Exploring the Landscape of Ubiquitous In-home Health Monitoring: A Comprehensive Survey

[FARHAD POURPANAH](HTTPS://ORCID.ORG/0000-0002-7122-9975) and [ALI ETEMAD,](HTTPS://ORCID.ORG/0000-0001-7128-0220) Queens' University, Canada

Fig. 1. Overview of the ubiquitous in-home health monitoring systems.

Ubiquitous in-home health monitoring systems have become popular in recent years due to the rise of digital health technologies and the growing demand for remote health monitoring. These systems enable individuals to increase their independence by allowing them to monitor their health from the home and by allowing more control over their well-being. In this study, we perform a comprehensive survey on this topic by reviewing a large number of literature in the area. We investigate these systems from various aspects, namely sensing technologies, communication technologies, intelligent and computing systems, and application areas. Specifically, we provide an overview of in-home health monitoring systems and identify their main components. We then present each component and discuss its role within in-home health monitoring systems. In addition, we provide an overview of the practical use of ubiquitous technologies in the home for health monitoring. Finally, we identify the main challenges and limitations based on the existing literature and provide eight recommendations for potential future research directions toward the development of in-home health monitoring systems. We conclude that despite extensive research on various components needed for the development of effective in-home health monitoring systems, the development of effective in-home health monitoring systems still requires further investigation.

CCS Concepts: • General and reference \rightarrow Surveys and overviews; • Human-centered computing \rightarrow Ubiquitous computing, Mobile computing, Ambient intelligence.

Additional Key Words and Phrases: In-home monitoring, healthcare, ubiquitous computing, ambient intelligence, sensor technologies, Deep learning, internet of things.

1 INTRODUCTION

Continuous health monitoring is the process of regularly tracking and analyzing individuals' health data to identify/predict any possible health problems in their early stages. This is crucial for individuals, in particular older adults and people with disabilities, as it can provide valuable insights into their overall health status and help them diagnose/predict possible health issues [\[282\]](#page-39-0). Meanwhile, early detection of health problems through continuous monitoring allows individuals to take timely actions, reduce their stress, and gather valuable data

through long-term observations [\[38\]](#page-29-0). In developing such systems, ubiquitous (or pervasive) technologies play a key role due to their ability to monitor various health parameters in real-time.

Ubiquitous computing refers to the idea of integrating computers into our surroundings. It is associated with the widespread integration of mobile/remote information and communication technologies that have some level of intelligence, as well as network connectivity and advanced user interface, into our daily lives [\[234,](#page-37-0) [338\]](#page-41-0). In health monitoring, this concept is widely used for collecting data, transmitting data, analyzing individuals' physical/mental and environmental conditions, and providing real-time feedback to assist individuals in managing their health. Accordingly, a robust ubiquitous healthcare system must satisfy six criteria, including (1) accessibility to multiple services from a healthcare provider, (2) flexibility to adapt to changing patient needs and circumstances, (3) ensuring privacy during data exchange, (4) enabling remote data collection and monitoring, (5) providing personalized services, and (6) the capability of automatic decision-making and response [\[232,](#page-37-1) [272\]](#page-38-0).

In recent years, due to several factors such as the aging population [\[158\]](#page-34-0), the impact of pandemics like COVID-19 [\[255\]](#page-38-1), and the need for personalized healthcare [\[334\]](#page-41-1), there has been an increase in the development of in-home health monitoring systems to remotely monitor and track the health of individuals. This is particularly beneficial for those with chronic conditions, recovering from illness, and in need of ongoing medical attention. These systems aim to use ubiquitous technologies including mobile devices and applications, smart home devices, and wireless cloud/web services, to provide accessible, transparent, and reliable healthcare [\[99,](#page-31-0) [232,](#page-37-1) [272\]](#page-38-0). The ultimate goal is to monitor data in real-time and allow artificial intelligence (AI) systems or medical practitioners to remotely access individuals' health data, diagnose diseases, directly communicate with patients, and offer advice to them when needed [\[65\]](#page-30-0). In-home health monitoring systems have the potential to improve patient outcomes through continuous monitoring of chronic conditions, managing diseases, supporting the independence and safety of the aging population and individuals with disabilities, and enhancing their well-being and quality of life. They can also increase patient satisfaction, ease the responsibilities of caregivers, and save costs by reducing hospitalization and other costly interventions [\[17,](#page-28-0) [83,](#page-31-1) [324\]](#page-40-0).

The field of in-home health monitoring is vast and complex, encompassing a variety of cutting-edge technologies, such as cloud computing, AI, and the Internet of Things (IoT) [\[256\]](#page-38-2). Furthermore, there remains a large gap between these advanced systems and their adoption in our homes [\[187\]](#page-35-0). To obtain an inclusive understanding of these systems, in this survey, we aim to analyze the existing noteworthy systems in this area and answer the following questions.

- (1) What is the current state of research on ubiquitous in-home health monitoring systems? Specifically, which sensing and communication technologies and algorithmic approaches have been utilized in the development of such systems?
- (2) What are the limitations and challenging issues of the existing ubiquitous in-home health monitoring systems?
- (3) What are the key future research directions that need to be further explored in order to address these issues?

Our goal is to analyze the existing studies and identify different types of in-home health monitoring systems. We also explore various components of such systems and examine their applications. Additionally, we explore several interesting research directions that require further investigation. With this review, we hope to facilitate progress in developing novel and effective in-home health monitoring systems for improving the overall quality of life.

1.1 Motivation and contributions

Several surveys on in-home health monitoring systems can be found in the literature [\[11,](#page-28-1) [87,](#page-31-2) [89,](#page-31-3) [130,](#page-33-0) [158,](#page-34-0) [216,](#page-36-0) [225,](#page-37-2) [246,](#page-37-3) [308,](#page-40-1) [310,](#page-40-2) [330\]](#page-41-2). Among them, the survey presented in [\[225\]](#page-37-2) reviews sensors and systems developed for

rehabilitation and health monitoring. The survey papers in [\[130,](#page-33-0) [308\]](#page-40-1) center around the applications of machine learning (ML), deep learning (DL), and AI in-home healthcare, while, the works in [\[11,](#page-28-1) [246\]](#page-37-3) focus on IoT-based in-home remote monitoring systems. Specifically, survey [\[246\]](#page-37-3) reviews IoT-based in-home remote monitoring systems and raises several concerns surrounding the reliability, privacy, security, and stability of such systems. On the other hand, the survey in [\[11\]](#page-28-1) explores applications of health IoT (H-IoT) in the context of smart city infrastructure. Lastly, in [\[87\]](#page-31-2), four main factors in developing smart home care systems are identified. These factors are people, data, technology, and operational environments.

