Supplementary information for the article: Automatic design of stigmergy-based behaviours for robot swarms

Muhammad Salman, David Garzón Ramos, and Mauro Birattari

Contents

1	Supplementary Note 1: Scalability of automatically generated stigmergy-based be- haviours for a swarm of robots	5
2	Supplementary Note 2: Robustness to the reality gap	9
3	Supplementary Note 3: Manual control software design for robot swarms	11
4	Supplementary Note 4: Manual design spreadsheet	21
5	Supplementary Note 5: Technical datasheet	23

Contents

Supplementary Note 1: Scalability of automatically generated stigmergy-based behaviours for a swarm of robots

In a robot swarm, the behaviour of each individual robot is affected by the actions of its neighbouring peer. It is therefore to be expected that the performance of the swarm might vary with the robot density, and therefore be affected by the number of robots and by the size of the environment. In this study, due to the limitations of the available experimental equipment, we were constrained to perform real-robot experiments with eight robots. Therefore, we explored the scalability of the stigmergy-based behaviours produced by Habanero through experiments in simulation. We considered nine scenarios characterised by different combinations of three arena sizes and three swarm sizes.

The three arena sizes are categorised as small (1.7 m^2) , medium (4.5 m^2) , and large (12.0 m^2) . The ratio of the surface area of the small to the medium arena is similar the ratio of the medium to the large arena. The layout of the three arenas is shown in Fig. S1.1, while their simulation counterparts are shown in Fig. S1.2. For the DECISION MAKING, RENDEZVOUS POINT, and STOP missions, we designated specific zones and obstacles according to the mission objectives. The three different swarm sizes consist of 8, 22, and 56 robots, respectively.

We conducted simulations to evaluate the instances of the control software produced by Habanero for each mission across the nine scenarios. We also evaluated the control software produced by EvoPheromone. The performance of the robot swarms is shown in Fig. S1.3. The results suggest a complex relationship involving the number of robots in the swarm, the size of the arena, and performance.

In AGGREGATION, swarm performance decreases with an increase in swarm size. This trend is consistent for both Habanero and EvoPheromone. The larger the swarm size, the greater the likelihood that local robot clusters form,, thereby increasing the average distance between robots and reducing the performance.

In DECISION MAKING, performance also decreases with increasing swarm size. In a smaller arena, scoring zones are spatially constrained, unable to accommodate all robots, whereas, in medium and large arenas, robots require more time to locate the zone. It should be noted that once half the experiment's duration has passed, the zone indication lights are switched off, leaving the robots to depend on stigmergy to locate and remain in the correct zone.

Performance achieved in RENDEZVOUS POINT showed no significant improvement or decline for control software produced by both Habanero and EvoPheromone. In STOP, however, Habanero performed progressively better as swarm size increased. In contrast, EvoPheromone's performance in a small arena rose with an increase in swarm size, but for the medium and the large arenas, performance rose initially with swarm size but followed by a decline with further increase in the swarm size.

Chapter 1. Supplementary Note 1: Scalability of automatically generated stigmergy-based behaviours for a swarm of robots



Figure S1.1: Layout of the arenas for scalability experiments. (a) Small-size arena. This arena is the one used in the real-robot experiments. (b) Medium-size arena. (c) Large-size arena.



Figure S1.2: Simulated arenas for scalability experiments. (a) Small-size arena. (b) Medium-size arena. (c) Large-size arena.





Figure S1.3: Performance achieved by robot swarms in different scalability scenarios. The results are presented using boxplots on a per-mission basis: (a) AGGREGATION, (b) DECISION MAKING, (c) RENDEZVOUS POINT, and (d) STOP. The AGGREGATION performance is scaled by the square root of the size of the arena. The performance achieved in DECISION MAKING, RENDEZVOUS POINT, and STOP are scaled by the number of robots in a swarm N.

Supplementary Note 2: Robustness to the reality gap

In Fig. S2.1, we present the reality gap observed in the experiments conducted in this study. In both AGGREGATION and RENDEZVOUS POINT, Habanero demonstrates a smaller performance drop compared to EvoPheromone. In STOP, the performance drop is similar for Habanero, EvoPheromone, and Human-Designers. However, in DECISION MAKING, the performance drop for EvoPheromone is less than that of Habanero. Yet, this is due to a sort of a floor effect: the performance of EvoPheromone was already particularly poor in simulation and this bounded its drop. All in all, although Habanero experienced a larger drop, it still outperformed EvoPheromone—see Fig. 5 in the manuscript.

