nature computational science

Article <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43588-024-00593-9>

Automated discovery of algorithms from data

In the format provided by the authors and unedited

Table of Contents

Supplementary Section 1: Deep distilling algorithm description and pseudocode

The following two pages contain an overview of the deep distilling algorithm and its various parts. The pseudocode gives an outline of how an ENN is trained, condensed, and then written as code. Brief descriptions of these seven functions are below.

- 1. **DeepDistilling:** The overall workflow for the deep distilling algorithm
- 2. **TrainENN:** The method by which a basic ENN is trained, as previously described (*16*)
- 3. **LearnSubconcepts:** The two new semi-supervised methods for clustering training data into subconcepts
- 4. **CondenseENN:** The overall workflow to condense an ENN
- 5. **OrganizeNeurons:** The method by which the neurons in an ENN layer are organized into groups based upon similar connectivity in order to enable the creation of for-loops
- 6. **InterpretFunction:** The method by which a group's connectivity pattern is analyzed for various types of logical functions with or without condensed variables in order to provide interpretability to the weighted-sum representation of neuron data-processing
- 7. **WriteCode:** The method by which the condensed ENN (consisting of functions and neuron groups) is turned into computer code.

1. function DEEPDISTILLING(*samples*, *labels*)

 $enn \leftarrow \text{TramENN}(samples, labels)$ $code ← CONDENSEENN(*enn*, shape(*samples*[0]))$ **print** *code* to an output file

2. function TRAINENN(*samples*, *labels*):

//Set up concepts *conceptLabels* ¬ unique values in *labels* $concepts \leftarrow [$] **for** each *label* in *conceptLabels concept* = set with indices of *labels* that match *label concepts*.push(concept)

//Unsupervised learning of subconcepts *subconcepts* ¬ LEARNSUBCONCEPTS (*samples*, *concepts*)

//Supervised learning of differentia neurons

 $diffLayer \leftarrow \{weights: []$, biases: [] } **for** each *sub1* in *subconcepts* **for** each *sub2* in *subconcepts* such that *sub1*'s concept != *sub2*'s concept

svm ¬ trained linear SVM between *samples*[*sub1*] and *samples*[*sub2*] *diffLayer*.*weights*.concatenate(*svm.weights*) *diffLayer*.*biases*.concatenate(*svm.biases*)

//Supervised learning of subconcept neurons *diff* ¬ sign(*samples***diffLayer.weights* + *diffLayer.biases*) $subLayer \leftarrow \{weights: []$, biases: [] $\}$ **for** each *sub* in *subconcepts* $subComplement \leftarrow all samples not in *sub*'s concept$ *svm* ¬ trained linear SVM between *diff*[*sub*] and *diff*[*subComplement*] *subLayer*.*weights*.concatenate(*svm.weights*) *subLayer*.*biases*.concatenate(*svm.biases*)

//Supervised learning of concept neurons *subc* ¬ sign(*diff***subLayer.weights* + *subLayer.biases*) *concLayer* ← {weights: [], biases: [] } **for** each *conc* in *concepts concComplement* ← all samples not in *conc svm* ¬ trained linear SVM between *subc*[*conc*] and *subc*[*concComplement*]

concLayer.*weights*.concatenate(*svm.weights*) *concLayer*.*biases*.concatenate(*svm.biases*)

return [*diffLayer*, *subLayer*, *concLayer*]

3. function LEARNSUBCONCEPTS (*samples*, *concepts*):

 $minNumSubconcepts \leftarrow length(concepts)+1$

//Ensure familial resemblance of subconcepts *subconcepts* ¬ *concepts* **if** *samples* are binary **then** $subconcepts \leftarrow [$] **for** *conc* in *concepts graph* ¬ graph where nodes are *samples* in *conc* and edge (i,j) exists if *samples*[i] • *samples*[j] > 0 *subconcepts*.extend(components in *graph*) //OPTION 1: Hierarchical clustering $trees \leftarrow [$] **for** each *subc* in *subconcepts tree* ¬ hierarchical cluster ing of *samples* in *subconc trees*.push(*tree*) $cutHeight \leftarrow$ height such that cutting all *trees* results in at least *minNumSubconcepts* total clusters **while** length(*subconcepts*) < length(*samples*) *subconcepts* ¬ result of cutting *trees* at *cutHeight linearlySeparable* ¬ TRUE **for** each *sub1* in *subconcepts* **for** each *sub2* in *subconcepts svm* ← trained linear SVM between *samples*[*sub1*] and *samples*[*sub2*] **if** *svm*.*error* > 0 **then** *linearlySeparable* ¬ FALSE **break** out of for-loops **if** *linearlySeparable* **then break** out of while-loop *cutHeight* ← increase to increment total number of subconcepts by 1

returnclusters formed by cutting *trees* at *cutHeight*

//OPTION 2: Iteratively divide subconcepts **while** length(*subconcepts*) < length(*samples*) $maxError \leftarrow -1$ $split \leftarrow \emptyset$ **for** each *sub1* in *subconcepts* **for** each *sub2* in *subconcepts svm* ← trained linear SVM between *samples*[*sub1*] and *samples*[*sub2*] **if** *svm*.*error* > *maxError* **then** *maxError* ¬ *svm.error* $split \leftarrow sub1$ **if** $svm.err1>swm.err2$ **else** $sub2$ **if** length(*subconcepts*) >= *minNumSubconcepts* **then if** *maxError* == 0 **then break** out of for-loop $newSub \leftarrow$ misclassified samples from *split split* ¬ *split**newSub subconcepts*.push(*newSub*)

return *subconcepts*

4. function CONDENSEENN(*enn,shape*)

