# Supporting Information

# Three-dimensionalCeO2@carbon-quantum-dotsscaffoldmodified with Au nanoparticles on flexible substratesfor highperformance gas sensing at room temperature

Chao Wang\*, Long Zhang, Bing He, Quan Zhou, Shao-Hui Zhang, Xiu-Li Kong, Zhen Chen,

Ge-Bo Pan\*

C. Wang\*, X.-L. Kong, Z.Chen

School of Chemistry and Pharmaceutical Engineering, Shandong First Medical University & Shandong Academy of Medical Sciences, Tai'an 271016, China e-mail: chaowang2017@sinano.ac.cn

L. Zhang, Q. Zhou, G.-B. Pan\* Division of Interdisciplinary and Comprehensive Research, Suzhou Institute of Nano-Tech and Nano-Bionics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Suzhou 215123, China e-mail: gbpan2008@sinano.ac.cn

B. He

School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, 50 Nanyang Avenue, Singapore 639798, Singapore

S.-H. Zhang Institute of Microscale Optoelectronics, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen 518060, China

# **RARE METALS**

#### 1. Materials

Fresh bamboo leaves (Suzhou Institute of Nano-Tech and Nano-Bionics, China), sodium hydroxide (NaOH, 99%, AR), cerium (III) nitrate hexahydrate (Ce(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, 99%, AR), gold chloride trihydrate (HAuCl<sub>4</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O, 99.9%, metals basis) and ethanol (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>OH, 99%, AR) were used to prepare sensing materials. Except for bamboo leaves, other reagents were commercially available and used without any further purification.

#### 2. Characterization of as-prepared samples

The surface morphology and elemental analysis of as-prepared CQDs and Au/CeO<sub>2</sub>@CQDs were determined by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Hitachi S-4800) and energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS, Quanta FEG 250), respectively. The crystal structure of CeO<sub>2</sub>@CQDs and Au/CeO<sub>2</sub>@CQDs were carried out by X-ray diffraction at a scanning rate of  $0.1^{\circ}$  s<sup>-1</sup>, using Cu K $\alpha$  radiation (XRD, Bruker D8 Advance power X-ray diffractometer). The chemical composition of samples was characterized by X-ray photoelectron spectrometer (ESCALab MKII). The surface morphology, elemental mapping and crystal structure of as-prepared Au/CeO<sub>2</sub>@CQDs was also obtained by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM, Tencnai G2 F20 S-TWIN) images, respectively. The size of the CQDs NPs was characterized with a Malvern Zetasizer instrument (Malvern, Zetasizer Nano, UK).

#### 3. Gas sensing test system in different humidity environments

A cylinder of 100 ppm NO<sub>2</sub> (Air Products) balanced by nitrogen was used. Mass flow controllers (MFCs, Sevenstar CS200, China) controlled were employed to dilute the 100 ppm NO<sub>2</sub> in a chamber to desired concentration using air with different humidity, which was controlled by a KickStart software through general purpose interface bus. The mixed gases were delivered to the chamber with a constant flow rate of 400 sccm. Before and after exposure of the sensor to NO<sub>2</sub>, the chamber was purged with dry air.



Fig.S1 Schematic diagram of photodeposition of Au NPs.



Fig.S2 Schematic illustrations of preparing a PET substrate with Au interdigital electrodes.



Fig.S3 XPS full survey spectra of CQDs.





Fig.S4 Nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms of pure CeO<sub>2</sub> (the inset plot displays BJH desorption pore-size distribution of pure CeO<sub>2</sub>).



Fig.S5 Selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern of CeO<sub>2</sub>@CQDs.



Fig.S6 **a** TEM image of Au/CeO<sub>2</sub>@CQDs. **b**–e Line-scan profiles of Ce, O, C and Au element distribution in as-prepared sample corresponding to Figure S6a (marked by red line). **f** EDS spectrum of Au/CeO<sub>2</sub>@CQDs.



Fig.S7 EDX spectrum of CeO2@CQDs



Fig.S8 Response curves of devices based on pure CeO2, CeO2@CQDs and Au/CeO2@CQDs.