The performance of Random-Walk remained largely consistent. This is because Random-Walk is not a design method, meaning there is no optimisation process involved and therefore overfitting does not happen.

We refer the reader to Francesca et. al [57], Ligot & Birattari [47], and Hasselmann et al. [48] for an in-depth discussion on the effects of the reality gap in modular and neuroevolutionary methods.



Figure S2.1: **Performance drop.** We present the performance drop using error bars on a per-mission basis: (a) AGGREGATION, (b) DECISION MAKING, (c) RENDEZVOUS POINT, and (d) STOP. Vertical segments represent the 95% confidence interval in the median, computed using the Wilcoxon's Signed Ranks statistics.

Supplementary Note 3: Manual control software design for robot swarms

We sent the following document to all human designers. We are attaching it here in its original format with contact information anonymised.





Manual Control Software Design for Robot Swarms

Software Architecture

The control software has the form of a probabilistic finite-state machine. In this architecture, states (Nodes) represent low-level behaviors that the robots execute, and transition conditions (Edges) represent events that trigger the change from one behavior to another. Each low-level behavior and transition condition is a parametric software module. We have designed seven behaviors (nodes) and six transitions (edges) for this study. You will obtain the control software of the robots by assembling and configuring these software modules into a finite-state machines.

Software modules

Behaviors (Nodes)	Parameters	Description	
Exploration	phe	Robot moves by random walk.	
Stop	phe	Robot stops at its place	
Go-to-Color	c, phe, fov	Robot steadily moves toward objects displaying a specific color	
Go-Away-Color	c, phe, fov	Robot steadily moves away from objects displaying a specific color.	
Go-to- Pheromone	phe, fov	Robot steadily move <mark>s</mark> toward pheromone (Magenta color on the floor)	
Avoid- Pheromone	phe, fov	Robot steadily moves away from pheromone (Magenta color on the floor).	
Waggle	phe	Robot rotates in place for a random period of time.	

Following table shows the description of the low level behaviors:

• All low-level behaviors are capable of releasing pheromone **phe** \in {0, 1, 2}. The width of a pheromone trail (magenta color) can be controlled by **phe**. For No-

pheromone, thin trail, or thick trail you can respectively select 0, 1, and 2 as values for phe.

- The parameter $fov \in \{0, 1\}$ determines the field of view of the camera. fov = 0 means the camera can only see in front of it (directional view) and fov = 1 means camera has an omni vision.
- The parameter *c* ∈ {*R*, *G*, *B*, *Y*, *C*}, determines the color to be Go-toward or move away
 - o c = 1 -> Red
 - c = 2 -> Green
 - c = 3 -> Blue
 - c = 4 -> Yellow
 - o c = 5 -> Cyan

Following table shows the description of the condition transitions:

Transitions (Edges)	Parameters	Description
White-Floor	β	White floor detected
Gray-Floor	β	Gray floor detected
Black-Floor	β	Black floor detected
Color-Detected	β, c, fov	Objects of color perceived.
Pheromone- Detected	β, fov	Pheromone perceived.
Fixed-Probability	β	Transition with a fixed probability

The parameter $\beta \in [0, 1]$ determines the probability of transitioning.

A typical FSM for a robot swarm to perform Coverage (explore maximum area of an arena) is shown as Figure 1. The initial state (Exploration) is represented by a dark circle. The robots start Exploration with a thin Pheromone trail (*phe* = 1). With a probability $\beta = 0.1$, the robots switch their behavior from Exploration to Avoid-color. During Avoid-color, the robots perceive their surrounding with a field-of-view of 360-degree (*fov* = 1) and avoid Red color (*c* = 1) objects while dropping pheromone (*phe* = 1). The robots again switch back to Exploration behavior with a probability of $\beta = 0.1$. The robots keep executing this FSM till the end of the mission time.



