

Lie point symmetries and conservation laws for a class of BBM-KdV systems

Valter Aparecido Silva Junior ^{a,b}

^a Instituto Federal de Educação, Ciência e Tecnologia de São Paulo - IFSP. Avenida Marginal, 585, Fazenda Nossa Senhora Aparecida do Jaguari, 13871-298, São João da Boa Vista, SP, Brasil.

^b Instituto de Física “Gleb Wataghin” - IFGW, Universidade Estadual de Campinas - UNICAMP. Rua Sérgio Buarque de Holanda, 777, Cidade Universitária, 13083-859, Campinas, SP, Brasil.

Abstract

We determine the Lie point symmetries of a class of BBM-KdV systems and establish its nonlinear self-adjointness. We then construct conservation laws via Ibragimov’s Theorem.

Keywords: BBM-KdV system, Lie point symmetries, nonlinear self-adjointness, conservation laws.

1 Introduction

Motivated by the works [4, 5], we introduce the following class of systems

$$\begin{cases} F_1 \equiv u_t + (a + b)vu_x + (au + c)v_x + \epsilon u_{txx} + \kappa v_{xxx} = 0 \\ F_2 \equiv v_t + (bu + c)u_x + (a + b)vv_x + \lambda u_{xxx} + \sigma v_{txx} = 0 \end{cases}, \quad (1)$$

henceforth simply referred to as BBM-KdV system, a two-component generalization¹ of the classic equations [2]

$$BBM: u_t + (u + 1)u_x - u_{txx} = 0 \quad (2)$$

and

$$KdV: u_t + (u + 1)u_x + u_{xxx} = 0, \quad (3)$$

with the objective of studying it from the point of view of the group analysis.

¹If $u = v$, the system (1) is reduced to equations $u_t + [(2a + b)u + c]u_x + \epsilon u_{txx} + \kappa u_{xxx} = 0$ and $u_t + [(a + 2b)u + c]u_x + \sigma u_{txx} + \lambda u_{xxx} = 0$. Both contain (2) and (3) as special cases.

In (1), the constants are such that $(a + b)c \neq 0$ and $\{\epsilon, \kappa, \lambda, \sigma\} \neq \{0\}$. Particularly when $a = c = 1$ and $b = 0$, we obtain the already widely investigated systems of Boussinesq ($\epsilon = \kappa = \lambda = 0, \sigma = -1/3$), Kaup ($\epsilon = \lambda = \sigma = 0, \kappa = 1/3$) and Bona-Smith ($\epsilon = \sigma = \lambda/2 - 1/6, \kappa = 0, \lambda < 0$), all of them first-order approximations to the Euler equations in the framework of hydrodynamics. Useful in situations where dissipative effects are not significant, these models provide a good description for the two-dimensional motion of small-amplitude long waves on the surface of an ideal fluid. In this context, the independent variable x represents the distance traveled along a fixed depth channel and t the time. The quantities $u(t, x)$ and $v(t, x)$ are related to the deviation of the surface from its undisturbed level and to the horizontal velocity of the fluid, respectively. For more information, see [4, 5] and references therein. Relevant results, including exact solutions, can be found in [1, 6, 7].

It's well known that evolution equations don't possess an usual Lagrangian. Therefore this paper is thus organized: first we determine the Lie point symmetries (Section 2) of the BBM-KdV system and establish its nonlinear self-adjointness (Section 3); we then construct conservation laws via Ibragimov's Theorem (Section 4), an extension of the celebrated Noether's Theorem to problems with no variational structure. In the next sections, unless otherwise stated, c_i 's are arbitrary constants. All functions are smooth.

We consider that the reader is familiar with the fundamental concepts of group analysis. The basic literature used is [3, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14].

2 Lie Point Symmetries Classification

Without many details, applying the standard algorithm presented in [3] and [14], a differential operator

$$X = \mathcal{T}(t, x, u, v) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{X}(t, x, u, v) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \mathcal{U}(t, x, u, v) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \mathcal{V}(t, x, u, v) \frac{\partial}{\partial v}$$