Besides, several literature focus on specific sub-areas or applications of ubiquitous in-home monitoring systems. For instance, the study in [\[310\]](#page-40-2) provides a comprehensive review of toilet-based in-home health monitoring platforms and discusses challenges and future perspectives. The review papers presented in [\[89,](#page-31-3) [158,](#page-34-0) [216,](#page-36-0) [330\]](#page-41-2) mainly focus on older adults. For example, the work presented in [\[158\]](#page-34-0) reviews the use of in-home monitoring technologies to monitor the well-being of older adults with a focus on monitoring daily activities, detecting abnormal behaviors, identifying cognitive impairment, detecting falls, indoor positioning, and evaluating sleep quality. In [\[216\]](#page-36-0), the effectiveness of smart home technologies in assisting older adults with dementia is studied. The work in [\[89\]](#page-31-3) focuses on the utilization of information and communication technology solutions for aging people with chronic conditions with an emphasis on evaluating their impact on the quality of life from the biomedical point of view. Moreover, the review paper in [\[330\]](#page-41-2) explores three works in the field of in-home health monitoring of older adults, highlights the gaps, and provides suggestions for developing practical applications such as diagnosis and nursing.

Our analysis of the above-mentioned survey papers indicates the lack of an in-depth review of ubiquitous inhome health monitoring systems that covers the various aspects and components of such systems under a unified framework. Our goal in this survey is to answer the above-mentioned questions by exploring five key aspects of in-home health monitoring systems, including (1) sensing technologies, (2) communication technologies, (3) intelligence and computing systems, (4) application domains, and (5) research gaps as well as exploring possible solutions to address these gaps. By analyzing these aspects, we intend to identify the advancements and limitations of in-home health monitoring systems. Table [1](#page-2-0) compares our survey paper with prior works in this area. We observe that our paper provides a more complete, up-to-date, and comprehensive picture of the field. In summary, the main contributions of our survey are as follows:

- (1) We provide an overview of ubiquitous technologies used in-home health monitoring systems, identify their types, and discuss various components that are used to make up such technologies;
- (2) We provide a review of sensing technologies, communication technologies, and intelligent and computing systems currently utilized in-home health monitoring systems;

.
Fig. 2. The publication trend of ubiquitous in-home health monitoring systems between 2015 and March 2023. The result is retrieved by searching five databases, including IEEE Xplore, ScienceDirect, ACM Digital Library, and Springer, as well as 2022 472 472 472 472 472 472 472 Google Scholar.

- (3) We provide a comprehensive overview of the diverse applications of ubiquitous technologies for in-home health monitoring systems;
- (4) We identify several significant challenges and research gaps in the development of in-home health monitoring systems and provide eight recommendations for future research direction.

1.2 Methodology

In this study, we review ubiquitous in-home health monitoring systems from 2015 onward. In April 2023, we searched four databases, namely IEEE Xplore, ScienceDirect, ACM Digital Library, and Springer, along with Google Scholar, for peer-reviewed articles written in English and related to the ubiquitous technologies for in-home health monitoring systems. Specifically, we searched for "in-home health monitoring" OR "monitoring health at home" OR "home health care" AND "ubiquitous technologies" OR "ubiquitous computing" OR "pervasive computing" in the title, abstract, and keywords. We found a total of 269 papers published after 2015 in top-tier journals and conferences focusing on selected criteria. Fig. [2](#page-3-0) shows the publication trend in the field, where the number of papers has steadily increased from 22 in 2016 to 48 in 2022. Among them, we identified 13 review papers, out of which 11 are presented in Subsection [1.1](#page-1-0) (the other 2 were not quite relevant to this study). We then further studied the remaining 256 papers and removed 43 irrelevant ones. Additionally, we further excluded 12 papers related to healthcare that did not have a focus on in-home health monitoring, resulting in a set of 201 articles for further analysis.

1.3 Organization

This paper consists of a total of five sections including the Introduction. Next, Section [2](#page-4-0) provides an overview of in-home health monitoring systems. Specifically, we discuss various types of in-home health monitoring systems and identify their main components, including sensing technologies, communication technologies, cloud computing, security and data governance, and intelligence and computing systems. We also provide a detailed

discussion of each component. Section [3](#page-13-0) reviews application domains of in-home health monitoring systems. In Section [4,](#page-23-0) we provide discussions and identify existing research gaps in the field, and outline future research directions. Finally, we conclude our survey in Section [5.](#page-27-0)

2 COMPONENTS AND TECHNOLOGIES

Over the years, there has been significant progress in the development of in-home health monitoring systems, resulting in various form factors and designs. In general, these systems consist of several components and technologies (see Fig[.1\)](#page-0-0), including sensing technologies, communication systems, and intelligent and computing systems [\[102,](#page-32-0) [213\]](#page-36-2), as follows:

- Sensing technologies include all sensors that are used to collect individuals' health-related data, e.g., vital signs, environmental data, and others. We provide a review of these technologies in Section [2.1.](#page-4-1)
- Communication technologies include all technologies that facilitate data transmission between various sensors, devices, and locations. Section [2.2](#page-7-0) provides a brief review of communication technologies commonly utilized in ubiquitous in-home health monitoring systems.
- Cloud computing includes systems that enable the storage and processing of large amounts of data collected by various sensors and devices in the home. We discuss these systems in detail in Section [2.3.](#page-9-0)
- Security and data governance involve making sure data is handled safely. This protects patient information and prevents unauthorized access. We discuss the security and data governance in the area of in-home health monitoring systems in Section [2.4.](#page-9-1)
- Machine learning and deep learning techniques include all intelligent models/algorithms that are employed to analyze data for extracting insights, providing feedback, or making decisions. These techniques are summarized in Section [2.5.](#page-10-0)