 $code \leftarrow$ function header as a string **for** each *layer* in *enn groups* ¬ ORGANIZENEURONS (*layer, shape*) **for** each *group* in *groups function* ¬ INTERPRETFUNCTION(*group*) *code* += WRITECODE(*function*) *code* += appropriate return statement as a string **return** *code*

5. function ORGANIZENEURONS (*layer,shape*)

//Step 1: Create formatted neurons

 $neurons \leftarrow [$]

for each *column* in *layer*

weights ¬ *column*

 $maxWeight \leftarrow max(abs(weights))$

weights /= *maxWeight*

 $weights \leftarrow scale \ weights$ such that all values are integers *neurons*.push(**new** Neuron(*weights*))

//Step 2: Find intra-neuron patterns

for each *neuron* in *neurons*

uniqueWeights ¬ unique weights in *neuron*.*weights neuron.patterns* ¬ [] **for** each *u* in *uniqueWeights*

 $inGroup,$ *inIndices* \leftarrow the group(s) and indices from input *shape* weighted by *u*

 $patternType \leftarrow check through defined pattern types$ for one that matches *inIndices*

neuron.patterns.push(**new** Pattern(*u*, *inGroup*, *pattern-Type*, *inIndices*)

//Step 3: Put matching neurons in groups

 $groups \leftarrow [$] **for** each *neuron* in *neurons* $matches \leftarrow [$] **for** each *n* in *neurons* $i sMatch \leftarrow TRUE$ **for** each *pattern* in *neuron*.*patterns isMatch* ¬ boolean: *n.patterns* has a pattern with the same *u*, *inGroup*, and *patternType* as *pattern*

if NOT *isMatch* **then break** out of for-loop **if** *isMatch* **then** *matches*.push(*n*) *groups*.push(**new** Group(*neuron.patterns*, *neuron.weights*,

matches)) *neurons*.remove(*matches*)

return *groups*

6. function INTERPRETFUNCTION(*group*)

//Check for conjunction

 $xMax \leftarrow (1 + sign($ *group*.*weights* $))/2$ **if** *xMax***group.weights* + *group.bias* > 0 **then if** $(xMax-1) * group. weights + group. bias \leq 0$ **then return new** Function("conjunction", *group*)

//Check for disjunction

 $xMin \leftarrow (1\text{-sign}(group.weights))/2$ **if** *xMin***group.weights* + *group.bias* < 0 **then if** $(xMin+1)$ ^{*}*group.weights* + *group.bias* >= 0 **then return new** Function("disjunction", *group*)

//Check for Boolean formula

if length(*group.weights*)<5 **then** //Function("Boolean") performs the Quine-McCluskey algorithm **return new** Function("Boolean", *group*)

//Check for nested logic

if length(*group*.*condensedVars*) == 2 **then** *grid* ¬ grid of all values that *group.condensedVars* can be *gridOutput* ¬ sign(*grid***group.u* + *group.biases*) **if** number of rows of *gridOutput* containing different values \leq = 3 **then return new** Function("nested by row", *group*) **if** number of columns of *gridOutput* containing different values <= 3 **then return new** Function("nested by col", *group*)

//If nothing else, just have function print $ulc1 + u2c2 + ...$ **return new** Function("weighted sum", *group*)

7. function WRITECODE(*function*)

 $\textit{code} \leftarrow$ initialization of function's output, as a string *if* function for *Loop* $\mathbf{I} = \emptyset$ **then** \textit{code} += for-loop line over relevant values **if** \hat{a} *function.condensedVars* != \emptyset **then** code += declaring & initializing condensed variables *code* += *function*.*toString*()

return *code*

Supplementary Section 2: Code produced by deep distilling

On the following pages is the code as produced by deep distilling. For each problem, we have included the code twice. On the left side is the raw code as output by the ENN condenser. This code has certain values hard-coded into it. On the right is the generalized code found as described in the Methods that allows for inputs of arbitrary size. The code is written in Python. Above each we have endeavored to provide descriptions of what each variable is doing to provide an interpretation of what each variable is doing, particularly in relation to the initial model inputs.

In each case the variables that are automatically assigned are fairly nondescript. Variables that start with "D" correspond to differentia neurons in the ENN and are meant to distinguish specific subconcepts from one another. Variables that start with "S" correspond to subconcept neurons in the ENN and are meant to distinguish a specific subconcept from everything else. Variables that start with "C" correspond to the output concept neurons.

The only manual changes to the code are the addition of comment strings and the addition of some blank lines to help align the single-case and generalized code.

Supplementary Section 2a: Distilled code to update a Rule 30 cellular automaton

This algorithm implements the rule 30 cellular automaton exactly as one would expect, albeit with a bit of redundancy due to fitting its logic into the basic ENN framework. The code is below, and above it is a description of the 7 variables created as part of the distilled algorithm. In the description, the logic from the code is re-presented in terms of the original three central cells (denoted by LEFT, CENTER, and RIGHT) in order to see how the rule 30 logic comes about.

Supplementary Section 2b: Distilled code to update a Rule 110 cellular automaton

The results here are similar to the Rule 30 cellular automaton above. Notice how the distilled code for rule 110 is the exact same as for rule 30 after the first two differentia variables D1 and D2. Below is a similar description of each of the 7 variables found in the distilled code.

