nature chemistry

Supplementary information

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41557-024-01711-w

Defining proteoform-specific interactions for drug targeting in a native cell signalling environment

In the format provided by the authors and unedited



Table of Contents

| Table S1. List of proteoforms identified by native MS and native top-down MS | 2 |
|--|----|
| Figure S1. Effect of laser output power on the liberation of membrane proteins from the bilayer. | 5 |
| Figure S2. Identification of phosphoglycerate kinase 1 (PGK1) | 6 |
| Figure S3. Identification of alpha enolase (ENOA). | 7 |
| Figure S4. Identification of two forms of ATP synthase subunit beta | 8 |
| Figure S5. Identification of malate dehydrogenase | 9 |
| Figure S6. Identification of S-arrestin | 10 |
| Table S2. Glycopeptides detected by glycoproteomics | 11 |
| Figure S7. N-glycan composition of rhodopsin | 12 |
| Figure S8. Identification of phosphatidylethanolamine-binding protein 1 | 13 |
| Figure S9. Identification of calmodulin | 14 |
| Figure S10. Identification of two proteoforms of $G\alpha$ | 15 |
| Figure S11. Identification of two proteoforms of $G\beta G\gamma$ heterodimers | 16 |
| Figure S12. Identification of G protein subunits of the transducin complex | 17 |
| Figure S13. Identification of phosphodiesterase 6 (PDE6). | 18 |
| Figure S14. Sildenafil binds to G proteins. | 19 |
| Figure S15. Release of drugs bound to Gβγ heterodimers | 20 |

Table S1. List of proteoforms identified by native MS and native top-down MS. Protein ID, modifications detected by MS², theoretical average mass, and measured average mass and uncertainty. The measured masses represent the average mass of the charge state distribution using the apex of each peak. The uncertainty reported is calculated as the standard deviation of the mass using at least three different charge states.

| Protein ID | Modifications | Theoretical average mass (Da) | Measured average mass (Da) and uncertainty | |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| Phosphoglycerate kinase 1 | Loss of initiator Met acetylation at S2 | 44,448.44 | 44,449.15 ± 0.34 | |
| Alpha enolase | loss of initiator MetAcetylation at S2 | 47,236.98 | 47,194.28 ± 0.86* | |
| ATP Synthase subunit beta, Proteofrom 1 | Loss of transit peptide (aa1-46) | 51,705.13 | 51,704.02 ± 0.36 | |
| ATP synthase subunit beta, proteoform 2 | Loss of transit peptide (aa1-46) additional loss of Alanine (aa47) | 51,634.05 | 51,634.04 ± 0.45 | |
| Creatine kinase (dimer) | Loss of initiator methionine | 85,176.40 | 85,181.63 ± 0.51 | |
| Malate dehydrogenase (mitochondrial, dimer) | Loss of transit peptide (aa1-24) | 66,391.38 | 66,390.79 ± 0.04 | |
| Visual arrestin (S-arrestin) | N-terminal acetylation | 45,316.93 | 45,315.62 ± 0.44 | |
| Phosphatidylethanolamine binding protein 1 | Loss of initiator methionine | 20,854.55 | 20,854.08 ± 0.48 | |
| Calmodulin | Loss of the initiator methionine Acetylation at serine 2 Bound to 3 Ca²⁺ (small population bound to 2 Ca²⁺) Evidence for trimethyllysine at K116 in MS² spectrum | 16,748.42 (apo) 16,788 (1x Ca ²⁺) 16,828.58 (2x Ca ²⁺) 16,868.66 (3x Ca²⁺) 16,908.74 (4x Ca ²⁺) | 16,865.33 ± 0.30 | |
| Guanine nucleotide binding protein α proteoform 1 (Gα·GDP) | Loss of initiator methionineBound to GDP | 39,834.49 (apo) 40,277.69 (+GDP) | 40,277.53 ± 0.14 | |
| Guanine nucleotide binding protein α proteoform 2 (Gα _{C14:2 myristoyl} ·GDP) | Loss of initiator methionine C14:2 myristoylation at Glycine2 Bound to GDP | 40,040.65 (apo) 40,483.85 (+GDP) | 40,484.26 ± 0.07 | |
| Guanine nucleotide binding protein β (Gβ) | Loss of initiator methionine Acetylation at serine 2 | 37,287.80 | 37,287.52 ± 0.15 | |

