A Survey of Fundamental Operations on Discrete Convex Functions of Various Kinds

Kazuo Murota*

July 2019 / September 2019 / October 2019

Abstract

Discrete convex functions are used in many areas, including operations research, discrete-event systems, game theory, and economics. The objective of this paper is to offer a survey on fundamental operations for various kinds of discrete convex functions in discrete convex analysis such as integrally convex functions, L-convex functions, M-convex functions, and multimodular functions.

Keywords: Discrete convex analysis, Integrally convex function, L-convex function, M-convex function, Multimodular function, Submodular function, Valuated matroid

^{*}Department of Economics and Business Administration, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Tokyo 192-0397, Japan, murota@tmu.ac.jp

Contents

1	Intr	oduction	3												
2	Definitions of Discrete Convex Sets and Functions														
	2.1	Separable convexity	4												
	2.2	Integrally convexity	5												
	2.3	L-convexity	6												
		2.3.1 L ^{\natural} -convex sets and functions	6												
		2.3.2 L-convex sets and functions	7												
		2.3.3 Discrete midpoint convex sets and functions	8												
	2.4	M-convexity	9												
		2.4.1 M^{\natural} -convex sets and functions	9												
		2.4.2 M-convex sets and functions	0												
		2.4.3 M-convex functions on jump systems	0												
	2.5	Multimodularity	3												
	2.6	Relations among classes of discrete convex sets	4												
	2.7	Relations among classes of discrete convex functions	6												
3	Ope	erations on Discrete Convex Sets 1	8												
	3.1	Operations via simple coordinate changes	8												
	3.2	Restriction	1												
	3.3	Projection	2												
	3.4	Intersection	3												
	35		6												
	5.5		0												
4	Ope	Minkowski sum 2 grations on Discrete Convex Functions 2	9												
4	Ope 4.1	Minkowski sum 2 rations on Discrete Convex Functions 2 Operations via change of variables 2	9 9												
4	Ope 4.1 4.2	Minkowski sum 2 rations on Discrete Convex Functions 2 Operations via change of variables 2 Value-scaling 3	9 9 2												
4	Ope 4.1 4.2 4.3	Minkowski sum 2 Prations on Discrete Convex Functions 2 Operations via change of variables 2 Value-scaling 3 Restriction 3	9 9 2 4												
4	Ope 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4	Minkowski sum 2 Prations on Discrete Convex Functions 2 Operations via change of variables 2 Value-scaling 3 Restriction 3 Projection 3	9 9 2 4 5												
4	Ope 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5	Minkowski sum 2 rations on Discrete Convex Functions 2 Operations via change of variables 2 Value-scaling 3 Restriction 3 Projection 3 Addition 3	9 9 2 4 5 6												
4	Ope 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 4.6	Minkowski sum 2 Prations on Discrete Convex Functions 2 Operations via change of variables 2 Value-scaling 3 Restriction 3 Projection 3 Addition 3 Convolution 3	9 9 2 4 5 6 8												
4	Ope 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 4.6 4.7	Minkowski sum 2 rations on Discrete Convex Functions 2 Operations via change of variables 2 Value-scaling 3 Restriction 3 Projection 3 Addition 3 Convolution 3 Integral Legendre–Fenchel transformation 4	9 9 2 4 5 6 8 1												

1 Introduction

Discrete convex functions are used in many areas, including operations research, discreteevent systems, game theory, and economics [11, 29, 31, 35, 36, 45, 46]. The objective of this paper is to offer a survey of fundamental operations of various kinds of discrete convex functions in discrete convex analysis.

Discrete convex functions treated in this paper include integrally convex functions [10, 26], L- and L^{\natural}-convex functions [12, 29, 31], M- and M^{\natural}-convex functions [28, 29, 31, 38], multimodular functions [1, 2, 13, 14], globally and locally discrete midpoint convex functions [27], and M- and M^{\natural}-convex functions on jump systems [19, 33, 37]. L-convex functions on trees and graphs [15, 16, 17, 18, 22] are outside the scope of this paper. It is worth noting that "L" stands for "Lattice" and "M" for "Matroid." It is also noted that "L^{\natural}" and "M^{\natural}" should be pronounced as "ell natural" and "em natural," respectively.

Various operations can be defined for discrete functions $f : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$. With changes of variables we can define operations such as origin shift $f(x) \mapsto f(x-b)$, coordinate inversion $f(x) \mapsto f(-x)$, permutation of variables $f(x) \mapsto f(x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(n)})$, and scaling of variables $f(x) \mapsto f(\alpha x)$ with a positive integer α . With arithmetic or numerical operations on function values we can define nonnegative multiplication of function values $f(x) \mapsto af(x)$ with $a \ge 0$, addition of a linear function $f(x) \mapsto f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i x_i$ with $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$, projection (partial minimization) $f(x) \mapsto \inf_z f(y, z)$, sum $f_1 + f_2$ of two functions f_1 and f_2 , convolution $(f_1 \square f_2)(x) = \inf\{f_1(y) + f_2(z) \mid x = y + z, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$ of two functions f_1 and f_2 , etc. Stability of discrete convex analysis [19, 24, 26, 27, 31, 39]. By collecting these results scattered in the literature and by adding new observations and examples, we will present a fairly comprehensive survey on the operations on discrete convex sets and functions.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is a review of the definitions of discrete convex sets and functions. Section 3 treats operations on discrete convex sets such as restriction, projection, and Minkowski sum. Section 4 treats operations on discrete convex functions such as restriction, projection, convolution, and discrete Legendre–Fenchel transformation.

The classes of discrete convex sets and functions considered in this paper are listed in Tables 1 and 2 with brief descriptions of their definitions, while the precise definitions are given in Section 2.

Notations We use the following notations.

- The set of all real numbers is denoted by \mathbb{R} , and the set of all integers is denoted by \mathbb{Z} .
- We assume $N = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ for a positive integer *n*.
- The characteristic vector of a subset $A \subseteq N = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ is denoted by $\mathbf{1}^A$, that is,

$$(\mathbf{1}^A)_i = \begin{cases} 1 & (i \in A), \\ 0 & (i \in N \setminus A). \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

For $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, we write $\mathbf{1}^i$ for $\mathbf{1}^{\{i\}}$, which is the *i*th unit vector. We define $\mathbf{1}^0 = \mathbf{0}$ where $\mathbf{0} = (0, 0, ..., 0)$. We also define $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1, ..., 1)$.

• For a vector $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ and a subset $A \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, x(A) denotes the component sum within A, i.e., $x(A) = \sum \{x_i \mid i \in A\}$.

Convex set	Defining condition (roughly)
Integer box	$[a,b]_{\mathbb{Z}} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid a \le x \le b\}$
Integrally convex	The union of local convex hulls is convex
L ^{\\phi} -convex	$x, y \in S \implies \left\lceil \frac{x+y}{2} \right\rceil, \left\lceil \frac{x+y}{2} \right\rceil \in S$
L-convex	L^{\natural} -convex & invariance in direction 1
M [♯] -convex	$x, y \in S \implies x - 1^i + 1^j, y + 1^i - 1^j \in S$
M-convex	M ⁴ -convex & constant component-sum
Multimodular	$x + d, x + d' \in S, d = 1^{i} - 1^{i+1}, d' = 1^{j} - 1^{j+1}$
	$(0 \le i < j \le n) \implies x, x + d + d' \in S$
Disc. midpt convex	$x, y \in S, x - y _{\infty} \ge 2 \implies \left\lceil \frac{x+y}{2} \right\rceil, \left\lceil \frac{x+y}{2} \right\rceil \in S$
Simul. exch. jump	$x, y \in S \implies x \pm 1^i \pm 1^j, y \mp 1^i \mp \mathbf{\overline{1}}^j \in S$
Const-parity jump	Simul. exch. jump & constant-parity

Table 1: Various kinds of discrete convex sets

- For two vectors $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)$, $x \le y$ means that $x_i \le y_i$ for all i = 1, 2, ..., n.
- For a vector $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ the *positive* and *negative supports* of x are defined as

$$supp^{+}(x) = \{i \mid x_i > 0\}, \qquad supp^{-}(x) = \{i \mid x_i < 0\}.$$
(1.2)

- The 1-norm of a vector x is denoted as $||x||_1$, i.e., $||x||_1 = |x_1| + |x_2| + \cdots + |x_n|$.
- The ℓ_{∞} -norm of a vector x is denoted as $||x||_{\infty}$, i.e., $||x||_{\infty} = \max(|x_1|, |x_2|, \dots, |x_n|)$.

2 Definitions of Discrete Convex Sets and Functions

We consider functions defined on integer lattice points, $f : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$, where the function may possibly take $+\infty$. The *effective domain* of f means the set of x with $f(x) < +\infty$ and is denoted by dom $f = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid f(x) < +\infty\}$. We always assume that dom f is nonempty. The *indicator function* of a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ is the function $\delta_S : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \{0, +\infty\}$ defined by

$$\delta_S(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & (x \in S), \\ +\infty & (x \notin S). \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

The convex hull of a set S is denoted by \overline{S} . A set S is said to be *hole-free* if

$$S = \overline{S} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n, \tag{2.2}$$

which means that all integer points contained in the convex hull of S are members of S.

2.1 Separable convexity

For integer vectors $a \in (\mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\})^n$ and $b \in (\mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\})^n$ with $a \leq b$, $[a, b]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ denotes the integer box (discrete rectangle, integer interval) between a and b, i.e.,

$$[a,b]_{\mathbb{Z}} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid a_i \le x_i \le b_i \ (i=1,2,\dots,n)\}.$$
(2.3)

Convex function	Dom	Defining condition (roughly)
Submod. set fn	2^N	$f(X) + f(Y) \ge f(X \cup Y) + f(X \cap Y)$
Valuated matroid	2^N	$f(X) + f(Y) \le \max\{f(X - i + j) + f(Y + i - j)\}$
Separable convex	\mathbb{Z}^n	$f(x) = \varphi_1(x_1) + \varphi_2(x_2) + \dots + \varphi_n(x_n) \ (\varphi_i: \text{ convex})$
Integrally convex	\mathbb{Z}^n	Local convex extension is (globally) convex
L ^{\\phi} -convex	\mathbb{Z}^n	$f(x) + f(y) \ge f\left(\left\lceil \frac{x+y}{2} \right\rceil\right) + f\left(\left\lceil \frac{x+y}{2} \right\rceil\right)$
L-convex	\mathbb{Z}^n	L ⁴ -convex & linear in direction 1
M [♯] -convex	\mathbb{Z}^n	$f(x) + f(y) \ge \min\{f(x - 1^{i} + 1^{j}) + f(y + 1^{i} - 1^{j})\}$
M-convex	\mathbb{Z}^n	M^{\natural} -convex & constant sum of dom <i>f</i>
Multimodular	\mathbb{Z}^n	$f(x) = g(x_1, x_1 + x_2, \dots, x_1 + \dots + x_n), g: L^{\natural}$ -convex
Globally d.m.c.	\mathbb{Z}^n	$f(x) + f(y) \ge f\left(\left[\frac{x+y}{2}\right]\right) + f\left(\left\lfloor\frac{x+y}{2}\right\rfloor\right)\left(x-y _{\infty} \ge 2\right)$
Locally d.m.c.	\mathbb{Z}^n	$f(x) + f(y) \ge f\left(\left[\frac{x+y}{2}\right]\right) + f\left(\left[\frac{x+y}{2}\right]\right)(x-y _{\infty} = 2)$
Jump M [¢] -convex	\mathbb{Z}^n	$f(x) + f(y) \ge \min\{f(x \pm 1^i \pm 1^j) + f(y \mp 1^i \mp 1^j)\}$
Jump M-convex	\mathbb{Z}^n	Jump M ^{\natural} -convex & constant-parity of dom <i>f</i>

Table 2: Various kinds of discrete convex functions

* A valuated matroid is discrete concave.

A function $f : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ in $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ is called *separable convex* if it can be represented as

$$f(x) = \varphi_1(x_1) + \varphi_2(x_2) + \dots + \varphi_n(x_n)$$
(2.4)

with univariate functions $\varphi_i : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ satisfying

$$\varphi_i(t-1) + \varphi_i(t+1) \ge 2\varphi_i(t) \qquad (t \in \mathbb{Z}).$$
(2.5)

2.2 Integrally convexity

In this section we introduce the concept of integrally convex functions.

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the integral neighborhood of x is defined as

$$N(x) = \{ z \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid |x_i - z_i| < 1 \ (i = 1, 2, \dots, n) \}.$$
(2.6)

For a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we call the convex hull of $S \cap N(x)$ the *local convex hull* of S at x. A nonempty set $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ is said to be *integrally convex* if the union of the local convex hulls $\overline{S \cap N(x)}$ over $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is convex [31]. This is equivalent to saying that, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $x \in \overline{S}$ implies $x \in \overline{S \cap N(x)}$. An integrally convex set S is hole-free in the sense of (2.2).

For a function $f : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ the *local convex extension* $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ of f is defined as the union of all convex envelopes of f on N(x). That is,

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \min\{\sum_{y \in N(x)} \lambda_y f(y) \mid \sum_{y \in N(x)} \lambda_y y = x, \ (\lambda_y) \in \Lambda(x)\} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^n),$$
(2.7)

where $\Lambda(x)$ denotes the set of coefficients for convex combinations indexed by N(x):

$$\Lambda(x) = \{ (\lambda_y \mid y \in N(x)) \mid \sum_{y \in N(x)} \lambda_y = 1, \lambda_y \ge 0 \text{ for all } y \in N(x) \}.$$

If \tilde{f} is convex on \mathbb{R}^n , then f is said to be *integrally convex* [10]. The effective domain of an integrally convex function is an integrally convex set. A set $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ is integrally convex if and only if its indicator function $\delta_S : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \{0, +\infty\}$ is an integrally convex function.

Integral convexity of a function can be characterized by a local condition under the assumption that the effective domain is an integrally convex set.

Theorem 2.1 ([10, 26]). Let $f : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a function with an integrally convex effective domain. Then the following properties are equivalent:

(a) *f* is integrally convex.

(b) For every $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $||x - y||_{\infty} = 2$ we have

$$\tilde{f}\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \le \frac{1}{2}(f(x)+f(y)).$$
 (2.8)

The reader is referred to [10], [31, Section 3.4], and [36, Section 13] for more about integral convexity, and [24] and [26] for recent developments.

2.3 L-convexity

2.3.1 L⁴-convex sets and functions

A nonempty set $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ is called L^{\natural} -convex if

$$x, y \in S \implies \left[\frac{x+y}{2}\right], \left\lfloor\frac{x+y}{2}\right\rfloor \in S,$$
 (2.9)

where, for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ in general, [t] denotes the smallest integer not smaller than t (roundingup to the nearest integer) and [t] the largest integer not larger than t (rounding-down to the nearest integer), and this operation is extended to a vector by componentwise applications. The property (2.9) is called *discrete midpoint convexity*.

A function $f : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ with dom $f \neq \emptyset$ is said to be L^{\natural} -convex if it satisfies a quantitative version of discrete midpoint convexity, i.e., if

$$f(x) + f(y) \ge f\left(\left\lceil \frac{x+y}{2} \right\rceil\right) + f\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x+y}{2} \right\rfloor\right)$$
(2.10)

holds for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. The effective domain of an L^{\natural}-convex function is an L^{\natural}-convex set. A set *S* is L^{\natural}-convex if and only if its indicator function δ_S is an L^{\natural}-convex function.

It is known [31, Section 7.1] that L^{\natural}-convex functions can be characterized by several different conditions, stated in Theorem 2.2 below. The condition (b) in Theorem 2.2 imposes discrete midpoint convexity (2.10) for all points *x*, *y* at ℓ_{∞} -distance 1 or 2. The condition (c) refers to *submodularity*, which means that

$$f(x) + f(y) \ge f(x \lor y) + f(x \land y) \tag{2.11}$$

holds for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, where $x \lor y$ and $x \land y$ denote, respectively, the vectors of componentwise maximum and minimum of x and y, i.e.,

$$(x \lor y)_i = \max(x_i, y_i), \quad (x \land y)_i = \min(x_i, y_i) \qquad (i = 1, 2, ..., n).$$
 (2.12)

The condition (d) refers to a generalization of submodularity called *translation-submodularity*, which means that

$$f(x) + f(y) \ge f((x - \mu \mathbf{1}) \lor y) + f(x \land (y + \mu \mathbf{1}))$$
(2.13)

holds for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and nonnegative integers μ , where $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1, ..., 1)$. The condition (e) refers to the condition¹ that, for any $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with supp⁺ $(x - y) \neq \emptyset$, the inequality

$$f(x) + f(y) \ge f(x - \mathbf{1}^{A}) + f(y + \mathbf{1}^{A})$$
(2.14)

holds with $A = \arg \max_{i} \{x_i - y_i\}$, where $\mathbf{1}^A$ denotes the characteristic vector of A. The condition (f) refers to submodularity of the function

$$\tilde{f}(x_0, x) = f(x - x_0 \mathbf{1}) \qquad (x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in \mathbb{Z}^n)$$
(2.15)

in n + 1 variables associated with the given function f.

Theorem 2.2. For $f : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ the following conditions, (a) to (f), are equivalent:

(a) f is an L^{\natural} -convex function, that is, it satisfies discrete midpoint inequality (2.10) for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

(b) dom *f* is an L^{\natural} -convex set, and *f* satisfies discrete midpoint inequality (2.10) for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $||x - y||_{\infty} \le 2$.

(c) *f* is integrally convex and submodular (2.11).

(d) *f* satisfies translation-submodularity (2.13) for all nonnegative $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(e) f satisfies the condition (2.14).

