nature computational science

Article

https://doi.org/10.1038/s43588-023-00571-7

Machine-learning-accelerated simulations to enable automatic surface reconstruction

In the format provided by the authors and unedited

Supplementary Section 1: Abbreviations used

The following is the list of abbreviations utilized.

- DFT: Density-functional theory
- MC: Monte Carlo
- MCMC: Markov-chain Monte Carlo
- ML: Machine learning
- NFF: Neural network force field
- AL: Active learning
- AutoSurfRecon: Automatic Surface Reconstruction
- VSSR-MC: Virtual Surface Site Relaxation-Monte Carlo
- DAS: Dimer-adatom stacking fault
- SD: Standard deviation
- MAE: Mean absolute error
- PCA: Principal component analysis
- DL: Double layer
- SL: Single layer
- LR: Learning rate
- SRS: Stephenson, Radny & Smith (potential)

Supplementary Section 2: Surface stability analysis

The stability of surfaces can be compared using the surface Gibbs free energy Ω_{surf} [1-3]:

$$\Omega_{\rm surf} = G_{\rm slab} - \sum_{\rm all \ a} N_a \mu_a \tag{S1}$$

where G_{slab} refers to the Gibbs free energy of the slab. For each element a, N_a refers to the number of a atoms in the slab, μ_a refers to the chemical potential of a.

For SrTiO₃ specifically, we have its surface Gibbs free energy:

$$\Omega_{\rm surf}^{\rm SrTiO_3} = G_{\rm slab} - N_{\rm Sr}\mu_{\rm Sr} - N_{\rm Ti}\mu_{\rm Ti} - N_{\rm O}\mu_{\rm O}$$
(S2)

The $SrTiO_3$ chemical potential is defined as the sum of the chemical potentials of the component elements:

$$\mu_{\rm SrTiO_3} = \mu_{\rm Sr} + \mu_{\rm Ti} + 3\mu_{\rm C}$$

At thermodynamic equilibrium, the chemical potential of the surface is equal to the bulk crystal Gibbs free energy:

$$\mu_{\rm SrTiO_3} = g_{\rm SrTiO_3}^{\rm bulk}$$

Substituting, we obtain:

$$\Omega_{\rm surf}^{\rm SrTiO_3} = G_{\rm slab} - N_{\rm Ti}g_{\rm SrTiO_3}^{\rm bulk} - \Gamma_{\rm Sr}^{\rm Ti}\mu_{\rm Sr} - \Gamma_{\rm O}^{\rm Ti}\mu_{\rm O}$$
(S3)

where $\Gamma_a^{\text{Ti}} = N_a - N_{\text{Ti}} \frac{N_a^{\text{bulk}}}{N_{\text{Ti}}^{\text{bulk}}}$ refers to the excess *a* component in the surface with respect to the number of Ti atoms and $\frac{N_a^{\text{bulk}}}{N_{\text{Ti}}^{\text{bulk}}}$ refers to the bulk stoichiometric ratio of *a* to Ti.

The bulk Gibbs free energy for a crystal can be decomposed into the following:

$$g_a^{\text{bulk}} = E_a^{\text{bulk}} + E_a^{\text{vib}} - Ts_a + pv_a \tag{S4}$$

where E_a^{bulk} is the static crystal energy obtained through DFT calculations, E_a^{vib} is the vibrational component, T is the temperature, s_a is the entropy of the crystal, pis the pressure, and v_a is the volume of the crystal. By performing approximations similar to [1, 2], changes in E_a^{vib} due to temperature roughly cancel out changes in Ts_a while pv_a is negligible.

Thus, Gibbs free energies can be approximated by the DFT energies:

$$g_a^{\mathrm{bulk}} \approx E_a^{\mathrm{bulk}}$$

For later convenience in plotting the phase diagram, bulk-subtracted chemical potentials are introduced for Sr and Ti:

$$\mu_{\rm Sr}' = \mu_{\rm Sr} - g_{\rm Sr}^{\rm bulk} \approx \mu_{\rm Sr} - E_{\rm Sr}^{\rm bulk} \tag{S5}$$

$$\mu_{\rm Ti}' = \mu_{\rm Ti} - g_{\rm Ti}^{\rm bulk} \approx \mu_{\rm Ti} - E_{\rm Ti}^{\rm bulk} \tag{S6}$$

where $E_{\rm Sr}^{\rm bulk}$ and $E_{\rm Ti}^{\rm bulk}$ are obtained from DFT calculations.