2.1 Sensing technologies

Data plays a vital role in ubiquitous in-home health monitoring systems [\[256\]](#page-38-2), which can be obtained from various sensing technologies. In this survey, we broadly categorize these technologies into two groups: contact and contactless. The first group includes all sensors that detect or measure parameters by physical contact with a body or surface. Contact sensors can be embedded into wearable devices, e.g., smart watches, wristbands, patches, and others, for monitoring/tracking various vital signs such as heart rate (HR), blood pressure (BP), body temperature (BT), and sleep patterns. Additionally, these devices can monitor personalized medical conditions like diabetes [\[150\]](#page-33-1) by measuring and tracking blood glucose and other chemical compounds. In contrast, contactless sensors include various sensing devices installed throughout the home to acquire data without requiring any contact with the body or surface. These sensors include cameras, microphones, and ambient sensors to acquire environmental data, e.g., humidity room temperature, and others.

In the following sections, we present a hierarchical categorization of each group (see Fig. [3\)](#page-5-0) and discuss how each category works. Additionally, we refer readers interested in sensing technologies to survey papers [\[301,](#page-40-3) [329\]](#page-41-3). In [\[329\]](#page-41-3), a review of sensing technologies for unobtrusive in-home health monitoring is presented, while [\[301\]](#page-40-3) provides a survey on sensing technologies specifically developed for fall detection [\[301\]](#page-40-3).

2.1.1 Contact sensors. We classify this category of sensors into two groups: (1) inertial and pressure sensors, and (2) bio-sensors. The inertial and pressure sensors can be further divided into the following:

• Inertial measurement units (IMUs) consist of accelerometers, gyroscopes, and magnetometers that are used to monitor individuals' activities in ubiquitous in-home health monitoring systems [\[301\]](#page-40-3) such as wearable devices. Accelerometers measure acceleration and are the most common type of sensor utilized to monitor vibration, acceleration, and movement. Gyroscopes measure angular velocity and allow for much more nuanced motion analysis alongside accelerometers. Finally, magnetometers measure

Fig. 3. Categorization of sensing technologies for data acquisition for in-home health monitoring systems. We categorized these technologies into two sub-groups, namely contact and contactless sensors, and then identified various sensors for fell under each of these groups.

Figures in the magnetic field, which can be used for better detection of orientation. Together, two
sensors are often embedded in wearable devices such as smartwatches and wristbands to detect gait the changes in the magnetic field, which can be used for better detection of orientation. Together, IMU parameters [\[114\]](#page-32-1), measure distance and the number of steps, calorie expenditure [\[41\]](#page-29-1), classify the type of activity [\[260\]](#page-38-3), and others.

- PSD Praintal stress distribution (P3D) sensors are used for momenting foot function during standing
and walking activities. They compute the amount of pressure distribution on the soles of feet during • Plantar stress distribution (PSD) sensors are used for monitoring foot function during standing standing/walking [\[366\]](#page-42-0).
	- Floor pressure sensors measure the force exerted on the surface as a result of the mass and impact of the subject. These sensors can be used to identify and locate objects, detect falls [\[219\]](#page-36-3), monitor balance [\[146\]](#page-33-2) and asses gait [\[42\]](#page-29-2), and others.

Bio-sensors include those used to identify and determine biological signals in health monitoring systems. These sensors include the following:

- Electrocardiogram (ECG) provides information related to the heart by obtaining the relevant electrical signals and converting them into a format that is understandable by clinicians [\[69\]](#page-30-1). These sensors are commonly used to detect arrhythmia [\[303\]](#page-40-4), assess stress [\[25\]](#page-29-3), cardiac monitoring [\[336\]](#page-41-4), atrial fibrillation detection [\[144\]](#page-33-3), and even recognize emotions [\[281\]](#page-39-1), along with other heart-related issues.
- Photoplethysmogram (PPG) and blood volume pulse (BVP) are used to measure changes in blood volume. PPG sensors measure specific wavelengths of light reflected back from tissues to estimate volumetric changes in blood flow. In contrast, BVP sensors use a mechanical transducer such as piezoelectric crystals to measure blood volume changes. These sensors are used to measure several parameters such as HR [\[33\]](#page-29-4), BP [\[80\]](#page-31-4), oxygen levels [\[174\]](#page-34-1), and others.
- Pulse oximeters are employed to determine the oxygen saturation levels (SpO2) in the blood. These sensors emit two wavelengths of light into the skin and measure the amount of absorbed light by oxygencarrying hemoglobin molecules in the blood. Monitoring the SpO2 is important for patients with chronic diseases after surgery [\[337\]](#page-41-5).
- Electroencephalography (EEG) records electrical activity in the brain by placing electrodes on the scalp. EEG is an effective sensor for monitoring brain activity both during waking and sleeping states. These sensors are used to classify intended actions [\[359\]](#page-42-1), recognize emotions [\[358,](#page-42-2) [360\]](#page-42-3), assess stress [\[296\]](#page-39-2), estimate cognitive load [\[15\]](#page-28-2), and others.
- Electromyography (EMG) provides information related to muscles by measuring the electrical activity or muscle response when stimulated by a nerve [\[225\]](#page-37-2). EMG sensors can be used to identify neuromuscular

Fig. 4. Various sensors used for data acquisition from the human body.

abnormalities [\[95\]](#page-31-5), estimate the force generated by muscles during different types of movements [\[115–](#page-32-2)[117\]](#page-32-3), and others.