| Guanine nucleotide binding protein γ proteoform 1 (Gβ·Gγ ₂₋₆₉) | Loss of initiator methionine Loss of propeptide VIS at C-terminus Additional loss of GC at C-terminus | 7,953.15 | 7952.06 ± 0.01 |
|---|---|-----------|---|
| Guanine nucleotide binding protein γ proteoform 2 (Gβ·Gγ _{2-71 farnesyl}) | Loss of initiator methionine Loss of propeptide VIS at C-terminus Farnesylation at cysteine 71 | 8,317.52 | 8316.31 ± 0.00 |
| PDE6α | Loss of initiator Met loss of propeptide CVQ at c-terminus N-acetylglycine Farnesylation at C856 | 99,125.75 | Not individually measured (see below for complex mass) |
| PDE6β | Loss of initiator met loss of propeptide RIL at c-terminus N-acetylserine: Geranyl geranylation at C850 | 98,131.58 | Not individually measured (see below for complex mass) |
| PDE6y | N-terminal acetylation | 9,711.26 | Not individually measured (see below for complex mass) |
| Rhodopsin (proteoform 1) | N-terminal acetylation Man₃GlcNAc₃ at Asparagine 2 Man₃GlcNAc₃ at Asparagine 15 Disulfide bond C110- C187 1x Palmitoylation at C322 or 323 | 41,476.03 | 41,479.29 ± 1.79 |
| Rhodopsin (proteoform 2) | N-terminal acetylation Man₃GlcNAc₃ at Asparagine 2 Man₃GlcNAc₃ at Asparagine 15 Disulfide bond C110- C187 2x Palmitoylation at C322 and 323 | 41,714.43 | 41,716.85 ± 0.83 |
| Rhodopsin (proteoform 3) | N-terminal acetylation Man₃GlcNAc₃ at Asparagine 2 Man₃GlcNAc₄ at Asparagine 15 | 41,876.58 | 41,879.90 ± 0.40 |

