### **Supplementary information**

# Plastic pollution in the Arctic

In the format provided by the authors and unedited

### Supplementary 1.

## Records of plastic litter distributions and interactions between organisms and plastic debris in LITTERBASE

Over the last decade, the progress in marine litter research has increased exponentially making it difficult to keep track. As a response, the Alfred Wegener Institute devised the online portal LITTERBASE (http://litterbase.org), which provides regularly updated information on the global distribution and composition of litter pollution and its impacts on biota. The data presented in the portal are taken from peer-reviewed publications and reports and fed manually into a database using standardised protocols. Striving for a comprehensive picture, the scientific literature is continuously screened for new articles and bulk updates are performed periodically (Bergmann *et al.*, 2017).

The metadata for the distribution of man-made litter include bibliography, location, litter type, size class, amount unit (e.g., number or mass of items per kilometer, per square kilometer, per cubic meter) and biome (e.g., beach, sea surface, water column, seafloor). Some studies did not measure or calculate concentrations and reported only presence data. Including the locations of those findings, 62 studies were represented in Fig. 3. However, such studies were excluded from the assessment of the ranges of plastic debris concentrations in different ecosystem compartments. Moreover, the dataset was filtered by the most frequently used units (N km<sup>-2</sup>, N m<sup>-3</sup>, N kg<sup>-1</sup>). The only exception is (Grøsvik *et al.*, 2018), which is the only study that reported plastic debris concentrations (in g m<sup>-3</sup>) in the water column. Therefore, findings from 36 studies were evaluated when providing ranges in Fig. 1 and throughout the manuscript. The number of publications and sampling locations for each reporting unit is detailed in Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary Table 2, respectively. The complete dataset of publications is listed in Supplementary data 1.

**Supplementary Table 1.** Number of publications evaluated when providing ranges in Fig. 1 and throughout the manuscript.

Reporting units	Number of publications reporting from the marine compartments			Total number of publications		
	Sea ice	Pelagic	Seafloor	Snow	Sea surface	
g m <sup>-3</sup>		1				1
Items			3		3	6
Items g <sup>-1</sup>			6			6
Items km <sup>-1</sup>					1	1
Items km <sup>-2</sup>			7		4	11
Items m <sup>-3</sup>	6	7	3	2	12	20
Kg km⁻²			2			2
Other					1	1
Total number of						
publications	6	8	20	2	20	43

Supplementary Table 2. Number of sampling locations in the Arctic in peer-reviewed publications.

Row Labels	Sea ice	Pelagic	Seafloor	Snow	Sea surface	Total number of locations
g m <sup>-3</sup>		1				1
items			9		16	25
Items g <sup>-1</sup>			38			38

Items km <sup>-1</sup>					27	27
Items km <sup>-2</sup>			41		190	231
items m <sup>-3</sup>	35	149	16	29	228	457
kg km <sup>-2</sup>			5			5
Other					25	25
Grand Total	35	150	109	29	486	809

The following records of interactions between marine life and litter were entered: location and type of observed encounter (entanglement, ingestion, colonisation, other), species/taxon observed, effects (e.g., injury, mortality, growth, reproduction, behaviour), percentage of individuals affected, litter type, size, aquatic system, and biome. Only records which identified interactions with species (and some up to genus) taxonomic level were included in Fig. 4. Some studies reported multiple types of interactions and for one species or a species was reported for encountering several interactions and with plastic debris in different publications. Therefore, the sum of the numbers of interactions represent a higher number of species than specified in the text (Supplementary Table 1). The complete dataset of publications is listed in **Supplementary 2**.

**Supplementary Table 3.** The number of species, which was reported in publications to have interactions with plastic debris

Interaction	Species count
Colonisation	96
Coverage	5
Entanglement	9
Ingestion	31
Other	1
Total number	
of species	131

According to the standardised protocols of storing data in LITTERBASE, where the coordinates were not stated in the study, latitude and longitude were estimated based on the availability of location information given in the publication. For the current study, unless it was strictly specified as plastic (e.g., plastic fishing nets, nylon fishing line), litter concentrations or interactions with fisheries debris, ropes and fibers were not included into the datasets. The following litter categories were included: Fisheries plastics, plastic items and fragments, cigarette buds, plastic fibers, films, pellets and Styrofoam items.

### REFERENCES

Bergmann, M., Tekman, M.B., Gutow, L., 2017. Sea change for plastic pollution. Nature 544 (7650), 297-297.

Grøsvik, B.E., Prokhorova, T., Eriksen, E., Krivosheya, P., Horneland, P.A., Prozorkevich, D., 2018. Assessment of Marine Litter in the Barents Sea, a Part of the Joint Norwegian–Russian Ecosystem Survey. Frontiers in Marine Science 5 (72).

**Supplementary Table 4.** Summary of data and references used for ranges presented in Fig. 1 and in the manuscript text.