- Electrodermal activity (EDA)/galvanic skin response (GSR) measures the electrical conductivity of the skin, which is indicative of the activity of sweat glands and the sympathetic nervous system. These sensors are used to detect seizures [\[247\]](#page-37-4), measure stress levels [\[182\]](#page-35-1), emotion recognition [\[27\]](#page-29-5), and other related issues.
- Bioimpedance (BIA) measures the impedance of biological tissues in the body by sending a small electrical current through the body and computing the resistance of the tissues to the flow of this current. The measured impedance can be used to estimate various body composition parameters, such as the percentage of body fat [\[300\]](#page-40-5), muscle mass [\[50\]](#page-30-2), and others.
- Temperature sensors are used to measure body temperature. Thermometers are the most commonly used contact-based sensors placed under the tongue or armpit to measure body temperature, which can be used to detect fevers [\[5,](#page-28-3) [152\]](#page-34-2).
- Airflow measures the flow of air in and out of the respiratory system during breathing to monitor respiratory function [\[214\]](#page-36-4) and detect abnormalities such as sleep apnea [\[177\]](#page-35-2).

Fig. [4](#page-6-0) provides a comprehensive illustration of the different types of sensors that can be employed for data acquisition from the human body for the purpose of in-home health monitoring. This data can include HR, muscle activity, brain waves, BT, and more, enabling accurate analysis and interpretation of the subject's physiological state.

2.1.2 Contactless sensors. This category of sensors offers a non-invasive approach for detecting and monitoring various types of data for in-home health monitoring, as they do not need to maintain contact with the body. These sensors include the following:

- Camera sensors can be placed on tables, cabinets, or installed on the walls or ceilings, to capture visual health-related information. These sensors can be used to identify and track individuals [\[52\]](#page-30-3), estimate pose [\[46\]](#page-29-6), detect falls [\[186\]](#page-35-3), and even detect HR through remote PPG estimation [\[183\]](#page-35-4), among others.
- Audio sensors are used to detect and analyze sounds, such as snoring [\[347\]](#page-41-6) and coughing patterns [\[295\]](#page-39-3), which can be used to measure various factors related to the health of individuals.
- Infrared (IR) sensors operate based on infrared radiations, which can be used to measure temperature [\[127\]](#page-33-4) and analyze motion [\[334\]](#page-41-1).
- Ultrasound sensors leverage the advantage of high-frequency sound waves to determine the distance and proximity to an object. In ubiquitous in-home health monitoring systems, these sensors are employed to detect several health-related parameters such as HR and breathing [\[161\]](#page-34-3).
- Radar sensors utilize radio waves to identify motion and measure vital signs. In recent years, the use of radar technology for indoor human monitoring has seen a rise in applications such as gait assessment [\[2,](#page-28-4) [290\]](#page-39-4). This considerable attention is mainly due to its reliability, safety, privacy-preserving, and ability to effectively monitor subjects [\[290\]](#page-39-4).
- Environmental sensors measure environmental parameters such as air quality [\[212\]](#page-36-5), humidity [\[133\]](#page-33-5), and temperature [\[299\]](#page-39-5), which can be applied for in in-home health monitoring systems.

2.1.3 Discussion on contact vs. contactless sensors. According to the discussion provided in the previous subsections, it is evident that contact and contactless sensors serve distinct purposes, each with its strengths and weaknesses. Given the direct contact with the body and hence more proximity to users in comparison to contactless sensors, contact sensors can often monitor more intricate information over more sustained periods. However, it may not always be possible or practical to have subjects wear sensors consistently and reliably. Moreover, personal hygiene is another concern with contact sensors. The general requirement to design and develop such devices in small wearable or mobile form factors may also result in a trade-off with respect to acquisition quality. Additionally, such devices often require constant charging and wireless access to the Internet. In contrast, contactless sensors play an essential role in situations where physical distancing is crucial. They provide solutions that are not feasible with contact sensors. Their ability to perform indirect measurements makes them especially effective in sensitive environments. However, this benefit comes with certain challenges. For instance, the data collected by contactless sensors is often less ubiquitous than wearables given the need for the subject to be physically in the presence of the sensor. Additionally, distinguishing between the data collected from subjects can be challenging in comparison to contact sensors which are often considered personal devices. Therefore, choosing between contact and contactless sensors depends on the specific requirements of the application, the environment, the type of data that needs to be analyzed, and the ability and willingness of the subjects to wear/use sensing devices.

2.2 Communication technologies

The Internet of Things (IoT) is a collection of interconnected sensors, devices, and systems that communicate with each other through the Internet [\[11,](#page-28-1) [354\]](#page-42-4). IoT is a rapidly growing technology that offers plenty of opportunities to improve in-home health monitoring [\[91,](#page-31-6) [265,](#page-38-4) [363\]](#page-42-5). Integrating IoT into in-home health monitoring systems, which is also known as the Internet of medical things (IoMT) [\[11\]](#page-28-1) and health industrial Internet of things (HealthIIoT) [\[134\]](#page-33-6), leads to a robust system that empowers individuals to be more involved in their healthcare and enables healthcare providers to effectively monitor patients' health status. While IoT technologies have the

potential to revolutionize in-home health monitoring, challenges such as privacy and data security remain open problems in the area.

IoT technologies rely on various communication technologies to transmit data between sensors, devices, and intelligent systems. These communication technologies can be classified as wired and wireless. Wired communication technologies utilize either local area networks [\[56\]](#page-30-4) or wide area networks [\[268\]](#page-38-5) to connect devices and transmit data. Moreover, Ethernet [\[342\]](#page-41-7) and Powerline communication (PLC) [\[193\]](#page-35-5) are two widely used wired communication technologies. Ethernet is a standard wired communication system that uses a protocol to establish connections between devices, while PLC enables communication between devices through power lines.