| | Disulfide bond C110- C187 2x Palmitoylation at C322 and 323 | | |
|---|---|--|---|
| Rhodopsin (proteoform 4) | N-terminal acetylation Man₃GlcNAc₃ at Asparagine 2 Man₃GlcNAc₅ at Asparagine 15 Disulfide bond C110- C187 2x Palmitoylation at C322 and 323 | 42,038.70 | 42,041.08 ± 0.65 |
| Rhodopsin (proteoform 5) | N-terminal acetylation Man₃GlcNAc₃ at Asparagine 2 Man₃GlcNAc₆ at Asparagine 15 Disulfide bond C110- C187 2x Palmitoylation at C322 and 323 | 42,200.86 | 42,203.12 ± 0.65 |
| Masses of protein complexes** | | | |
| | Masses of protein complex | xes** | |
| Complex | Masses of protein complex Theoretical mass (Da) | xes** Measured i | nass (Da) |
| Complex Gβ·Gγ ₂₋₆₉ | Masses of protein complex Theoretical mass (Da) 45,240.95 | xes** Measured i 45,239.99 | mass (Da) 5 ± 0.48 |
| Complex Gβ·Gγ ₂₋₆₉ Gβ·Gγ _{2-71 farnesyl} | Masses of protein complex Theoretical mass (Da) 45,240.95 45,605.32 | xes** Measured 1 45,239.9 45,621.5 | mass (Da) 5 ± 0.48 2 ± 0.83 |
| Complex Gβ·Gγ ₂₋₆₉ Gβ·Gγ _{2-71 farmesyl} Gα·Gβ·Gγ ₂₋₆₉ | Masses of protein complex Theoretical mass (Da) 45,240.95 45,605.32 85,518.64 | xes** Measured I 45,239.9 45,621.5 85,527.9 | mass (Da) 5 ± 0.48 2 ± 0.83 5 ± 1.52 |
| $\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | Masses of protein complex Theoretical mass (Da) 45,240.95 45,605.32 85,518.64 85,724.80 | xes** Measured I 45,239.99 45,621.52 85,527.99 85,728.39 | mass (Da) 5 ± 0.48 2 ± 0.83 5 ± 1.52 9 ± 0.15 |
| $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Complex \\ \hline G\beta \cdot G\gamma_{2-69} \\ \hline G\beta \cdot G\gamma_{2-71 \ farnesyl} \\ \hline G\alpha \cdot G\beta \cdot G\gamma_{2-69} \\ \hline G\alpha_{C14:2 \ myristoyl} \cdot G\beta \cdot G\gamma_{2-69} \\ \hline G\alpha_{C14:2 \ myristoyl} \cdot G\beta \cdot G\gamma_{2-71} \\ \hline farnesyl \\ \hline \end{tabular}$ | Masses of protein complex Theoretical mass (Da) 45,240.95 45,605.32 85,518.64 85,724.80 86,089.17 | xes** Measured I 45,239.94 45,621.52 85,527.94 85,728.35 86,113.95 | mass (Da) 5 ± 0.48 2 ± 0.83 5 ± 1.52 9 ± 0.15 3 ± 1.38 |
| $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Complex \\ \hline G\beta \cdot G\gamma_{2-69} \\ \hline G\beta \cdot G\gamma_{2-71 \ farmesyl} \\ \hline G\alpha \cdot G\beta \cdot G\gamma_{2-69} \\ \hline G\alpha_{C14:2 \ myristoyl} \cdot G\beta \cdot G\gamma_{2-69} \\ \hline G\alpha_{C14:2 \ myristoyl} \cdot G\beta \cdot G\gamma_{2-71} \\ \hline farmesyl \\ \hline PDE6\alpha_{farmesyl} \cdot PDE6\beta_{geranyl-} \\ geranyl \cdot 2x \ PDE6\gamma \cdot 2x \ cGMP \\ \hline \end{tabular}$ | Masses of protein complex Theoretical mass (Da) 45,240.95 45,605.32 85,518.64 85,724.80 86,089.17 217,370.27 | xes** Measured I 45,239.9 45,621.5 85,527.9 85,728.3 86,113.9 217,50 | mass (Da) 5 ± 0.48 2 ± 0.83 5 ± 1.52 9 ± 0.15 3 ± 1.38 5 ± 30 |

*see Figure S3 for a discussion on the mass discrepancy of -42 Da

** The mass discrepancy of protein complexes tends to bias toward positive error (i.e., the measured mass is higher than the theoretical mass) which can be attributed to adduction of salts and cofactors, as well as the presence of multiple coexisting stoichiometries of proteoforms. These effects will shift the apex of each charge state and can result in higher uncertainties in the measured mass.



Figure S1. Effect of laser output power on the liberation of membrane proteins from the bilayer. Laser output power was varied from 6.0 W, 5.4 W, 4.8 W, and 4.2 (top to bottom) with a constant irradiation time of 25 ms. Peaks denoted by stars correspond to rhodopsin which is only visible over a narrow range of laser output powers between ~4.8 W and ~5.4 W.



b

Phosphoglycerate kinase 1 (PGK1)

L S N K L T L DIK L DIV KIG K R V V M R V DIF Ν 25 26 V P M K N N Q I T N N Q R I K A A V P S I KYC L 50 51 DISIGAKIS V V L M S H L G RIP DIG VIP M P DIK Y 75 76 S L Q] P V A V E L K S L L] G K D V L F L K D C V] G] 100 PEVEKACADPAAGSVILLENLRFHV 125 101 126 E E E G K G K D A S G N K V K A E P T K I E A F R 150 SKLGDVYVNDAFGTAHRAHSSM 175 151 А S L 176 V G V N L P K K A G G F L M K K E L NYF A K A L 200 E S P E R P F L A I L G G A K V A D K I Q L I 201 S N 225 226 M L D K V N E M I I G G G M A F T F L K V L N N M 250 GTSLFDEEGSKIVKDLMSKADKN275 ΕI 251 276 GVKITLPVDFVTADKFDENAKTGQA300 T V A S G I P A G W M G L D C G P E S S K K Y A E 325 301 326 A V A R A K Q I V W N G P V G V F E W E A F A R G 350 351 T K A L M DLE V V K A T S R G C I T I I G G G DLT 375 376 A T C C A K W N T E DLK V S H V S T G G G A S L E 400 401 LLELGKVLPGVDALSSV