- $DI = CENTER$ or RIGHT
- $D2 = not (LEFT and CENTER and RIGHT)$
- $S1 = (CENTER or RIGHT)$ and not (LEFT and CENTER and RIGHT) # RULE110
- $S2 = not (CENTER or RIGHT)$
- $S3 = LEFT$ and CENTER and RIGHT
- $CI = not (CENTER or RIGHT)$ or (LEFT and CENTER and RIGHT) # not (RULE110)
- $C2 = (CENTER or RIGHT)$ and not (LEFT and CENTER and RIGHT) # RULE110
- return \rightarrow (CENTER or RIGHT) and not (LEFT and CENTER and RIGHT) # RULE110

```
def rule110_3(I):
   #I is a 3-cell grid, with cell 1 being the cell to update
   D1 = I[1] or I[2]D2 = (not I[0]) or (not I[1]) or (not I[2])S1 = (D1 and D2)S2 = (not D1)S3 = (not D2)C1 = (not S1) or (S2 and S3)C2 = (S1 and (not S3) or (S1 and (not S2) and S3)return C2 and not C1
                                                            def rule110(I, n):
                                                               #I is an n-cell grid, with cell (n-1)/2 being the cell 
                                                                to update
                                                               DI = I[(n-1)/2] or I[(n-1)/2 + 1]D2 = (not I[(n-1)/2 - 1]) or (not I[(n-1)/2]) or (not II[(n-1)/2 + 1])S1 = (D1 and D2)S2 = (not D1)S3 = (not D2)C1 = (not S1) or (S2 and S3)C2 = (S1 and (not S3) or (S1 and (not S2) and S3)return C2 and not C1
```
Supplementary Section 2c: Distilled code to update any elementary cellular automaton

Deep distilling figured out how to basically create a lookup table for the automaton grid and then select the precise update based upon particular bits from the rule vector. As above, LEFT, CEN-TER, and RIGHT signify the three central cells of the grid, and variables are mostly described in relation to these initial inputs.

- D1-8: holds the bitwise negated form of the 8-bit rule vector R
- D9-10: hold CENTER and (not CENTER), respectively
- $D11 = not (LEFT)$ and not $(RIGHT)$
- $D12 = LEFT$ and not (RIGHT)
- $D13 = not (LEFT)$ and RIGHT
- $D14 = LEFT$ and RIGHT

- $C1 = any(odd S variables)$
- $C2 = any(even S variables)$
- return \rightarrow for each unique possible state of the automaton grid, return a specific bit value from the rule vector

```
def elementary_automata_3(I1, I2):
   #I1 is the 8-bit encoding of the rule number. I2 is a 
   3-cell grid, with cell 1 being the cell to update
   D1 = (not I1[0])D2 = (not I1[1])D3 = (not I1[2])
   D4 = (not I1[3])D5 = (not I1[4])
   D6 = (not I1[5])D7 = (not I1[6])DS = (not I1[7])D9 = (not I2[1])
   D10 = I2[1]D11 = 0.5if ((not I2[0]) and (not I2[2])):
      D11 = 1elif (not I2[2]) or (not I2[0]):
      D11 = 0D12 = 0.5if (I2[0] and (not I2[2])):
      D12 = 1
   elif (not I2[2]) or I2[0]:
      D12 = 0D13 = 0.5if (I2[2] and (not I2[0])):
      D13 = 1elif (not I2[0]) or I2[2]:
      D13 = 0D14 = 0.5if (I2[0] and I2[2]):
      D14 = 1elif I2[2] or I2[0]:
     D14 = 0S1 = (D14 \text{ and } D1 \text{ and } D10)S2 = ((not D1) and (not D9) and (not D11))S3 = (D12 and D2 and D10)
   S4 = ((not D2) and (not D9) and (not D13))S5 = (D14 and D3 and D9)
   S6 = ((not D3) and (not D10) and (not D11))
   S7 = (D12 \text{ and } D4 \text{ and } D9)S8 = ((not D4) and (not D10) and (not D13))S9 = (D13 \text{ and } D5 \text{ and } D10)S10 = ((not D5) and (not D9) and (not D12))S11 = (D11 and D6 and D10)
   S12 = ((not D6) and (not D9) and (not D14))S13 = (D13 and D7 and D9)
   S14 = ((not D7) and (not D10) and (not D12))S15 = (D11 and D8 and D9)
   S16 = ((not D8) and (not D10) and (not D14))C1 = S15 or S13 or S11 or S9 or S7 or S5 or S3 or S1
   C2 = S16 or S14 or S12 or S10 or S8 or S6 or S4 or S2
```

```
def elementary_automata(I1, I2, n):
   #I1 is the 8-bit encoding of the rule number. I2 is an 
   n-cell grid, with cell (n-1)/2 being the cell to update
   D1 = (not I1[0])D2 = (not I1[1])D3 = (not I1[2])
   D4 = (not I1[3])D5 = (not I1[4])
   D6 = (not I1[5])D7 = (not I1[6])DS = (not 11[7])D9 = (not I2[(n-1)/2])
   D10 = I2[(n-1)/2]D11 = 0.5if ((not I2[(n-1)/2 - 1]) and (not I2[(n-1)/2 + 1])):
      D11 = 1elif (not I2[(n-1)/2 + 1]) nor (not I2[(n-1)/2 - 1]):
      D11 = 0D12 = 0.5if (I2[(n-1)/2 - 1] and (not I2[(n-1)/2 + 1])):
      D12 = 1elif (not I2[(n-1)/2 + 1]) nor I2[(n-1)/2 - 1]:
      D12 = 0D13 = 0.5if (I2[(n-1)/2 + 1] and (not I2[(n-1)/2 - 1])):
       D13 = 1elif (not I2[(n-1)/2 - 1]) nor I2[(n-1)/2 + 1]:
      D13 = 0D14 = 0.5if (I2[(n-1)/2 - 1] and I2[(n-1)/2 + 1]):
      D14 = 1elif I2[(n-1)/2 + 1] nor I2[(n-1)/2 - 1]:
      D14 = 0S1 = (D14 \text{ and } D1 \text{ and } D10)S2 = ((not D1) and (not D9) and (not D11))S3 = (D12 and D2 and D10)
   S4 = ((not D2) and (not D9) and (not D13))S5 = (D14 and D3 and D9)
   S6 = ((not D3) and (not D10) and (not D11))
   S7 = (D12 \text{ and } D4 \text{ and } D9)S8 = ((not D4) and (not D10) and (not D13))
   S9 = (D13 and D5 and D10)
   S10 = ((not D5) and (not D9) and (not D12))S11 = (D11 and D6 and D10)
   S12 = ((not D6) and (not D9) and (not D14))S13 = (D13 and D7 and D9)
   S14 = ((not D7) and (not D10) and (not D12))S15 = (D11 and D8 and D9)
   S16 = ((not D8) and (not D10) and (not D14))
```
 $C1 = S15$ or S13 or S11 or S9 or S7 or S5 or S3 or S1 $C2 = S16$ or S14 or S12 or S10 or S8 or S6 or S4 or S2