In contrast, wireless communication technologies use various types of wireless protocols to transfer data between devices, which can be categorized into [\[190,](#page-35-6) [333\]](#page-41-8):

- Short-range wireless communications [\[333\]](#page-41-8) entail a variety of wireless technologies designed to operate over small distances, such as Bluetooth, Zigbee, Z-Wave, WiFi, and ANT+. Typically, these technologies facilitate the creation and maintenance of personal area networks. They enable the interconnection of devices within a close range, usually not extending beyond a few meters. The primary advantages of short-range wireless communication include its low power consumption and minimal infrastructure requirements. In the context of in-home health monitoring systems, these technologies enable the connection of various health monitoring devices and sensors within a home environment. For instance, wearable devices like HR monitors, BP cuffs, and glucose monitors can wirelessly transmit vital health data to a central unit. This setup allows continuous monitoring of a patient's health status without impeding their daily activities.
- Local area wireless communications [\[320\]](#page-40-6) provide wireless connectivity over relatively large areas such as homes, offices, and campuses. This category includes technologies like WiFi, which is ubiquitous in its application for creating wireless local area networks (WLANs). Their capability to deliver high-speed data transfer rates makes them ideal for a wide range of uses. Furthermore, advancements in this field, like the development of WiFi 6, continue to push the boundaries in terms of speed, capacity, and efficiency [\[235\]](#page-37-5). They accommodate a large number of connected devices and increase data-intensive applications. In the context of in-home health monitoring systems, they offer substantial benefits. For instance, WiFi can serve as the backbone for connecting various health-related devices and sensors throughout a home. This network allows for the continuous transmission of health data from different parts of the residence to a centralized system, where it can be accessed by healthcare providers, caregivers, or AI systems. For patients with chronic illnesses or elderly care, devices like BP monitors, emergency response systems, and motion sensors can be integrated into this network. The reliable and constant connectivity ensures real-time monitoring and immediate data analysis, facilitating prompt medical responses and enabling effective remote patient monitoring [\[243\]](#page-37-6).
- Wide area wireless communications [\[142\]](#page-33-7) include a range of technologies designed to provide network coverage over large geographical areas, far surpassing the capabilities of local area wireless communications. This category is predominantly defined by cellular networks, such as 3G, 4G, and the latest 5G technologies [\[156\]](#page-34-4). They facilitate mobile communication and data services over extensive areas. A distinguishing feature of this category is its ability to maintain consistent communication links in diverse environments, ranging from densely populated urban areas to remote rural locations. The evolution from 3G to 4G brought significant enhancements in terms of data transfer speeds and reliability, while the advent of 5G is set to revolutionize the landscape with even faster speeds, lower latency, and the capacity to connect a massive number of devices simultaneously. In the context of in-home health monitoring systems, wide area wireless communications play a transformative role. The robust and

high-speed connectivity offered by these networks enables the transmission of health data from in-home monitoring devices directly to healthcare providers, regardless of distance. This aspect is particularly vital for remote patient monitoring, where real-time data transmission can facilitate prompt medical responses and interventions. For instance, patients with chronic conditions can have their health parameters like HR, BP, and glucose levels continuously monitored and sent to their doctors for immediate analysis. This ongoing monitoring can lead to timely adjustments in treatment plans, potentially preventing hospital readmissions. Furthermore, the reliability and wide coverage of these networks ensure that even patients in remote areas can access quality healthcare services [\[268\]](#page-38-5).

Wired communication technologies, known for their fast speeds, security, and reliability, are particularly practical in monitoring systems where devices and sensors are close. However, their use is constrained by reduced flexibility, higher costs, and the need for continuous maintenance. On the other hand, wireless communication systems, embedded in contact-based sensing technologies like mobile or wearable devices, stand out for their flexibility and scalability. Despite these advantages, wireless systems often face challenges in security and are susceptible to signal interference from other devices. The studies in [\[4\]](#page-28-5) and [\[152\]](#page-34-2) provide extensive surveys on wireless sensor networks and their data transmission capabilities.

2.3 Cloud computing

As stated earlier, ubiquitous in-home health monitoring systems could involve many interconnected devices that acquire large amounts of data. To effectively store and process such data, cloud computing offers an elegant and scalable solution [\[122\]](#page-32-4). It is pivotal in providing the necessary resources and infrastructure required for storage and complex computations that ML and DL models often demand. While cloud computing platforms are widely used in a variety of applications, they generally introduce delays in processing. Moreover, they often deal with security concerns due to sharing data with third-party organizations for data management [\[310\]](#page-40-2). To alleviate these issues, edge computing [\[297\]](#page-39-6) and fog computing [\[37\]](#page-29-7) have emerged as viable solutions. Edge computing performs data processing and analysis at the edge of the network, where the term 'edge' refers to computing and network resources in between the sensing technologies and the cloud centers. The rationale behind edge computing is to perform computation close to the data source so that there are fewer opportunities for the data to become exposed to security risks. Similar to edge computing, fog computing distributes data processing and analysis across multiple nodes in the network, such as edge devices, network routers, and cloud servers. The difference between edge computing and fog computing is that edge computing is focused on processing data at the edge of the network where the data is generated, while fog computing distributes processing across multiple nodes in the network [\[297\]](#page-39-6). Both edge and fog computing can reduce the response time and preserve the privacy and security of the data. However, these frameworks often suffer from limited storage, network bandwidth, and processing power when compared with cloud computing.

2.4 Security and data governance

Security and strong data governance measures are essential in the development of in-home health monitoring systems [\[103,](#page-32-5) [166\]](#page-34-5) to prevent various attacks and security threats, and preserve privacy [\[246\]](#page-37-3), particularly as these systems may store and share sensitive data with third parties [\[113,](#page-32-6) [203,](#page-36-6) [226,](#page-37-7) [306\]](#page-40-7). Accordingly, various studies tackle data security for health monitoring. As stated in Section [2.3,](#page-9-0) cloud computing platforms usually suffer from security issues, while edge and fog computing have proven to be promising alternative solutions [\[310\]](#page-40-2). The study in [\[203\]](#page-36-6) describes a matrix encryption method paired with ML for secure biomedical signal processing. The work in [\[200\]](#page-35-7) investigates the security consequences of healthcare monitoring systems. The study in [\[120\]](#page-32-7) suggests a framework for smart medical device security in home environments. The study in [\[315\]](#page-40-8) introduces a framework for managing personalized privacy requirements in voice assistant systems. The importance of

security in these systems is further elaborated in [\[8,](#page-28-6) [305\]](#page-40-9), and a comprehensive review of potential attacks and their impacts is provided in [\[226\]](#page-37-7). The works in [\[29,](#page-29-8) [111\]](#page-32-8) propose privacy-preserving approaches for remote HR monitoring. On the other hand, to enhance the privacy of data, frameworks such as federated learning [\[198\]](#page-35-8) and Blockchain [\[19,](#page-28-7) [151\]](#page-34-6) can be adopted. These techniques distribute the data across the network rather than using a single unit to control the whole data. Federated learning is a privacy-preserving ML paradigm that allows multiple clients, such as homes, to collaborate in training a model without sharing their data. By using federated learning, data can be collected from different houses and sources to develop a robust in-home health monitoring system. Blockchain [\[164\]](#page-34-7) is a disruptive technology that enables secure transactions between individuals in unreliable environments without the need for a central party. Recent studies have adopted federated learning [\[47,](#page-29-9) [344\]](#page-41-9), Blockchain [\[19,](#page-28-7) [151\]](#page-34-6), and both [\[91\]](#page-31-6) in developing in-home health monitoring systems.