Figure S2. Identification of phosphoglycerate kinase 1 (PGK1). (a) isolation of the 13+ charge state at m/z 3,420 with a 35 m/z isolation window and subsequent fragmentation via IRMPD (9 W, 5 ms). The MS² spectrum shows b-type and y-type ions which correspond to fragment ions originating from with a loss of the initiator methionine and acetylation of serine at position 2. (b) Location of the fragments depicted in a graphical fragmentation map of the protein sequence. Overall, 6% sequence coverage was obtained which was sufficient for protein identification.



Figure S3. Identification of alpha enolase (ENOA). Isolation of the 13+ charge state at m/z 3,631 subjected to (a) a proton-transfer charge reduction (PTCR) reaction to obtain an accurate mass measurement of 47,194.28 ± 0.86 Da and (b) fragmentation via IRMPD (8.1 W, 5 ms). The MS² spectrum contained b-type and y-type ions which corresponded to fragment ions originating from alpha enolase (ENOA) with a loss of the initiator methionine and acetylation of serine at position 2. (c) Location of the fragments depicted in a graphical fragmentation map of the protein sequence. Overall, 13% sequence coverage was obtained.

*Mass discrepancy: The measured mass is lower than the theoretical mass by -42 Da. However, there is no evidence for the non-acetylated form in the fragmentation pattern and match fragments at both N- and C-termini which suggest the sequences in those regions are correct. The discrepancy must come from a region in the sequence that is not adequately covered using TDMS; The possible sources of this discrepancy could be a single amino acid variant of one of the following: Arg \rightarrow Asn, Leu/IIe \rightarrow Ala, Val \rightarrow Gly, and Glu \rightarrow Ser, however this cannot be determined with the sequence coverage provided.



Figure S4. Identification of two forms of ATP synthase subunit beta. (a) Isolation of the 14+ charge state at m/z 3,694 and subsequent fragmentation via IRMPD (9 W, 5 ms). A second proteoform at m/z 3,688 was co-isolated within the 25 Da isolation window. The resulting MS² spectrum contained b-type and y-type ions which corresponded to two different proteoforms of ATP synthase subunit beta. (b) The location of the fragments depicted in a graphical fragmentation map of the protein sequence missing the transit peptide from residues 1 to 46. (c) The location of the fragments depicted in a graphical fragmentation sequence missing the transit peptide (1-46) as well as an additional alanine at the N-terminus.

a. iso m/z 4150, IRMPD 9 W 5 ms



b. Malate Dehydrogenase (mitochondrial)

A K V A V L G A S G G I G Q P L S L L K NIS P L 25 26 V S R L T L Y DI A H T P G V A A DL S H I R Е Т 50 51 A T V K G Y L G P E Q L P D C L K G C D V V V Ρ 75 76 A G V P R K P G M T R DL F N T N A T I V A T L 100 T A A C A Q H CIP E A M I C I I ISIN P V N S T I **P** 125 126 I T A E V F KLKLHLG V Y N P N K I F G V T T L DLI 150 151 V RLANAFVAELK DLL DLPARVNVPVI GG175 176 HAGKTIILPLI SQCTPKVEFPQDQLT200 201 T L T G R I Q E A G T E V V K A K A G A G S A T L 225 226 S M A Y A G A R F V F S LLV DLA M NLG K ELG V V E 250 251 C S F V KLS Q ELT DLCLP Y F S T P L L L G K KLG I 275 276 ELKINLGIGKVISPFELELKMIAELAILPELLK300 301 A S I K K G E E F V K N M K

Figure S5. Identification of malate dehydrogenase. (a) Isolation of the 16+ charge state at m/z 4,150 with an isolation width of 35 m/z and subsequent fragmentation via IRMPD (9 W, 5 ms). The MS² spectrum contained b-type and y-type ions which corresponded to fragment ions originating from malate dehydrogenase (mitochondrial). (b) The location of the fragments depicted in a graphical fragmentation map of the protein sequence following the loss of the 24-residue transit peptide at the N-terminus. Overall, 11% sequence coverage was obtained which was sufficient for protein identification.