(f) \tilde{f} in (2.15) is submodular (2.11).

For a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ we consider conditions

$$x, y \in S \implies x \lor y, \ x \land y \in S, \tag{2.16}$$

$$x, y \in S \implies (x - \mu \mathbf{1}) \lor y, \ x \land (y + \mu \mathbf{1}) \in S, \tag{2.17}$$

$$x, y \in S$$
, $\operatorname{supp}^+(x - y) \neq \emptyset \implies x - \mathbf{1}^A, y + \mathbf{1}^A \in S$ for $A = \arg\max\{x_i - y_i\},$ (2.18)

which correspond to submodularity (2.11), translation-submodularity (2.13), and the condition (2.14), respectively. The first condition (2.16) means that *S* forms a sublattice of \mathbb{Z}^n .

Proposition 2.3. For a nonempty set $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ the following conditions, (a) to (d), are equivalent:

- (a) *S* is an L^{\natural} -convex set, that is, it satisfies (2.9).
- (b) *S* is an integrally convex set that satisfies (2.16).
- (c) *S* satisfies (2.17) for all nonnegative $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (d) S satisfies (2.18).

The concept of L^{\natural} -convex functions was introduced in [12] as an equivalent variant of Lconvex functions introduced earlier in [29]. L- and L^{\natural} -convex functions form major classes of discrete convex functions [31, Chapter 7]. They have applications in several fields including image processing, auction theory, inventory theory, and scheduling [36, 44, 46].

2.3.2 L-convex sets and functions

A function $f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ with dom $f \neq \emptyset$ is called *L*-convex if it is submodular (2.11) and there exists $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f(x+1) = f(x) + r$$
(2.19)

¹This condition (2.14) is labeled as (L^{\natural}-APR[\mathbb{Z}]) in [31, Section 7.2].

for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. If f is L-convex, the function $g(x_2, \ldots, x_n) := f(0, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ is an L^{\\[\beta]}-convex function, and any L^{\\[\beta]}-convex function arises in this way. The function \tilde{f} in (2.15) derived from an L^{\(\beta]}-convex function f is an L-convex function with $\tilde{f}(x_0 + 1, x + 1) = \tilde{f}(x_0, x)$, and we have $f(x) = \tilde{f}(0, x)$.

Theorem 2.4. For $f : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ the following conditions, (a) to (c), are equivalent:

(a) *f* is an *L*-convex function, that is, it satisfies (2.11) and (2.19) for some $r \in \mathbb{R}$.

- (b) f is an L^{\natural} -convex function that satisfies (2.19) for some $r \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (c) *f* satisfies translation-submodularity (2.13) for all $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$ (including $\mu < 0$).

A nonempty set *S* is called *L*-convex if its indicator function δ_S is an L-convex function. This means that *S* is L-convex if and only if it satisfies (2.16) and

$$x \in S \implies x - 1, \ x + 1 \in S. \tag{2.20}$$

The effective domain of an L-convex function is an L-convex set.

The following proposition gives equivalent conditions for L-convex sets.

Proposition 2.5. For a nonempty set $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ the following conditions, (a) to (c), are equivalent:

(a) S is an L-convex set, that is, it satisfies (2.16) and (2.20).

(b) *S* is an L^{\natural} -convex set that satisfies (2.20).

(c) *S* satisfies (2.17) for all $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$ (including $\mu < 0$).

2.3.3 Discrete midpoint convex sets and functions

A generalization of the concept of L^{\natural}-convexity has been introduced recently in [27]. A nonempty set $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ is said to be *discrete midpoint convex* if

$$x, y \in S, ||x - y||_{\infty} \ge 2 \implies \left\lceil \frac{x + y}{2} \right\rceil, \left\lfloor \frac{x + y}{2} \right\rfloor \in S.$$
 (2.21)

This condition is weaker than the defining condition (2.9) for an L^{β}-convex set, and hence every L^{β}-convex set is a discrete midpoint convex set.

A function $f : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is called *globally discrete midpoint convex* if the discrete midpoint convexity

$$f(x) + f(y) \ge f\left(\left\lceil \frac{x+y}{2} \right\rceil\right) + f\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x+y}{2} \right\rfloor\right)$$
(2.22)

is satisfied by every pair $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $||x - y||_{\infty} \ge 2$. The effective domain of a globally discrete midpoint convex function is necessarily a discrete midpoint convex set. A function $f : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is called *locally discrete midpoint convex* if dom f is a discrete midpoint convex set and the discrete midpoint convexity (2.22) is satisfied by every pair $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $||x - y||_{\infty} = 2$ (exactly equal to 2). Obviously, every L^{\\[\beta]}-convex function is globally discrete midpoint convex, and every globally discrete midpoint convex function is locally discrete midpoint convex.

We sometimes abbreviate "discrete midpoint convex(ity)" to "d.m.c."



Figure 1: Definition of M^{\\[\|}-convex functions

2.4 M-convexity

2.4.1 M⁴-convex sets and functions

We say that a function $f : \mathbb{Z}^N \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ with dom $f \neq \emptyset$ is M^{\natural} -convex, if, for any $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ and $i \in \text{supp}^+(x - y)$, we have (i)

$$f(x) + f(y) \ge f(x - \mathbf{1}^{i}) + f(y + \mathbf{1}^{i})$$
(2.23)

or (ii) there exists some $j \in \text{supp}^{-}(x - y)$ such that

$$f(x) + f(y) \ge f(x - \mathbf{1}^{i} + \mathbf{1}^{j}) + f(y + \mathbf{1}^{i} - \mathbf{1}^{j}).$$
(2.24)

This property is referred to as the *exchange property*. See Fig. 1, in which $(x', y') = (x - \mathbf{1}^i, y + \mathbf{1}^i)$ for (2.23) and $(x'', y'') = (x - \mathbf{1}^i + \mathbf{1}^j, y + \mathbf{1}^i - \mathbf{1}^j)$ for (2.24).

A more compact expression of the exchange property is as follows:

(**M**^{\natural}-**EXC**) For any $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ and $i \in \text{supp}^+(x - y)$, we have

$$f(x) + f(y) \ge \min_{j \in \text{supp}^{-}(x-y) \cup \{0\}} \{ f(x - \mathbf{1}^{i} + \mathbf{1}^{j}) + f(y + \mathbf{1}^{i} - \mathbf{1}^{j}) \},$$
(2.25)

where $\mathbf{1}^0 = \mathbf{0}$ (zero vector). In the above statement we may change "For any $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ " to "For any $x, y \in \text{dom } f$ " since if $x \notin \text{dom } f$ or $y \notin \text{dom } f$, the inequality (2.25) trivially holds with $f(x) + f(y) = +\infty$.

It follows from (M^{\natural}-EXC) that the effective domain S = dom f of an M^{\natural}-convex function f has the following exchange property:

(**B**^{\natural}-**EXC**) For any $x, y \in S$ and $i \in \text{supp}^+(x - y)$, we have (i) $x - 1^i \in S$ and $y + 1^i \in S$ or (ii) there exists some $j \in \text{supp}^-(x - y)$ such that $x - 1^i + 1^j \in S$ and $y + 1^i - 1^j \in S$.

A nonempty set $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^N$ having this property is called an M^{\natural} -convex set, which is an alias for the set of integer points in an integral generalized polymatroid.

 M^{\natural} -convex functions can be characterized by a number of different exchange properties including a local exchange property under the assumption that function f is (effectively) defined on an M^{\natural} -convex set. See [40] as well as [36, Theorem 4.2] and [45, Theorem 6.8].

It is known [39, Theorem 3.8] (see also [31, Theorem 6.19]) that an M^{\ddagger} -convex function $f : \mathbb{Z}^N \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is *supermodular* on the integer lattice, i.e.,

$$f(x) + f(y) \le f(x \lor y) + f(x \land y) \qquad (x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^N).$$

$$(2.26)$$

Not every supermodular function is M^{\natural} -convex, that is, M^{\natural} -convex functions form a proper subclass of supermodular functions on \mathbb{Z}^{N} .

The concept of M^{\ddagger} -convex functions was introduced in [38] as an equivalent variant of M-convex functions introduced earlier in [28]. M- and M^{\ddagger} -convex functions form major classes of discrete convex functions [31, Chapter 6]. M-convexity and its various variants have applications in economics [31, 35, 36, 41, 45]. See [31, Chapters 4 and 6], [36, Section 4], [40] for detailed discussion about the exchange properties.

2.4.2 M-convex sets and functions

If a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^N$ lies on a hyperplane with a constant component sum (i.e., x(N) = y(N) for all $x, y \in S$), the exchange property (B^{\\[\beta_-EXC\]}) takes a simpler form (without the possibility of the first case (i)):

(B-EXC) For any $x, y \in S$ and $i \in \text{supp}^+(x - y)$, there exists some $j \in \text{supp}^-(x - y)$ such that $x - \mathbf{1}^i + \mathbf{1}^j \in S$ and $y + \mathbf{1}^i - \mathbf{1}^j \in S$.

A nonempty set $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^N$ having this exchange property is called an *M*-convex set, which is an alias for the set of integer points in an integral base polyhedron.

An M^{\natural}-convex function whose effective domain is an M-convex set is called an *M*-convex *function* [28, 29, 31]. In other words, a function $f : \mathbb{Z}^N \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is M-convex if and only if it satisfies the exchange property:

(M-EXC) For any $x, y \in \text{dom } f$ and $i \in \text{supp}^+(x - y)$, there exists $j \in \text{supp}^-(x - y)$ such that (2.24) holds.

M-convex functions can be characterized by a local exchange property under the assumption that function f is (effectively) defined on an M-convex set. See [31, Section 6.2].

When the effective domain of a function f is contained in the unit cube $\{0, 1\}^N$, f is M-convex if and only if -f is a valuated matroid introduced earlier in [6, 8]. Historically, the concept of M-concave functions was introduced in [28] as a generalization of valuated matroids. See also [30, Chapter 5] for valuated matroids.

M-convex functions and M^{\natural}-convex functions are equivalent concepts, in that M^{\natural}-convex functions in *n* variables can be obtained as projections of M-convex functions in *n* + 1 variables. More formally, let "0" denote a new element not in *N* and $\tilde{N} = \{0\} \cup N$. A function $f : \mathbb{Z}^N \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is M^{\natural}-convex if and only if the function $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{Z}^{\tilde{N}} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ defined by

$$\tilde{f}(x_0, x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x_0 = -x(N) \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \qquad (x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in \mathbb{Z}^N)$$
(2.27)

is an M-convex function.

2.4.3 M-convex functions on jump systems

Let x and y be integer vectors. The smallest integer box containing x and y is given by $[x \land y, x \lor y]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ using the notations $x \land y$ and $x \lor y$ introduced in (2.12). A vector $s \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ is called an (x, y)-increment if $s = \mathbf{1}^i$ or $s = -\mathbf{1}^i$ for some $i \in N$ and $x + s \in [x \land y, x \lor y]_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

A nonempty set $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^N$ is said to be a *jump system* [4] if satisfies an exchange axiom, called the 2-*step axiom*:

(2-step axiom) For any $x, y \in S$ and any (x, y)-increment *s* with $x + s \notin S$, there exists an (x + s, y)-increment *t* such that $x + s + t \in S$.

Note that we have the possibility of s = t in the 2-step axiom.

A set $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^N$ is called a *constant-sum system* if x(N) = y(N) for any $x, y \in S$. A constantsum jump system is nothing but an M-convex set, since an M-convex set is a constant-sum system and, for a constant-sum system, the 2-step axiom reduces to the exchange axiom (B-EXC) for an M-convex set.

A set $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^N$ is called a *constant-parity system* if x(N) - y(N) is even for any $x, y \in S$. For a constant-parity system S, the 2-step axiom is simplified to:

(J-EXC₊) For any $x, y \in S$ and for any (x, y)-increment *s*, there exists an (x + s, y)-increment *t* such that $x + s + t \in S$.

It is known ([33, Lemma 2.1]) that this exchange property $(J-EXC_+)$ is equivalent to the following (seemingly stronger) exchange property:

(J-EXC) For any $x, y \in S$ and any (x, y)-increment *s*, there exists an (x + s, y)-increment *t* such that $x + s + t \in S$ and $y - s - t \in S$.

That is, (J-EXC) characterizes a *constant-parity jump system* (or *c.p. jump system* for short).

Example 2.1. Let $S = \{0, 2\}$, which is a subset of \mathbb{Z} (with n = 1). This is a constantparity jump system. Indeed, for (x, y, s) = (0, 2, 1) we can take t = 1 in (J-EXC), and for (x, y, s) = (2, 0, -1) we can take t = -1 in (J-EXC). In contrast, this set is not an M^{\(\beta\)}-convex set, since we cannot take t = s in the exchange property (B^{\(\beta\)}-EXC) for M^{\(\beta\)}-convex sets.

A function $f : \mathbb{Z}^N \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is called² jump *M*-convex if it satisfies the following exchange axiom:

(JM-EXC) For any $x, y \in \text{dom } f$ and any (x, y)-increment s, there exists an (x + s, y)increment t such that $x + s + t \in \text{dom } f$, $y - s - t \in \text{dom } f$, and

$$f(x) + f(y) \ge f(x + s + t) + f(y - s - t).$$
(2.28)

The effective domain of a jump M-convex function is a constant-parity jump system.

Just as we consider M^{\natural} -convex functions as well as M-convex functions, we can introduce the concept of *jump M^{\natural}*-convex functions by the following exchange axiom [37]:

(JM^{\natural}-EXC) For any $x, y \in \text{dom } f$ and any (x, y)-increment s, we have (i) $x + s \in \text{dom } f$, $y - s \in \text{dom } f$, and

$$f(x) + f(y) \ge f(x+s) + f(y-s), \tag{2.29}$$

or (ii) there exists an (x+s, y)-increment t such that $x+s+t \in \text{dom } f$, $y-s-t \in \text{dom } f$, and (2.28) holds.

²This concept ("jump M-convex function") is the same as "M-convex function on a jump system" in [19, 33].

The condition $(JM^{\natural}-EXC)$ is weaker than (JM-EXC), and hence every jump M-convex function is a jump M^{\natural}-convex function. However, the concepts of jump M-convexity and jump M^{\natural}-convexity are in fact equivalent to each other in the sense that jump M^{\natural}-convex function in *n* variables can be identified with jump M-convex functions in *n* + 1 variables. More specifically, for any integer vector $x \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ we define $\pi(x) = 0$ if x(N) is even, and $\pi(x) = 1$ if x(N) is odd, and let $\tilde{N} = \{0\} \cup N$ with a new element "0" not in *N*. It is known [37] that a function $f : \mathbb{Z}^N \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is jump M^{\natural}-convex if and only if the function $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{Z}^{\tilde{N}} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ defined by

$$\tilde{f}(x_0, x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & (x_0 = \pi(x)) \\ +\infty & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases} \qquad (x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in \mathbb{Z}^N)$$
(2.30)

is a jump M-convex function.

As a consequence of (JM^{β}-EXC), the effective domain of a jump M^{β}-convex function is a jump system that satisfies

(**J**^{\natural}-**EXC**) For any $x, y \in S$ and any (x, y)-increment s, we have (i) $x + s \in S$ and $y - s \in S$, or (ii) there exists an (x + s, y)-increment t such that $x + s + t \in S$ and $y - s - t \in S$.

A jump system that satisfies (J^{\natural} -EXC) is called a *simultaneous exchange jump system* (or *s.e. jump system* for short) [37]. Every constant-parity jump system is a simultaneous exchange jump system, since the condition (J-EXC) implies (J^{\natural} -EXC).

When a set *S* is a subset of $\{0, 1\}^N$, *S* is a c.p. jump system if and only if it is an even delta-matroid [4], and *S* is an s.e. jump system if and only if it is a simultaneous delta-matroid considered in [47], where a subset of *N* is identified with its characteristic vector. Furthermore, when the effective domain of a function *f* is contained in the unit cube $\{0, 1\}^N$, *f* is jump M-convex if and only if -f is a valuated delta-matroid of [7], and *f* is jump M^{\natural}-convex if and only if -f is a valuation on a simultaneous delta-matroid in the sense of [47].

Not every jump system is a simultaneous exchange jump system, as the following examples show.

Example 2.2. Let $S = \{0, 2, 3\}$, which is a subset of \mathbb{Z} (with n = 1). This set satisfies the 2-step axiom, and hence a jump system. However, it does not satisfy the simultaneous exchange property (J^{\beta}-EXC). Indeed, (J^{\beta}-EXC) fails for x = 0, y = 3, and s = 1.

Example 2.3 ([47]). Let $S = \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1), (0, 1, 1), (1, 1, 1)\}$. This set satisfies the 2-step axiom, and hence a jump system. However, it does not satisfy the simultaneous exchange property (J^{\(\beta\)}-EXC). Indeed, (J^{\(\beta\)}-EXC) fails for x = (0, 0, 0), y = (1, 1, 1), and s = (1, 0, 0). It is worth noting that S consists of the characteristic vectors of the rows (and columns) of nonsingular principal minors of the symmetric matrix

$$A = \left[\begin{array}{rrr} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

and hence it is a delta-matroid.

The following example demonstrates the difference of (M^{\natural} -EXC) and (JM^{\natural} -EXC) for functions.

Example 2.4. Let $S = \{(0,0), (1,0), (0,1), (1,1)\}$ and define $f : \mathbb{Z}^2 \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ by f(0,0) = f(1,1) = a and f(1,0) = f(0,1) = b with dom f = S. (M^{\[\epsilon]}-EXC) is satisfied if and only if $a \ge b$, whereas (JM^{\[\epsilon]}-EXC) is true for any (a, b).