2.5 Machine learning and deep learning techniques

ML is a sub-field of AI that uses data and complex algorithms to learn from data and make predictions [\[31,](#page-29-10) [123\]](#page-32-9). Supervised ML techniques were used as the gold standard in early in-home health monitoring systems. The most popular classic ML techniques use for health applications include linear regression (LR) [\[307\]](#page-40-10), decision tree (DT) [\[160\]](#page-34-8), random forest (RF) [\[39\]](#page-29-11), support vector machine (SVM) [\[230\]](#page-37-8), multilayer perceptron (MLP) [\[101\]](#page-32-10), and fuzzy models [\[328\]](#page-41-10). Some popular applications of ML techniques for in-home health monitoring systems include monitoring daily activities [\[12,](#page-28-8) [245\]](#page-37-9), fall detection (FD) [\[43,](#page-29-12) [327\]](#page-41-11), sleep monitoring [\[136,](#page-33-8) [177\]](#page-35-2), disease detection [\[70,](#page-30-5) [134\]](#page-33-6) and monitoring [\[45,](#page-29-13) [202\]](#page-36-7), etc. These applications, among others, are listed in Tables [2](#page-15-0) to [7.](#page-22-0)

Despite their great progress, classic ML algorithms extensively rely on engineered features to generate results. Moreover, recent advances in digital technologies have resulted in large datasets collected from various sensing technologies which traditional ML algorithms are not able to cope with efficiently and effectively. To address these issues, DL, a subset of machine learning, consists of techniques that are effective in extracting representations from large amounts of raw data, without the need for human feature engineering [\[125,](#page-32-11) [171,](#page-34-9) [191,](#page-35-9) [249,](#page-38-6) [335\]](#page-41-12). These methods use multiple layers of linear and non-linear transformations to learn the relations between inputs and their corresponding outputs. The main types of commonly used DL architectures are convolutional neural networks (CNNs) [\[340\]](#page-41-13), recurrent neural networks (RNNs) [\[242\]](#page-37-10), and transformers [\[325\]](#page-40-11).

CNNs are a type of DL network that is inspired by the visual cortex of animals [\[139\]](#page-33-9). Typically, CNNs consist of three types of layers: convolutional, pooling, and fully connected layers. The convolutional and pooling layers are usually located in the lower levels of the network and are responsible for extracting relevant features from the input samples. These layers apply a set of filters to the input data, generating a set of linear activations that are then passed through non-linear functions [\[129\]](#page-33-10). This helps to reduce the complexity of the input data. Following the convolutional layers, pooling layers are used for down-sampling the filtered results. These pooling layers reduce the size of the activation maps by transforming them into smaller matrices [\[285\]](#page-39-7). This process helps to mitigate the overfitting problem by reducing the complexity of the data [\[227\]](#page-37-11). The fully connected layers are typically located after the convolutional and pooling layers, and their purpose is to learn more abstract representations of the input data. In the last layer of the CNN, a loss function, such as a softmax classifier, is used to map the input data to its corresponding output. CNNs have demonstrated remarkable performance on various health monitoring tasks, including but not limited to, activity monitoring [\[2\]](#page-28-4), bio-signal analysis [\[281\]](#page-39-1), affective computing [\[292\]](#page-39-8), medical image analysis [\[331,](#page-41-14) [332\]](#page-41-15), and others. The main strength of these models is their ability to learn hierarchical representations from input data, which allows them to handle variations such as changes in ambient conditions, scale, and orientation. However, CNNs demand a large number of training data and high-performance computing resources, and their predictions are often challenging to interpret [\[267\]](#page-38-7).

RNNs are a class of DL architectures that are designed to model/process sequential data, such as natural language and time series [\[277\]](#page-39-9). The nodes in each layer of RNNs are connected, which enables RNNs to handle

sequential data. RNNs learn a representation of the sequential data and maintain a hidden state that captures the context of the sequence up to the current time step. They produce outputs based on the hidden state and the input at the current time step. Despite the success of RNNs in handling sequential data, remembering/learning from past data, and flexibility in a range of tasks, they are computationally expensive and face difficulty in handling variable-length inputs [\[352\]](#page-41-16). Moreover, they are difficult to train on long sequences of data due to vanishing or exploding gradient problems [\[59\]](#page-30-6). To alleviate the vanishing gradient problem, improved versions of RNNs, such as long short-term memory (LSTM) [\[131\]](#page-33-11) and gated recurrent units (GRU) [\[55\]](#page-30-7), use gate units to decide what information to keep or remove from the previous state. These networks have been widely used for fall detection and modeling health-related signals such as bio-signals [\[348,](#page-41-17) [359\]](#page-42-1).

Recently, transformers have shown promising results in the field of natural language processing [\[178,](#page-35-10) [325\]](#page-40-11) and computer vision [\[76,](#page-31-7) [314\]](#page-40-12). They are based on the idea of self-attention, where the model learns to attend to different parts of the input sequence to make predictions. They first transfer the input sequence into a sequence of embeddings by processing it through a series of self-attention and feedforward layers. The self-attention mechanism enables the model to selectively attend to various parts of the input sequence, while the feedforward layers assist in capturing complex interactions between the input tokens [\[143,](#page-33-12) [178\]](#page-35-10). Unlike RNNs that sequentially process the data, transformers can process data in parallel. Thus they are faster than RNNs. However, they require massive amounts of data for successful training. These models have recently begun to be explored in the context of ubiquitous health monitoring, for instance in arrhythmia detection [\[137\]](#page-33-13), stress detection [\[25\]](#page-29-3), and more.

