Supplementary Information: Experimental signature of initial quantum coherence on entropy production

S. Hernández-Gómez,^{1,2,3,*} S. Gherardini,^{1,4,†} A. Belenchia,^{5,6,‡} A. Trombettoni,^{7,8,9,§} M. Paternostro,^{6,¶} and N. Fabbri^{1,3,**}

¹European Laboratory for Non-linear Spectroscopy (LENS), Università di Firenze, I-50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Italy

²Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Firenze, I-50019, Sesto Fiorentino, Italy

³Istituto Nazionale di Ottica del Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (CNR-INO), I-50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Italy

⁴CNR-INO, Area Science Park, Basovizza, I-34149 Trieste, Italy

⁵Institut für Theoretische Physik, Eberhard-Karls-Universität Tübingen, 72076 Tübingen, German

⁶School of Mathematics and Physics, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast BT7 1NN, United Kingdom

⁷Department of Physics, University of Trieste, Strada Costiera 11, I-34151 Trieste, Italy

⁸SISSA and INFN, Sezione di Trieste, Via Bonomea 265, I-34136 Trieste, Italy

⁹CNR-IOM DEMOCRITOS Simulation Center and SISSA, Via Bonomea 265, I-34136 Trieste, Italy

In this document we detail the theoretical modelling of the photodynamics of the NV-center (Supplementary Note 1), and we report further experimental data concerning the reconstruction of the probability distribution resulting from the EPM scheme (Supplementary Note 2).

Supplementary Note 1

Theoretical modelling

In our experimental set-up, the photodynamics of the NV center is well described by a seven-level quantum model [1-3], which, however, can be effectively reduced to a two-level quantum system as detailed in the Supplementary Information of Refs. [3]. Here, for completeness of exposition, we report the modelling of this effective two-level open dynamics of the NV center, by resorting to the super-operator formalism [4] and working in the energy eigenbasis.

Let us thus model the NV center as a two-level quantum system subjected to a dissipative dynamics. The dissipative dynamics is induced by the laser pulses and it is described by the linear super-operators $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbb{C}^{4\times 4}$ acting directly on the column vector $\operatorname{col}[\rho_t] \in \mathbb{C}^{4\times 1}$, with $\operatorname{col}[\rho]$ denoting the vectorization of the density operator $\rho \in \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$. The super-operator \mathbf{S} is explicitly given by

$$\mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 2 - p_{\rm abs}(k_{\rm c} - p_{\rm d}\cos\alpha) & p_{\rm abs}k_{\rm sc} & p_{\rm abs}k_{\rm sc} & p_{\rm abs}(p_{\rm d}\cos\alpha + k_{\rm c}) \\ p_{\rm abs}(k_{\rm sc} + p_{\rm d}\sin\alpha) & 2 - p_{\rm abs}(1 + k_{\rm s}) & -p_{\rm abs}(k_{\rm s} - 1) & p_{\rm abs}(p_{\rm d}\sin\alpha - k_{\rm sc}) \\ p_{\rm abs}(k_{\rm sc} + p_{\rm d}\sin\alpha) & -p_{\rm abs}(k_{\rm s} - 1) & 2 - p_{\rm abs}(1 + k_{\rm s}) & p_{\rm abs}(p_{\rm d}\sin\alpha - k_{\rm sc}) \\ p_{\rm abs}(k_{\rm c} - p_{\rm d}\cos\alpha) & -p_{\rm abs}k_{\rm sc} & -p_{\rm abs}k_{\rm sc} & 2 - p_{\rm abs}(p_{\rm d}\cos\alpha + k_{\rm c}) \end{pmatrix} ,$$
(1)

where

work

$$k_{\rm c} = 1 - (1 - p_{\rm d})(\cos \alpha)^2, \qquad k_{\rm s} = 1 - (1 - p_{\rm d})(\sin \alpha)^2, \qquad k_{\rm sc} = (1 - p_{\rm d})\sin \alpha \cos \alpha,$$
 (2)

 p_{abs} is the absorption probability, p_{d} is the probability of population transfer to $|S_z = 0\rangle$, and $\alpha \in [0, \pi/2]$.