The inclusion relations for sets and functions may be summarized as follows:

$$\{M-\text{convex sets}\} \subsetneq \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{M^{\natural}-\text{convex sets}\}\\ \{\text{c.p. jump systems}\} \end{array} \right\} \varsubsetneq \{\text{s.e. jump systems}\} \subsetneq \{\text{jump systems}\},$$

$$(2.31)$$

 $\{\text{M-convex fns}\} \subsetneq \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{M^{\natural}\text{-convex fns}\}\\ \{\text{jump M-convex fns}\} \end{array} \right\} \varsubsetneq \{\text{jump } M^{\natural}\text{-convex fns}\}.$ (2.32)

It is noted that no convexity class is introduced for functions defined on general jump systems.

Jump M- and M[‡]-convex functions find applications in several fields including matching theory [3, 20, 21, 47] and algebra [5].

2.5 Multimodularity

Recall that $\mathbf{1}^i$ denotes the *i*th unit vector for i = 1, 2, ..., n, and $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^N$ be the set of vectors defined by

$$\mathcal{F} = \{-1^1, 1^1 - 1^2, 1^2 - 1^3, \dots, 1^{n-1} - 1^n, 1^n\}.$$
(2.33)

A finite-valued function $f : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be *multimodular* [14] if it satisfies

$$f(z+d) + f(z+d') \ge f(z) + f(z+d+d')$$
(2.34)

for all $z \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and all distinct $d, d' \in \mathcal{F}$. It is known [14, Proposition 2.2] that $f : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is multimodular if and only if the function $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\tilde{f}(x_0, x) = f(x_1 - x_0, x_2 - x_1, \dots, x_n - x_{n-1}) \qquad (x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in \mathbb{Z}^n)$$
(2.35)

is submodular in n + 1 variables. This characterization enables us to define multimodularity for a function that may take the infinite value $+\infty$. That is, we say [25, 32] that a function $f : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ with dom $f \neq \emptyset$ is multimodular if the function $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ associated with f by (2.35) is submodular.

Multimodularity and L^{\natural} -convexity have the following close relationship.

Theorem 2.6 ([32]). A function $f : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is multimodular if and only if the function $g : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ defined by

$$g(p) = f(p_1, p_2 - p_1, p_3 - p_2, \dots, p_n - p_{n-1}) \qquad (p \in \mathbb{Z}^n)$$
(2.36)

is L^{\natural} -convex.

Note that the relation (2.36) between f and g can be rewritten as

$$f(x) = g(x_1, x_1 + x_2, x_1 + x_2 + x_3, \dots, x_1 + \dots + x_n) \qquad (x \in \mathbb{Z}^n).$$
(2.37)

Using a bidiagonal matrix $D = (d_{ij} \mid 1 \le i, j \le n)$ defined by

$$d_{ii} = 1$$
 $(i = 1, 2, ..., n),$ $d_{i+1,i} = -1$ $(i = 1, 2, ..., n-1),$ (2.38)

we can express (2.36) and (2.37) more compactly as g(p) = f(Dp) and $f(x) = g(D^{-1}x)$, respectively. The matrix D is unimodular, and its inverse D^{-1} is an integer matrix with $(D^{-1})_{ij} = 1$ for $i \ge j$ and $(D^{-1})_{ij} = 0$ for i < j. For n = 4, for example, we have

	[1	0	0	0]		[1	0	0	0	l
_ ת	-1	1	0	0		D^{-1} –	1	1	0	0	
D =	0	-1	1	0 , D =	1	1	1	0	ľ		
	0	0	-1	1			1	1	1	1	

A nonempty set *S* is called *multimodular* if its indicator function δ_S is multimodular. A multimodular set *S* can be represented as $S = \{Dp \mid p \in T\}$ for some L^{\\[\epsilon}-convex set *T*, where *T* is uniquely determined from *S* as $T = \{D^{-1}x \mid x \in S\}$. It follows from (2.36) that the effective domain of a multimodular function is a multimodular set.

A quadratic multimodular function admits a simple characterization in terms of its coefficient matrix.

Proposition 2.7 ([25, Proposition 3]). A quadratic function $f(x) = x^{T}Ax$ is multimodular if and only if

$$a_{ij} - a_{i,j+1} - a_{i+1,j} + a_{i+1,j+1} \le 0 \qquad (0 \le i < j \le n),$$

$$(2.39)$$

where $A = (a_{ij} | i, j = 1, 2, ..., n)$ and $a_{ij} = 0$ if i = 0 or j = n + 1.

The reader is referred to [1, 2, 14, 32, 34] for more about multimodularity, and [25] for a recent development.

2.6 Relations among classes of discrete convex sets

Figure 2 shows the inclusion relations among the most fundamental classes of discrete convex sets. Integrally convex sets contain both L^{β}-convex sets and M^{β}-convex sets. L^{β}-convex sets contain L-convex sets as a special case. Similarly, M^{β}-convex sets contain M-convex sets as a special case. The classes of L-convex sets and M-convex sets are disjoint, whereas the intersection of the classes of L^{β}-convex sets and M^{β}-convex sets is precisely the class of integer boxes. Integer boxes are neither L-convex nor M-convex, with the exception that the entire lattice \mathbb{Z}^n is an L-convex set and every singleton set is an M-convex set.

Other kinds of discrete convex sets treated in this paper are multimodular sets, discrete midpoint convex sets, and jump systems. Multimodular sets can be obtained from L^h-convex sets by a unimodular transformation, and vice versa. Integer boxes are multimodular, and multimodular sets are integrally convex. L^h-convex sets are discrete midpoint convex, and discrete midpoint convex sets are integrally convex. Simultaneous exchange jump systems contain M^h-convex sets as a special case, and constant-parity jump systems contain M-convex sets as a special case. Jump systems, whether simultaneous exchange or constant-parity, are not necessarily integrally convex.

The inclusion relations among these set classes are summarized in the following theorem. We mention that (2.40) to (2.44) are shown in Fig. 2.



Figure 2: Classes of discrete convex sets (L^{\natural}-convex \cap M^{\natural}-convex = integer box)

Theorem 2.8. The following inclusion relations hold for subsets of \mathbb{Z}^n :

 $\{L\text{-convex}\} \subsetneq \{L^{\natural}\text{-convex}\} \subsetneq \{\text{integrally convex}\},\$ (2.40) $\{M\text{-convex}\} \subsetneq \{M^{\natural}\text{-convex}\} \varsubsetneq \{\text{integrally convex}\},\$ (2.41){integer box} = {L^{\natural}-convex} \cap {M^{\natural}-convex}, (2.42){integer box} \cap {L-convex} = { \mathbb{Z}^n }, (2.43){integer box} \cap {M-convex} = {singleton}, (2.44) $\{\text{integer box}\} \subseteq \{\text{multimodular}\} \subseteq \{\text{integrally convex}\},\$ (2.45) $\{L^{\natural}-convex\} \subsetneq \{discrete midpoint convex\} \subsetneq \{integrally convex\},\$ (2.46) $\{M\text{-convex}\} \subsetneq \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{M^{\natural}\text{-convex}\}\\ \{c.p. \text{ jump}\} \end{array} \right\} \varsubsetneq \{s.e. \text{ jump}\} \nsubseteq \{\text{integrally convex}\},$ (2.47)

where "c.p. jump" and "s.e. jump" mean constant-parity jump system and simultaneous exchange jump system, respectively.

Remark 2.1. Here is a supplement to Theorem 2.8. The relation (2.42) originates in [39, Lemma 5.7]. The inclusion relations given in Theorem 2.8 follow from the inclusion relations for discrete convex functions in Theorem 2.9. Integral convexity of L-convex sets is proved in [31, Theorem 5.10], and that of M-convex sets in [31, Theorem 4.24]. Integral convexity of discrete midpoint convex sets is established in [27, Proposition 1].

Remark 2.2. For subsets of \mathbb{Z}^2 , L^{\natural}-convexity and M^{\natural}-convexity are essentially the same in the sense that $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$ is L^{\natural}-convex if and only if $T = \{(x_1, -x_2) \mid (x_1, x_2) \in S\}$ is M^{\natural}-convex. Moreover, multimodularity is the same as M^{\natural}-convexity, that is, a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$ is multimodular if and only if it is M^{\natural}-convex.

Hole-free property Integrally convex sets are hole-free $(S = \overline{S} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n)$, and hence the same is true for L-convex, L^{\natural}-convex, M^{\natural}-convex, multimodular, and discrete midpoint convex sets. In contrast, jump systems may have a hole in its convex hull.



Figure 3: Classes of discrete convex functions (L^{β}-convex \cap M^{β}-convex = separable convex)

2.7 Relations among classes of discrete convex functions

Figure 3 shows the inclusion relations among the most fundamental classes of discrete convex functions. Integrally convex functions contain both L^{\natural}-convex functions and M^{\natural}-convex functions. L^{\natural}-convex functions contain L-convex functions as a special case. Similarly, M^{\natural}-convex functions contain M-convex functions as a special case. The classes of L-convex functions and M-convex functions are disjoint, whereas the intersection of the classes of L^{\natural}-convex functions. A separable convex function is neither L-convex nor M-convex, except that every linear function on the entire lattice \mathbb{Z}^n is an L-convex function and every function on a singleton set is an M-convex function.

Other kinds of discrete convex functions treated in this paper are multimodular functions, globally and locally discrete midpoint convex functions, and jump M^{β}- and M-convex functions. Multimodular functions can be obtained from L^{β}-convex functions by a unimodular coordinate transformation, and vice versa. Separable convex functions are multimodular, and multimodular functions are integrally convex. The class of globally discrete midpoint convex functions lies between the classes of L^{β}-convex functions and locally discrete midpoint convex functions. Locally discrete midpoint convex functions are integrally convex. Jump M^{β}-convex and jump M-convex functions are not necessarily integrally convex. Jump M^{β}-convex functions contain M^{β}-convex functions a special case, and similarly jump M-convex functions a special case.

The inclusion relations among these function classes are summarized in the following theorem. We mention that (2.48) to (2.52) are shown in Fig. 3.

Theorem 2.9. The following inclusion relations hold for functions on \mathbb{Z}^n :

 $\{L-convex\} \subsetneq \{L^{\natural}-convex\} \varsubsetneq \{integrally convex\},$ (2.48) $\{M-convex\} \varsubsetneq \{M^{\natural}-convex\} \varsubsetneq \{integrally convex\},$ (2.49) $\{separable convex\} = \{L^{\natural}-convex\} \cap \{M^{\natural}-convex\},$ (2.50) $\{separable convex\} \cap \{L-convex\} = \{linear on \mathbb{Z}^n\},$ (2.51) $\{separable convex\} \cap \{M-convex\} = \{singleton effective domain\},$ (2.52) $\{separable convex\} \subseteq \{multimodular\} \subsetneq \{integrally convex\},$ (2.53) $\{L^{\natural}-convex\} \subsetneq \{globally d.m.c.\} \subsetneq \{locally d.m.c.\} \subsetneq \{integrally convex\},$ (2.54) $\{M-convex\} \subsetneq \{M^{\natural}-convex\},$ $\{unp M^{\natural}-convex\} \end{Bmatrix} \leqq \{jump M^{\natural}-convex\} \not \subseteq \{integrally convex\},$ (2.55)

Remark 2.3. Here is a supplement to Theorem 2.9. The relation (2.50) originates in [39, Theorem 3.17] and is stated in [31, Theorem 8.49]. Integral convexity is established for L^{β}-convex functions in [31, Theorem 7.20], for M^{β}-convex functions in [39, Theorem 3.9] (see also [31, Theorem 6.42]), and for locally (hence globally) discrete midpoint convex functions in [27, Theorem 6]. The integral convexity of multimodular functions in (2.53) was pointed out first in [34, Section 14.6], while this is implicit in the construction of the convex extension given earlier in [14, Theorem 4.3]. The first inclusion in (2.53) for multimodular functions is given in [25, Proposition 2]. The inclusion relations in (2.54) are given in [27, Theorem 6].

Remark 2.4. For functions in two variables, L^{\natural} -convexity and M^{\natural} -convexity are essentially the same in the sense that a function $f : \mathbb{Z}^2 \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is L^{\natural} -convex if and only if $g(x_1, x_2) = f(x_1, -x_2)$ is M^{\natural} -convex. Moreover, multimodularity is the same as M^{\natural} -convexity, that is, a function in two variables is multimodular if and only if it is M^{\natural} -convex.

Convex-extensibility Integrally convex functions are convex-extensible (by definition), and therefore, separable convex, L-convex, L^{\natural}-convex, M-convex, M^{\natural}-convex, multimodular, and globally/locally discrete midpoint convex functions are convex-extensible. In contrast, jump M^{\natural}- or M-convex functions are not necessarily convex-extensible. The convex extension of an L^{\natural}-convex function can be given by a collection of the (local) Lovász extensions (Choquet integrals) [31, Section 7.7]. The convex extension of a multimodular function can be constructed in an explicit manner [14, Theorem 4.3] (also [1, Theorem 2.1]), which may be regarded as a variant of the Lovász extension. No explicit expression is available for the convex extension of an M^{\natural}- or M-convex function [31, Section 6.10].

Set function A function $f : 2^N \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ that assigns a real number (or $+\infty$) to each subset of $N = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ is called a *set function*. A set function f is said to be *submodular* [9, 11, 23, 48] if

$$f(X) + f(Y) \ge f(X \cup Y) + f(X \cap Y) \qquad (X, Y \subseteq N), \tag{2.56}$$

where it is understood that the inequality is satisfied if f(X) or f(Y) is equal to $+\infty$.

A set function $f : 2^N \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ can be identified with a function $g : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ with dom $g \subseteq \{0,1\}^n$ through the correspondence $f(X) = g(\mathbf{1}^X)$ for $X \subseteq N$. With this correspondence in mind we can say that submodular set functions are exactly L⁴-convex

functions on $\{0, 1\}^n$, and *valuated matroids* are exactly M-concave functions on $\{0, 1\}^n$. M^{\[\[h]}- concave functions are known to be submodular [39, Theorem 3.8] (see also [31, Theorem 6.19]).

Theorem 2.10. The following inclusion relations hold for set functions:

$$\{\text{valuated matroid}\} = \{\text{M-concave}\},$$
(2.57)

$$\{M^{\natural}\text{-concave}\} \subsetneq \{\text{submodular}\} = \{L^{\natural}\text{-convex}\}.$$
(2.58)

3 Operations on Discrete Convex Sets

3.1 Operations via simple coordinate changes

In this section we consider operations on discrete convex sets defined by changes of variables such as origin shift, coordinate inversion, permutation of variables, and scaling of variables.

Let *S* be a subset of \mathbb{Z}^n , i.e., $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$. For an integer vector $b \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, the *origin shift* of *S* by *b* means a subset *T* of \mathbb{Z}^n defined by

$$T = \{x - b \mid x \in S\}.$$
 (3.1)

Proposition 3.1. The origin shift operation (3.1) for a set preserves integral convexity, L^{\natural} -convexity, *L*-convexity, *M*^{\natural}-convexity, *M*-convexity, multimodularity, and discrete midpoint convexity. Moreover, the origin shift of an integer box is an integer box, and the origin shift of an s.e. (resp., c.p.) jump system is an s.e. (resp., c.p.) jump system.

For coordinate inversions, two types are distinguished. The *simultaneous coordinate inversion* for *S* means a subset *T* of \mathbb{Z}^n defined by

$$T = \{(-x_1, -x_2, \dots, -x_n) \mid (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in S\},$$
(3.2)

and the *independent coordinate inversion* for S means a subset T of \mathbb{Z}^n defined by

$$T = \{ (\tau_1 x_1, \tau_2 x_2, \dots, \tau_n x_n) \mid (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in S \}$$
(3.3)

with an arbitrary choice of $\tau_i \in \{+1, -1\}$ $(i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$.

Proposition 3.2. The simultaneous coordinate inversion operation (3.2) for a set preserves integral convexity, L^{\natural} -convexity, L-convexity, M^{\natural} -convexity, M-convexity, multimodularity, and discrete midpoint convexity. Moreover, the simultaneous coordinate inversion of an integer box is an integer box, and the simultaneous coordinate inversion of an s.e. (resp., c.p.) jump system.

Proposition 3.3. The independent coordinate inversion operation (3.3) for a set preserves integral convexity. Moreover, the independent coordinate inversion of an integer box is an integer box, and the independent coordinate inversion of an s.e. (resp., c.p.) jump system is an s.e. (resp., c.p.) jump system.

The independent coordinate inversion operation does not preserve L^{\natural} -convexity, L-convexity, M^{\natural}-convexity, M-convexity, multimodularity, or discrete midpoint convexity.

Example 3.1. Let $S = \{(t, t) \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $T = \{(t, -t) \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, which is obtained from *S* by an independent coordinate inversion (3.3) with $\tau_1 = +1$ and $\tau_2 = -1$. The set *S* is L-convex, and hence L^{\\[\beta_1\$}-convex and discrete midpoint convex, whereas *T* is not L-convex, L^{\\[\beta_1\$}-convex, $L^{\[\beta_1$}$ -convex, $L^{\[\beta_2$}$ -convex.

Example 3.2. Let $S = \{(t, -t) \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $T = \{(t, t) \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, which is obtained from *S* by an independent coordinate inversion (3.3) with $\tau_1 = +1$ and $\tau_2 = -1$. The set *S* is M-convex and hence M^{β}-convex, whereas *T* is not M-convex or M^{β}-convex. The set *S* is multimodular, and *T* is not.