Autoencoders are a type of neural network architecture that can be used for representation learning. They consist of two components: (1) an encoder, and (2) a decoder. They use the encoder to learn a compressed or encoded representation of the input data, and then the decoder is used to reconstruct the learned representation back into the original data [\[128,](#page-33-14) [207\]](#page-36-8). One of the key advantages of Autoencoders is their ability to learn useful representations from input data without the need for labeled data. This learning approach makes them effective for a range of applications such as dimensionality reduction, anomaly detection, and data compression. More importantly, Autoencoders are flexible in terms of the types of data they can handle. The encoder and decoder components can be implemented using different types of neural networks, including MLPs, CNNs, or RNNs, which enables them to handle both static and sequential data. Autoencoder architectures have been used for several different health-related applications such as affective computing [\[273\]](#page-38-8), arrhythmia classification [\[135\]](#page-33-15), and heart disease diagnosis [\[67\]](#page-30-8).

Generative models learn to synthesize new data based on existing data, which can be used to improve the training of DL models [\[66\]](#page-30-9) and address imbalanced classification problems by generating new samples for underrepresented classes [\[108,](#page-32-12) [145\]](#page-33-16). There are a variety of different types of generative methods including generative adversarial networks (GANs) [\[106\]](#page-32-13), Variational Autoencoders (VAEs) [\[159\]](#page-34-10), diffusion models [\[266\]](#page-38-9), normalizing flows [\[271\]](#page-38-10), and autoregressive models [\[233\]](#page-37-12), among others. Among the more popular approaches to generative models, GANs consist of a generator and a discriminator. The generator takes a random noise vector as input and produces a new sample that mimics the training data, while the discriminator aims to distinguish real samples from the generated ones. On the other hand, VAEs consist of an encoder that maps input data onto a latent space and a decoder that maps the latent space to the sample. These models have been used to translate PPG to ECG signals for cardiac monitoring [\[282\]](#page-39-0) address the imbalanced and non-IID distribution problem in federated earning [\[344\]](#page-41-9), and more.

DL models can be trained using supervised and unsupervised learning. Supervised models are trained based on labeled samples, where each training sample is associated with an output or label. These models learn a mapping function between input and output by minimizing an objective function and have been widely used for in-home health monitoring [\[179,](#page-35-11) [186,](#page-35-3) [299\]](#page-39-5). In contrast, unsupervised models are trained without labeled data. They aim to learn representations that are generalizable to various downstream learning problems. In other

Fig. 5. An illustration depicting the employment of various DL techniques for the processing of sequential time-series data. The model inputs raw signal data at different time steps $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_T)$ and analyzes patterns using DL techniques to assess overall wellness.

words, unsupervised models explore meaningful relationships such as clusters or groups of samples that contain the same structure/information within the data.

Semi-supervised [\[322\]](#page-40-13) and self-supervised [\[149\]](#page-33-17) approaches have recently shown impressive performances in learning generalizable representations from data, which have also been used in the field of health monitoring [\[281,](#page-39-1) [283,](#page-39-10) [358\]](#page-42-2). Semi-supervised models learn from a large number of unlabeled samples and a small set of labeled samples [\[107,](#page-32-14) [250\]](#page-38-11). Self-supervised models, on the other hand, learn from unlabeled data on which specific augmentations or transformations have been applied. They extract useful representations from the data by solving pre-text tasks such as predicting the rotation angle of an image or solving a jigsaw puzzle. The learned model can be fine-tuned to solve downstream tasks such as classification and segmentation problems. Given the general difficulty of recording labels for ubiquitous health data, the application of semi- and self-supervised methods in this area has begun to result in significant contributions. Examples include ECG-based affect [\[281,](#page-39-1) [304\]](#page-40-14) and healthcare [\[60,](#page-30-10) [138,](#page-33-18) [162\]](#page-34-11).

Fig. [5](#page-12-0) provides an overview of different DL models often used for in-home health monitoring applications. Time-series data is segmented into sequential inputs $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_T)$ that are fed into a DL model before further processing. The selection of the DL model often relies on the particular application. For instance, CNNs are notable for hierarchical feature learning, crucial in image-based health monitoring [\[47\]](#page-29-9), yet they require extensive data and computational power and suffer from interpretability issues [\[364\]](#page-42-6). RNNs have been widely used to handle sequential data [\[352\]](#page-41-16), but struggle with long sequences, although LSTM and GRU variants offer some solutions [\[349\]](#page-41-18). Transformers have recently shown promise in processing both images [\[157\]](#page-34-12) and sequences [\[339\]](#page-41-19) via self-attention, but they also demand large training datasets [\[357\]](#page-42-7). Autoencoders are used for unsupervised representation learning [\[316\]](#page-40-15) and have been shown to be effective in applications like anomaly detection [\[49\]](#page-29-14). Generative models like GANs and VAEs are instrumental in synthesizing realistic data [\[36\]](#page-29-15), aiding in imbalanced datasets and training robustness [\[119\]](#page-32-15), but they can be complex to train and require careful tuning.

Fig. 6. The application domains of in-home health monitoring systems.

3 APPLICATIONS

In this section, we review various applications of in-home health monitoring systems. We classify these applications into activity monitoring, sleep monitoring, disease detection, disease monitoring, injury rehabilitation, mental health and social monitoring, and female health monitoring (see Fig. [6\)](#page-13-1). In the following sections, we first review each category in detail and then discuss the interconnections between different applications in Subsection [3.8.](#page-23-1)

3.1 Activity monitoring

Activity monitoring is one of the most popular research areas in smart home environments [\[253,](#page-38-12) [288,](#page-39-11) [351\]](#page-41-20). It can be used to identify sedentary vs. active lifestyles and encourage active living. It can also be used to detect various incidents or even diseases that might manifest themselves in activity patterns. We categorize the activity monitoring systems into three groups: (1) localization of subjects in the home environment, (2) daily activity and gait monitoring, and (3) fall detection (FD). We will review each of these groups in the following subsections. Table [2](#page-15-0) summarizes the reviewed activity monitoring systems in the context of our study.

