

Supplementary Information for “Quantum key distribution with simply characterized light sources”

Akihiro Mizutani*,¹ Toshihiko Sasaki,² Yuki Takeuchi,³ Kiyoshi Tamaki,⁴ and Masato Koashi²

¹*Mitsubishi Electric Corporation, Information Technology R&D Center,
5-1-1 Ofuna, Kamakura-shi, Kanagawa, 247-8501 Japan*

²*Photon Science Center, Graduate School of Engineering,
The University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan*

³*NTT Communication Science Laboratories, NTT Corporation,
3-1 Morinosato Wakamiya, Atsugi-shi, Kanagawa 243-0198, Japan*

⁴*Graduate School of Science and Engineering for Research,
University of Toyama, Gofuku 3190, Toyama, 930-8555, Japan*

*Mizutani.Akihiro@dy.MitsubishiElectric.co.jp

In the Supplementary Information, we prove Lemmas 1 and 2 in the main text.

I. PROOF OF LEMMA 1

Lemma 1

$$\hat{P}_1 \hat{e}_{\text{ph}} \hat{P}_1 \leq \lambda \hat{P}_1 \hat{e}_{\text{bit}} \hat{P}_1 \quad (\text{I.1})$$

with $\lambda := 3 + \sqrt{5}$.

Proof. We first explicitly describe $\hat{P}_1 \hat{e}_{\text{bit}} \hat{P}_1$ and $\hat{P}_1 \hat{e}_{\text{ph}} \hat{P}_1$ by respectively using Eqs. (18) and (20) in the main text as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}_1 \hat{e}_{\text{bit}} \hat{P}_1 &= \hat{P} \left[\frac{|001\rangle_A}{\sqrt{2}} \right] \otimes \left(\hat{P}[|1\rangle_B] + \frac{1}{2} \hat{P}[|2\rangle_B] \right) + \hat{P} \left[\frac{|100\rangle_A}{\sqrt{2}} \right] \otimes \left(\frac{1}{2} \hat{P}[|2\rangle_B] + \hat{P}[|3\rangle_B] \right) \\ &+ \sum_{s=0}^1 \hat{P} \left[\frac{|010\rangle_A + (-1)^s |100\rangle_A}{\sqrt{2}} \right] \otimes \hat{\Pi}_{1,s\oplus 1} + \sum_{s=0}^1 \hat{P} \left[\frac{|001\rangle_A + (-1)^s |010\rangle_A}{\sqrt{2}} \right] \otimes \hat{\Pi}_{2,s\oplus 1}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{I.2})$$

$$\hat{P}_1 \hat{e}_{\text{ph}} \hat{P}_1 = (\hat{P}[|001\rangle_A] + \hat{P}[|100\rangle_A]) \otimes \frac{\hat{P}[|2\rangle_B]}{2} + \hat{P}[|010\rangle_A] \otimes (\hat{P}[|1\rangle_B] + \hat{P}[|3\rangle_B]). \quad (\text{I.3})$$

In Eq. (I.2), it is straightforward to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=0}^1 \hat{P} \left[\frac{|010\rangle_A + (-1)^s |100\rangle_A}{\sqrt{2}} \right] \otimes \hat{\Pi}_{1,s\oplus 1} &= \hat{P} \left[\frac{|100\rangle_A |1\rangle_B - \frac{|010\rangle_A |2\rangle_B}{\sqrt{2}}}{\sqrt{2}} \right] + \hat{P} \left[\frac{|010\rangle_A |1\rangle_B - \frac{|100\rangle_A |2\rangle_B}{\sqrt{2}}}{\sqrt{2}} \right], \\ \sum_{s=0}^1 \hat{P} \left[\frac{|001\rangle_A + (-1)^s |010\rangle_A}{\sqrt{2}} \right] \otimes \hat{\Pi}_{2,s\oplus 1} &= \hat{P} \left[\frac{|001\rangle_A |3\rangle_B - \frac{|010\rangle_A |2\rangle_B}{\sqrt{2}}}{\sqrt{2}} \right] + \hat{P} \left[\frac{|010\rangle_A |3\rangle_B - \frac{|001\rangle_A |2\rangle_B}{\sqrt{2}}}{\sqrt{2}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