generates the Lie point symmetries of the system (1) if the conditions of invariance (the so-called determining equations)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_x &= \mathcal{T}_u = \mathcal{T}_v = \mathcal{X}_u = \mathcal{X}_v = 0, \\ \epsilon \mathcal{X}_t &= \epsilon \mathcal{X}_x = \sigma \mathcal{X}_t = \sigma \mathcal{X}_x = 0, \\ \mathcal{U}_t &= \mathcal{U}_x = \mathcal{U}_v = \mathcal{V}_t = \mathcal{V}_x = a\mathcal{U} - (au + c)\mathcal{U}_u = 0, \\ b\mathcal{U} &+ (bu + c)[\mathcal{U}_u + 2(\mathcal{T}_t - \mathcal{X}_x)] = 0, \\ \kappa(\mathcal{U}_u + 2\mathcal{X}_x) &= \lambda[\mathcal{U}_u + 2(\mathcal{T}_t - 2\mathcal{X}_x)] = 0, \\ (a + b)[\mathcal{V} &+ (\mathcal{T}_t - \mathcal{X}_x)v] - \mathcal{X}_t = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

are satisfied. From (4), it's easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T} &= (a + b)c_1 t + c_2, \quad \mathcal{X} = (a + b)(c_3 x + c_4 t) + c_5, \\ \mathcal{U} &= 2(c_3 - c_1)(au + c), \quad \mathcal{V} = (a + b)(c_3 - c_1)v + c_4 \end{aligned}$$

with

$$b(a-b)(c_1 - c_3) = 0, \quad \epsilon c_3 = \epsilon c_4 = \kappa[ac_1 - (2a+b)c_3] = 0, \\ \lambda[bc_1 - (a+2b)c_3] = \sigma c_3 = \sigma c_4 = 0.$$

Proposition 1. *The Lie point symmetries of the BBM-KdV system are summarized in Table 1, where*

$$X_1 = (a+b) \left(t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - v \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \right) - 2(au+c) \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \\ X_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad X_3 = (a+b) \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \right) + 2(au+c) \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \\ X_4 = (a+b)t \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial v}, \quad X_5 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}.$$

	$b = 0$	$a = b$	$b(a-b) \neq 0$
$\{\epsilon, \sigma\} = \{0\}$	X_1 ($\kappa = 0$) $2X_1 + X_3$ ($\lambda = 0$) X_2, X_4, X_5	$3X_1 + X_3$ X_2, X_4, X_5	X_2, X_4, X_5
$\{\epsilon, \sigma\} \neq \{0\}$	X_1 ($\kappa = 0$), X_2, X_5	X_1 ($\kappa = \lambda = 0$) X_2, X_5	X_2, X_5

Table 1.

3 Self-Adjointness Classification

To begin with, let \bar{u} and \bar{v} be the new dependent variables. The formal Lagrangian of the system (1) is

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{u}F_1 + \bar{v}F_2.$$

Calculated the adjoint equations

$$\begin{cases} F_1^* \equiv -\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta u} = \bar{u}_t + (a+b)v\bar{u}_x + (bu+c)\bar{v}_x + b\bar{u}v_x + \epsilon\bar{u}_{txx} + \lambda\bar{v}_{xxx} = 0 \\ F_2^* \equiv -\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta v} = \bar{v}_t + (au+c)\bar{u}_x + (a+b)v\bar{v}_x - b\bar{u}u_x + \kappa\bar{u}_{xxx} + \sigma\bar{v}_{txx} = 0 \end{cases},$$

where $\delta/\delta u$ and $\delta/\delta v$ are Euler-Lagrange operators, we assume that

$$F_1^*|_{(\bar{u}, \bar{v})=(\varphi, \psi)} = MF_1 + NF_2, \quad F_2^*|_{(\bar{u}, \bar{v})=(\varphi, \psi)} = PF_1 + QF_2. \quad (5)$$

Here M, N, P and Q is a set of coefficients to be determined and

$$\varphi = \varphi(t, x, u, v), \quad \psi = \psi(t, x, u, v) \quad (6)$$

two functions that not vanish simultaneously. As

$$F_1^*|_{(\bar{u}, \bar{v})=(\varphi, \psi)} = D_t\varphi + (a+b)vD_x\varphi + (bu+c)D_x\psi + b\varphi v_x + \epsilon D_t D_x^2\varphi + \lambda D_x^3\psi$$

and

$$F_2^*|_{(\bar{u}, \bar{v})=(\varphi, \psi)} = D_t\psi + (au+c)D_x\varphi + (a+b)vD_x\psi - b\varphi u_x + \kappa D_x^3\varphi + \sigma D_t D_x^2\psi,$$