return C2 and not C1

```
return C2 and not C1
```
Supplementary Section 2d: Distilled code to update a Game of Life cellular automaton

For the Game of Life, the distilled code essentially builds up the different cases leading to death and life as expected per the rules. The nested non-linearities in the rules require the ENN to build up these cases sequentially, even if there are a couple redundancies along the way. Below is a description of each of the variables condensed from the ENN, where CENTER indicates the central cell of the grid.

- \bullet D1 = CENTER
- part_sum (aka NEIGHBORHOOD) = sum of the 8 cells surrounding the center
- $D2 = NEIGHBORHOOD \leq 3$
- \bullet D₃ = NEIGHBORHOOD > 1
- \bullet D4 = NEIGHBORHOOD > 2
- $S1 = \text{CENTER}$ and (NEIGHBORHOOD=1 or NEIGHBORHOOD=2)
- $S2 = NEIGHBORHOOD = 3$
- $S3 = NEIGHBORHOOD > 3$
- $S4 = (not \text{ CENTER})$ and $(NEIGHBORHOOD \leq 2)$
- $S5 = NEIGHBORHOOD \leq 1$
- $CI = (NEIGHBORHOOD \leq 1)$ or (NEIGHBORHOOD > 3) or ((not CENTER) and NEIGHBORHOOD=2)
- $C2 = (NEIGHBORHOOD=3)$ or (CENTER and (NEIGHBORHOOD=1 or NEIGHBORHOOD=2))
- return (NEIGHBORHOOD=3) or (CENTER and (NEIGHBORHOOD=1 or NEIGHBORHOOD=2))

def game_of_life_3(I): #I is a 3x3 grid, with the center cell being the cell to update $D1 = I[1, 1]$ $D2 = 0$ part_sum = $(I[0,0] + I[0,1] + I[0,2] + I[1,0] +$ $I[1,2] + I[2,0] + I[2,1] + I[2,2])$ if part sum ≤ 3 : $D2 = 1$ elif part sum > 3: $D2 = -1$ $D3 = 0$ part_sum = $(I[0,0] + I[0,1] + I[0,2] + I[1,0] +$ $I[1,2] + I[2,0] + I[2,1] + I[2,2])$ if part_sum > 1: D3 = 1 elif part_sum <= 1: $D3 = -1$ $D4 = 0$ $part_sum = (I[0,0] + I[0,1] + I[0,2] + I[1,0] +$ $I[1,2] + I[2,0] + I[2,1] + I[2,2])$ if part_sum > 2: $D3 = 1$ elif part sum <= 2: $D3 = -1$ $S1 = (D1>0$ and $D2>0$ and $D3>0$) $S2 = (D2>0$ and $D4>0)$ S3 = (not D2>0) S4 = ((not D1>0) and (not D4>0)) S5 = (not D3>0) $C1 = (S3 or S4 or S5)$ $C2 = (S1 or S2)$ return C2 and not C1