To upper-bound $\hat{P}_1 \hat{e}_{\text{ph}} \hat{P}_1$ by using $\hat{P}_1 \hat{e}_{\text{bit}} \hat{P}_1$, we remove the four projectors in $\hat{P}_1 \hat{e}_{\text{bit}} \hat{P}_1$ that are orthogonal to the range of $\hat{P}_1 \hat{e}_{\text{ph}} \hat{P}_1$, which results in

$$\hat{P}_1 \hat{e}_{\text{bit}} \hat{P}_1 \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\hat{P} \left[\frac{|001\rangle_A}{\sqrt{2}} \right] + \hat{P} \left[\frac{|100\rangle_A}{\sqrt{2}} \right] \right) \otimes \hat{P}[|2\rangle_B] + \hat{P} \left[\frac{|010\rangle_A |1\rangle_B - \frac{|100\rangle_A |2\rangle_B}{\sqrt{2}}}{\sqrt{2}} \right] + \hat{P} \left[\frac{|010\rangle_A |3\rangle_B - \frac{|001\rangle_A |2\rangle_B}{\sqrt{2}}}{\sqrt{2}} \right]. \quad (\text{I.4})$$

Moreover, we apply the following inequality that holds for any normalized vectors $|a\rangle$ and $|b\rangle$ with $\langle a|b\rangle = 0$ ¹,

$$\hat{P} \left[|a\rangle - \frac{|b\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right] \geq \frac{2}{\lambda} \left(\hat{P}[|a\rangle] + \hat{P} \left[\frac{|b\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right] \right) - \hat{P} \left[\frac{|b\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right] \quad (\text{I.6})$$

with $\lambda := 3 + \sqrt{5}$, to the last two projectors of the rhs in Eq. (I.4) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}_1 \hat{e}_{\text{bit}} \hat{P}_1 &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\hat{P} \left[\frac{|001\rangle_A}{\sqrt{2}} \right] + \hat{P} \left[\frac{|100\rangle_A}{\sqrt{2}} \right] \right) \otimes \hat{P}[|2\rangle_B] \\ &+ \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(P[|010\rangle_A |1\rangle_B] + P \left[\frac{|100\rangle_A |2\rangle_B}{\sqrt{2}} \right] \right) - \frac{\hat{P}[|100\rangle_A |2\rangle_B]}{4} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(P[|010\rangle_A |3\rangle_B] + P \left[\frac{|001\rangle_A |2\rangle_B}{\sqrt{2}} \right] \right) - \frac{\hat{P}[|001\rangle_A |2\rangle_B]}{4} \\ &= \hat{P}_1 \hat{e}_{\text{ph}} \hat{P}_1 / \lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{I.7})$$

This ends the proof of Lemma 1. \blacksquare

II. PROOF OF LEMMA 2

Lemma 2 For any density operator $\hat{\sigma}$,

$$\text{tr} \hat{P}_1 \hat{e}_{\text{bit}} \hat{P}_1 \hat{\sigma} \leq \text{tr} \hat{e}_{\text{bit}} \hat{\sigma} + \sqrt{\text{tr} \hat{\sigma} \hat{P}_1 \cdot \text{tr} \hat{\sigma} \hat{P}_3}. \quad (\text{II.1})$$

Proof. From Eq. (19), for any state $\hat{\sigma}$ we have

$$\text{tr} \hat{P}_{\text{odd}} \hat{e}_{\text{bit}} \hat{P}_{\text{odd}} \hat{\sigma} \leq \text{tr} \hat{e}_{\text{bit}} \hat{\sigma}, \quad (\text{II.2})$$

which leads to

$$\text{tr} \hat{P}_1 \hat{e}_{\text{bit}} \hat{P}_1 \hat{\sigma} \leq \text{tr} \hat{e}_{\text{bit}} \hat{\sigma} - \text{tr} (\hat{P}_1 \hat{e}_{\text{bit}} \hat{P}_3 + \hat{P}_3 \hat{e}_{\text{bit}} \hat{P}_1) \hat{\sigma}. \quad (\text{II.3})$$

Since $-\text{tr} (\hat{P}_1 \hat{e}_{\text{bit}} \hat{P}_3 + \hat{P}_3 \hat{e}_{\text{bit}} \hat{P}_1) \hat{\sigma} \leq |\text{tr} (\hat{P}_1 \hat{e}_{\text{bit}} \hat{P}_3 \hat{\sigma})| + |\text{tr} (\hat{P}_3 \hat{e}_{\text{bit}} \hat{P}_1 \hat{\sigma})| = 2 |\text{tr} (\hat{P}_1 \hat{e}_{\text{bit}} \hat{P}_3 \hat{\sigma})|^2$, we derive an upper bound on $|\text{tr} (\hat{P}_1 \hat{e}_{\text{bit}} \hat{P}_3 \hat{\sigma})|$. From the expression of the POVM element \hat{e}_{bit}^j given by Eq. (18), we have

$$\hat{T} := 2 \hat{P}_1 \hat{e}_{\text{bit}} \hat{P}_3 = |001\rangle\langle 111|_A \otimes (\hat{\Pi}_{1,1} - \hat{\Pi}_{1,0}) + |100\rangle\langle 111|_A \otimes (\hat{\Pi}_{2,1} - \hat{\Pi}_{2,0}). \quad (\text{II.4})$$