Collective Missions

You will design manual controllers for a robot swarm for four collective missions. In each mission, eight robots collaborate to accomplish a task. The maximum duration of a mission is 180 seconds and each second has 10 control steps.

1. Aggregation

At the beginning, the robots are randomly placed in the arena. The robots must get close to one another and remain in that formation till the end of the mission. At each control step, the average distance between the robots will be added to the score. We want to minimize the score.



2. Decision Making

At the beginning, the robots are randomly placed in the arena. At every control step, if a robot is in the Green region, the score will increase by +1 and if a robot is in a Blue region, the score will increase by +2. Both Green and Blue light signals will disappear randomly between 70 to 90 seconds. The robots must gather maximum score at the end of the mission. The scores will be given in negative

values, however, the absolute value of the score will correspond to the time the robots spend either in the Green or Blue region.



3. Rendezvous Point

At the beginning, the robots are randomly placed at the left side of the arena. The robots must reach at green region and stay there till the end of the mission. Both Green and Blue light signals will disappear randomly between 70 to 90 seconds. At the end of the mission, the objected function will be computed as (the number of robots inside green region) - (The number of robots outside the green region). We want to maximize the number of robots inside the green region at the end of the mission. The scores will be given in negative values, however, the absolute value of the score will correspond to the number of robots in the region. E.g., -6 is a better score than -3.



4. Stop

At the beginning, the robots are randomly placed in the arena. A Blue light signal will appear randomly between 70 to 90 seconds on a random block. All the robots must stop as soon as the signal appears. The score increases if robots stop before

Blue signal and Score also increases if robots do not stop after the signal. We want to minimize the score in this mission.



Protocol

- > You can choose maximum four Behaviors (Nodes) to design an FSM.
- For each mission, you can only take maximum four hours to design a suitable FSM.
- There is no limit of minimum time. You can finish when you are satisfied with your design.

Instructions

We have setup six computers that are installed with a visualization tool. The visualization tool will allow you to easily drag and drop nodes and edges to create an FSM and execute the FSM to see the simulation of the robot swarm executing your FSM. You can modify/fine-tune your designed as much as possible.

Computer Access

With the following link to an online spreadsheet, you can choose any free time-slot on any free computer.

<u>Online-Spread-sheet</u> (this spread sheet is provided in instructions-for-designers directory)

If you want to reserve a time-slot or you are facing any issue with the connectivity or other technical issue, please immediately contact Anonymized

Whattsapp: Anonymized

Phone: Anonymized Email: Anonymized

Launching Visualization Tool

Once you are connected to a PC, open the terminal. Launch a mission by one of the following steps (You can only launch one mission at a time).

1. To launch Visualization Tool for Aggregation mission, enter

./start_e1_aggregation.sh	
2. To launch Visualization Tool for Decision Making mission, enter	
./start_e2_decision.sh	1
3. To launch Visualization Tool for Rendezvous Point mission, enter	2
./start_e3_rendezvous.sh	E
4. To launch Visualization Tool for Stop mission, enter	B
./start_e4_stop.sh	R
	21

Once you enter a command; the visualization tool will appear in Firefox browser.

$\leftrightarrow \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ (i) localhost:8080	ф 2
FSM Tree Open Command line string	<u>C</u> opy <u>Save</u> E <u>x</u> ec
1. Select FSM	A
Select/Drag	
Add Node	
Add Edge	
Delete	
Set initial state	
Beautify	
SVG Export	
Tikz Export	



You can place maximum four nodes. Once you have placed your desired nodes, you will click on "Add Edge". To connect an Edge between two nodes, you will first click on the node from where the Edge is starting, and you will do the second click on the node where the Edge is terminating. For instance, as shown below, to place a Black-floor transition (Edge) between Exploration (Exp) and Stop, you will first click on the Exp and then on the Stop.

Once you are ready to test your design, click on "Exec" to execute the simulation.



A simulation window will appear. Initially the robots might appear outside of the arena. It is a visualization glitch and does not affect anything. You can observe the behavior of the robots and the score in the simulation.



Next, you will close this simulation window and go back to the visualization tool. If you want to modify your design, you can do it as many times as you want. When you are satisfied with the results. Save the design by clicking "Save". A file will download. You have to rename it and save it at an appropriate location.