def game of $life(I, n)$: #I is an nxn grid, with the center cell being the cell to update $D1 = I[(n-1)/2, (n-1)/2]$ $D2 = 0$ part_sum = $(I[(n-1)/2-1, (n-1)/2-1] + I[(n-1)/2-1]$ 1, $(n-1)/2$] + I[$(n-1)/2-1$, $(n-1)/2+1$] + I[$(n-1)/2+1$] $1)/2$, $(n-1)/2-1$ + I[$(n-1)/2$, $(n-1)/2+1$] + $I[(n-1)/2+1, (n-1)/2-1] + I[(n-1)/2+1, (n-1)/2]$ + I[(n-1)/2+1, (n-1)/2+1]) if part sum > 3 : $D2 = 1$ elif part sum <= 3: $D2 = -1$ $D3 = 0$ part_sum = $(I[(n-1)/2-1, (n-1)/2-1] + I[(n-1)/2-1]$ 1, $(n-1)/2$] + I[$(n-1)/2-1$, $(n-1)/2+1$] + I[$(n-1)$ 1)/2, $(n-1)/2-1$ + I[$(n-1)/2$, $(n-1)/2+1$ + I[2, $(n-1)/2-1$] + I[$(n-1)/2+1$, $(n-1)/2$] + I[$(n-1)$ 1)/2+1, (n-1)/2+1]) if part_sum > 1: $D3 = 1$ elif part sum <= 1: $D3 = -1$ $D4 = 0$ $part_sum = (I[(n-1)/2-1, (n-1)/2-1] + I[(n-1)/2-1]$ 1, $(n-1)/2$ + I[$(n-1)/2-1$, $(n-1)/2+1$ + I[$(n-1)$ 1)/2, $(n-1)/2-1$ + I[$(n-1)/2$, $(n-1)/2+1$ + I[2, $(n-1)/2-1$] + I[$(n-1)/2+1$, $(n-1)/2$] + I[$(n-1)/2$] 1)/2+1, (n-1)/2+1]) if part_sum > 2: $D3 = 1$ elif part sum <= 2: $D3 = -1$ $S1 = (D1)0$ and $D2>0$ and $D3>0$ $S2 = (D2>0$ and $D4>0)$ $S3 = (not D2>0)$ S4 = ((not D1>0) and (not D4>0)) S5 = (not D3>0) $C1 = (S3 and S5)$ or $(S3 and S4 and (not S5))$ $C2 = (S1 and S2)$

return C2 and not C1

Supplementary Section 2e: Distilled code to find the maximum absolute value

Because basic ENNs do not have any recurrent connections, it is not possible for them to iterate over the array of numbers and store the running maximum magnitude. Instead, it compares each number with all other numbers and with the negative of those numbers as well. In order for a number to have the maximum magnitude, it has to either win all of these comparisons or lose all of them. The distilled code returns whichever index won all of these comparisons. A description of the variables created in the distilled code is below.

- D1 = 2D array containing all comparisons of $x_i > x_j$
- D2 = 2D array containing all comparisons of x_i > $-x_i$
- S1 = 1D array containing whether an x_i won all comparisons in D1 and D2 i.e. $S1[i] = all(D1[i, :])$ and $all(D2[i, :])$
- S2 = 1D array containing whether an x_i won no comparisons in D1 and D2 i.e. $S2[i] = not$ (any(D1[i,:]) or any(D2[i,:]))
	- \circ row_sum_1 and row_sum_2 = the sum of all values in either D1 or D2, respectively
- $\mathcal{C} = 1D$ array containing whether an x_i was the winner in either S1 or S2 i.e. $C[i] = S1[i]$ or $S2[i]$
- return \rightarrow the index of C that won all comparisons

import numpy as np import random def absmax_20(I): #I is an array of 20 numbers D1 = np.zeros((20, 20)) for i in range(20): for j in range(20): if $i == j$: continue value $1 = I[i]$ $value_2 = I[j]$ if value_1 > value_2: $D1[i,j] = 1$ elif value_1 < value_2: $D1[i,j] = -1$ $D2 = np{\cdot}zeros((20, 20))$ for i in range(20): for j in range(20): if $i == j$: continue $value_1 = I[i]$ $value_2 = I[j]$ if value $1 > -$ value 2: $D2[i,j] = 1$ elif value_1 < -value_2: $D2[i,j] = -1$ S1 = np.zeros(20) for i in range(20): row sum $1 = np.sum(D1[i, :])$ $row_sum_2 = np.sum(D2[i, :])$ if row_sum_1 \leftarrow 18: $S1[i] = -1$ elif row_sum_2 < 18: S1[i] = -1 $elif row_sum_1 + row_sum_2 > -37$: $S1[i] = 1$ else: $S1[i] = -1$ S2 = np.zeros(20) for i in range(20): $row_sum_1 = np.sum(D1[i, :])$ row sum $2 = np.sum(D2[i, :])$ $if row_sum_1 > -18:$ $S2[i] = -1$ elif $row_sum_2 > -18$: S2[i] = -1 $elif -row_sum_1 - row_sum_2 > -37$: S2[i] = 1 else: $S2[i] = -1$ $C = np{\text .}zeros(20)$ for i in range(20): $C[i] = 20*S2[i] + 20*S1[i] - np.sum(S2) - np.sum(S1)$ results = $np.where(C == max(C))[0]$ return random.choice(results)