Ecosystem compartment	Minimum quantity	Maximum quantity			
Sea surface microplastic	0	1,287 N/m-3			
	(Kanhai et al., 2018; Yakushev et al., 2021)	(Tekman <i>et al.,</i> 2020)			
Sea surface plastic debris	0	7.966208 N/m2			
	(Ostle et al., 2019; Pogojeva et al., 2021)	(Pogojeva <i>et al.</i> , 2021)			
Water column	0	375 N/m3			
microplastic	(Kanhai <i>et al.,</i> 2018; Tekman <i>et al.,</i> 2020;	(Kanhai <i>et al.,</i> 2018)			
	Yakushev <i>et al.</i> , 2021)				
Water column plastic	0.000011 g/m3				
debris	(Grøsvik <i>et al.</i> , 2018)				
Sediment microplastic	0				
	(Collard et al., 2021; Huntington et al.,	16,041.45 N/kg			
	2020)	(Huntington <i>et al.,</i> 2020)			
Seafloor plastic debris	0	24,500 N/km2			
	(Parga Martínez et al., 2020; Purser et al.,	(Purser <i>et al.</i> , 2013)			
	2013; Sen <i>et al.,</i> 2019; Tekman <i>et al.,</i>				
	2017)				
Sea ice microplastic	31.7460317 N/m3	12,000,000 N/m3			
	(Huntington <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	(Peeken <i>et al.,</i> 2018)			
Snow microplastic	0 (Bergmann <i>et al.,</i> 2019)	14,400 N/L (Bergmann <i>et al.</i> , 2019)			

### REFERENCES

Bergmann, M., Mützel, S., Primpke, S., Tekman, M.B., Trachsel, J., Gerdts, G., 2019. White and wonderful? Microplastics prevail in snow from the Alps to the Arctic. Science Advances 5 (8), eaax1157.

Collard, F., Husum, K., Eppe, G., Malherbe, C., Hallanger, I.G., Divine, D.V., Gabrielsen, G.W., 2021. Anthropogenic particles in sediment from an Arctic fjord. Science of The Total Environment 772, 145575.

Grøsvik, B.E., Prokhorova, T., Eriksen, E., Krivosheya, P., Horneland, P.A., Prozorkevich, D., 2018. Assessment of Marine Litter in the Barents Sea, a Part of the Joint Norwegian–Russian Ecosystem Survey. Frontiers in Marine Science 5 (72).

Huntington, A., Corcoran, P.L., Jantunen, L., Thaysen, C., Bernstein, S., Stern, G.A., Rochman, C.M., 2020. A first assessment of microplastics and other anthropogenic particles in Hudson Bay and the surrounding eastern Canadian Arctic waters of Nunavut. FACETS 5 (1), 432-454.

Kanhai, L.D.K., Gårdfeldt, K., Lyashevska, O., Hassellöv, M., Thompson, R.C., O'Connor, I., 2018. Microplastics in sub-surface waters of the Arctic Central Basin. Marine Pollution Bulletin 130, 8-18.

Ostle, C., Thompson, R.C., Broughton, D., Gregory, L., Wootton, M., Johns, D.G., 2019. The rise in ocean plastics evidenced from a 60-year time series. Nature Communications 10 (1), 1622.

Parga Martínez, K.B., Tekman, M.B., Bergmann, M., 2020. Temporal Trends in Marine Litter at Three Stations of the HAUSGARTEN Observatory in the Arctic Deep Sea. Frontiers in Marine Science 7 (321). Peeken, I., Primpke, S., Beyer, B., Gütermann, J., Katlein, C., Krumpen, T., Bergmann, M., Hehemann, L., Gerdts, G., 2018. Arctic sea ice is an important temporal sink and means of transport for microplastic. Nature Communications 9 (1), 1505.

Pogojeva, M., Zhdanov, I., Berezina, A., Lapenkov, A., Kosmach, D., Osadchiev, A., Hanke, G., Semiletov, I., Yakushev, E., 2021. Distribution of floating marine macro-litter in relation to oceanographic characteristics in the Russian Arctic Seas. Marine Pollution Bulletin 166, 112201.

Purser, A., Orejas, C., Gori, A., Tong, R., Unnithan, V., Thomsen, L., 2013. Local variation in the distribution of benthic megafauna species associated with cold-water coral reefs on the Norwegian margin. Continental Shelf Research 54, 37-51.

Sen, A., Himmler, T., Hong, W.L., Chitkara, C., Lee, R.W., Ferré, B., Lepland, A., Knies, J., 2019. Atypical biological features of a new cold seep site on the Lofoten-Vesterålen continental margin (northern Norway). Scientific Reports 9 (1), 1-14.

Tekman, M.B., Krumpen, T., Bergmann, M., 2017. Marine litter on deep Arctic seafloor continues to increase and spreads to the North at the HAUSGARTEN observatory. Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers 120, 88-99.

Tekman, M.B., Wekerle, C., Lorenz, C., Primpke, S., Hasemann, C., Gerdts, G., Bergmann, M., 2020. Tying up Loose Ends of Microplastic Pollution in the Arctic: Distribution from the Sea Surface through the Water Column to Deep-Sea Sediments at the HAUSGARTEN Observatory. Environ Sci Technol 54 (7), 4079-4090.

Yakushev, E., Gebruk, A., Osadchiev, A., Pakhomova, S., Lusher, A., Berezina, A., van Bavel, B., Vorozheikina, E., Chernykh, D., Kolbasova, G., Razgon, I., Semiletov, I., 2021. Microplastics distribution in the Eurasian Arctic is affected by Atlantic waters and Siberian rivers. Communications Earth & Environment 2 (1), 23.