Supplementary Materials for "Exploring Latent Sparse Graph for Large-Scale Semi-supervised Learning"

Zitong Wang¹, Li Wang^{2,3}, Raymond Chan⁴, and Tieyong Zeng⁵

¹ Department of Industrial Engineering and Operations Research, Columbia University in the City of New York, USA. zw2690@columbia.edu

² Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington, TX 76019-0408, USA. li.wang@uta.edu

³ Department of Mathematics, University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington, TX 76019-0408, USA.

⁴ Department of Mathematics, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong. rchan.sci@cityu.edu.hk

⁵ Department of Mathematics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong. zeng@math.cuhk.edu.hk

A Proof of Proposition 1

Before we prove that the matrix W is symmetric, we need to show the following results.

Proposition 1 Let $P \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+k)\times(n+k)}$, and suppose $(I_{n+k} - \alpha P)^{-1}$ exists. Then $P^2(I_{n+k} - \alpha P)^{-1} = (I_{n+k} - \alpha P)^{-1}P^2$.

Proof. It always holds that

$$P^{2}(I_{n+k} - \alpha P) = (I_{n+k} - \alpha P)P^{2} = P^{2} - \alpha P^{3}.$$
 (S1)

Since $(I_{n+k} - \alpha P)^{-1}$ exists, we multiply $(I_{n+k} - \alpha P)^{-1}$ on both sides of equation (S1) to get

$$(I_{n+k} - \alpha P)^{-1} P^2 = P^2 (I_{n+k} - \alpha P)^{-1}.$$
 (S2)

The proof is completed.

Lemma 1. Let $P = \Gamma^{-1}Q$ be given by (26). The eigenvalues of P are real, and lie in [-1, 1].

Proof. By definition, we have $P \ge 0$ and $P\mathbf{1}_{n+k} = \mathbf{1}_{n+k}$. So, all elements in P are between 0 and 1. The row sums of P is 1. The characteristic polynomial of P is

$$\det(\lambda I_{n+k} - P) = \det\left(\Gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\lambda I_{n+k} - \Gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}}Q\Gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\Gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}\right).$$

The eigenvalues of P are the same as the eigenvalues of matrix $\Gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}}Q\Gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Matrix $\Gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}}Q\Gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is symmetric, so its eigenvalues are real, i.e., the eigenvalues of P are real. By the Gershgorin circle theorem [1], we conclude all the eigenvalues of P lie in [-1, 1].

2 Z. Wang et al.

Now, we are ready to prove Proposition 1. Note $Q = Q^T$, $P = \Gamma^{-1}Q$, $P^T = Q\Gamma^{-1} = \Gamma P \Gamma^{-1}$. Since $P^2(I_{n+k} - \alpha P)^{-1} = (I_{n+k} - \alpha P)^{-1}P^2$ by Proposition 1, we have

$$(P^{2}(I - \alpha P)^{-1})^{T} = (I_{n+k} - \alpha P^{T})^{-1} (P^{T})^{2}$$

= $(I_{n+k} - \alpha \Gamma P \Gamma^{-1})^{-1} \Gamma P^{2} \Gamma^{-1}$
= $(\Gamma (I_{n+k} - \alpha P) \Gamma^{-1})^{-1} \Gamma P^{2} \Gamma^{-1}$
= $\Gamma (I_{n+k} - \alpha P)^{-1} P^{2} \Gamma^{-1}$
= $\Gamma P^{2} (I_{n+k} - \alpha P)^{-1} \Gamma^{-1}.$

On the other hand, by (25) and (26), we get

$$(P^{2}(I - \alpha P)^{-1})^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} W & A_{1} \\ A_{2} & A_{3} \end{bmatrix}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} W^{T} & A_{2}^{T} \\ A_{1}^{T} & A_{3}^{T} \end{bmatrix},$$
(S3)

and

$$\Gamma P^{2} (I - \alpha P)^{-1} \Gamma^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{n} & 0 \\ 0 & E \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} W & A_{1} \\ A_{2} & A_{3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_{n} & 0 \\ 0 & E^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} W & A_{1}E^{-1} \\ EA_{2} & EA_{3}E^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(S4)

Comparing these two matrices in (S3) and (S4), we have $W = W^T$, i.e., W is symmetric.

Since the spectrum of P lies in [-1, 1], and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, we have $(I_{n+k} - \alpha P)^{-1} = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (\alpha P)^t$, i.e., the right side matrix series converges. Then

$$P^{2}(I_{n+k} - \alpha P)^{-1} = P^{2} + \alpha P^{3} + \alpha^{2} P^{4} + \cdots .$$
 (S5)

Since $P \ge 0$, every term on the right hand side is nonnegative, and $W \ge 0$. The proof is completed.