Figure S6. Identification of S-arrestin. (a) Isolation of the 13+ charge state at m/z 3,486 and subsequent fragmentation via IRMPD (9 W, 5 ms). The MS² spectrum contained b-type and y-type ions which corresponded to fragment ions originating from S-arrestin. (b) The location of the fragments depicted in a graphical fragmentation map of the protein sequence with N-terminal acetylation. Overall, 6% sequence coverage was obtained.

Table S2. Glycopeptides detected by glycoproteomics. Peptide sequence, glycan composition, mass, amino acid position, extracted ion chromatogram (XIC) peak area, and Byonic score. Byonic scores represent the quality of the peptide-spectrum match and range from 0 to 1000, where > 300 represents a good score.

| | | | Var. | | |
|-----------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|---------|----------|--------|
| | | Mod. | Pos. | XIC area | |
| Sequence | Glycans | Summary | Protein | summed | Score |
| M.nGTEGPNFY.V | HexNAc(3)Hex(3) | N1(NGlycan/1095.3966) | 2 | 1.53E+06 | 56.26 |
| | | | Var. | | |
| | | Mod. | Pos. | XIC area | |
| Sequence | Glycans | Summary | Protein | summed | Score |
| F.SnKTGVVRSPF.E | HexNAc(3)Hex(3) | N2(NGlycan/1095.3966) | 15 | 2.77E+09 | 725.43 |
| F.SnKTGVVRSPF.E | HexNAc(3)Hex(3) | N2(NGlycan/1095.3966) | 15 | 2.72E+09 | 558.05 |
| F.SnKTGVVRSPF.E | HexNAc(3)Hex(5) | N2(NGlycan/1419.5022) | 15 | 4.16E+08 | 522.6 |
| F.SnKTGVVRSPF.E | HexNAc(3)Hex(4) | N2(NGlycan/1257.4494) | 15 | 3.72E+08 | 673.82 |
| F.SnKTGVVRSPF.E | HexNAc(3)Hex(4) | N2(NGlycan/1257.4494) | 15 | 3.44E+08 | 367.06 |
| F.SnKTGVVRSPF.E | HexNAc(3)Hex(6)NeuAc(1) | N2(NGlycan/1872.6505) | 15 | 2.92E+08 | 575.39 |
| F.SnKTGVVRSPF.E | HexNAc(4)Hex(4)NeuAc(1) | N2(NGlycan/1751.6242) | 15 | 4.91E+07 | 315.22 |
| F.SnKTGVVRSPF.E | HexNAc(4)Hex(4) | N2(NGlycan/1460.5288) | 15 | 3.78E+07 | 315.77 |
| F.SnKTGVVRSPF.E | HexNAc(4)Hex(7)NeuAc(1) | N2(NGlycan/2237.7827) | 15 | 2.32E+07 | 338.22 |
| F.SnKTGVVRSPF.E | HexNAc(2)Hex(3) | N2(NGlycan/892.3172) | 15 | 7.34E+06 | 382.13 |
| F.SnKTGVVRSPF.E | HexNAc(3)Hex(3) | N2(NGlycan/1095.3966) | 15 | 6.93E+06 | 316.12 |
| F.SnKTGVVRSPF.E | HexNAc(2)Hex(5) | N2(NGlycan/1216.4229) | 15 | 6.12E+06 | 312.67 |



Figure S7. N-glycan composition of rhodopsin. Pie charts representing the relative abundances of glycans at each N-linked site detected by glycoproteomics. The glycan at N2 is fixed as Hex₃HexNAc₃ while there is high variability in the glycan composition at N15 (see Table S2).



Figure S8. Identification of phosphatidylethanolamine-binding protein 1. (a) Isolation of the 9+ charge state at m/z 2317 with an isolation width of 35 m/z. (b) The MS² spectrum contained b-type and y-type ions which corresponded to fragment ions originating from phosphatidylethanolamine-binding protein 1 (PEBP1). (c) Graphical fragment map showing fragments mapped to onto the protein sequence without the initiator methionine. Overall, 18% sequence coverage was obtained.