For a permutation σ of (1, 2, ..., n), the *permutation* of *S* by σ means a subset *T* of \mathbb{Z}^n defined by

$$T = \{ (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \mid (y_{\sigma(1)}, y_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, y_{\sigma(n)}) \in S \}.$$
(3.4)

Proposition 3.4. The permutation operation (3.4) for a set preserves integral convexity, L^{\natural} -convexity, *L*-convexity, *M*^{\natural}-convexity, *M*-convexity, and discrete midpoint convexity. Moreover, the permutation of an integer box is an integer box, and the permutation of an s.e. (resp., c.p.) jump system is an s.e. (resp., c.p.) jump system.

The permutation operation does not preserve multimodularity.

Example 3.3. $S = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 1, -1), (0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 0)\}$ is a multimodular set, but the set $T = \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 0, -1), (1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0)\}$ obtained from S by a permutation (transposition) $\sigma : (1, 2, 3) \mapsto (2, 1, 3)$ is not multimodular. Indeed, the transformed set

$$\tilde{S} = \{D^{-1}x \mid x \in S\} = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 1, 1), (1, 1, 1)\}$$

is L^{\$}-convex, whereas

$$\tilde{T} = \{D^{-1}x \mid x \in T\} = \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 1, 0), (1, 1, 1), (0, 1, 1)\}$$

is not L^{\\[\[\]}-convex, since $\lfloor ((1, 1, 0) + (0, 1, 1))/2 \rfloor = (0, 1, 0)$ does not belong to \tilde{T} .

For a positive integer α , the *scaling* of *S* by α means a subset *T* of \mathbb{Z}^n defined by

$$T = \{ (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid (\alpha y_1, \alpha y_2, \dots, \alpha y_n) \in S \}.$$
(3.5)

Note that the same scaling factor α is used for all coordinates.

L-convexity and its relatives is well-behaved with respect to the scaling operation.³

Proposition 3.5. The scaling operation (3.5) for a set preserves L^{\natural} -convexity, L-convexity, multimodularity, and discrete midpoint convexity. Moreover, the scaling of an integer box is an integer box.

Remark 3.1. Here is a supplement to Proposition 3.5 about scaling. The statements for L^{\natural} -convex and L-convex sets are easy to prove and well known. The statement for multimodular sets is given in [25, Proposition 7] and that for discrete midpoint convex sets in [27, Proposition 9]. The statements given in Proposition 3.5 follow from the corresponding statements for functions in Proposition 4.6.

³The scaled set T can be empty even when S is nonempty. Therefore, strictly speaking, we should add a proviso in Propositions 3.5 and 3.6 that the resulting set is nonempty.



Figure 4: An integrally convex set *S* and its scaled set *T* (Example 3.6)

In contrast, M-convexity and integral convexity are not compatible with the scaling operation, as follows.

- The scaling of an M^{\ddagger} -convex set is not necessarily M^{\ddagger} -convex. See Example 3.4.
- The scaling of an M-convex set is not necessarily M-convex. See Example 3.5.
- The scaling of an s.e. (resp., c.p.) jump system is not necessarily an s.e. (resp., c.p.) jump system. See Examples 3.4 and 3.5.
- The scaling of an integrally convex set is not necessarily integrally convex. See Example 3.6.

Example 3.4 ([26, Example 1.1]). This example shows that M^{\ddagger} -convexity is not preserved under scaling. Let *S* be a subset of \mathbb{Z}^3 defined as

$$S = \{c_1(1,0,-1) + c_2(1,0,0) + c_3(0,1,-1) + c_4(0,1,0) \mid c_i \in \{0,1\} \ (i = 1,2,3,4)\}.$$

This is an M^{\natural} -convex set, but the scaled set $T = \{y \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \mid 2y \in S\} = \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 1, -1)\}$ (with the scaling factor $\alpha = 2$) is not M^{\natural} -convex. This example also shows that the scaling of an s.e. jump system is not necessarily an s.e. jump system.

Example 3.5 ([31, Note 6.18]). This example shows that M-convexity is not preserved under scaling. Let *S* be a subset of \mathbb{Z}^4 defined as

$$S = \{c_1(1, 0, -1, 0) + c_2(1, 0, 0, -1) + c_3(0, 1, -1, 0) + c_4(0, 1, 0, -1) \mid c_i \in \{0, 1\} \ (i = 1, 2, 3, 4)\}.$$

This is an M-convex set, but the scaled set $T = \{y \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \mid 2y \in S\} = \{(0, 0, 0, 0), (1, 1, -1, -1)\}$ (with the scaling factor $\alpha = 2$) is not M-convex. This example also shows that the scaling of a c.p. jump system is not necessarily a c.p. jump system.

Example 3.6 ([26, Example 3.1]). This example shows that integral convexity is not preserved under scaling. Let *S* be a subset of \mathbb{Z}^3 defined by

$$S = \{(x_1, x_2, 0) \mid 0 \le x_2 \le 1, \ 0 \le x_1 - x_2 \le 3\}$$

$$\cup \{(x_1, x_2, 1) \mid 0 \le x_2 \le 2, \ x_2 \le x_1 \le 4\}$$

$$\cup \{(x_1, x_2, 2) \mid 0 \le x_2 \le 2, \ 1 \le x_1 - x_2 \le 3, \ x_1 \le 4\},\$$

Discrete convex set	Origin	Coord.	inversion	Permu-	Scaling	Reference
	shift	simult.	indep.	tation		
Integer box	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
Integrally convex	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	[10, 26, 31]
L [¢] -convex	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	[12, 31]
L-convex	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	[29, 31]
M [¢] -convex	Y	Y	N	Y	N	[31, 38]
M-convex	Y	Y	N	Y	N	[28, 31]
Multimodular	Y	Y	N	N	Y	[1, 2, 14, 25]
Disc. midpt convex	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	[27]
Simul. exch. jump	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	[37]
Const-parity jump	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	[4]

Table 3: Operations on discrete convex sets via simple coordinate changes

"Y" means "Yes, this set class is closed under this operation."

"N" means "No, this set class is not closed under this operation."

which is an integrally convex set (cf., Fig. 4, left). With the scaling factor $\alpha = 2$, however, the scaled set

$$T = \{y \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \mid 2y \in S\} = \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0), (1, 0, 1), (2, 1, 1)\}$$

is not integrally convex (cf., Fig. 4, right).

In the case of n = 2, M-convexity and integral convexity admit the scaling operation.

Proposition 3.6. Let α be a positive integer, $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$, and $T = \{y \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid \alpha y \in S\}$. (1) If S is integrally convex, then T is integrally convex. (2) If S is M^{\natural} -convex, then T is M^{\natural} -convex.

(3) If S is M-convex, then T is M-convex.

Remark 3.2. Here is a supplement to Proposition 3.6 about scaling for two-dimensional sets. Part (1) for integrally convex sets is due to [26, Theorem 3.2]. Part (2) for M^{\natural} -convex sets follows from the statement for L^{\natural}-convex sets in Proposition 3.5 and Remark 2.2 in Section 2.6, while Part (3) for M-convex sets is almost a triviality.

Table 3 is a summary of the behavior of discrete convex sets with respect to the simple coordinate changes considered above. In this table, "Y" means "Yes, this set class is closed under this operation" and "N" means "No, this set class is not closed under this operation."

3.2 Restriction

For a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^N$ and a subset U of the index set $N = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, the *restriction* of S to U is a subset T of \mathbb{Z}^U defined by⁴

$$T = \{ y \in \mathbb{Z}^U \mid (y, \mathbf{0}_{N \setminus U}) \in S \},$$
(3.6)

⁴More generally, we can define the restriction of *S* to *U* as $T = \{y \in \mathbb{Z}^U \mid (y, z) \in S\}$ using an arbitrary $z \in \mathbb{Z}^{N \setminus U}$. For simplicity of description we here choose $z = \mathbf{0}_{N \setminus U}$.

where $\mathbf{0}_{N\setminus U}$ denotes the zero vector in $\mathbb{Z}^{N\setminus U}$. The notation $(y, \mathbf{0}_{N\setminus U})$ means the vector in \mathbb{Z}^N whose *i*th component is equal to y_i for $i \in U$ and to 0 for $i \in N\setminus U$; for example, if $N = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $U = \{1, 3\}$, then $(y, \mathbf{0}_{N\setminus U})$ means $(y_1, 0, y_3)$.

The restriction operation preserves discrete convexity as follows.⁵

Proposition 3.7. The restriction operation (3.6) for a set preserves integral convexity, L^{\natural} -convexity, M^{\natural} -convexity, M-convexity, multimodularity, and discrete midpoint convexity. Moreover, the restriction of an integer box is an integer box, and the restriction of an s.e. (resp., c.p.) jump system is an s.e. (resp., c.p.) jump system.

Remark 3.3. Here is a supplement to Proposition 3.7 about restriction. The statements given in Proposition 3.7 follow from the corresponding statements for functions in Proposition 4.9. The statement for multimodular sets is given in [25, Proposition 9]. The statements for (s.e. or c.p.) jump systems are immediate from [4, Section 3].

The restriction of an L-convex set is not necessarily L-convex, simply because the restricted set lacks in the translation invariance in the direction of **1**.

Example 3.7. $S = \{(t, t) \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an L-convex set in \mathbb{Z}^2 and its restriction to $U = \{1\}$ is $T = \{0\}$, which is not L-convex.

Table 4 is a summary of the behavior of discrete convex sets with respect to the restriction operation as well as the operations of projection, intersection, and Minkowski sum, to be discussed below. Two types of intersections are distinguished: one is the intersection of S with an integer box B, denoted $S \cap B$, and the other is the intersection $S_1 \cap S_2$ of two sets S_1 and S_2 in the same convexity class. Similarly for the Minkowski sum, denoted S + B and $S_1 + S_2$.

3.3 Projection

For a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^N$ and a subset U of the index set $N = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, the *projection* of S to U is a subset T of \mathbb{Z}^U defined by

$$T = \{ y \in \mathbb{Z}^U \mid (y, z) \in S \text{ for some } z \in \mathbb{Z}^{N \setminus U} \},$$
(3.7)

where the notation (y, z) means the vector in \mathbb{Z}^N whose *i*th component is equal to y_i for $i \in U$ and to z_i for $i \in N \setminus U$; for example, if $N = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and $U = \{2, 3\}$, we have $(y, z) = (z_1, y_2, y_3, z_4)$.

The projection operation preserves discrete convexity as follows.

Proposition 3.8. The projection operation (3.7) for a set preserves integral convexity, L^{\natural} -convexity, *L*-convexity, M^{\natural} -convexity, and discrete midpoint convexity. Moreover, the projection of an integer box is an integer box, and the projection of an s.e. jump system is an s.e. jump system.

Remark 3.4. Here is a supplement to Proposition 3.8 about projection. The result for integrally convex sets is established in [24, Theorem 3.1], those for L^{\natural} -convex, L-convex, and M^{\beta}-convex sets follow from [31, Theorem 7.11], [31, Theorem 7.10], and [31, Theorem 6.15], respectively. The result for discrete midpoint convex sets is proved in [24, Theorem 3.4], and that for s.e. jump systems in [37]. The statements given in Proposition 3.8 follow from the corresponding statements for functions in Proposition 4.10.

⁵The restriction operation may result in an empty set. Therefore, strictly speaking, we should add a proviso in Proposition 3.7 that the resulting set is nonempty.

Discrete	Restric-	Projec-	Inter	section	Minkow. sum		Reference			
convex set	tion	tion	box	general	box	general				
	$\{y (y, 0) \in S\}$	$\{y (y,z)\in S\}$	$S \cap B$	$S_1 \cap S_2$	S + B	S_1+S_2				
Integer box	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y				
Integrally convex	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	[10, 24, 31]			
L [‡] -convex	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	[12, 31]			
L-convex	N	Y	N	Y	Y	N	[29, 31]			
M [♯] -convex	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	[31, 38]			
M-convex	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y	[28, 31]			
Multimodular	Y	N	Y	Y	N	N	[1, 2, 14, 25]			
Disc. midpt conv.	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	[24, 27]			
Sim. exch. jump	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	[37]			
Const-par. jump	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y	[4]			
	(<i>B</i> : integer box)									

Table 4: Operations on discrete convex sets

"Y" means "Yes, this set class is closed under this operation."

"N" means "No, this set class is not closed under this operation."

The projection of an M-convex set is not necessarily M-convex, simply because the projected set does not lie on a hyperplane of a constant component sum. Similarly, the projection of a constant-parity jump system is not necessarily a constant-parity jump system.

Example 3.8. $S = \{(t, -t) \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an M-convex set in \mathbb{Z}^2 and its projection to $U = \{1\}$ is $T = \mathbb{Z}$, which is not M-convex. The set S is a constant-parity jump system, while T is not.

The projection of a multimodular set is not necessarily multimodular.

Example 3.9. $S = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 1, -1), (0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 0)\}$ is a multimodular set (Example 3.3). Its projection to $U = \{1, 3\}$ is given by $T = \{(0, 0), (0, -1), (1, 0)\}$, which is not multimodular, since the transformed set $\tilde{T} = \{D^{-1}x \mid x \in T\} = \{(0, 0), (0, -1), (1, 1)\}$ is not L^{\\[\beta\]}-convex.

A subset U of the index set $N = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ is said to be *consecutive* if it consists of consecutive numbers, that is, it is a set of the form $\{k, k + 1, ..., l - 1, l\}$ for some $k \le l$. The projection of a multimodular set to a consecutive index subset U is multimodular.

Proposition 3.9 ([25, Proposition 10]). *The projection of a multimodular set S to a consecutive index subset U is multimodular.*

3.4 Intersection

We consider discrete convexity of the intersection $S_1 \cap S_2$ of two discrete convex sets S_1 , $S_2 \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$. L-convexity and its relatives are well-behaved with respect to the intersection operation.⁶

⁶The intersection operation may result in an empty set. Therefore, strictly speaking, we should add a proviso in Propositions 3.10, 3.11, and 3.12 that the resulting set is nonempty.

Proposition 3.10.

(1) The intersection of integer boxes is an integer box.

- (2) The intersection of L^{\natural} -convex sets is L^{\natural} -convex.
- (3) The intersection of L-convex sets is L-convex.
- (4) The intersection of multimodular sets is multimodular.

(5) The intersection of discrete midpoint convex sets is discrete midpoint convex.

Remark 3.5. Here is a supplement to Proposition 3.10 about intersection. This property for L-convex sets is given in [31, Theorem 5.7], whereas that for L^{\natural} -convex sets is an immediate corollary thereof. This property for multimodular sets is stated in [25, Proposition 8], and that for discrete midpoint convex sets in [27, Proposition 2].

In contrast, M-convexity and integral convexity are not compatible with the intersection operation.

- The intersection of integrally convex sets is not necessarily integrally convex. See Example 3.10.
- The intersection of M^{\$\$}-convex sets is not necessarily M^{\$\$}-convex. See Example 3.11.
- The intersection of M-convex sets is not necessarily M-convex. See Example 3.12.
- The intersection of s.e. (resp., c.p.) jump systems is not necessarily an s.e. (resp., c.p.) jump system. See Example 3.12.

Example 3.10 ([39, Example 4.4]). The intersection of two integrally convex sets is not necessarily integrally convex. Let

 $S_1 = \{(0,0,0), (0,1,1), (1,1,0), (1,2,1)\}, S_2 = \{(0,0,0), (0,1,0), (1,1,1), (1,2,1)\},\$

for which $S_1 \cap S_2 = \{(0,0,0), (1,2,1)\}$. The sets S_1 and S_2 are both integrally convex, whereas $S_1 \cap S_2$ is not integrally convex.

Example 3.11 ([39, Example 3.7]). The intersection of two M^{\natural} -convex sets is not necessarily M^{\natural} -convex. Let

$$S_0 = \{(0,0,0), (1,0,0), (0,1,0), (0,0,1), (1,0,1)\},\$$

$$S_1 = S_0 \cup \{(0,1,1)\}, \qquad S_2 = S_0 \cup \{(1,1,0)\}.$$

Here S_1 and S_2 are M^{\(\beta\)}-convex sets, whereas $S_0 = S_1 \cap S_2$ is not. Indeed, the exchange property (B^{\(\beta\)}-EXC) for M^{\(\beta\)}-convex sets fails for S_0 with x = (1, 0, 1), y = (0, 1, 0), and $i = 1 \in \text{supp}^+(x - y)$.

Example 3.12 ([31, Note 4.25]). The intersection of two M-convex sets is not necessarily M-convex. Let

$$S_0 = \{(0, 0, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0, -1), (0, 1, 0, -1), (0, 0, 1, -1), (1, 0, 1, -2)\},\$$

$$S_1 = S_0 \cup \{(0, 1, 1, -2)\},\qquad S_2 = S_0 \cup \{(1, 1, 0, -2)\}.$$

Here S_1 and S_2 are M-convex, whereas $S_0 = S_1 \cap S_2$ is not. Indeed, the exchange property (B-EXC) for M-convex sets fails for S_0 with x = (1, 0, 1, -2), y = (0, 1, 0, -1), and $i = 1 \in \text{supp}^+(x - y)$.

This example also shows that the intersection of two (s.e. or c.p.) jump systems is not necessarily a jump system, since a constant-sum system is a jump system if and only if it is an M-convex set.

The intersection of two M^{\natural} -convex sets is integrally convex, though not M^{\natural} -convex.