import numpy as np import random def absmax(I, n): #I is an array of n numbers $D1 = np{\text .}zeros((n, n))$ for i in range(n): for j in range(n): if $i == j$: continue value $1 = I[i]$ $value_2 = I[j]$ if value_1 > value_2: $D1[i,j] = 1$ elif value_1 < value_2: $D1[i,j] = -1$ $D2 = np{\cdot}zeros((n, n))$ for i in range(n): for j in range(n): if $i == j$: continue $value_1 = I[i]$ $value_2 = I[j]$ if value_1 > -value_2: $D2[i,j] = 1$ elif value_1 < -value_2: $D2[i,j] = -1$ $S1 = np{\text .}zeros(n)$ for i in range(n): row sum $1 = np.sum(D1[i, :])$ $row_sum_2 = np.sum(D2[i, :])$ if $row_sum_1 < n-2$: S1[i] = -1 elif row_sum_2 < n-2: $SI[i] = -1$ $elif row_sum_1 + row_sum_2 > 3-2*n:$ $S1[i] = 1$ else: $S1[i] = -1$ S2 = np.zeros(n) for i in range(n): $row_sum_1 = np.sum(D1[i, :])$ row sum_2 = $np.sum(D2[i, :])$ $if row_sum_1 > 2-n:$ $S2[i] = -1$ elif row_sum_2 > 2-n: S2[i] = -1 $elif -row_sum_1 - row_sum_2 > 3-2*n:$ S2[i] = 1 else: $S2[i] = -1$ C = np.zeros(n) for i in range(n): $C[i] = n*S2[i] + n*S1[i] - np.sum(S2) - np.sum(S1)$ results = $np.where(C == max(C))[0]$ return random.choice(results)

Supplementary Section 2f: Distilled code to find the best assignment for MAX-SAT

The distilled code for this problem goes through each clause of the Boolean formula individually to determine whether there are any other variables present in the formula besides the first. Then it determines for either case what the difference is in the number of clauses that have the first variable present as a positive—rather than a negative—literal. It weights the two cases differently (by 10 and 2.298, respectively) and returns the sigmoid output of this.

- $D1 = 1D$ array indicating for each clause if any of the other variables are present
- $D2 = 1D$ array indicating for each clause if all of the other variables are absent
- $D3 = 1D$ array indicating for each clause the negation of the first variable, and if it is absent then indicating if any other variables are present
	- \circ col_mean = half the percentage of other literals present in the clause
- $D4 = 1D$ array indicating for each clause the value of the first variable, and if it is absent then indicating if any other variables are present
	- \circ col_mean = half the percentage of other literals present in the clause
- \bullet $D5 = 1D$ array indicating for each clause if the first variable is present and POSITIVE
- $D6 = 1D$ array indicating for each clause if the first variable is present and NEGATIVE
- $S1 = 1D$ array indicating for each clause if the first variable is NEGATIVE and there are other variables present (aka NEG-OTHERS)
- $S2 = 1D$ array indicating for each clause if the first variable is NEGATIVE and there are no other variables present (aka NEG-ALONE)
- $S3 = 1D$ array indicating for each clause if the first variable is POSITIVE and there are other variables present (aka POS-OTHERS)
- $S4 = 1D$ array indicating for each clause if the first variable is POSITIVE and there are no other variables present (aka POS-ALONE)
- $C1 = 10 * \Sigma$ (POS-OTHERS NEG-OTHERS) + 2.298 $* \Sigma$ (POS-ALONE NEG-ALONE)
- $C2 = -C1$
- return \rightarrow sigmoid(2*C1)

def maxsat 10 $50(I)$: #I is an input of size 10x50 (5 one-hot-encoded Boolean variables, 50 clauses) D1 = np.zeros(50) for i in range(50): if np.any(I[2:, i]!=0): $D1[i] = -1$ else: $D1[i] = 1$ $D2 = np{\cdot}zeros(50)$ for i in range(50): if np.any(I[2:, i]!=0): D2[i] = 1 else: $D2[i] = -1$ $D3 = np{\cdot}zeros(50)$ for i in range(50): col mean = $np.macan(I[2:, i])$ if I[1, i] + col_mean - I[0, i] > 0: D3[i] = 1 else: $D3[i] = -1$ $D4 = np{\cdot}zeros(50)$ for i in range(50): col mean = $np.macan(I[2:, i])$ if $I[0, i] + col_mean - I[1, i] > 0$: D4[i] = 1 else: $D4[i] = -1$ D5 = np.zeros(50) for i in range(50): if (I[0, i] and (not I[1, 0])): $DS[i] = 1$ elif (not I[1, 0]) or I[0, i]: $DS[i] = -1$ $D6 = np{\cdot}zeros(50)$ for i in range(50): if (I[1, i] and (not I[0, 0])): $D6[i] = 1$ elif (not I[0, 0]) or I[1, i]: $D6[i] = -1$ $S1 = np{\cdot}zeros(50)$ for i in range(50): S1[i] = (D6[i]>0 and D1[i]>0) S2 = np.zeros(50) for i in range(50): $S2[i] = (D2[i]>0$ and $D3[i]>0)$ S3 = np.zeros(50) for i in range(50): S3[i] = (D5[i]>0 and D1[i]>0) $S4 = np{\cdot}zeros(50)$ for i in range(50): S4[i] = (D2[i]>0 and D4[i]>0) $C1 = 10.0*np.sum(S3) + 2.298*np.sum(S4) -$ 2.298*np.sum(S2) - 10.0*np.sum(S1) $C2 = 10.0*np.sum(S1) + 2.298*np.sum(S2) -$ 2.298*np.sum(S4) - 10.0*np.sum(S3) $C = [C1, C2]$ return np.exp(C)/np.sum(np.exp(C))