The unitary dynamics in between two consecutive pulses is instead described by the linear operator

$$\mathbf{U} = \exp\left(-\mathrm{i}\tau \left(H \otimes \mathbb{I}_2 - \mathbb{I}_2 \otimes H^*\right)\right) \tag{3}$$

with \hbar set to 1, \mathbb{I}_2 denoting the 2×2 identity matrix, and $H = \omega \sigma_z/2$ the Hamiltonian of the effective two-level system. Considering a total number of pulses N we thus have

$$\operatorname{col}[\rho_t] = \mathbf{L} \operatorname{col}[\rho_0] \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{L} \equiv (\mathbf{SU})^N \,.$$
(4)

 ^{*} shergom@mit.edu; These authors contributed equally to this work; Current address: Research Laboratory of Electronics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA
 † stefano.gherardini@ino.cnr.it; These authors contributed equally to this

 $^{^\}ddagger$ alessio.belenchia@uni-tuebingen.de; These authors contributed equally to this work

[§] andreatr@sissa.it

[¶] m.paternostro@qub.ac.uk

^{**} fabbri@lens.unifi.it

For a fixed set of values of the parameters $(p_{abs}, p_d, \alpha, \tau, \omega)$, the super-operator L governing the open dynamics of the NV center possesses a unique steady-state ρ^* that is characterised by a non vanishing coherence in the energy basis.

The Kraus representation for ${f L}$ can be uniquely determined by diagonalizing its Choi matrix

$$\mathbf{T} \equiv \sum_{k,j=0}^{1} \left(E_{kj} \otimes \mathbb{I}_2 \right) \mathbf{L} \left(\mathbb{I}_2 \otimes E_{kj} \right) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{3} \xi_{\ell} \mathbf{u}_{\ell} \mathbf{u}_{\ell}^{\dagger}$$
(5)

where $E_{kj} \equiv |k\rangle\langle j|$, with $|0\rangle \equiv (1,0)^T$ and $|1\rangle \equiv (0,1)^T$, and $(\xi_{\ell}, \mathbf{u}_{\ell})$ denotes the *j*-th pair (eigenvalue, eigenvector) resulting from the eigenvector decomposition of **T**. The Kraus operators $\{K_{\ell}\}$ associated with the open map **L** are thus implicitly provided by the following relation:

$$\operatorname{col}\left[K_{\ell}\right] = \sqrt{\xi_{\ell}} \mathbf{u}_{\ell} \quad \text{such that} \quad \rho(t) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{3} K_{\ell} \,\rho(0) K_{\ell}^{\dagger} \,. \tag{6}$$

One can easily determine that the open dynamics of the NV center is correctly described by three Kraus operators K_{ℓ} . These Kraus operators can be then considered for the derivation of the backward dynamics as discussed in the main text.