As $(\hat{\Pi}_{1,1} - \hat{\Pi}_{1,0})^2 = (|1\rangle\langle 1| + |2\rangle\langle 2|)/2$ and $(\hat{\Pi}_{2,1} - \hat{\Pi}_{2,0})^2 = (|2\rangle\langle 2| + |3\rangle\langle 3|)/2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{T}^\dagger \hat{T} &= \hat{P} [|111\rangle_A] \otimes [(\hat{\Pi}_{1,1} - \hat{\Pi}_{1,0})^2 + (\hat{\Pi}_{2,1} - \hat{\Pi}_{2,0})^2] \\ &\leq \hat{I}_{AB}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II.5})$$

This inequality implies that the operator norm of \hat{T} is upper-bounded by 1:

$$\|\hat{T}\|_\infty := \min\{c \geq 0 \text{ s.t. } \forall v \|\hat{T}v\| \leq c\|v\|\} \leq 1, \quad (\text{II.6})$$

where $\|\cdot\| := \sqrt{\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle}$. Next, we define

$$\hat{G} := \hat{P}_3 \hat{\sigma} \hat{P}_1. \quad (\text{II.7})$$

¹ Note that Eq. (I.6) holds because the smallest eigenvalue of the following Hermitian operator:

$$\hat{P} \left[|a\rangle - \frac{|b\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right] - \frac{2}{\lambda} \left(\hat{P}[|a\rangle] + \hat{P} \left[\frac{|b\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right] \right) + \hat{P} \left[\frac{|b\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right] \quad (\text{I.5})$$

is zero.

² The last equality comes from the fact that $|\text{tr} \hat{A}| = |\text{tr} \hat{A}^\dagger|$ holds for any square matrix \hat{A} .

Its trace norm $\|\hat{G}\|_1$ is written by using a unitary operator \hat{W} and is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{G}\|_1 &= |\text{tr}\hat{G}\hat{W}| = |(\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}}\hat{P}_3, \sqrt{\hat{\sigma}}\hat{P}_1\hat{W})| \\ &\leq \sqrt{(\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}}\hat{P}_3, \sqrt{\hat{\sigma}}\hat{P}_3)}\sqrt{(\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}}\hat{P}_1\hat{W}, \sqrt{\hat{\sigma}}\hat{P}_1\hat{W})} \\ &= \sqrt{\text{tr}\hat{P}_3\hat{\sigma}}\sqrt{\text{tr}\hat{P}_1\hat{\sigma}}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II.8})$$

where we use the definition of Hilbert-Schmidt inner product in the second equality and use Schwarz inequality in the first inequality. Finally, using Hölder's inequality, Eqs. (II.6) and (II.8) gives

$$\begin{aligned} 2|\text{tr}(\hat{P}_1\hat{e}_{\text{bit}}\hat{P}_3\hat{\sigma})| &= |\text{tr}\hat{T}\hat{G}| \leq \|\hat{T}\hat{G}\|_1 \leq \|\hat{T}\|_\infty\|\hat{G}\|_1 \\ &\leq \sqrt{\text{tr}\hat{P}_3\hat{\sigma} \cdot \text{tr}\hat{P}_1\hat{\sigma}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II.9})$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$-\text{tr}(\hat{P}_1\hat{e}_{\text{bit}}\hat{P}_3 + \text{tr}\hat{P}_3\hat{e}_{\text{bit}}\hat{P}_1)\hat{\sigma} \leq \sqrt{\text{tr}\hat{P}_1\hat{\sigma} \cdot \text{tr}\hat{P}_3\hat{\sigma}}. \quad (\text{II.10})$$

Finally, by using Eqs. (II.3) and (II.10), we conclude that

$$\text{tr}\hat{P}_1\hat{e}_{\text{bit}}\hat{P}_1\hat{\sigma} \leq \text{tr}\hat{e}_{\text{bit}}\hat{\sigma} + \sqrt{\text{tr}\hat{\sigma}\hat{P}_1 \cdot \text{tr}\hat{\sigma}\hat{P}_3}. \quad (\text{II.11})$$

This ends the proof of Lemma 2. ■