import numpy as np

def maxsat(I, n, m): #I is an input of size mxn (m one-hot-encoded Boolean variables, n clauses) D1 = np.zeros(n) for i in range(n): if np.any(I[2:, i]!=0): $D1[i] = -1$ else: $D1[i] = 1$ D2 = np.zeros(n) for i in range(n): if np.any(I[2:, i]!=0): $D2[i] = 1$ else: $D2[i] = -1$ $D3 = np{\cdot}zeros(n)$ for i in range(n): $col_mean = np_mean(I[2:, i])$ if I[1, i] + col_mean - I[0, i] > 0: D3[i] = 1 else: D3[i] = -1 D4 = np.zeros(n) for i in range(n): col mean = $np.macan(I[2:, i])$ if $\overline{I}[0, i] + col_mean - I[1, i] > 0$: D4[i] = 1 else: $D4[i] = -1$ D5 = np.zeros(n) for i in range(n): if (I[0, i] and (not I[1, 0])): $DS[i] = 1$ elif (not I[1, 0]) or I[0, i]: $D5[i] = -1$ D6 = np.zeros(n) for i in range(n): if (I[1, i] and (not I[0, 0])): D6[i] = 1 elif (not I[0, 0]) or I[1, i]: $D6[i] = -1$ $S1 = np{\cdot}zeros(n)$ for i in range(n): S1[i] = (D6[i]>0 and D1[i]>0) $S2 = np{\cdot}zeros(n)$ for i in range(n): $S2[i] = (D2[i]>0$ and $D3[i]>0)$ S3 = np.zeros(n) for i in range (n) : $S3[i] = (D5[i])\,0$ and $D1[i]\,0)$ $S4 = np{\cdot}zeros(n)$ for i in range(n): S4[i] = (D2[i]>0 and D4[i]>0) $C1 = 10.0*np.sum(S3) + 2.298*np.sum(S4) -$ 2.298*np.sum(S2) - 10.0*np.sum(S1) $C2 = 10.0*np.sum(S1) + 2.298*np.sum(S2) -$ 2.298*np.sum(S4) - 10.0*np.sum(S3) $C = [C1, C2]$

import numpy as np

```
return np.exp(C)/np.sum(np.exp(C))
```
Supplementary Section 2g: Distilled code to find a shape's orientation

The distilled code learns a nonintuitive algorithm. In most cases it essentially determines whether overall there are more columns that have greater total brightness than rows (i.e. a vertical orientation). However, there are interesting edge cases handled where the margin of difference in the column-row comparisons outside of the column (or row) in question is very close, in which case it has a series of tiebreakers that account for the given column (or row). A description of the variables appearing in the distilled code is below.

- $D = a 2D$ matrix the same size as the image containing, pixel-by-pixel, whether the sum total brightness of each column is greater than that of each row
- col_sum = the sum total brightness of a column
- row_sum = the sum total brightness of a row
- $S1 = 1D$ array; if the total margin of victory for columns over rows is great enough, all values will be TRUE; if the total margin of victory is very close, there are a couple of tiebreakers (for example, whether a column won any comparisons at all)
	- \circ row_sum = the margin of victory for the pixel-by-pixel comparisons won by a given column in the image
	- \circ offrow_sum = the margin of victory for the pixel-by-pixel comparisons won by all other columns in the image
- $S2 = \text{same } S1$ above but flipped for rows and columns
	- \circ col_sum = the same as row_sum above, but for rows in the image
	- \circ offcol_sum = the same as offrow_sum above, but for rows in the image
- C_1 = whether columns won more than rows did
- $C2 =$ whether rows won more than columns did
- return \rightarrow VERTICAL if columns won more than rows, otherwise HORIZONTAL

import numpy as np import random def orientation 28(I, n): #I is an input image that is 28x28 #I calculate pixel score for each pixel depending on if its row or column is brighter D = np.zeros((28, 28)) for i in range(28): for j in range(28): $col_sum = np.sum(I[:, i])$ $row_sum = np.sum(I[j, :])$ if col sum > row sum: $D[\overline{i}, j] = 1$ elif col_sum < row_sum: $D[i, j] = -1$ #for each row, calculate sum of pixel scores outside of the row and compare with the image width to determine if that row is significant. Use the sum of pixel scores in the row

