In the format provided by the authors and unedited.

## A complete data processing workflow for cryo-ET and subtomogram averaging

Muyuan Chen<sup>1</sup>, James M. Bell<sup>1,2</sup>, Xiaodong Shi<sup>1,3</sup>, Stella Y. Sun<sup>4</sup>, Zhao Wang<sup>1,5</sup> and Steven J. Ludtke<sup>1,5\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Verna Marrs and McLean Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA. <sup>2</sup>Quantitative and Computational Biosciences Graduate Program, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA. <sup>3</sup>Jiangsu Province Key Laboratory of Anesthesiology and Jiangsu Province Key Laboratory of Anesthesia and Analgesia Application, Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, Jiangsu, China. <sup>4</sup>Department of Bioengineering, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA. <sup>5</sup>CryoEM Core at Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA. \*e-mail: sludtke@bcm.edu



Tiling strategy for tomogram reconstruction.

(a) Reconstruction of individual tiles. Each tile is padded to the size of the dashed box during the reconstruction, and clipped to the size of the solid box. (b) The per-tile weighting function and slice view of a masked tile. (c) Overlapping tiles to reduce edge effects. (d) Resulting by-tile reconstruction.



for subsequent processing.



(a) Filtered and masked images from the center tilt and a high angle tilt in a tilt series. (b) Coherent average of Fourier transform intensity of all translationally aligned and masked images in the tilt series. (c) Plot of the average intensity of (b) along a ray at different orientation. The peak annotated by the dashed line is the tilt axis orientation. Note the points at 0 and 90 degrees are set to zero to avoid the impact from background pattern.



Comparison of automatic tilt series alignment protocol.

(a) An example of successful case for both IMOD and EMAN2 pipeline. From left to right: 45 degree tilt image; center slice view of the tomogram from ETDB (using IMOD); center slice view of the tomogram reconstructed in EMAN2. (b) An example of "failed" case for IMOD that is successful aligned by EMAN2. Note the large amount of ice contaimination in high angle tilt images that likely leads to the failure of original IMOD alignment.



Comparison of different reconstruction methods.

(a) A tomogram slice view of the flagellum of an anucleated *Trypanosoma brucei* cell, recontructed with tiled direct Fourier transform. Cyan box shows the tile to zoom in for comparison. (b) Slice view of tomogram reconstructed by direct Fourier transform (FT), tiled direct Fourier transform, back projection (BP) and SIRT, from left to right. The tomograms are filtered identically to be comparable.





Comparison of structures solved by different software packages using the ribosome dataset from EMPIAR-10045.

Averaged structures solved by EMClarity (grey, 7.8Å), EMAN2 (cyan, 9.3Å) and Relion (yellow, 13Å) are viewed from three orientations. A high resolution structrue (blue, EMD-2858) filtered to 10Å is shown for comparison.