Figure S9. Identification of calmodulin. (a) Isolation of the 6+ charge state at m/z 2410 with an isolation width of 35 m/z. (b) The MS² spectrum contained b-type and y-type ions which corresponded to fragment ions originating from calmodulin (CAM). (c) Graphical fragment map showing the fragments mapped onto the protein sequence without the initiator methionine and N-terminal acetylation of A2. Evidence for trimethylated CAM is embedded within the spectrum in (b). Overall, 37% sequence coverage was obtained.



Figure S10. Identification of two proteoforms of Ga. (a) Isolation and fragmentation by IRMPD (8.4 W, 10 ms) of the peak at m/z 3,357 produced MS² spectra with fragments which corresponded to guanine nucleotide-binding protein subunit alpha (Ga). (b) The location of the fragments depicted in a graphical fragmentation map of the protein sequence missing the initiator methionine. 22% sequence coverage is obtained. (c) Isolation and fragmentation by IRMPD (8.4 W, 10 ms) of the peak at m/z 3,374 produced MS² spectra with fragments which also corresponded to Ga. (d) The location of the fragments depicted in a graphical fragmentation for the fragments depicted in a graphical fragmentation of the fragments depicted in a graphical formula for the protein sequence which harbours C14:2 myristoylation at G2 following loss of the initiator methionine. This modification is reflected in the 206 Da mass shift of all b-type fragment ions. Overall, 33% sequence coverage is obtained.



Figure S11. Identification of two proteoforms of G β G γ **heterodimers.** (a) Isolation and fragmentation by IRMPD (8.4 W, 10 ms) of the peak at *m*/*z* 3,481 produced MS² spectra with fragments which corresponded to guanine nucleotide-binding protein subunit gamma (G γ). (b) The location of the fragments depicted in a graphical fragmentation map of the protein sequence missing the initiator methionine and truncated at G69 (prenylation-deficient). 64% sequence coverage was obtained. (c) Isolation and fragmentation by IRMPD (8.4 W, 10 ms) of the peak at *m*/*z* 3,510 produced MS² spectra with fragments which also corresponded to G γ . (d) The location of the fragments depicted in a graphical fragmentation map of the protein sequence which harbours farnesylation at C71. Overall, 65% sequence coverage is obtained.



Figure S12. Identification of G protein subunits of the transducin complex. (a) Isolation of the peak at m/z 5,044 followed by fragmentation by IRMPD (8.4 W, 10 ms) produced MS² spectra with fragments which corresponded to all three proteins present in the heterotrimeric Gaβγ complex (transducin). (b) The location of the fragments depicted in graphical fragmentation maps of the protein sequences for the detected proteoforms of Ga, Gβ, and Gγ.



Figure S13. Identification of phosphodiesterase 6 (PDE6). Isolation and IRMPD fragmentation (8.4 W 10 ms) of PDE6 heterocomplex complex at m/z 7,250. The MS² spectrum reveals fragments for three proteins present in the heterocomplex. We observe fragments which harbour lipidation for PDE6 α (farnesylation) and PDE6 β (geranyl-geranylation). Inset shows the graphical fragment maps showing the fragments mapped onto the protein sequences for PDE6 α , PDE6 β , and PDE6 γ . Residues 176-700 of PDE6 α and 126-725 of PDE6 β are omitted as we do not observe fragmentation in those regions.



Figure S14. Sildenafil binds to G proteins. Mass spectrum showing evidence for additional off-target binding of sildenafil to G proteins in the intradiscal fraction incubated with 20 μ M drug.



Figure S15. Release of drugs bound to G $\beta\gamma$ heterodimers. Peaks corresponding to drug bound states of G $\beta\gamma$ were isolated and subjected to low-energy resonance collision-induced dissociation (CID) to release the drug bound from the complex. CID effectively liberates the vardenafil (top) and sildenafil (bottom) from the G $\beta\gamma$ complex. Loss of the G γ subunit is also observed in both spectra.