from (5) it's possible to conclude that $M = \varphi_u$, $N = \varphi_v$, $P = \psi_u$, $Q = \psi_v$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_t + (a+b)v\varphi_x &= \epsilon\varphi_x = 0, \\ \psi_t + (au+c)\varphi_x &= \psi_x = 0, \\ b\varphi &= (au+c)\varphi_u - (bu+c)\psi_v, \\ \varphi_v - \psi_u &= (\epsilon - \sigma)\varphi_v = \kappa\varphi_u - \lambda\psi_v = 0, \\ \epsilon\varphi_{uu} &= \varphi_{uv} = \varphi_{vv} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\varphi = (c_1t + c_2)av + f(u) - c_1x, \quad \psi = c_3v + (c_1t + c_2)au + c_1ct + c_4$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} bc_1 &= bc_2 = \epsilon c_1 = \sigma c_1 = (\epsilon - \sigma)c_2 = 0, \\ \epsilon f''(u) &= \kappa f'(u) - \lambda c_3 = 0, \\ bf(u) &= (au+c)f'(u) - (bu+c)c_3. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2. *The BBM-KdV system is nonlinearly self-adjoint. The substitutions (6) are as follows.*

i) *If $b = 0$,*

$$\varphi = (c_1t + c_2)av + c_3c \ln(au+c) - c_1x + c_4, \quad \psi = c_3av + (c_1t + c_2)(au+c) + c_5$$

where

$$\begin{cases} c_1 = 0, \text{ to } \{\epsilon, \sigma\} \neq \{0\}, \\ c_2 = 0, \text{ to } \epsilon \neq \sigma, \\ c_3 = 0, \text{ to } \{\epsilon, \kappa, \lambda\} \neq \{0\}. \end{cases}$$

ii) *If $a = b$,*

$$\varphi = (au+c)[c_1 \ln(au+c) + c_2], \quad \psi = c_1av + c_3$$

where

$$\begin{cases} c_1 = 0, \text{ to } \{\epsilon, \kappa, \lambda\} \neq \{0\}, \\ c_2 = 0, \text{ to } \kappa \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

iii) *Let $b(a-b) \neq 0$.*

iii.a) If $a = 0$,

$$\varphi = c_1 e^{bu/c} - c_2(bu + 2c), \quad \psi = c_2bv + c_3$$

where

$$\begin{cases} c_1 = 0, & \text{to } \{\epsilon, \kappa\} \neq \{0\}, \\ c_2 = 0, & \text{to } \kappa \neq -\lambda. \end{cases}$$

iii.b) If $a \neq 0$,

$$\varphi = c_1(au + c)^{b/a} + c_2[b^2u + (2b - a)c], \quad \psi = c_2(a - b)bv + c_3$$

where

$$\begin{cases} c_1 = 0, & \text{to } \{\epsilon, \kappa\} \neq \{0\}, \\ c_2 = 0, & \text{to } \lambda a \neq (\kappa + \lambda)b. \end{cases}$$

Remark. Actually, the system (1) is quasi self-adjoint. It becomes strictly self-adjoint in only two circumstances: $a = 2b$ and $\kappa = \lambda$; or $b = 0$ and $\epsilon = \sigma$.

4 Conservation Laws

In view of Proposition 2, the components of the conserved vector $C = (C^t, C^x)$ associated to X , a Lie point symmetry admitted by the system (1), are according to Ibragimov's Theorem given by

$$C^t = (\varphi - \epsilon D_x \varphi D_x)W^u + (\psi - \sigma D_x \psi D_x)W^v$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} C^x = & [(a + b)v\varphi + (bu + c)\psi + \epsilon(\varphi D_t D_x + D_t D_x \varphi) + \lambda(\psi D_x^2 - D_x \psi D_x + D_x^2 \psi)]W^u + \\ & + [(au + c)\varphi + (a + b)v\psi + \sigma(\psi D_t D_x + D_t D_x \psi) + \kappa(\varphi D_x^2 - D_x \varphi D_x + D_x^2 \varphi)]W^v, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$W^u = \mathcal{U} - \mathcal{T}u_t - \mathcal{X}u_x, \quad W^v = \mathcal{V} - \mathcal{T}v_t - \mathcal{X}v_x.$$

We find the conservation laws corresponding to each generator of Table 1. In most cases, however, we are led to trivial vectors or the vectors

$$C^t = u + \epsilon u_{xx}, \quad C^x = (au + c)v + \kappa v_{xx}$$

and

$$C^t = 2(v + \sigma v_{xx}), \quad C^x = (a + b)v^2 + (bu + 2c)u + 2\lambda u_{xx}$$

that can be obtained from the first (when $b = 0$) and second equation of the BBM-KdV system by simple integration (obvious conservation laws). The really interesting cases we list below.