to break ties $S1 = np{\cdot}zeros(28)$ for i in range(28): row_sum = np.sum(D[i, :]) offrow_sum = (np.sum(D) - np.sum(D[i, :])) if offrow_sum \leftarrow -29: S1[i] = 1 elif offrow_sum > -27: $S1[i] = -1$ elif offrow_sum == -27: if $np.aI[0[i, :]=1$: S1[i] = 1 elif not $np.$ all($D[i, :]=1$): $SI[i] = -1$ elif offrow_sum == -28: if row_sum > 0: S1[i] = 1 elif row_sum < 0: $S1[i] = -1$ elif offrow_sum == -29 : if not $np.$ all($D[i, :]=-1$): $S1[i] = 1$ elif $np.a11(D[i, :]=-1)$: S1[i] = -1

```
#do the same for each column 
   S2 = np{\cdot}zeros(28)for i in range(28):
      offcol_sum = (np.sum(D) - np.sum(D[:, i]))col\_sum = np.sum(D[:, i])if offcol_sum < 27:
          S2[i] = -1elif offcol sum > 29:
          S2[i] = 1elif offcol_sum == 29:
          if np.aI1(D[:, i]=1):
              S2[i] = -1elif not np.all(D[:, i]==1):
              S2[i] = 1elif offcol sum == 28:
          if col\ sum > 0:
              S2[i] = -1elif col_sum < 0:
              S2[i] = 1
       elif offcol_sum == 27:
          if not np.al1(D[:, i]=-1):
             S2[i] = -1elif np.all(D[:, i]==-1):
              S2[i] = 1
    C1 = np.sum(S1) - np.sum(S2)
    C2 = np.sum(S2) - np.sum(S1)
   C = [C1, C2]#compare the number of significant rows versus columns
   results = np.where(C==max(C))[0]
   return random.choice(results)
```

```
import numpy as np
import random
def orientation(I, n):
#I is an input image that is nxn
#I calculate score for each pixel depending on if its row 
or column is brighter
   D = np{\text .}zeros((n, n))for i in range(n):
       for j in range(n):
           col\_sum = np.sum(I[:, i])row\_sum = np.sum(I[j, :])if col_sum > row_sum:
              D[\overline{i}, j] = 1elif col_sum < row_sum:
              D[i, j] = -1
```
#for each row, calculate sum of pixel scores outside of the row and compare with the image width to determine if that row is significant. Use the sum of pixel scores in the row to break ties

```
S1 = np{\cdot}zeros(n)for i in range(n):
       row\_sum = np.sum(D[i, :])offrow_sum = (np.sum(D) - np.sum(D[i, :]))
       if offrow_sum < -1-n:
          S1[i] = 1
       elif offrow_sum > 1-n:
          SI[i] = -1elif offrow_sum == 1-n:
          if np.aI[0[i, :]=1):
             S1[i] = 1
          elif not np.all(D[i, :]=1):
             SI[i] = -1elif offrow_sum == -n:
          if row_sum > 0:
             S1[i] = 1
           elif row_sum < 0:
              S1[i] = -1
       elif offrow_sum == -1-n:
          if not np.all(D[i, :]=-1):
             S1[i] = 1elif np.a11(D[i, :]=-1):
              S1[i] = -1
   #do the same for each column
   S2 = np{\cdot}zeros(n)for i in range(n):
       offcol_sum = (np.sum(D) - np.sum(D[:, i]))col\_sum = np.sum(D[:, i])if offcol_sum < n-1:
          S2[i] = -1elif offcol sum > n+1:
          S2[i] = 1elif offcol_sum == n+1:
          if np.aI1(D[:, i]=1):
             S2[i] = -1elif not np.all(D[:, i]==1):
             S2[i] = 1
       elif offcol sum == n:
          if col\_sum > 0:
             S2[i] = -1elif col_sum < 0:
              S2[i] = 1
       elif offcol_sum == n-1:
          if not np.all(D[:, i]==-1):
             S2[i] = -1elif np.all(D[:, i]==-1):
             S2[i] = 1
   C1 = np.sum(S1) - np.sum(S2)
   C2 = np.sum(S2) - np.sum(S1)
   C = [C1, C2]#compare the number of significant rows versus columns
```

```
results = np.where(C==max(C))[0]
return random.choice(results)
```


Supplementary Fig. 1. Deep learning lacks performance guarantees. Even though this deep learning model had almost perfect performance (i.e. 0.002% error on test images), the occurrence of a rare error is able to propagate and grow over time. The image on the right is a simple example of what this can look like, when a single error can grow and produce different behavior than it should (highlighted in yellow). This demonstrates the importance of having performance guarantees.

Supplementary Fig. 2. Deep distilled code generalizes across input sizes and complexities. The distilled algorithms were able to generalize to arbitrary input sizes for the (a) maximum absolute value, (b) MAX-SAT, and (c) shape orientation problems. **a,** Training occurred on input sizes of 18, 19, and 20 numbers, all in the set $\{-1,0,1\}$, but perfect accuracy was measured with the distilled code for sizes 10-1000 and with values in the range $[-10^{-5}, 10^{-5}]$ through $[-10^{5}, 10^{5}]$. **b,** Training data for MAX-SAT used only 8, 9, and 10 variables and 98, 99, and 100 clauses. The distilled code was able to perform well on Boolean formulae of much larger sizes, even to 1000 variables and 10,000 clauses, for both MAX-3SAT and MAX-SAT. For each, the upper plots show the percentage of clauses that were satisfied as a function of the number of clauses by the distilled code, by the pure greedy algorithm, and by the 3/4-approximation algorithm. The lower plots show the absolute difference in clauses satisfied by the two human-designed algorithm compared to the distilled code (a positive difference indicates the distilled code satisfied more clauses). **c,** Training data for shape orientations included 26x26, 27x27, and 28x28 pixel images of black images with a single white row or white column. Perfect accuracy was found on test sets of images sizes from 10x10 through 200x200, and with shapes that included variable-length lines, diagonal lines, boxes, zigzags, and dotted lines.

Horizontal	Horizontal	Horizontal	Horizontal
		I	П П
Tie П	Tie	Horizontal	Horizontal

Supplementary Fig. 3. Examples of orientation image processing. Eight different example images are shown here along with how the distilled orientation algorithm processes them. The square matrix under each image shows the pixelwise row-versus-column orientation scores, with positive results (i.e., column brighter than row) in red, negative results (i.e., row brighter than column) in blue, and tied results in white. The results of the line scores compared to the overall image are shown to the right and below this matrix, with red bands indicating where there is a significant row or column. The final output label is denoted above each image.

Supplementary Fig. 4. Deep distilling assigns meaning in ambiguous cases. Each of these images are ambiguous in terms of how they could be classified (i.e., horizontal or vertical orientation). The labels provided are what the distilled code returned for each. This illustrates how a distilled algorithm is able to provide a consistent and unambiguous standard to provide meaning in ambiguous cases.