You will generate one such file for each mission and send us those files.



Now you have finished one mission. In order to go for the next mission; Close the Firefox browser; and enter one of the following commands to launch the next mission.

1. To launch Visualization Tool for Aggregation mission, enter

./start_e1_aggregation.sh

2. To launch Visualization Tool for Decision Making mission, enter

./start_e2_decision.sh

3. To launch Visualization Tool for Rendezvous Point mission, enter

./start_e3_rendezvous.sh

4. To launch Visualization Tool for Stop mission, enter

./start_e4_stop.sh

You will repeat these steps for all four missions.

Supplementary Note 4: Manual design spreadsheet

SATURDAY SUBDAY MONDAY TUESDAY WEDNESDAY THURSDAY FRDAY STURDAY STURDAY SUBDAY Computer 1 SATURDAY SATURDAY SATURDAY TAUS SATURDAY SATURDAY TAUS SATURDAY S
Computer-1 State - State State - State <t< td=""></t<>
Computer-1 SAM SAM SAM SAM S
Computer Stati - Stati Tott Stati - S
Computer password: anonymized Team Vewer D: anon
Team Viewer Password: anonymized SPM - 9M <
PM-1 XA PM-1 PM PM-1 PM <t< td=""></t<>
Computer-2 Computer-3 Compute
Computer-2 SAM = 3AM IOM = 2PH <
Computer password: anonymized Team Wewer D: anonymized GMA: TPM 2PM: GPM GPM: GPM GPM: TPM GPM: GPM G
Team Viewer ID: anionymized Teal: status Exercise Exercise <t< td=""></t<>
Description Description <thdescription< th=""> <thdescription< th=""></thdescription<></thdescription<>
Computer-3 Compute
Computer-3 SAM = 9AM TotAM = 2PM SAM = 9AM TotAM = 2PM SAM = 9AM SAM = SAM
Computer password: anonymized Team Viewer Password: Anonymized Team View
Team reserve (D): anonymized TPM - SPM & 6PM - 10PM / TPM - SPM / 6PM / 10PM - 2PM / SPM / 2PM
Computer-4 Computer-4 SAM-9AM DAM-12MI SAM-9AM DAM-12MI SAM-9AM DAM-12MI SAM-14MI
TAM-5AM GAM-TOAM TAM-TOAM TAM-5AM GAM-TOAM TAM-TOAM GAM-TOAM TAM-TOAM GAM-TOAM GAM-TOAM GAM-TOAM GAM-T
Computer-4 5AM-3AU 10AL-2PU 5AU-3AU 10AL-2PU 5AU-3AU 10AL-2PU 5AU-3AU 10AL-2PU 5AU-3AU 10AL-2PU 5AU-3AU 1AAL-2PU 5AU 3AU 1AAL-2PU 5AU 3AU 1AAL-2PU 5AU 3AU 3AU 3AU 3AU 3AU 3AU 3AU 3AU 3AU 3
Computer password: anonymized 9AM-1PM 2PM-6PM 9AM-1PM 2PM 9AM-1PM PM 9AM-1PM 9
learn viewer ID: anonymized 1PM-SPM 6PM-10PM 1PM 1PM-SPM 6PM-10PM 1PM-SPM 6PM-10PM 1PM-SPM
162111 VIEWEI P3SSW01CL allo119111220
1AM-5AM 6AM-10AM
TrackingSystem-5
Computer password: anonymized 9AN-1PM 2PM-6PM 9AM-1PM 2PM 4PM 4PM 4PM 4PM 4PM 4PM 4PM 4PM 4PM 4
leam viewer ID: anonymized 19M-5PM 6PM-10PM 1PM-5PM 6PM 6PM 6PM 6PM 6PM 6PM 6PM 6PM 6PM 6
team viewer Password, anoriymized SPM - SPM - TOPM - ZAM - - SPM - TOPM -
1AM-5AM 6AM-10AM
Masters-6 5AM-9AM 10AM-2PM 5AM-9AM 10AM-9AM 10AM-9
Computer password: anonymized 9AM-1PM 2PM-6PM 9AM-1PM 2PM 4PM 4PM 4PM 4PM 4PM 4PM 4PM 4PM 4PM 4
Team viewer ID: anonymized 1PM.5PM 6PM-10PM 1PM.5PM 6PM 1PM.5PM 6PM-10PM 1PM.5PM 6PM-10PM 1PM.5PM 6PM-10PM 1PM.5PM 6PM-10PM 1PM.5PM 6PM-10PM 1PM.5PM 6PM-10PM 1PM.5PM 6PM 1PM 1PM.5PM 6PM 1PM 1PM.5PM 6PM 1PM 1PM 5PM 6PM 1PM 1PM 5PM 6PM 1PM 1PM 5PM 6PM 1PM 1PM 5PM 6PM 1PM 1PM 1PM 5PM 6PM 1PM 1PM 1PM 1PM 1PM 1PM 1PM 1PM 1PM 1
reant vieweir Password, anonymitzeu SPM-SPM, TOPM-ZAM, SPM-SPM, TOPM-Z
NAME Color Documentation Status Notes Experience
1 Designer (altroivyfillized) Sent Completed Callected Postdoc in swam robolics
2 Designer 2 (anonymized) Sent Completed Collected Master in swam robotics
Designer 3 (anonymized) Sent Completed Collected PhD swarm robotics
4 Designer 4 (altroityrillaeu) Sent Completed Collected Master in swam robotics (ongoing)
Designer's (anonymized) Sent Completed Co
Designed (anti)(inized) Sent in-progress (1 mission) Stopped
Designer / (antivijilizeu) Sert Complete Complete Complete Content Master in swarm notocis (orgong)
Designer 0 (anonymized) Sert Completed Concleted PhD swam robotics (origong)
Designer 9 (anonymized) Sent Competed Dialectic BMA is avain robotics (ongong) Designer 10 (anonymized) Designer 10 (anonymized)
10 Designer // (anonymized) // Nor Swalabe // Nor Swala
Designer // (anonymized) Sett Not same Support Designer // (anonymized)
Deciginal T_ (anonymized) den deciginal T_ (anonymized) den deciginal T_ (anonymized) den deciginal T_ (anonymized) deciginal T_ (anonymized) deciginal T_ (anonymized) deciginal T_ (anonymized)
To beginer (4 (anonymized) Seet Orestated Collected Del in seam rebotier