Supplementary Note 2

Experimental data and simulation

As described in the main text, in our experiments we measure the EPM probability $p_f^{\text{fn}}(\rho) = \text{tr}(\Pi_f^{\text{fn}}\Phi(\rho))$ i.e., the probability of obtaining E_f^{fn} when measuring the energy of the system at the final time $t_{\text{fn}} = N\tau$ (N is the number of laser pulses and τ is the time between them), assuming that the system is initialized into the state ρ . In particular, we performed four independent experiments for each of the four initial states: $|0\rangle$, $|1\rangle$, $|+\rangle_y$, and $|-\rangle_y$. The results of such measurements are shown in Fig. 1. Notice that we only measure the probability $p_{f=1}^{\text{fn}}$ associated to the final excited state $|1\rangle$. This is because, for a two level system, the remaining probability is obtained as $p_{f=0}^{\text{fn}} = 1 - p_{f=1}^{\text{fn}}$. As mentioned in the main text, the classical mixtures we are interested in are a convex combination of these four pure states. For example, the EPM probability associated with the initial thermal state $\rho_{\text{th}}^{\text{in}} = e^{-\beta H_{\text{tin}}}/Z_{\text{in}}$ is obtained as $p_f^{\text{fn}}(\rho_{\text{th}}^{\text{in}}) = p(E_0^{\text{in}})p_f^{\text{fn}}(|0\rangle\langle 0|) + p(E_1^{\text{in}})p_f^{\text{fn}}(|1\rangle\langle 1|)$, where $p(E_i^{\text{in}}) = e^{-\beta E_i}/Z_{\text{in}}$. Similarly, the probability $p_f^{\text{fn}}(\rho_0)$ for a given initial state (see main text) $\rho_0 = p|1\rangle\langle 1| + (1-p)|+\rangle_y\langle +|$, with $p \in [0, 1]$, is obtained as $p_f^{\text{fn}}(\rho_0) = p p_f^{\text{fn}}(|1\rangle\langle 1|) + (1-p)p_f^{\text{fn}}(|+\rangle_y\langle +|)$.

In Supplementary Figure 1 we compare the experimental data with the numerical simulation of the dynamics, using the model described in the previous section. The values of the parameters p_{abs} , and p_d are selected by minimizing the sum of the squares of the residuals between data and simulation.

In Fig. 2 of the main text we show the results of the irreversible entropy production $\Delta\Sigma$ obtained for an initial state $|+\rangle_y$. In Supplementary Figure 2 we present similar results but for the initial state $|-\rangle_y = (|0\rangle - i|1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$.

Wolters, J., Strauß, M., Schoenfeld, R. S. & Benson, O. Quantum zeno phenomenon on a single solid-state spin. *Phys. Rev. A* 88, 020101 (2013).

^[2] Manson, N. B., Harrison, J. P. & Sellars, M. J. Nitrogen-vacancy center in diamond: Model of the electronic structure and associated dynamics. Phys. Rev. B 74, 104303 (2006).

 ^[3] Hernández-Gómez, S. et al. Experimental test of exchange fluctuation relations in an open quantum system. Phys. Rev. Research 2, 023327 (2020).

 ^[4] Havel, T. F. Robust procedures for converting among lindblad, kraus and matrix representations of quantum dynamical semigroups. J. Math. Phys. 44, 534–557 (2003).



Supplementary Figure 1. **EPM final probabilities.** Measurements of the EPM protocol as a function of the number N of pulses for the initial pure states $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle, |+\rangle_y, |-\rangle_y\}$. The crosses with error bars are the experimental data (dotted line is a guide to the eye). Solid lines represent the numerical simulation of the dynamics described in the previous section, using the parameters $p_{abs} = 0.700$ and $p_d = 0.255$. As mentioned in the main text, the other parameters are $\alpha = \pi/4$ (i.e., $\delta = -\Omega$), $\tau \omega \simeq (2\pi)0.9$, and $\tau = 190$ ns. The error bars correspond to the standard deviation of the normalized photo-luminescence (see Methods in the main text).



Supplementary Figure 2. Coherence-affected entropy production. Panel (a): Experimental values (markers with error bars) of the irreversible entropy production. Black squares are $\Delta \Sigma_{1,1} = \Delta \Sigma_{0,1}$, and orange bullets are $\Delta \Sigma_{1,0} = \Delta \Sigma_{0,0}$. Dashed black line and dotted orange line are the corresponding numerical simulations. Panel (b): Experimental verification of the fluctuation theorem $\langle e^{-\Delta \Sigma} \rangle_{\Gamma} = 1$ [Eq. (6) of the main text], for the coherence-affected irreversible entropy production, as a function of the number N of pulses used to drive the dynamics of the NV center. Panel (c): Average experimental coherence-affected entropy production as a function of N (blue circles). In all panels, the experimental data are plotted against the predictions (from the numerical simulations) that are obtained by taking $|-\rangle_y = (|0\rangle - i |1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ as the initial quantum state.