Proposition 3. i) Let $b = 0$.

i.a) From X_1 , $2X_1 + X_3$ and X_2 , we obtain

$$C^t = 2(uv - \epsilon u_x v_x),$$

$$C^x = cu^2 + (2au + c)v^2 - (\lambda u_x^2 + \kappa v_x^2) + 2[u(\lambda u_x + \epsilon v_t)_x + v(\epsilon u_t + \kappa v_x)_x]$$

when $\epsilon = \sigma$.

i.b) For $\epsilon = \kappa = 0$, X_1 also provides

$$C^t = \frac{1}{a}(au + c) \ln(au + c) + \frac{a}{2c}(v^2 - \sigma v_x^2),$$

$$C^x = (au + c)[\ln(au + c) + 1]v + \frac{av}{c} \left(\frac{av^2}{3} + \sigma v_{tx} \right)$$

when $\lambda = 0$ and

$$C^t = 2[t(au + c)v - xu],$$

$$C^x = t[c(au + 2c)u - a\lambda u_x^2] + 2(au + c)[(atv - x)v + \lambda t u_{xx}]$$

when $\sigma = 0$.

ii) Let $a = b$.

ii.a) From X_1 and $3X_1 + X_3$, we obtain

$$C^t = (au + 2c)u - a\epsilon u_x^2,$$

$$C^x = 2(au + c)[(au + c)v + \epsilon u_{tx}]$$

when $\kappa = 0$.

ii.b) X_1 also provides

$$C^t = \frac{1}{a}(au + c)^2 \ln(au + c) + a(v^2 - \sigma v_x^2),$$

$$C^x = (au + c)^2 [2 \ln(au + c) + 1]v + 2av \left(\frac{2av^2}{3} + \sigma v_{tx} \right)$$

when $\epsilon = \kappa = \lambda = 0$.

References

- [1] Antonopoulos DC, Dougalis VA, Mitsotakis DE. Numerical solution of Boussinesq systems of the Bona–Smith family. *Appl Numer Math* 2010;60:314–36.
- [2] Benjamin TB, Bona JL, Mahony JJ. Model equations for long waves in nonlinear dispersive systems. *Phil Trans R Soc Lond A* 1972;272:47–78.
- [3] Bluman GW, Kumei S. *Symmetries and differential equations*. New York: Springer; 1989.
- [4] Bona JL, Chen M, Saut JC. Boussinesq equations and other systems for small–amplitude long waves in nonlinear dispersive media. I: Derivation and linear theory. *J Nonlinear Sci* 2002;12:283–318.
- [5] Bona JL, Chen M, Saut JC. Boussinesq equations and other systems for small–amplitude long waves in nonlinear dispersive media: II. The nonlinear theory. *Nonlinearity* 2004;17:925–52.
- [6] Chen M. Exact solutions of various Boussinesq systems. *Appl Math Lett* 1998;11:45–9.
- [7] Dougalis VA, Duran A, Lopez–Marcos MA, Mitsotakis DE. A numerical study of the stability of solitary waves of the Bona–Smith family of Boussinesq systems. *J Nonlinear Sci* 2007;17:569–607.
- [8] Gandarias ML. Weak self–adjoint differential equations. *J Phys A Math Theor* 2011;44:262001 (6 pp).
- [9] Ibragimov NH. Integrating factors, adjoint equations and Lagrangians. *J Math Anal Appl* 2006;318:742–57.
- [10] Ibragimov NH. A new conservation theorem. *J Math Anal Appl* 2007;333:311–28.
- [11] Ibragimov NH. Quasi–self–adjoint differential equations. *Arch Alga* 2007;4:55–60.
- [12] Ibragimov NH. Nonlinear self–adjointness and conservation laws. *J Phys A Math Theor* 2011;44:432002 (8 pp).
- [13] Ibragimov NH. Nonlinear self–adjointness in constructing conservation laws. *Arch Alga* 2011;7/8:1–90.
- [14] Olver PJ. *Applications of Lie groups to differential equations*. New York: Springer; 1986.