Supplementary Note 5: Technical datasheet

The document below, previously distributed to all human designers, is attached in its original format with contact details redacted for anonymity.

- Technical datasheet of photochromic substance: https://findmydata.cloud/uploads/pdf/photochromic-pigment-1.pdf
- Supplier information of photochromic substance: https://www.sfxc.co.uk/
- Supplier information of acrylic binder: https://www.amazon.com/Amsterdam-Grounds-Acrylic-Binder-1000ml/dp/B01L0PEIGK

TECHNICAL DATA SHEET

Product name :	Purple	
Product code :	KPMC-12-P	
	Potassium Nitrate-Silica-Sodium Nitrate-Azobenzene (Butyl	
INCI Name :	Methoxydibenzoylmethane)	
CAS No. :	7757.79.1-7631.86.9-7631.99.4-70356.09.1	
Date :	07.10.2016	

CHARACTERISTICS			RANGE
Physical properties			
Characteristics	Characteristics		
Average particle size			1–10 μm
Chemical composition			
Potassium Nitrate			50.0-55.0 %
Silica			18.0-23.0 %
Sodium Nitrate			10.0-15.0 %
Azobenzene (Butyl Methoxydibenzoylmethane)			7.0-22.0 %
Heavy metals			
As	< 2 ppm	Hg	< 1 ppm
Ва	< 50 ppm	Ni	< 10 ppm
Cd	< 3 ppm	Pb	<5 ppm
Cr	< 20 ppm	Sb	< 1 ppm
Cu	< 50 ppm	Zn	< 50 ppm
Microbial purity			
Total viable Aerobic count			< 100
E. Coli			Absent in 1 g
Pseudomonas aeruginosa			Absent in 1 g
Staphylococcus aureus			Absent in 1 g
Salmonella species			Absent in 1 g
Candida albicans			Absent in 1 g

Please Use Product Name and Product Code When Ordering