Similarly, μ'_{O} is defined with the reference state as an isolated oxygen molecule:

$$\mu'_{\rm O} = \mu_{\rm O} - \frac{1}{2} E_{\rm O_2} \tag{S7}$$

where E_{O_2} is the DFT total energy of a single oxygen molecule.

Additionally, $\mu_{\rm O}$ can be defined as a function of experimental conditions, O₂ partial pressure $(p_{\rm O_2})$ and temperature (T), with the following equation:

$$\mu_{\rm O}(T, p_{\rm O_2}) = \frac{1}{2} \left[E_{\rm O_2} + \mu_{\rm O_2}(T, p_0) + k_B T \ln\left(\frac{p_{\rm O_2}}{p_0}\right) \right]$$
(S8)

where $p_0 = 1$ bar is the reference pressure and $\mu_{O_2}(T, p_0)$ is the reference chemical potential obtained from NIST [4].

We swap out μ_a for μ'_a and transform to obtain:

$$\Omega_{\rm surf}^{\rm SrTiO_3} = \phi - \Gamma_{\rm Sr}^{\rm Ti} \mu_{\rm Sr}' - \Gamma_{\rm O}^{\rm Ti} \mu_{\rm O}'$$
(S9)

where

$$\begin{split} \phi &= G_{\rm slab} - N_{\rm Ti} g_{\rm SrTiO_3}^{\rm bulk} - \Gamma_{\rm Sr}^{\rm Ti} g_{\rm Sr}^{\rm bulk} - \Gamma_{\rm O}^{\rm Ti} \frac{E_{\rm O_2}}{2} \\ &\approx E_{\rm slab} - N_{\rm Ti} E_{\rm SrTiO_3}^{\rm bulk} - \Gamma_{\rm Sr}^{\rm Ti} E_{\rm Sr}^{\rm bulk} - \Gamma_{\rm O}^{\rm Ti} \frac{E_{\rm O_2}}{2} \end{split}$$

 $G_{\rm slab}$ is approximated by the slab energy $E_{\rm slab}.$ For thermodynamic stability, $\mu'_{\rm Sr}<0,$ $\mu'_{\rm O}<0.$

Note: In our main text, we denote μ'_{Sr} and μ'_O as μ_{Sr} and μ_O respectively for simplicity.

VSSR-MC samples according to the grand potential Ω_G , which is equivalent to Ω_{surf} after approximations:

$$\Omega_{\rm G}^{\rm SrTiO_3} = E_{\rm slab} - Ts_{\rm slab} - N_{\rm Sr}\mu_{\rm Sr} - N_{\rm Ti}\mu_{\rm Ti} - N_{\rm O}\mu_{\rm O}$$
(S10)
$$= G_{\rm slab} - pv_{\rm slab} - N_{\rm Sr}\mu_{\rm Sr} - N_{\rm Ti}\mu_{\rm Ti} - N_{\rm O}\mu_{\rm O}$$
$$\approx G_{\rm slab} - N_{\rm Sr}\mu_{\rm Sr} - N_{\rm Ti}\mu_{\rm Ti} - N_{\rm O}\mu_{\rm O}$$
$$= \Omega_{\rm surf}^{\rm SrTiO_3}$$

References

- [S1] Reuter, K. & Scheffler, M. Composition, structure, and stability of RuO₂(110) as a function of oxygen pressure. *Physical Review B* 65 (3), 035406 (2001). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.65.035406.
- [S2] Heifets, E., Ho, J. & Merinov, B. Density functional simulation of the $BaZrO_3(011)$ surface structure. *Physical Review B* **75** (15), 155431 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.155431.
- [S3] Heifets, E., Piskunov, S., Kotomin, E. A., Zhukovskii, Y. F. & Ellis, D. E. Electronic structure and thermodynamic stability of double-layered SrTiO₃(001) surfaces: *Ab initio* simulations. *Physical Review B* **75** (11), 115417 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.115417.
- [S4] Allison, T. C. NIST-JANAF Thermochemical Tables SRD 13 (2013). URL https://janaf.nist.gov/.