Proposition 3.11 ([31, Theorem 8.31]). *The intersection of two M[†]-convex sets is integrally convex. In particular, the intersection of two M-convex sets is integrally convex.*

Example 3.13. In Example 3.12, the intersection $S_0 = S_1 \cap S_2$ of two M-convex sets S_1 and S_2 is not M-convex, but it is integrally convex. For x = (0, 0, 0, 0) and y = (1, 0, 1, -2) in S_0 with $||x - y||_{\infty} = 2$, for example, the midpoint z = (x + y)/2 = (1/2, 0, 1/2, -1) can be expressed as z = ((1, 0, 0, -1) + (0, 0, 1, -1))/2 using two points (1, 0, 0, -1) and (0, 0, 1, -1) in $S_0 \cap N(z)$.

Remark 3.6. Proposition 3.10 enables us to define the "L^{\natural}-convex hull" of a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$. Indeed, the intersection of all L^{\natural}-convex sets containing *S* is the smallest L^{\natural}-convex set containing *S*, which we can naturally call the "L^{\natural}-convex hull" of *S*. In a similar manner we can define the "L-convex hull" of *S* and the "discrete midpoint convex hull" of *S*. However, such definition does not work for M^{\natural}-convexity, M-convexity, or integral convexity.

We next consider the intersection of a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ with an integer box *B*. If *S* is equipped with a certain discrete convexity, the intersection $S \cap B$ also possesses the same kind of discrete convexity, as follows.

Proposition 3.12.

(1) *The intersection of an integrally convex set with an integer box is integrally convex.*

(2) The intersection of an L^{\natural} -convex set with an integer box is L^{\natural} -convex.

(3) The intersection of an M^{\natural} -convex set with an integer box is M^{\natural} -convex.

(4) The intersection of an M-convex set with an integer box is M-convex.

(5) The intersection of a multimodular set with an integer box is multimodular.

(6) *The intersection of a discrete midpoint convex set with an integer box is discrete midpoint convex.*

(7) The intersection of an s.e. jump system with an integer box is an s.e. jump system.

(8) *The intersection of a c.p. jump system with an integer box is a c.p. jump system.*

Remark 3.7. Here is a supplement to Proposition 3.12 about the intersection with an integer box. The statements (1)–(8) are immediate from the definitions. Parts (2), (5), and (6) for L^{β}-convex, multimodular, and discrete midpoint convex sets are special cases of Proposition 3.10 (2), (4), and (5), respectively, since an integer box is L^{β}-convex, multimodular, and discrete midpoint convex (cf., Theorem 2.8).

In this connection we note the following fact.

• The intersection of an L-convex set with an integer box is not necessarily L-convex. This is because the intersected set lacks in the translation invariance in the direction of **1**. See Example 3.14.

Example 3.14. Let $S = \{(t,t) \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $B = [0,1]_{\mathbb{Z}}$. S is an L-convex set and B is an integer box. Their intersection $S \cap B$ is equal to $\{(0,0), (1,1)\}$, which is not L-convex.

3.5 Minkowski sum

The *Minkowski sum* of two sets $S_1, S_2 \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ means the subset of \mathbb{Z}^n defined by

$$S_1 + S_2 = \{x + y \mid x \in S_1, y \in S_2\}.$$
(3.8)

We sometimes refer to this as the discrete (or integral) Minkowski sum to emphasize discreteness. The Minkowski sum is often a source of difficulty in a discrete setting, since

$$(\overline{S_1 + S_2}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n \neq S_1 + S_2$$

in general, as is demonstrated by Example 3.15 below.

Example 3.15 ([31, Example 3.15]). The Minkowski sum of $S_1 = \{(0, 0), (1, 1)\}$ and $S_2 = \{(1, 0), (0, 1)\}$ is equal to

$$S_1 + S_2 = \{(1, 0), (0, 1), (2, 1), (1, 2)\},\$$

which has a "hole" at (1, 1), i.e., $(1, 1) \in \overline{S_1 + S_2}$ and $(1, 1) \notin S_1 + S_2$.

M-convexity and its relatives are well-behaved with respect to the Minkowski sum.

Theorem 3.13.

(1) The Minkowski sum of integer boxes is an integer box.

(2) The Minkowski sum of M^{\ddagger} -convex sets is M^{\ddagger} -convex.

(3) The Minkowski sum of M-convex sets is M-convex.

(4) The Minkowski sum of s.e. jump systems is an s.e. jump system.

(5) *The Minkowski sum of c.p. jump systems is a c.p. jump system.*

Remark 3.8. Here is a supplement to Theorem 3.13 about the Minkowski sum. The Minkowski sum of integer boxes $[a_1, b_1]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $[a_2, b_2]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is equal to the integer box $[a_1 + a_2, b_1 + b_2]_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Part (3) for M-convex sets is a translation of a known fact in submodular function theory and is given explicitly in [31, Theorem 4.23]. Part (2) for M^{\\[\epsilon -}convex sets is easily obtained as a variant of (3) for M-convex sets, or as a special case of [31, Theorem 6.15] for M^{\\[\epsilon -}convex functions. Part (4) for s.e. jump systems is due to [37], and Part (5) for c.p. jump systems is immediate from a result in [4, Section 3]. The statements given in Theorem 3.13 follow from the corresponding statements for functions in Theorem 4.15.

In contrast, other kinds of discrete convexity are not compatible with the Minkowski sum operation, as follows.

- The Minkowski sum of integrally convex sets is not necessarily integrally convex. In Example 3.15, both S_1 and S_2 are integrally convex, but $S_1 + S_2$ is not. See also Example 3.20.
- The Minkowski sum of L^{\natural} -convex sets is not necessarily L^{\natural} -convex. See Example 3.16.
- The Minkowski sum of L-convex sets is not necessarily L-convex. See Example 3.17.
- The Minkowski sum of multimodular sets is not necessarily multimodular. See Example 3.18.

• The Minkowski sum of discrete midpoint convex sets is not necessarily discrete midpoint convex. In Example 3.15, both S_1 and S_2 are discrete midpoint convex, but $S_1 + S_2$ is not.

Example 3.16 ([39, Example 3.11]). The Minkowski sum of $S_1 = \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 1, 0)\}$ and $S_2 = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 1)\}$ is equal to

$$S_1 + S_2 = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 1), (1, 1, 0), (1, 2, 1)\}.$$
(3.9)

Both S_1 and S_2 are L^{\natural} -convex. For x = (0, 1, 1) and y = (1, 1, 0) in $S_1 + S_2$, we have (x+y)/2 = (1/2, 1, 1/2), for which $\lceil (x + y)/2 \rceil = (1, 1, 1) \notin S_1 + S_2$, and $\lfloor (x + y)/2 \rfloor = (0, 1, 0) \notin S_1 + S_2$. Therefore, $S_1 + S_2$ is not L^{\natural} -convex. This example also shows that the Minkowski sum of two L^{\natural} -convex sets is not discrete midpoint convex, either.

Example 3.17 ([31, Note 5.11]). (This is an adaptation of Example 3.16 to L-convex sets.) Let $S_1 = \{(0, 0, 0, 0), (1, 1, 0, 0)\}, S_2 = \{(0, 0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 1, 0)\}, \text{ and } D = \{\alpha(1, 1, 1, 1) \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$ Define $\tilde{S}_1 = S_1 + D$ and $\tilde{S}_2 = S_2 + D$, which are both L-convex. The Minkowski sum

$$\tilde{S}_1 + \tilde{S}_2 = S_1 + S_2 + D = \{(0, 0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 1, 0), (1, 1, 0, 0), (1, 2, 1, 0)\} + D$$

is not L-convex, since for the elements x = (0, 1, 1, 0) and y = (1, 1, 0, 0) of $\tilde{S}_1 + \tilde{S}_2$, we have $\lceil (x + y)/2 \rceil = (1, 1, 1, 0) \notin \tilde{S}_1 + \tilde{S}_2$ and $\lfloor (x + y)/2 \rfloor = (0, 1, 0, 0) \notin \tilde{S}_1 + \tilde{S}_2$.

Example 3.18 ([25]). Let $S_1 = \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 0, -1)\}$ and $S_2 = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0)\}$. Both S_1 and S_2 are multimodular (S_2 is in fact an integer box). However, their Minkowski sum

$$S_1 + S_2 = \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 0, -1), (0, 1, 0), (1, 1, -1)\}$$

is not multimodular. We can check this directly or via transformation to $T_i = \{D^{-1}x \mid x \in S_i\}$ for i = 1, 2. We have $T_1 = \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 1, 0)\}$ and $T_2 = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 1)\}$, which are L^{\\[\beta_-} convex. But their Minkowski sum

$$T_1 + T_2 = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 1), (1, 1, 0), (1, 2, 1)\}$$

is not L^{\natural}-convex, since for p = (0, 1, 1) and q = (1, 1, 0) in $T_1 + T_2$, we have $\lceil (p+q)/2 \rceil = (1, 1, 1) \notin T_1 + T_2$ and $\lfloor (p+q)/2 \rfloor = (0, 1, 0) \notin T_1 + T_2$. Since $T_1 + T_2 = \{D^{-1}x \mid x \in S_1 + S_2\}$, this means that $S_1 + S_2$ is not multimodular. It is mentioned that this example is based on Example 3.16 for L^{\natural}-convex sets due to [39, Example 3.11].

The Minkowski sum of two L^{β}-convex sets is integrally convex, though not L^{β}-convex.

Proposition 3.14 ([31, Theorem 8.42]). The Minkowski sum of two L^{\natural} -convex sets is integrally convex. In particular, the Minkowski sum of two L-convex sets is integrally convex.

Example 3.19. In Example 3.16, the Minkowski sum $S_1 + S_2$ in (3.9) is not L⁴-convex, but it is integrally convex. For x = (0, 1, 1) and y = (1, 1, 0) in $S_1 + S_2$, the midpoint z = (x + y)/2 = (1/2, 1, 1/2) can be expressed as z = ((0, 1, 1) + (1, 1, 0))/2 using two points (0, 1, 1) and (1, 1, 0) in $(S_1 + S_2) \cap N(z)$.

The Minkowski sum of three L^{\natural} -convex sets is no longer integrally convex, as the following example shows.

Example 3.20 ([39, Example 4.12]). Let $S_1 = \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 1, 0)\}, S_2 = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 1)\},$ and $S_3 = \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 0, 1)\}$. These three sets are all L^{\\[\beta_1\$}-convex. Their Minkowski sum

$$S = S_1 + S_2 + S_3 = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 1), (1, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1), (2, 1, 1), (1, 1, 2), (1, 2, 1), (2, 2, 2)\}$$

has a "hole" at (1, 1, 1), i.e., $(1, 1, 1) \in \overline{S}$ and $(1, 1, 1) \notin S$. Hence *S* is not integrally convex. It is worth noting that this gives another example to show that the Minkowski sum of two integrally convex sets is not necessarily integrally convex, since S_1 and $S_2 + S_3$ are both integrally convex by (2.40) in Theorem 2.8 and Proposition 3.14.

We next consider the Minkowski sum of a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ with an integer box *B*. If *S* is equipped with a certain discrete convexity, the Minkowski sum S + B also possesses the same kind of discrete convexity, as follows.

Proposition 3.15.

(1) The Minkowski sum of an integrally convex set with an integer box is integrally convex.

(2) The Minkowski sum of an L^{\natural} -convex set with an integer box is L^{\natural} -convex.

(3) The Minkowski sum of an L-convex set with an integer box is L-convex.

(4) The Minkowski sum of an M^{\natural} -convex set with an integer box is M^{\natural} -convex.

(5) *The Minkowski sum of an s.e. jump system with an integer box is an s.e. jump system.*

Remark 3.9. Here is a supplement to Proposition 3.15 about the Minkowski sum with an integer box. Part (1) for integrally convex sets is established in [24, Theorem 4.1]. Part (2) for L^{β}-convex sets is a special case of [31, Theorem 7.11]. Part (3) for L-convex sets is a special case of [31, Theorem 7.10]. Part (4) for M^{β}-convex sets is a special case of Theorem 3.13 (2), since an integer box is M^{β}-convex. Part (5) for s.e. jump systems is a special case of Theorem 3.13 (4), since an integer box is an s.e. jump system.

In this connection we note the following facts.

- The Minkowski sum of an M-convex set with an integer box is not necessarily M-convex. See Example 3.21.
- The Minkowski sum of a constant-parity jump system with an integer box is not necessarily a constant-parity jump system. See Example 3.21.
- The Minkowski sum of a discrete midpoint convex set with an integer box is not necessarily discrete midpoint convex. See Example 3.22.
- The Minkowski sum of a multimodular set with an integer box is not necessarily multimodular. See Example 3.18.

Example 3.21. The Minkowski sum of $S = \{(1, 0), (0, 1)\}$ and $B = \{(0, 0), (1, 0)\}$ is equal to

$$S + B = \{(1, 0), (0, 1), (2, 0), (1, 1)\}.$$

The set *S* is an M-convex set (and hence a constant-parity jump system) and *B* is an integer box. The component-sums of the members of S + B are 1 and 2, and therefore, S + B is neither an M-convex set nor a constant-parity jump system.

Example 3.22 ([24, Example 4.3]). The Minkowski sum of $S = \{(0, 0, 1), (1, 1, 0)\}$ and $B = \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0)\}$ is equal to

$$S + B = \{(0, 0, 1), (1, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1), (2, 1, 0)\}.$$

The set *S* is discrete midpoint convex and *B* is an integer box. For x = (0, 0, 1) and y = (2, 1, 0) in S + B we have $||x - y||_{\infty} = 2$, $\left\lceil \frac{x+y}{2} \right\rceil = (1, 1, 1) \notin S + B$, and $\left\lfloor \frac{x+y}{2} \right\rfloor = (1, 0, 0) \notin S + B$. Therefore, S + B is not discrete midpoint convex.

Remark 3.10. The Minkowski sum operation contains the projection operation as a special case. Let $T = \{y \in \mathbb{Z}^U \mid (y, z) \in S \text{ for some } z \in \mathbb{Z}^{N \setminus U}\}$ be the projection of *S* to *U* as defined in (3.7). Consider $B = \{(y', z') \in \mathbb{Z}^U \times \mathbb{Z}^{N \setminus U} \mid y' = \mathbf{0}_U\}$, which is an integer box. The Minkowski sum S + B is given as

$$S + B = \{(y, z) + (y', z') \mid (y, z) \in S, y' = \mathbf{0}\}$$

= $\{(y, z + z') \mid (y, z) \in S, z' \in \mathbb{Z}^{N \setminus U}\}$
= $\{(y, z'') \mid y \in T, z'' \in \mathbb{Z}^{N \setminus U}\}$
= $T \times \mathbb{Z}^{N \setminus U}$.

This shows that the restriction of S + B to U coincides with the projection T.

4 Operations on Discrete Convex Functions

4.1 Operations via change of variables

In this section we consider operations on discrete convex functions defined by changes of variables such as origin shift, coordinate inversion, permutation of variables, and scaling of variables.

Let f be a function on \mathbb{Z}^n , i.e., $f : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$. For an integer vector $b \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, the *origin shift* of f by b means a function g on \mathbb{Z}^n defined by

$$g(y) = f(y - b).$$
 (4.1)

Proposition 4.1. The origin shift operation (4.1) for a function preserves separable convexity, integral convexity, L^{\natural} -convexity, L-convexity, M^{\natural} -convexity, M-convexity, multimodularity, global and local discrete midpoint convexity, jump M^{\natural} -convexity, and jump M-convexity.

For coordinate inversions, two types are distinguished. The *simultaneous coordinate inversion* for f means a function g on \mathbb{Z}^n defined by

$$g(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) = f(-y_1, -y_2, \dots, -y_n),$$
(4.2)

and the *independent coordinate inversion* for f means a function g of \mathbb{Z}^n defined by

$$g(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) = f(\tau_1 y_1, \tau_2 y_2, \dots, \tau_n y_n)$$
(4.3)

with an arbitrary choice of $\tau_i \in \{+1, -1\}$ (*i* = 1, 2, ..., *n*).

Proposition 4.2. The simultaneous coordinate inversion operation (4.2) for a function preserves separable convexity, integral convexity, L^{\natural} -convexity, L-convexity, M^{\natural} -convexity, Mconvexity, multimodularity, global and local discrete midpoint convexity, jump M^{\natural} -convexity, and jump M-convexity. **Proposition 4.3.** The independent coordinate inversion operation (4.3) for a function preserves separable convexity, integral convexity, jump M^{\ddagger} -convexity, and jump M-convexity.

The independent coordinate inversion operation does not preserve L^{\natural} -convexity, L-convexity, M^{\natural}-convexity, M-convexity, multimodularity, or (global and local) discrete midpoint convexity.

Example 4.1. Let $f(x) = |x_1 - x_2 - 3|$ and $g(x) = |x_1 + x_2 - 3|$ for $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, where *g* is obtained from *f* by an independent coordinate inversion (4.3) with $\tau_1 = +1$ and $\tau_2 = -1$. The function *f* is L-convex, and hence L^{\natural}-convex and globally discrete midpoint convex, whereas *g* is not L-convex, L^{\natural}-convex, or globally discrete midpoint convex. Indeed, for x = (3, 0) and y = (0, 3) we have $||x - y||_{\infty} = 3$, $\left\lceil \frac{x+y}{2} \right\rceil = (2, 2)$, and $\left\lfloor \frac{x+y}{2} \right\rfloor = (1, 1)$, for which g(x) + g(y) = 0 + 0 = 0 is strictly smaller than $g\left(\left\lceil \frac{x+y}{2} \right\rceil\right) + g\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x+y}{2} \right\rfloor\right) = 1 + 1 = 2$.