B Proof of Proposition 2

If either $\eta = 0$ or G = 0, we have

$$P = \operatorname{diag} \left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{n \times n} & Z \\ Z^T & \mathbf{0}_{k \times k} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{n+k} \right)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{n \times n} & Z \\ Z^T & \mathbf{0}_{k \times k} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \operatorname{diag} \left(\begin{bmatrix} Z\mathbf{1}_k \\ Z^T\mathbf{1}_n \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{n \times n} & Z \\ Z^T & \mathbf{0}_{k \times k} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{n \times n} & \mathbf{0}_{n \times k} \\ \mathbf{0}_{k \times n} & A^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{n \times n} & Z \\ Z^T & \mathbf{0}_{k \times k} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{n \times n} & Z \\ \mathbf{0}_{k \times n} & A^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{n \times n} & Z \\ Z^T & \mathbf{0}_{k \times k} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{n \times n} & Z \\ A^{-1}Z^T & \mathbf{0}_{k \times k} \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$P^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{n \times n} & Z \\ \Lambda^{-1}Z^{T} & \mathbf{0}_{k \times k} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{n \times n} & Z \\ \Lambda^{-1}Z^{T} & \mathbf{0}_{k \times k} \end{bmatrix} \\ = \begin{bmatrix} Z\Lambda^{-1}Z^{T} & \mathbf{0}_{n \times k} \\ \mathbf{0}_{k \times n} & \Lambda^{-1}Z^{T}Z \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\Lambda = \operatorname{diag}(Z^T \mathbf{1}_n)$. Since $\alpha = 0$ and by definition, we have

$$W = Z\Lambda^{-1}Z^T,$$

which is the same as W in AGR for a given Z.

C Proof of Proposition 3

Lemma 2. For $\alpha \in (0,1)$, the eigenvalues of matrix

$$\widetilde{P} = \alpha \eta E^{-1} G + \alpha^2 E^{-1} Z^T Z \tag{S6}$$

are real and lie in (-1, 1).

Proof. By the definition of E, G, Z, we have $\tilde{P} \ge 0$. The characteristic polynomial of \tilde{P} is

$$\det(\lambda I_k - \widetilde{P})$$

= $\det\left(E^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\lambda I_k - E^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha \eta G + \alpha^2 Z^T Z)E^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)E^{\frac{1}{2}}\right).$

The eigenvalues of \tilde{P} are the same as the eigenvalues of $E^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha\eta G + \alpha^2 Z^T Z)E^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Since matrix $E^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha\eta G + \alpha^2 Z^T Z)E^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is symmetric, all its eigenvalues are real, i.e. the matrix \tilde{P} has only real eigenvalues. Now let us prove the row sums of \tilde{P} are bounded by 1.

$$\begin{split} \ddot{P}\mathbf{1}_{k} &= \alpha \eta E^{-1}G\mathbf{1}_{k} + \alpha^{2}E^{-1}Z^{T}Z\mathbf{1}_{k} \\ &= \alpha \eta E^{-1}G\mathbf{1}_{k} + \alpha^{2}E^{-1}Z^{T}\mathbf{1}_{n} \\ &\leq \alpha E^{-1}(\eta G\mathbf{1}_{k} + Z^{T}\mathbf{1}_{n}) \quad (\text{since } \alpha \in (0,1)) \\ &= \alpha \mathbf{1}_{k} < \mathbf{1}_{k}. \end{split}$$

By the Gershgorin circle theorem [1], we have the eigenvalues of \tilde{P} lie in (-1, 1). The proof is completed.

By the definition of matrix P and matrix inversion in a 2×2 block form, we have the following equations:

$$(I_{n+k} - \alpha P)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} I_n & -\alpha Z \\ -\alpha E^{-1} Z^T & I_k - \alpha \eta E^{-1} G \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \\ = \begin{bmatrix} L_{11} & L_{12} \\ L_{21} & L_{22} \end{bmatrix},$$
(S7)

4 Z. Wang et al.

where

$$L_{11} = I_n + \alpha^2 Z (I_k - \alpha \eta E^{-1} G - \alpha^2 E^{-1} Z^T Z)^{-1} E^{-1} Z^T,$$

$$L_{12} = \alpha Z (I_k - \alpha \eta E^{-1} G - \alpha^2 E^{-1} Z^T Z)^{-1},$$

$$L_{21} = \alpha (I_k - \alpha \eta E^{-1} G - \alpha^2 E^{-1} Z^T Z)^{-1} E^{-1} Z^T,$$

$$L_{22} = (I_k - \alpha \eta E^{-1} G - \alpha^2 E^{-1} Z^T Z)^{-1}.$$

It is worth noting that (S7) holds in the condition that matrix $I_k - \alpha \eta E^{-1}G - \alpha^2 E^{-1}Z^T Z$ must be invertible. The inversion is guaranteed by Lemma 2. According to Lemma 2, it is clear that $I_k - \tilde{P}$ has eigenvalues in (0, 2), so

(S7) holds for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Accordingly, the right hand side of (8) is:

$$P^{2}(I_{n+k} - \alpha P)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} W & A_{1} \\ A_{2} & A_{3} \end{bmatrix},$$
 (S8)

where

$$W = ZE^{-1}Z^{T}L_{11} + \eta ZE^{-1}GL_{21},$$
(S9)

$$A_{1} = ZE^{-1}Z^{T}L_{12} + \eta ZE^{-1}GL_{22},$$

$$A_{2} = \eta E^{-1}GE^{-1}Z^{T}L_{11} + \left(E^{-1}Z^{T}Z + \eta^{2}(E^{-1}G)^{2}\right)L_{21},$$

$$A_{3} = \eta E^{-1}GE^{-1}Z^{T}L_{12} + \left(E^{-1}Z^{T}Z + \eta^{2}(E^{-1}G)^{2}\right)L_{22}.$$

Substituting L_{11} and L_{21} into (S9), we achieve the goal.

References

1. Geršgorin, S.: Über die abgrenzung der eigenwerte einer matrix. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat 1(7), 749–755 (1931)