nature portfolio

Corresponding author(s):	Sagar Jilka
Last updated by author(s):	Nov 23, 2021

Reporting Summary

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our <u>Editorial Policies</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

For all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.

_					
7	ta:	t١	S	П	CS
\sim	СЧ	٠,	9	٠,	CO

,							
n/a	Confirmed						
	The exact	\boxtimes The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement					
	A stateme	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly					
	The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.						
\boxtimes	A descript	A description of all covariates tested					
\boxtimes	A descript	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons					
	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)						
	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i>) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted <i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.</i>						
\boxtimes	For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings						
\boxtimes	For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes						
\boxtimes	Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated						
Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.							
Software and code							
Policy information about <u>availability of computer code</u>							
Da	Data collection The sample was collected using Tweepy (version 3.6.0), a library in Python that allows the use of the Twitter API to obtain tweets from Twitt that meet certain criteria. We wrote custom code to utilize Tweepy and extract variables from the tweets.						
Da	ata analysis	All statistical analysis was performed in SPSS version 24.					
Forn	For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not vet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and						

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

The datasets generated and analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

_	•		1			٠.			100	
ᆫ	\Box		CI	\sim	\sim 1 †		ro	$n \cap$	rti	ing
		I U J	וכדו		T.H	н.		いし	'I I I	שווו
•		_		\sim	• • •	. •		~		\cdots

Randomization

i icia specii	ic reporting
Please select the one be	low that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.
Life sciences	Behavioural & social sciences
For a reference copy of the doc	rument with all sections, see <u>nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</u>
Behavioura	I & social sciences study design
All studies must disclose	on these points even when the disclosure is negative.
Study description	This is a proof of concept study, converting tweets into quantitative data to demonstrate how machine learning can identify stigma based on service user defined categories.
Research sample	The research sample was a set of tweets discussing schizophrenia with the posters of them originating from a wide range of countries, the sample is representative of tweets discussing schizophrenia at this time.
Sampling strategy	This sample was obtained through Tweepy, which gathered tweets containing at least one word from a list of keywords associated with Schizophrenia, through its own algorithm. We ran the code to obtain tweets in 5 different 48 hour periods. No sample size calculation was performed as we wanted a set large enough to encapsulate sufficient variance in tweets not to perform specific tests on. We could then draw a random sample from this set for each stage of the study, the size of each was based on how many tweets service users felt was feasible to rate collectively.
Data collection	Data was collected about the tweets using a mix of custom code and set algorithms, service users assigned the tweets a category of stigmatizing or not using an excel spreadsheet of all tweets, inputting the assigned category beside the tweet.
Timing	The tweets were collected in 5 different 48 hour periods between the 30th of January to the 11th of May: the 30th to the 31st of January, the 15th to the 16th of March, the 16th to the 17th of April, the 24th to the 25th of April and the 10th to the 11th of May.
Data exclusions	Tweets were removed from data collection if they were not sufficiently in English or lacked necessary context.
Non-participation	There were no research participants.

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

No experimental groups were used within this study, so no assignment to condition, random or otherwise, took place.

Materials & experimental systems	Methods		
n/a Involved in the study	n/a Involved in the study		
Antibodies	ChIP-seq		
Eukaryotic cell lines	Flow cytometry		
Palaeontology and archaeology	MRI-based neuroimaging		
Animals and other organisms	'		
Human research participants			
Clinical data			
Dual use research of concern			
•			