Example 4.2. Let $f(x) = |x_1 - x_2 - 1|$ and $g(x) = |x_1 + x_2 - 1|$ for $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$, where *g* is obtained from *f* by an independent coordinate inversion (4.3) with $\tau_1 = +1$, $\tau_2 = -1$, and $\tau_3 = +1$. The function *f* is locally discrete midpoint convex (actually L-convex), whereas *g* is not locally discrete midpoint convex. Indeed, for x = (0, 1, 2) and y = (1, 0, 0) we have $||x - y||_{\infty} = 2$, $\left\lfloor \frac{x+y}{2} \right\rfloor = (1, 1, 1)$, and $\left\lfloor \frac{x+y}{2} \right\rfloor = (0, 0, 1)$, for which g(x) + g(y) = 0 + 0 = 0 is strictly smaller than $g\left(\left\lceil \frac{x+y}{2} \right\rceil\right) + g\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x+y}{2} \right\rfloor\right) = 1 + 1 = 2$.

Example 4.3. Let $f(x) = |x_1 + x_2|$ and $g(x) = |x_1 - x_2|$ for $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, where g is obtained from f by an independent coordinate inversion (4.3) with $\tau_1 = +1$ and $\tau_2 = -1$. The function f is M-convex and hence M^{\beta}-convex, whereas g is not M-convex or M^{\beta}-convex. The function f is multimodular, and g is not.

For a permutation σ of (1, 2, ..., n), the *permutation* of f by σ means a function g on \mathbb{Z}^n defined by

$$g(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) = f(y_{\sigma(1)}, y_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, y_{\sigma(n)}).$$
(4.4)

Proposition 4.4. The permutation operation (4.4) for a function preserves separable convexity, integral convexity, L^{\natural} -convexity, L-convexity, M^{\natural} -convexity, M-convexity, global and local discrete midpoint convexity, jump M^{\natural} -convexity, and jump M-convexity.

The permutation operation does not preserve multimodularity. We show two examples, an indicator function and a quadratic function on the entire lattice \mathbb{Z}^3 .

Example 4.4. Let *f* be the indicator function δ_S of the multimodular set $S = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 1, -1), (0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 0)\}$ considered in Example 3.9. The permutation *g* of *f* by σ : $(1, 2, 3) \mapsto (2, 1, 3)$ is the indicator function of the set $T = \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 0, -1), (1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0)\}$. Since *T* is not multimodular, as shown in Example 3.9, the function *g* is not multimodular.

Example 4.5 ([25, Example 3.1]). The quadratic function $f(x) = x^{T}Ax$ with $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is multimodular, since A satisfies the condition (2.39). On the other hand, $g(y_1, y_2, y_3) = f(y_2, y_1, y_3)$ resulting from a transposition is not multimodular. Indeed we have $g(y) = y^{T}\tilde{A}y$ with $\tilde{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, for which the condition (2.39) fails for (i, j) = (1, 3). A cyclic permutation of variables results in $f(y_3, y_1, y_2)$, which is not multimodular, since it coincides with $y^{T}\tilde{A}y$.

It is known that reversing the ordering of variables preserves multimodularity.

Proposition 4.5 ([14, Remarks (1)]). For a multimodular function f, the function g defined by $g(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n) = f(y_n, \ldots, y_2, y_1)$ is multimodular.

For a positive integer α , the *variable-scaling* (or *scaling* for short) of f by α means a function g on \mathbb{Z}^n defined by

$$g(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) = f(\alpha y_1, \alpha y_2, \dots, \alpha y_n).$$

$$(4.5)$$

Note that the same scaling factor α is used for all coordinates.

L-convexity and its relatives are well-behaved with respect to the scaling operation.⁷

Proposition 4.6. The variable-scaling operation (4.5) for a function preserves separable convexity, L^{\natural} -convexity, L-convexity, multimodularity, and discrete midpoint convexity.

Remark 4.1. Here is a supplement to Proposition 4.6 about scaling. The scaling operation for L-convex (resp., L^{\natural} -convex) functions is treated in [31, Theorem 7.10] (resp., [31, Theorem 7.11]). The result for multimodular functions is due to [25, Proposition 7], and that for discrete midpoint convex functions is to [27, Theorem 9].

In contrast, M-convexity and integral convexity are not compatible with the scaling operation, as follows. In referring to examples in Section 3, we intend to consider the indicator functions of the sets mentioned in the examples.

- The scaling of an M^{\natural} -convex function is not necessarily M^{\natural} -convex (Example 3.4).
- The scaling of an M-convex function is not necessarily M-convex (Example 3.5).
- The scaling of a jump M^β-convex (resp., M-convex) function is not necessarily jump M^β-convex (resp., M-convex) (Examples 3.4 and 3.5).
- The scaling of an integrally convex function is not necessarily integrally convex. See Examples 4.6 and 4.7 below.

For the scaling of an integrally convex function, we show two examples, an indicator function and a function defined on an integer box in \mathbb{Z}^3 .

Example 4.6. Let f be the indicator function δ_S of the integrally convex set S considered in Example 3.6 (Fig. 4). The scaled function g(y) = f(2y) is the indicator function of the set $T = \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0), (1, 0, 1), (2, 1, 1)\}$. Since T is not integrally convex, as shown in Example 3.6, the function g is not integrally convex.

Example 4.7 ([26, Example 3.1]). Consider the integrally convex function $f : \mathbb{Z}^3 \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ defined on an integer box $[(0,0,0), (4,2,2)]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ by

x_2		f(x	$_{1}, x_{2}$	2, 0)		x_2		f(x	$_{1}, x_{2}$	2, 1))		x_2		f(x	$_{1}, x_{2}$	$_{2}, 2)$)	
2	3	1	1	1	3		2	2	1	0	0	0		2	3	2	1	0	0]
1	1	0	0	0	0		1	1	0	0	0	0		1	2	1	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	3		0	0	0	0	0	0		0	3	0	0	0	3	
	0	1	2	3	4	x_1		0	1	2	3	4	x_1		0	1	2	3	4	x_1

⁷The scaled function g may have an empty effective domain. Therefore, strictly speaking, we should add a proviso in Proposition 4.6 that the resulting function has a nonempty effective domain.

For the scaling with $\alpha = 2$, the function g(y) = f(2y) is not integrally convex. Indeed, the inequality (2.8) in Theorem 2.1 fails for g with y = (0, 0, 0) and z = (2, 1, 1) as follows:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{g}\left(\frac{y+z}{2}\right) &= \tilde{g}\left(1,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right) \\ &= \min\{\frac{1}{2}g(1,1,1) + \frac{1}{2}g(1,0,0), \ \frac{1}{2}g(1,1,0) + \frac{1}{2}g(1,0,1)\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\min\{f(2,2,2) + f(2,0,0), \ f(2,2,0) + f(2,0,2)\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\min\{1+0, \ 1+0\} = \frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{1}{2}(g(y) + g(z)) &= \frac{1}{2}(f(0,0,0) + f(4,2,2)) = 0. \end{split}$$

It is noted that the minimizer set $\{x \mid f(x) = 0\}$ coincides with the integrally convex set S considered in Examples 3.6 and 4.6.

In the case of n = 2, M-convexity and integral convexity admit the scaling operation.

Proposition 4.7. Let α be a positive integer, $f : \mathbb{Z}^2 \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ a function in two variables, and $g(y) = f(\alpha y)$ for $y \in \mathbb{Z}^2$.

(1) If f is integrally convex, then g is integrally convex.

(2) If f is M^{\natural} -convex, then g is M^{\natural} -convex.

(3) If f is M-convex, then g is M-convex.

Remark 4.2. Here is a supplement to Proposition 4.7 about functions in two variables. Part (1) for integrally convex functions is due to [26, Theorem 3.2]. Part (2) for M^{\natural} -convex functions follows from the statement for L^{\beta}-convex functions in Proposition 4.6 and Remark 2.4 in Section 2.7. Part (3) for M-convex functions is almost a triviality, since an M-convex function in two variables is essentially a univariate convex function in the sense of (2.5), which is a special case of separable-convex functions treated in Proposition 4.6.

Table 5 is a summary of the behavior of discrete convex functions with respect to changes of variables such as origin shift, coordinate inversion, permutation, and scaling. In this table, "Y" means "Yes, this function class is closed under this operation" and "N" means "No, this function class is not closed under this operation."

In Sections 4.2–4.6 we consider operations such as nonnegative multiplication of function values, addition of a linear function, projection (partial minimization), sum of two functions, and convolution of two functions. Table 6 is a summary of the behavior of discrete convex functions with respect to those operations. Two types of additions are distinguished: one is the addition of f with a separable convex function φ , denoted $f + \varphi$, and the other is the sum $f_1 + f_2$ of two functions f_1 and f_2 in the same convexity class. Similarly for convolution, denoted $f \Box \varphi$ and $f_1 \Box f_2$. In Section 4.7 we consider conjugate and biconjugate functions.

4.2 Value-scaling

For a function $f : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ and a nonnegative factor $a \ge 0$, the *value-scaling* of f by a means a function $g : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ defined by

$$g(y) = af(y) \qquad (y \in \mathbb{Z}^n). \tag{4.6}$$

Discrete	Origin	Coord. i	nversion	Permu-	Variable-	References
convexity	shift	simult.	indep.	tation	scaling	
	f(x-b)	f(-x)	$f(\pm x_i)$	$f(x_{\sigma(i)})$	$f(\alpha x)$	
Separable convex	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
Integrally convex	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	[10, 26]
L ^¹ -convex	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	[12, 29]
L-convex	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	[29]
M [♯] -convex	Y	Y	N	Y	N	[28, 38]
M-convex	Y	Y	N	Y	N	[28]
Multimodular	Y	Y	N	N	Y	[1, 2, 13, 14, 25]
Globally d.m.c.	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	[27]
Locally d.m.c.	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	[27]
Jump M [¢] -convex	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	[33, 37]
Jump M-convex	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	[19, 33]

Table 5: Operations on discrete convex functions via coordinate changes

d.m.c.: discrete midpoint convex.

"Y" means "Yes, this function class is closed under this operation."

"N" means "No, this function class is not closed under this operation."

Discrete	Value-	Restric-	Projec- Addition		Addition		olution	Reference
convexity	scaling	tion	tion	separ.	general	separ.	general	
	af	f(y, 0)	$\inf_{z} f(y,z)$	$f + \varphi$	$f_1 + f_2$	$f \Box \varphi$	$f_1 \square f_2$	
Separable convex	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
Integrally convex	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	[10, 24, 39]
L ^{\\phi} -convex	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	[12, 29]
L-convex	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	N	[29]
M [♯] -convex	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	[28, 38]
M-convex	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y	[28]
Multimodular	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	N	[1, 14, 25]
Globally d.m.c.	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	[27]
Locally d.m.c.	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	[27]
Jump M ^{\\phi} -convex	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	[33, 37]
Jump M-convex	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y	[19, 33]

Fable 6: Operations or	n discrete convex	functions	related to	function	values
------------------------	-------------------	-----------	------------	----------	--------

(φ : separable convex)

"Y" means "Yes, this function class is closed under this operation."

"N" means "No, this function class is not closed under this operation."

Proposition 4.8. The value-scaling operation (4.6) for a function preserves separable convexity, integral convexity, L^{\natural} -convexity, L-convexity, M^{\natural} -convexity, M-convexity, multimodularity, global and local discrete midpoint convexity, jump M^{\natural} -convexity, and jump M-convexity.

4.3 Restriction

Let $N = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. For a function $f : \mathbb{Z}^N \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ and a subset U of the index set N, the *restriction* of f to U is a function $g : \mathbb{Z}^U \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ defined by⁸

$$g(\mathbf{y}) = f(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{0}_{N \setminus U}) \qquad (\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^U), \tag{4.7}$$

where $\mathbf{0}_{N\setminus U}$ denotes the zero vector in $\mathbb{Z}^{N\setminus U}$. The notation $(y, \mathbf{0}_{N\setminus U})$ means the vector whose *i*th component is equal to y_i for $i \in U$ and to 0 for $i \in N \setminus U$; for example, if $N = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $U = \{1, 3\}, (y, \mathbf{0}_{N\setminus U})$ means $(y_1, 0, y_3)$.

The restriction operation preserves discrete convexity as follows.⁹

Proposition 4.9. The restriction operation (4.7) for a function preserves separable convexity, integral convexity, L^{\natural} -convexity, M^{\natural} -convexity, M-convexity, multimodularity, global and local discrete midpoint convexity, jump M^{\natural} -convexity, and jump M-convexity.

Remark 4.3. Here is a supplement to Proposition 4.9 about restriction. The restriction of a separable convex function $\sum \{\varphi_i(x_i) \mid i \in N\}$ to *U* is given by the separable convex function $\sum \{\varphi_i(x_i) \mid i \in U\} + C$, where $C = \sum \{\varphi_j(0) \mid j \in N \setminus U\}$. The result for multimodular functions is shown in [1, Lemma 2.3] as well as in [2, Lemma 3]. The statements for other kinds of discrete convex functions are rather obvious. We can find the statement for L^{\\[\beta]}-convexity in [31, Theorem 7.11], for M^{\[\beta]}-convexity in [31, Theorem 6.14], for M-convexity in [31, Theorem 6.13], for integral convexity in [31, Proposition 3.19] (in a more general form as in Remark 4.4), for global and local discrete midpoint convexity in [25, Table 5.1], and for jump M^{\[\[\beta]}- and M-convexity in [37] and [19, Section 3].

The restriction of an L-convex function is not necessarily L-convex, simply because the restricted function lacks in the linearity (2.19) in the direction of **1**.

Example 4.8 (cf., Example 3.7). For $S = \{(t, t) | t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, its indicator function δ_S is L-convex. The restriction of $f = \delta_S$ to $U = \{1\}$ is given by $g = \delta_T$ for $T = \{0\}$, which is not L-convex.

Remark 4.4. The restriction of a function $f : \mathbb{Z}^N \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ to an integer box $[a, b]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a function $f_{[a,b]}$ on \mathbb{Z}^N defined by

$$f_{[a,b]}(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & (x \in [a,b]_{\mathbb{Z}}), \\ +\infty & (x \notin [a,b]_{\mathbb{Z}}). \end{cases}$$
(4.8)

In the special case where $a_i = -\infty$ and $b_i = +\infty$ for $i \in U$, and $a_i = b_i = 0$ for $i \in N \setminus U$, this function $f_{[a,b]}$ coincides with $f(y, \mathbf{0}_{N \setminus U})$ in (4.7). Thus, the restriction to an integer box is more general than the restriction to a subset of N.

⁸For any $z \in \mathbb{Z}^{N \setminus U}$ we may consider a function g(y) = f(y, z) in $y \in \mathbb{Z}^U$ as a restriction of f to U. For simplicity of description we choose $z = \mathbf{0}_{N \setminus U}$.

⁹The restriction operation may result in a function with an empty effective domain. Therefore, strictly speaking, we should add a proviso in Proposition 4.9 that the resulting function has a nonempty effective domain.

4.4 Projection

For a function $f : \mathbb{Z}^N \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ and a subset U of the index set $N = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, the *projection* of f to U is a function $g : \mathbb{Z}^U \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ defined by

$$g(y) = \inf\{f(y, z) \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}^{N \setminus U}\} \qquad (y \in \mathbb{Z}^U), \tag{4.9}$$

where the notation (y, z) means the vector whose *i*th component is equal to y_i for $i \in U$ and to z_i for $i \in N \setminus U$. We assume $g > -\infty$. The projection is sometimes called *partial minimization*. The projection operation preserves discrete convexity as follows.

Proposition 4.10. The projection operation (4.9) for a function preserves separable convexity, integral convexity, L^{\natural} -convexity, L-convexity, M^{\natural} -convexity, global and local discrete midpoint convexity, and jump M^{\natural} -convexity.

Remark 4.5. Here is a supplement to Proposition 4.10 about projection. The projection of a separable convex function $\sum \{\varphi_i(x_i) \mid i \in N\}$ to U is given by the separable convex function $\sum \{\varphi_i(x_i) \mid i \in U\} + C$, where $C = \sum \{\min \varphi_j \mid j \in N \setminus U\}$. The results for L^{\\[\epsilon}-convex, L-convex, and M^{\\[\epsilon}-convex functions are given in [31, Theorem 7.11], [31, Theorem 7.10], and [31, Theorem 6.15], respectively. The statements for integrally convex functions, globally discrete midpoint convex functions, locally discrete midpoint convex functions, and jump M^{\[\|\[\epsilon</sub>-convex functions are obtained recently in [24, Theorem 3.3], [24, Theorem 3.5], [24, Theorem 3.6], and [37], respectively.}

The projection of an M-convex function is not necessarily M-convex, simply because the effective domain of the projected function does not lie on a hyperplane of a constant component sum. Similarly, the projection of a jump M-convex function is not necessarily jump M-convex.

Example 4.9. For $S = \{(t, -t) \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, its indicator function δ_S is M-convex. The projection of $f = \delta_S$ to $U = \{1\}$ is given by $g \equiv 0$ on \mathbb{Z} (i.e., $g(y_1) = 0$ for all $y_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$), which is not M-convex. The function f is jump M-convex, while g is not.

The projection of a multimodular function to a subset of indices is not necessarily multimodular. We show two examples, an indicator function and a quadratic function on the entire lattice \mathbb{Z}^4 .

Example 4.10. Let f be the indicator function δ_S of the multimodular set $S = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 1, -1), (0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 0)\}$ considered in Example 3.9. The projection g of f to $U = \{1, 3\}$ is the indicator function of the set $T = \{(0, 0), (0, -1), (1, 0)\}$. Since T is not multimodular, as shown in Example 3.9, the function g is not multimodular.

