaciol 54:499–510. https://doi.org/10.3189/002214308785836922
- Racoviteanu AE, Paul F, Raup B, et al. (2009) Challenges and recommendations in mapping of glacier parameters from space: results of the 2008 Global Land Ice Measurements from Space (GLIMS) workshop, Boulder, Colorado, USA. Ann Glaciol 50:53–69. https://doi.org/10.3189/172756410790595804
- Rastner P, Bolch T, Mölg N, et al. (2012) The first complete inventory of the local glaciers and ice caps on Greenland. Cryosphere 6:1483-1495. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-6-1483-2012
- Salerno F, Buraschi E, Bruccoleri G, et al. (2008) Glacier surface-area changes in Sagarmatha national park, Nepal, in the second half of the 20th century, by comparison of historical maps. J Glaciol 54:738–752. https://doi.org/10.3189/002214308786570926
- Schmidt S, Nüsser M (2012) Changes of High-Altitude Glaciers from 1969 to 2010 in the Trans-Himalayan Kang Yatze Massif, Ladakh, Northwest India. Arct Antract Alp Res 44:107–121. https://doi.org/10.1657/1938-4246-44.1.107
- Shukla A, Ali I, Hasan N, Romshoo SA (2017) Dimensional changes in the Kolahoi glacier from 1857 to 2014. Environ Monit Assess 189:1–18. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-016-5703-7
- Silverio W, Jaquet J-M (2005) Glacial cover mapping (1987 1996) of the Cordillera Blanca (Peru) using satellite imagery. Remote Sens Environ 95:342–350. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2004.12.012
- Wang L, Li Z, Wang F, et al. (2014) Glacier changes from 1964 to 2004 in the Jinghe River basin, Tien Shan. Cold Reg Sci Technol 102:78–83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2014.02.006
- Ye Q, Zhong Z, Kang S, et al. (2009) Monitoring glacier and supra-glacier lakes from space in Mt. Qomolangma region of the Himalayas on the Tibetan Plateau in China. J Mt Sci 6:211–220. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11629-009-1016-4