Fig.S9 Flexibility of Au/CeO<sub>2</sub>@CQDs gas sensor on PET substrate: The resistance of the sensor in air under different bending angles. Inset: Image of the bent sensor.



Fig.S10 Schematic presentation of electron transportation of Au/CeO<sub>2</sub>@CQDs exposed to air.



Fig.S11 Photograph of gas sensor thickness measurement.

### Deringer

## **RARE METALS**

	Gas	Sensor	Response	Recovery	Operating	
Materials	concentration	reponse	time	time	temperature	Reference
	(ppm)	$(R_a/R_g)$	(s)	(s)	(°C)	
Au/CeO <sub>2</sub> @CQDs	50	47	18	22	RT	This work
C-CeO <sub>2</sub> nanoparticles	40	2.2	240	438	100	[1]
CeO <sub>2</sub> -NiO	125	67.34%	28	54	125	[2]
UV-RGO/CeO <sub>2</sub>	10	234	-	258	RT	[3]
Au/Pd@ZNWs	1	210%	35	30	100	[4]
UV-WSe <sub>2</sub>	5	35	76	109	RT	[5]
AuPt/SnSe <sub>2</sub>	8	4.62	82	137	130	[6]
CeO <sub>2</sub> /SnO <sub>2</sub>	100	37	2	70	225	[7]
CeO <sub>2</sub> /graphene	200	48	181	246	RT	[8]

Table S1 NO<sub>2</sub> sensing performance of different sensing materials.

Notes: - means Not reported.

#### Reference

[1] Oosthuizen D. N., Motaung D. E. and Swart H. C., Gas sensors based on CeO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles prepared by chemical precipitation method and their temperature-dependent selectivity towards H<sub>2</sub>S and NO<sub>2</sub> gases, Applied Surface Science. 2020; 505:144356.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2019.144356.

[2] Kabure A. A., Shirke B. S., Mane S. R. and Garadkar K. M., Microwave-assisted sol-gel synthesis of CeO<sub>2</sub>-NiO nanocomposite based NO<sub>2</sub> gas sensor for selective detection at lower operating temperature, Journal of the Indian Chemical Society. 2022; 99(3):100369.<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jics.2022.100369</u>.

[3] Hu J., Zou C., Su Y., Li M., Ye X., Cai B., Kong E. S. W., Yang Z. and Zhang Y., Light-assisted recovery for a highly-sensitive NO<sub>2</sub> sensor based on RGO-CeO<sub>2</sub> hybrids, Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical. 2018; 270:119.<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2018.05.027</u>.

[4] Chen X., Shen Y., Zhou P., Zhong X., Li G., Han C., Wei D. and Li S., Bimetallic Au/Pd nanoparticles decorated ZnO nanowires for NO<sub>2</sub> detection, Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical. 2019; 289.<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2019.03.095</u>.

[5] Lu G. C., Liu X. H., Zheng W., Xie J. Y., Li Z. S., Lou C. M., Lei G. L. and Zhang J., UV-activated single-layer WSe<sub>2</sub> for highly sensitive NO<sub>2</sub> detection, Rare Metals. 2022; 41(5):1520.<u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12598-021-01899-7</u>.

[6] Liu W., Gu D. and Li X., AuPt bimetal-functionalized SnSe<sub>2</sub> microflower-based sensors for detecting sub-ppm NO<sub>2</sub> at low temperatures, ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces. 2021; 13(17):20336.<u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.1c02500</u>.

[7] Liu J., Dai M., Wang T., Sun P., Liang X., Lu G., Shimanoe K. and Yamazoe N., Enhanced gas sensing properties of SnO<sub>2</sub> hollow spheres decorated with CeO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles heterostructure composite materials, ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces. 2016; 8(10):6669.<u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.6b00169</u>.

[8] Zhang L., Fang Q., Huang Y., Xu K., Chu P. K. and Ma F., Oxygen Vacancy Enhanced Gas-Sensing Performance of CeO<sub>2</sub>/Graphene Heterostructure at Room Temperature, Analytical Chemistry. 2018; 90(16).<u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.8b01768</u>.