Example 4.11 ([25, Example 4.1]). The quadratic function $f(x) = x^{T}Ax$ with

	3	2	1	0]
<i>A</i> =	2	3	2	1	
	1	2	2	1	
	0	1	1	1	

is multimodular, since *A* satisfies the condition (2.39). On the other hand, the projection *g* of *f* to $U = \{1, 2, 4\}$ is not multimodular. Indeed we have $g(y) = y^{\mathsf{T}} \tilde{A} y$ with $\tilde{A} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 2 & -1 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, where $\tilde{A} = (\tilde{a}_{ij} \mid i, j = 1, 2, 4)$ is obtained from *A* by the usual sweep-out operation: $\tilde{a}_{ij} = a_{ij} - a_{i3}a_{3j}/a_{33}$ (*i*, *j* $\in \{1, 2, 4\}$). The matrix \tilde{A} violates the condition (2.39) for (*i*, *j*) = (1, 2).

The projection of a multimodular function to a consecutive index subset is multimodular. Recall that a consecutive set means a set of the form $\{k, k + 1, ..., l - 1, l\}$ for some $k \le l$.

Proposition 4.11 ([25, Proposition 10]). For a multimodular function f and a consecutive index subset U, the projection of f to U in (4.9) is multimodular.

4.5 Addition

The sum of two functions $f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is defined, in an obvious way, by

$$(f_1 + f_2)(x) = f_1(x) + f_2(x) \qquad (x \in \mathbb{Z}^n).$$
(4.10)

The effective domain of the sum is equal to the intersection of the effective domains of the given functions, that is,

$$dom (f_1 + f_2) = dom f_1 \cap dom f_2.$$
(4.11)

For two sets $S_1, S_2 \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$, the sum of their indicator functions $\delta_{S_1}, \delta_{S_2}$ coincides with the indicator function of their intersection $S_1 \cap S_2$, that is, $\delta_{S_1} + \delta_{S_2} = \delta_{S_1 \cap S_2}$.

L-convexity and its relatives are well-behaved with respect to the sum operation.¹⁰

Proposition 4.12.

(1) The sum of separable convex functions is separable convex.

(2) The sum of L^{\natural} -convex functions is L^{\natural} -convex.

(3) The sum of L-convex functions is L-convex.

(4) The sum of multimodular functions is multimodular.

(5) The sum of globally discrete midpoint convex functions is globally discrete midpoint convex.

(6) The sum of locally discrete midpoint convex functions is locally discrete midpoint convex.

Remark 4.6. Here is a supplement to Proposition 4.12 about sum. The proofs of these statements (1)–(6) are pretty easy. The sum of separable convex functions $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varphi_{1i}(x_i)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varphi_{2i}(x_i)$ is given by $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\varphi_{1i} + \varphi_{2i})(x_i)$, which is a separable convex function. Part (2) for L⁴-convex functions is given in [31, Theorem 7.11]. Part (3) for L-convex functions is given in [31, Theorem 7.10]. Part (4) for multimodular functions is given in [25, Proposition 8]. Parts (5) and (6) for globally and locally discrete midpoint convex functions are given in [25, Table 5.1].

In contrast, M-convexity and integral convexity are not compatible with the sum operation. In referring to examples in Section 3, we intend to consider the indicator functions of the sets mentioned in the examples.

- The sum of integrally convex functions is not necessarily integrally convex (Example 3.10).
- The sum of M^{\natural} -convex functions is not necessarily M^{\natural} -convex (Example 3.11).
- The sum of M-convex functions is not necessarily M-convex (Example 3.12).

¹⁰By (4.11) the sum $f_1 + f_2$ may have an empty effective domain. Therefore, strictly speaking, we should add a proviso in Propositions 4.12, 4.13, and 4.14 that the resulting function has a nonempty effective domain.

• The sum of jump M^{\$\$}-convex (resp., M-convex) functions is not necessarily jump M^{\$\$}-convex (resp., M-convex) (Example 3.12).

The sum of two M^{\natural}-convex functions is integrally convex, though not M^{\natural}-convex.

Proposition 4.13 ([31, Theorem 8.31]). *The sum of two* M^{\ddagger} *-convex functions is integrally convex. In particular, the sum of two* M*-convex functions is integrally convex.*

We next consider the sum of a discrete convex function f with a separable convex function φ . If f is equipped with a certain discrete convexity, the sum $f + \varphi$ also possesses the same kind of discrete convexity, as follows. Note that a linear function $\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i x_i$ is a very special case of a separable convex function.

Proposition 4.14.

(1) *The sum of an integrally convex function with a separable convex function is integrally convex.*

(2) The sum of an L^{\natural} -convex function with a separable convex function is L^{\natural} -convex.

(3) The sum of an M^{\natural} -convex function with a separable convex function is M^{\natural} -convex.

(4) *The sum of an M-convex function with a separable convex function is M-convex.*

(5) The sum of a multimodular function with a separable convex function is multimodular.

(6) The sum of a globally discrete midpoint convex function with a separable convex function is globally discrete midpoint convex.

(7) The sum of a locally discrete midpoint convex function with a separable convex function is locally discrete midpoint convex.

(8) The sum of a jump M^{\natural} -convex function with a separable convex function is jump M^{\natural} -convex.

(9) The sum of a jump M-convex function with a separable convex function is jump M-convex.

Remark 4.7. Here is a supplement to Proposition 4.14 about the sum with a separable convex function. The statements (1)–(9) are immediate from the definitions. Parts (2), (5), (6), and (7) for L⁴-convex, multimodular, and globally and locally discrete midpoint convex functions are special cases of Proposition 4.12 (2), (4), (5), and (6), respectively, since a separable convex function is L⁴-convex, multimodular, and globally and locally discrete midpoint convex (cf., Theorem 2.9).

In this connection we note the following facts.

- The sum of an L-convex function f with a separable convex function φ is not necessarily L-convex. This is because the sum $f + \varphi$ lacks in the linearity (2.19) in the direction of **1** (Example 3.14).
- The sum of an L-convex function f with a linear function $\langle c, x \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i x_i$ is L-convex. Let $g(x) = f(x) + \langle c, x \rangle$ and $r_f = f(x+1) - f(x)$. For the linearity (2.19) in the direction of **1**, we observe that $g(x+1) = f(x+1) + \langle c, x+1 \rangle = f(x) + r_f + \langle c, x \rangle + \langle c, 1 \rangle = g(x) + r_g$ with $r_g = r_f + \langle c, 1 \rangle$.

4.6 Convolution

The (infimal) *convolution* of two functions $f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is defined by

$$(f_1 \Box f_2)(x) = \inf\{f_1(y) + f_2(z) \mid x = y + z, \ y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^n\} \qquad (x \in \mathbb{Z}^n), \tag{4.12}$$

where it is assumed that the infimum is bounded from below (i.e., $(f_1 \Box f_2)(x) > -\infty$) for every $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. For two sets $S_1, S_2 \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$, the convolution of their indicator functions $\delta_{S_1}, \delta_{S_2}$ coincides with the indicator function of their Minkowski sum $S_1 + S_2 = \{y + z \mid y \in S_1, z \in S_2\}$, that is, $\delta_{S_1} \Box \delta_{S_2} = \delta_{S_1 + S_2}$.

M-convexity and its relatives are well-behaved with respect to the convolution operation.

Theorem 4.15.

(1) The convolution of separable convex functions is a separable convex function.

- (2) The convolution of M^{\natural} -convex functions is M^{\natural} -convex.
- (3) The convolution of M-convex functions is M-convex.
- (4) The convolution of jump M^{\natural} -convex functions is jump M^{\natural} -convex.
- (5) The convolution of jump M-convex functions is jump M-convex.

Remark 4.8. Here is a supplement to Theorem 4.15 about convolution. The convolution of separable convex functions $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varphi_{1i}(x_i)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varphi_{2i}(x_i)$ is given by $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\varphi_{1i} \Box \varphi_{2i})(x_i)$, which is a separable convex function. Part (3) for M-convex functions originates in [28, Theorem 6.10] and is given in [31, Theorem 6.13]. Part (2) for M^{\phi}-convex functions is a variant of (3) for M-convex functions and is given in [31, Theorem 6.15]. Part (4) for jump M^{\phi}-convex functions is given by [37], and Part (5) for jump M-convex functions is by [19, Theorem 12].

In contrast, other kinds of discrete convexity are not compatible with the convolution operation, as follows. In referring to examples in Section 3, we intend to consider the indicator functions of the sets mentioned in the examples.

- The convolution of convex-extensible functions is not necessarily convex-extensible (Example 3.15).
- The convolution of integrally convex functions is not necessarily integrally convex (Example 3.15).
- The convolution of L^{\natural} -convex functions is not necessarily L^{\natural} -convex (Example 3.16).
- The convolution of L-convex functions is not necessarily L-convex (Example 3.17).
- The convolution of multimodular functions is not necessarily multimodular (Example 3.18).
- The convolution of globally (resp., locally) discrete midpoint convex functions is not necessarily globally (resp., locally) discrete midpoint convex (Example 3.15).

The convolution of two L^{β}-convex functions is integrally convex, though not L^{β}-convex.

Proposition 4.16 ([31, Theorem 8.42]). *The convolution of two* L^{\natural} *-convex functions is inte*grally convex. In particular, the convolution of two L-convex functions is integrally convex.

The convolution of three L^{\natural} -convex functions is no longer integrally convex, as the following example shows.

Example 4.12. Let $f_i = \delta_{S_i}$ (i = 1, 2, 3) for the three L^{\(\beta\)}-convex sets $S_1 = \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 1, 0)\}, S_2 = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 1)\}, S_3 = \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 0, 1)\}$ in Example 3.20. Since $S_1 + S_2 + S_3 = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 1), (1, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1), (2, 1, 1), (1, 1, 2), (1, 2, 1), (2, 2, 2)\}$ is not an integrally convex set, $f_1 \Box f_2 \Box f_3 = \delta_{S_1 + S_2 + S_3}$ is not an integrally convex function.

We next consider the convolution of a discrete convex function f with a separable convex function φ .

Proposition 4.17.

(1) *The convolution of an integrally convex function and a separable convex function is integrally convex.*

(2) The convolution of an L^{\natural} -convex function and a separable convex function is L^{\natural} -convex.

(3) The convolution of an L-convex function and a separable convex function is L-convex.

(4) The convolution of an M^{\natural} -convex function and a separable convex function is M^{\natural} -convex.

(5) The convolution of a jump M^{\natural} -convex function and a separable convex function is jump M^{\natural} -convex.

Remark 4.9. Here is a supplement to Proposition 4.17 about convolution with a separable convex function. Part (1) for integrally convex functions is due to [24, Theorem 4.2]. Parts (2) and (3) for L^{\natural}-convex and L-convex functions are given in [31, Theorem 7.11] and [31, Theorem 7.10], respectively. Part (4) for M^{\natural}-convex functions is a special case of Theorem 4.15 (2). Part (5) for jump M^{\natural}-convex functions is a special case of Theorem 4.15 (4).

In this connection we note the following facts.

- The convolution of an M-convex function *f* and a separable convex function φ is not necessarily M-convex. This is simply because dom φ is an integer box and hence dom (*f*□φ) = dom *f* + dom φ does not lie on a hyperplane of a constant component sum. Let φ̂ denote the restriction of φ to an M-convex set *S*, that is, φ̂(x) = φ(x) for x ∈ S and φ̂(x) = +∞ for x ∉ S. Then φ̂ is an M-convex function, and therefore, *f*□φ̂ is M-convex by Theorem 4.15 (3).
- The convolution of a jump M-convex function *f* and a separable convex function φ is not necessarily jump M-convex. This is simply because dom φ is an integer box and hence dom (*f*□φ) = dom *f* + dom φ is not a constant-parity jump system. Let φ̂ denote the restriction of φ to a constant-parity jump system *S*, that is, φ̂(*x*) = φ(*x*) for *x* ∈ *S* and φ̂(*x*) = +∞ for *x* ∉ *S*. Then φ̂ is a jump M-convex function, and therefore, *f*□ φ̂ is jump M-convex by Theorem 4.15 (5).
- The convolution of a multimodular function and a separable convex function is not necessarily multimodular (Example 3.18).
- The convolution of a globally discrete midpoint convex function and a separable convex function is not necessarily discrete midpoint convex. See Examples 4.13 and 4.14.
- The convolution of a locally discrete midpoint convex function and a separable convex function is not necessarily locally discrete midpoint convex. See Examples 4.13 and 4.14.

For the convolution of a (globally or locally) discrete midpoint convex function and a separable convex function, we show two examples. In the latter example, the effective domain of f is an integer box (the unit cube).

Example 4.13. Let $f = \delta_S$ and $\varphi = \delta_B$ for $S = \{(0, 0, 1), (1, 1, 0)\}$ and $B = \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0)\}$ considered in Example 3.22. Function f is (globally and locally) discrete midpoint convex, and φ is separable convex. The convolution $f \Box \varphi$ coincides with the indicator function of the set $S + B = \{(0, 0, 1), (1, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1), (2, 1, 0)\}$. Since S + B is not discrete midpoint convex, as shown in Example 3.22, the function $f \Box \varphi$ is not (globally or locally) discrete midpoint convex.

Example 4.14 ([24, Example 4.4]). Let $S = \{(0, 0, 1), (1, 1, 0)\}, B = \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0)\}$, and $\varphi = \delta_B$, and define $f : \mathbb{Z}^3 \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ with dom $f = [(0, 0, 0), (1, 1, 1)]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & (x \in S), \\ 1 & (x \in [(0,0,0), (1,1,1)]_{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus S). \end{cases}$$

This function is (globally and locally) discrete midpoint convex, while φ is separable convex. The convolution $f \Box \varphi$ is given by

$$(f \Box \varphi)(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & (x \in S + B), \\ 1 & (x \in [(0, 0, 0), (2, 1, 1)]_{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus (S + B)) \end{cases}$$

with dom $(f \Box \varphi) = [(0, 0, 0), (2, 1, 1)]_{\mathbb{Z}}$. For x = (0, 0, 1), y = (2, 1, 0) we have $||x - y||_{\infty} = 2$, $x, y \in S + B$, $[(x + y)/2] = (1, 1, 1) \notin S + B$, $\lfloor (x + y)/2 \rfloor = (1, 0, 0) \notin S + B$, and

$$(f\Box\varphi)(x) = (f\Box\varphi)(y) = 0, \quad (f\Box\varphi)\left(\left\lceil\frac{x+y}{2}\right\rceil\right) = (f\Box\varphi)\left(\left\lfloor\frac{x+y}{2}\right\rfloor\right) = 1.$$

Hence $f \Box \varphi$ is not (globally or locally) discrete midpoint convex.

Remark 4.10. The convolution operation with a separable convex function contains the projection operation as a special case. Let $g(y) = \inf\{f(y,z) \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}^{N \setminus U}\}$ be the projection of f to U as defined in (4.9). Let φ be the indicator function of the cylinder $B = \{(y,z) \in \mathbb{Z}^U \times \mathbb{Z}^{N \setminus U} \mid y = \mathbf{0}_U\}$, where B is an integer box and hence φ is a separable convex function. The convolution $f \Box \varphi$ is given as

$$(f \Box \varphi)(y, z) = \inf\{f(y', z') + \varphi(y'', z'') \mid (y, z) = (y', z') + (y'', z'')\}$$

= $\inf\{f(y', z') \mid (y, z) = (y', z') + (\mathbf{0}, z'')\}$
= $\inf\{f(y, z - z'') \mid z'' \in \mathbb{Z}^{N \setminus U}\}$
= $\inf\{f(y, z') \mid z' \in \mathbb{Z}^{N \setminus U}\}$
= $g(y).$

Thus, the value of projection g(y) is equal to that of convolution $(f \Box \varphi)(y, z)$ for any z. In this sense the projection can be regarded as a special case of the convolution with a separable convex function.

4.7 Integral Legendre–Fenchel transformation

In this section we deal with the operations related to integral conjugacy for integer-valued discrete convex functions. For an integer-valued function $f : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$, we define a function f^{\bullet} on \mathbb{Z}^n by

$$f^{\bullet}(p) = \sup\{\langle p, x \rangle - f(x) \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}^n\} \qquad (p \in \mathbb{Z}^n), \tag{4.13}$$

where $\langle p, x \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i x_i$ is the inner product of $p = (p_1, p_2, ..., p_n)$ and $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$. This function f^{\bullet} is referred to as the *integral conjugate* of f, or the *integral Legendre–Fenchel* transform of f. The function f^{\bullet} takes values in $\mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$, since $\langle p, x \rangle$ and f(x) are integers (or $+\infty$) for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and f(x) is finite for some x by the assumption of dom $f \neq \emptyset$. That is, we have $f^{\bullet} : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$. This allows us to apply the transformation (4.13) to f^{\bullet} to obtain $f^{\bullet \bullet} = (f^{\bullet})^{\bullet}$. This function $f^{\bullet \bullet}$ is called the *integral biconjugate* of f.

Concerning conjugacy and biconjugacy it is natural to ask the following questions for a given class of discrete convex functions.

- For an integer-valued function f in the class, does the integral conjugate f[•] belong to the same class? If not, how is it characterized?
- For an integer-valued function f in the class, does integral biconjugacy $f^{\bullet\bullet} = f$ hold?

For biconjugacy the following theorem has recently been obtained.

Theorem 4.18 ([42]). For an integer-valued integrally convex function f, the integral biconjugate $f^{\bullet\bullet}$ coincides with f itself, i.e., $f^{\bullet\bullet} = f$.

The biconjugacy for other classes of discrete convex functions can be obtained immediately from this result by the inclusion relations given in Theorem 2.9. See also Table 7.

Corollary 4.19. Let f be an integer-valued function on \mathbb{Z}^n and $f^{\bullet \bullet}$ be its integral biconjugate. (1) If f is separable convex, then $f^{\bullet \bullet} = f$.

- (2) If f is L^{\natural} -convex, then $f^{\bullet \bullet} = f$.
- (3) If f is L-convex, then $f^{\bullet\bullet} = f$.
- (4) If f is M^{\natural} -convex, then $f^{\bullet \bullet} = f$.
- (5) If f is M-convex, then $f^{\bullet\bullet} = f$.
- (6) If f is multimodular, then $f^{\bullet\bullet} = f$.

(7) If f is globally discrete midpoint convex, then $f^{\bullet\bullet} = f$.

(8) If f is locally discrete midpoint convex, then $f^{\bullet\bullet} = f$.

Remark 4.11. Here is a supplement to Corollary 4.19 about biconjugacy. The biconjugacy of L-convex functions in Part (3) was established in [29, Theorem 4.22], and that of M-convex functions in Part (5) was in [29, Theorem 4.8]. The biconjugacy of L^{β}- and M^{β}-convex functions can be derived easily from these results, and an explicit statement is made in [31, Theorem 8.12]. Part (1) for separable convex functions is a special case of Part (2) for L^{β}-convex functions and Part (4) for M^{β}-convex functions. Parts (6), (7), and (8) for multimodular functions and globally and locally discrete midpoint convex functions have not been given explicitly in the literature.

Remark 4.12. Here is a technical remark about integral biconjugacy. For a point $x \in \text{dom } f$, the *subdifferential* of f at x is a set of real vectors defined as

$$\partial f(x) = \{ p \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid f(y) - f(x) \ge \langle p, y - x \rangle \text{ for all } y \in \mathbb{Z}^n \},$$
(4.14)

and an element of $\partial f(x)$ is called a *subgradient* of f at x [43]. The condition $\partial f(x) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n \neq \emptyset$ is sometimes referred to as the *integral subdifferentiability* of f at x. It is known that, for each $x \in \text{dom } f$, $f^{\bullet \bullet}(x) = f(x)$ holds if and only if $\partial f(x) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, the integral biconjugacy $f^{\bullet \bullet} = f$ is equivalent to the integral subdifferentiability of f (under some additional conditions to guarantee dom $f^{\bullet \bullet} = \text{dom } f$). See [29, Lemma 4.2] as well as [42, Lemma 2] for details.

The following example demonstrates the necessity of the assumption of integral convexity in Theorem 4.18.

Example 4.15 ([29, Example 1.1]; also [42]). Let $S = \{(0, 0, 0), \pm(1, 1, 0), \pm(0, 1, 1), \pm(1, 0, 1)\}$ and define $f : \mathbb{Z}^3 \to \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$ by

$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \begin{cases} (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)/2 & (x \in S), \\ +\infty & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

Note that the function f is indeed integer-valued on S. The set S is hole-free in the sense of (2.2) and the function f can be naturally extended to a convex function on the convex hull \overline{S} of S. However, this function f is not integrally convex, since S is not an integrally convex set. Indeed, for $x = [(1, 1, 0) + (-1, 0, -1)]/2 = (0, 1/2, -1/2) \in \overline{S}$, we have

$$N(x) \cap S = \{(0,0,0), (0,1,0), (0,0,-1), (0,1,-1)\} \cap S = \{(0,0,0)\},\$$

and hence $x \notin \overline{N(x) \cap S}$.

The integral conjugate of f is given as

$$f^{\bullet}(p) = \max\{0, |p_1 + p_2 - 1|, |p_2 + p_3 - 1|, |p_3 + p_1 - 1|\} \qquad (p \in \mathbb{Z}^3).$$

For the integral biconjugate $f^{\bullet\bullet}(x) = \sup\{\langle p, x \rangle - f^{\bullet}(p) \mid p \in \mathbb{Z}^3\}$ we have

$$f^{\bullet\bullet}(\mathbf{0}) = -\inf_{p \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \max\{0, |p_1 + p_2 - 1|, |p_2 + p_3 - 1|, |p_3 + p_1 - 1|\} = -1.$$

Therefore we have $f^{\bullet\bullet}(\mathbf{0}) = -1 \neq 0 = f(\mathbf{0})$. This shows $f^{\bullet\bullet} \neq f$.

The subdifferential of f at x = 0 can be computed as follows. Let $p \in \partial f(0)$, which means (by definition) that $f(y) - f(0) \ge \langle p, y \rangle$ for all $y \in S$, that is,

$$1 \ge p_1 + p_2, \qquad 1 \ge p_2 + p_3, \qquad 1 \ge p_3 + p_1, \\ -1 \ge -p_1 - p_2, \qquad -1 \ge -p_2 - p_3, \qquad -1 \ge -p_3 - p_1.$$

This system of inequalities admits a unique (non-integral) solution $(p_1, p_2, p_3) = (1/2, 1/2, 1/2)$. Hence $\partial f(\mathbf{0}) = \{(1/2, 1/2, 1/2)\}$ and $\partial f(\mathbf{0}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^3 = \emptyset$.

Concerning conjugate functions we have the following fundamental results (cf., Table 7).

Theorem 4.20 ([29, Theorem 4.24], [31, Theorem 8.12]). Let f be an integer-valued function on \mathbb{Z}^n and f^{\bullet} be the integral conjugate of f.

- (1) If f is separable convex, then f^{\bullet} is separable convex.
- (2) If f is L^{\natural} -convex, then f^{\bullet} is M^{\natural} -convex.
- (3) If f is L-convex, then f^{\bullet} is M-convex.
- (4) If f is M^{\natural} -convex, then f^{\bullet} is L^{\natural} -convex.
- (5) If f is M-convex, then f^{\bullet} is L-convex.

The conjugate of a multimodular function can be captured through the correspondence between multimodularity and L[‡]-convexity.

Proposition 4.21. The conjugate f^{\bullet} of a multimodular function f can be represented as $f^{\bullet}(p) = h(D^{\top}p)$ with an M^{\natural} -convex function h and the matrix D in (2.38).

Proof. Since f is multimodular, the function g defined by g(y) = f(Dy) is L⁴-convex, and hence g^{\bullet} is M^{\\[\eta}-convex by Theorem 4.20 (2). On the other hand, we have

$$f^{\bullet}(p) = \sup\{\langle p, x \rangle - f(x) \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}^n\} = \sup\{\langle p, Dy \rangle - f(Dy) \mid y \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$$

= sup{\langle D^T p, y \rangle - g(y) \langle y \in \mathbb{Z}^n\rangle = g^{\u03c6}(D^T p),

from which we obtain the claim with $h = g^{\bullet}$.

The integral conjugate of an integrally convex function is not necessarily integrally convex. This is shown by the following example, which is obtained from [39, Example 4.15] with a minor modification.

Example 4.16. Let $S = \{(1, 1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 0, 1)\}$. This is obviously an integrally convex set, as it is contained in $\{0, 1\}^4$. Accordingly, its indicator function δ_S : $\mathbb{Z}^4 \to \{0, +\infty\}$ is integrally convex. The integral conjugate $g = \delta_S^{\bullet}$ is given (cf., (4.13)) by

$$g(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) = \max\{p_1 + p_2, p_2 + p_3, p_1 + p_3, p_4\}$$
 $(p \in \mathbb{Z}^4).$

Let \tilde{g} be the local convex extension of g. For p = (0, 0, 0, 0) and q = (1, 1, 1, 2) we have

$$\tilde{g}((p+q)/2) > (g(p) + g(q))/2,$$

since (p+q)/2 = (1/2, 1/2, 1/2, 1) = [(1, 0, 0, 1) + (0, 1, 0, 1) + (0, 0, 1, 1) + (1, 1, 1, 1)]/4 $\tilde{g}((p+q)/2) = [g(1,0,0,1)+g(0,1,0,1)+g(0,0,1,1)+g(1,1,1,1)]/4 = (1+1+1+2)/4 = 5/4,$ and (g(p) + g(q))/2 = (0 + 2)/2 = 1. Thus the function g violates the condition (2.8) in Theorem 2.1, and therefore it is not integrally convex.

Remark 4.13. In convex analysis (for functions in continuous variables) the addition and convolution operations are known to be conjugate to each other. In discrete convex analysis some subtlety arises from discreteness. Although

$$(f_1 \Box f_2)^{\bullet} = f_1^{\bullet} + f_2^{\bullet} \tag{4.15}$$

holds for any functions $f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$, a similar relation

$$(f_1 + f_2)^{\bullet} = f_1^{\bullet} \Box f_2^{\bullet} \tag{4.16}$$

may not be true in general. The identity (4.16) holds if f_1 and f_2 are M^{\natural}-convex or if f_1 and f_2 are L^{\\[\beta]}-convex. (The proof of [31, Theorem 8.36] works also for L^{\\[\beta]}-convex functions.)

Discrete	Convex-	Integral	Conjugate	Reference
convexity	extension	biconj.	function	
Separable convex	Y	Y	separ. convex	[29, 31]
Integrally convex	Y	Y		[42]
L ^{\\phi} -convex	Y	Y	M [¢] -convex	[31]
L-convex	Y	Y	M-convex	[29, 31]
M [¢] -convex	Y	Y	L ^{\\phi} -convex	[31]
M-convex	Y	Y	L-convex	[29, 31]
Multimodular	Y	Y	\cong M ^{\natural} -convex	
Globally d.m.c.	Y	Y		
Locally d.m.c.	Y	Y		
Jump M [♯] -convex	N	N		
Jump M-convex	N	N		

Table 7: Conjugacy operations on discrete convex functions

"Y" means "Yes, this property holds for this function class."

"N" means "No, this property does not hold for this function class."

Acknowledgement

The author thanks Kokichi Sugihara and Takashi Tsuchiya for encouragement and help, and Satoru Fujishige and Satoko Moriguchi for comments. This work was supported by CREST, JST, Grant Number JPMJCR14D2, Japan, and JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 26280004.

References

- [1] Altman, E., Gaujal, B., Hordijk, A.: Multimodularity, convexity, and optimization properties. Mathematics of Operations Research **25**, 324–347 (2000)
- [2] Altman, E., Gaujal, B., Hordijk, A.: Discrete-Event Control of Stochastic Networks: Multimodularity and Regularity. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1829, Springer, Heidelberg (2003)
- [3] Bérczi, K., Kobayashi, Y.: An algorithm for (n-3)-connectivity augmentation problem: jump system approach. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B **102**, 565–587 (2012)
- [4] Bouchet, A., Cunningham, W.H.: Delta-matroids, jump systems, and bisubmodular polyhedra. SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics **8**, 17–32 (1995)
- [5] Brändén, P.: Discrete concavity and the half-plane property. SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics **24**, 921–933 (2010)
- [6] Dress, A.W.M., Wenzel, W.: Valuated matroid: A new look at the greedy algorithm. Applied Mathematics Letters **3**, 33–35 (1990)
- [7] Dress, A.W.M., Wenzel, W.: A greedy-algorithm characterization of valuated Δ matroids. Applied Mathematics Letters **4**, 55–58 (1991)

- [8] Dress, A.W.M., Wenzel, W.: Valuated matroids. Advances in Mathematics 93, 214–250 (1992)
- [9] Edmonds, J.: Submodular functions, matroids and certain polyhedra. In: Guy, R., Hanani, H., Sauer, N., Schönheim, J. (eds.) Combinatorial Structures and Their Applications, pp. 69–87. Gordon and Breach, New York (1970); Also in: Jünger, M., Reinelt, G., Rinaldi, G. (eds.) Combinatorial Optimization—Eureka, You Shrink! Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 2570, pp. 11–26. Springer, Berlin (2003)
- [10] Favati, P., Tardella, F.: Convexity in nonlinear integer programming. Ricerca Operativa 53, 3–44 (1990)
- [11] Fujishige, S.: Submodular Functions and Optimization, 2nd edn. Annals of Discrete Mathematics 58, Elsevier, Amsterdam (2005)
- [12] Fujishige, S., Murota, K.: Notes on L-/M-convex functions and the separation theorems. Mathematical Programming 88, 129–146 (2000)
- [13] Glasserman, P., Yao, D.D.: Monotone Structure in Discrete-Event Systems. Wiley, New York (1994)
- [14] Hajek, B.: Extremal splittings of point processes. Mathematics of Operations Research 10, 543–556 (1985)
- [15] Hirai, H.: L-extendable functions and a proximity scaling algorithm for minimum cost multiflow problem. Discrete Optimization 18, 1–37 (2015)
- [16] Hirai, H.: Discrete convexity and polynomial solvability in minimum 0-extension problems. Mathematical Programming, Series A 155, 1–55 (2016)
- [17] Hirai, H.: Discrete convex functions on graphs and their algorithmic applications. In: Fukunaga, T., Kawarabayashi, K. (eds.) Combinatorial Optimization and Graph Algorithms, Communications of NII Shonan Meetings, pp. 67–101. Springer Nature, Singapore (2017)
- [18] Hirai, H.: L-convexity on graph structures. Journal of the Operations Research Society of Japan **61**, 71–109 (2018)
- [19] Kobayashi, Y., Murota, K., Tanaka, K.: Operations on M-convex functions on jump systems. SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics 21, 107–129 (2007)
- [20] Kobayashi, Y., Szabó, J., Takazawa, K.: A proof of Cunningham's conjecture on restricted subgraphs and jump systems. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B 102, 948–966 (2012)
- [21] Kobayashi, Y., Takazawa, K.: Even factors, jump systems, and discrete convexity. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B 99, 139–161 (2009)
- [22] Kolmogorov, V.: Submodularity on a tree: Unifying L⁴-convex and bisubmodular functions. In: Murlak, F., Sankowski, P. (eds.) Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science 2011, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 6907, pp. 400–411. Springer, Heidelberg (2011)

- [23] Lovász, L.: Submodular functions and convexity. In: Bachem, A., Grötschel, M., Korte, B. (eds.) Mathematical Programming—The State of the Art, pp. 235–257. Springer, Berlin (1983)
- [24] Moriguchi, S., Murota, K.: Projection and convolution operations for integrally convex functions. Discrete Applied Mathematics 255, 283–298 (2019)
- [25] Moriguchi, S., Murota, K.: On fundamental operations for multimodular functions. Journal of the Operations Research Society of Japan 62, 53–63 (2019)
- [26] Moriguchi, S., Murota, K., Tamura, A., Tardella, F.: Scaling, proximity, and optimization of integrally convex functions. Mathematical Programming 175, 119–154 (2019)
- [27] Moriguchi, S., Murota, K., Tamura, A., Tardella, F.: Discrete midpoint convexity. Mathematics of Operations Research, https://doi.org/10.1287/moor.2018.0984 (2019)
- [28] Murota, K.: Convexity and Steinitz's exchange property. Advances in Mathematics **124**, 272–311 (1996)
- [29] Murota, K.: Discrete convex analysis. Mathematical Programming 83, 313–371 (1998)
- [30] Murota, K.: Matrices and Matroids for Systems Analysis. Springer, Berlin (2000)
- [31] Murota, K.: Discrete Convex Analysis. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia (2003)
- [32] Murota, K.: Note on multimodularity and L-convexity. Mathematics of Operations Research 30, 658–661 (2005)
- [33] Murota, K.: M-convex functions on jump systems: A general framework for minsquare graph factor problem. SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics **20**, 213–226 (2006)
- [34] Murota, K.: Primer of Discrete Convex Analysis—Discrete versus Continuous Optimization (in Japanese). Kyoritsu Publishing Co., Tokyo (2007)
- [35] Murota, K.: Recent developments in discrete convex analysis. In: Cook, W., Lovász, L., Vygen, J. (eds.) Research Trends in Combinatorial Optimization, Chapter 11, pp. 219– 260. Springer, Berlin (2009)
- [36] Murota, K.: Discrete convex analysis: A tool for economics and game theory. Journal of Mechanism and Institution Design **1**, 151–273 (2016)
- [37] Murota, K.: A note on M-convex functions on jump systems. arXiv: https://arxiv.org/abs/1907.06209 (2019)
- [38] Murota, K., Shioura, A.: M-convex function on generalized polymatroid. Mathematics of Operations Research 24, 95–105 (1999)
- [39] Murota, K., Shioura, A.: Relationship of M-/L-convex functions with discrete convex functions by Miller and by Favati–Tardella. Discrete Applied Mathematics 115, 151– 176 (2001)

- [40] Murota, K., Shioura, A.: Simpler exchange axioms for M-concave functions on generalized polymatroids. Japan Journal of Industrial and Applied Mathematics 35, 235–259 (2018)
- [41] Murota, K., Tamura, A.: Application of M-convex submodular flow problem to mathematical economics. Japan Journal of Industrial and Applied Mathematics 20, 257–277 (2003)
- [42] Murota, K., Tamura, A.: Integrality of subgradients and biconjugates of integrally convex functions. Optimization Letters, to appear.
- [43] Rockafellar, R.T.: Convex Analysis. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1970)
- [44] Shioura, A.: Algorithms for L-convex function minimization: Connection between discrete convex analysis and other research areas. Journal of the Operations Research Society of Japan 60, 216–243 (2017)
- [45] Shioura, A., Tamura, A.: Gross substitutes condition and discrete concavity for multiunit valuations: a survey. Journal of the Operations Research Society of Japan 58, 61– 103 (2015)
- [46] Simchi-Levi, D., Chen, X., Bramel, J.: The Logic of Logistics: Theory, Algorithms, and Applications for Logistics Management, 3rd ed. Springer, New York (2014)
- [47] Takazawa, K.: Optimal matching forests and valuated delta-matroids. SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics **28**, 445–467 (2014)
- [48] Topkis, D.M.: Supermodularity and Complementarity. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ (1998)