Generally, activity monitoring systems use data obtained from various sensors and process them to identify an individual's physical location or behavior throughout the day [\[2,](#page-28-4) [7,](#page-28-9) [22,](#page-28-10) [75,](#page-31-8) [217,](#page-36-9) [251,](#page-38-13) [260\]](#page-38-3). Sensors include IMU [\[147,](#page-33-19) [252\]](#page-38-14), pressure [\[42,](#page-29-2) [219\]](#page-36-3), radio frequency [\[317\]](#page-40-16), WiFi [\[6,](#page-28-11) [82\]](#page-31-9), and vision-based [\[48,](#page-29-16) [196\]](#page-35-12) sensors. Each of these technologies offers distinct advantages and limitations in monitoring activities within a home environment. IMU sensors provide detailed data on body movements and are suitable for diverse environments. While portability is a significant advantage in such systems, they require user compliance since they need to be worn [\[147\]](#page-33-19). Pressure sensors, such as under-carpet sensors, offer unobtrusive and continuous monitoring without user intervention. These are ideal for stationary monitoring in homes but offer limited movement data and require installation [\[42,](#page-29-2) [219\]](#page-36-3). Radio-frequency and WiFi sensors [\[109,](#page-32-16) [270\]](#page-38-15) can capture various activities without requiring wearables or environmental alterations. However, their ability to detail specific body movements is generally less precise than IMU systems. Vision-based systems [\[48,](#page-29-16) [196\]](#page-35-12) deliver high accuracy in detailed activity monitoring as they rely on cameras and visual sensors [\[48,](#page-29-16) [186,](#page-35-3) [196\]](#page-35-12). However, they raise significant privacy concerns as they capture visual data. Accordingly, we observe that the choice of technology often depends on the application, type of activity being monitored, and requirements in terms of accuracy, privacy, and user compliance.

3.1.1 Localization. Localization of subjects is crucial for activity monitoring systems as they provide essential information on an individual's movements within the home [\[20\]](#page-28-12), which can aid in a better understanding of activities. However, indoor localization systems are complex due to the absence of global positioning system (GPS) signals and the lack of direct line of sight in orbiting satellites, which are typically available in outdoor settings [\[356\]](#page-42-8). To overcome this challenge, vision, communication, and pedestrian dead reckoning methods offer an elegant way [\[167\]](#page-34-13). Vision-based systems utilize techniques such as optical flow [\[368\]](#page-42-9) and 3D reconstruction techniques [\[153\]](#page-34-14) to extract information that aids in understanding the location of indoor spaces. Pedestrian

dead reckoning-based methods analyze an individual's previous locations using data from accelerometers, gyroscopes, and magnetometers to localize an individual. Specifically, these methods integrate the user's step length, the number of steps taken, and their heading angle to find the position [\[23\]](#page-28-13). However, these approaches often accumulate errors over time due to drift and accumulation error [\[343\]](#page-41-21). To mitigate these inaccuracies, many modern navigation systems either combine pedestrian dead reckoning methods with other positioning technologies [\[361\]](#page-42-10) or employ sensor data fusion techniques [\[257\]](#page-38-16) to reduce errors. Communication-based technologies can also be used for indoor positioning, which includes Radio-Frequency Identification (RFID), Wi-Fi, visible light communication, ultra-wideband, and Bluetooth. RFID systems use active or passive tags and determine positions through methods like received signal strength, angle of arrival, time of arrival, and time difference of arrival [\[185,](#page-35-13) [287\]](#page-39-12). Wi-Fi systems use existing infrastructure and employ received signal strength fingerprinting or trilateration [\[124,](#page-32-17) [270\]](#page-38-15). Bluetooth systems utilize Bluetooth low energy (BLE) beacons and employ proximity sensing or received signal strength indicator fingerprinting [\[21,](#page-28-14) [90\]](#page-31-10). Visible light communication systems use LEDs or fluorescent lights and methods like time of arrival, angle of arrival, and time difference of arrival. Finally, ultra-wideband systems use very short time domain pulses and offer centimeter-level accuracy [\[74\]](#page-30-11).

3.1.2 Daily activities. Monitoring daily activities provides valuable insights in terms of healthcare, safety, and lifestyle management, and enables more informed and proactive approaches to well-being and independence. The activity and movement recognition system developed in [\[32\]](#page-29-17) utilizes signals from accelerometers and trains ML algorithms for recognition. In [\[237\]](#page-37-13), DomoCare, which consists of various sensors such as IMUs and ECG, is used to monitor old adults' daily activities such as fridge visits, sleep, and mobility.

Vision-based systems are widely used for activity monitoring [\[48,](#page-29-16) [186,](#page-35-3) [196\]](#page-35-12). The study in [\[48\]](#page-29-16) integrates a camera and pose estimation models to develop a nonintrusive home monitoring system. It uses feature values of the bounding boxes to characterize the postural changes. In [\[186\]](#page-35-3), computer vision approaches are leveraged using multimodal visual sensing technologies, such as RGB cameras and thermal sensors, to recognize a wide range of daily activities including sitting, standing, walking, sleeping, using the bedside commode, and getting assistance. Additionally, gait assessment plays a vital role in activity recognition [\[274\]](#page-38-17). It is the process of evaluating individuals based on the way they walk. Gait assessment involves analyzing various components of an individual's gait, such as walking speed, step width and length, and balance. A review of gait analysis methods using wearable and non-wearable sensors is provided in [\[221\]](#page-36-10), while the study in [\[291\]](#page-39-13) presents a comprehensive review of gait recognition using DL models.

Pressure sensors installed under carpets or tiles have been used in several studies [\[42,](#page-29-2) [146,](#page-33-2) [219\]](#page-36-3) to record walking activities. For example, the proposed context-aware real-time in-home monitoring system in [\[219\]](#page-36-3) installs a sensor pad under the carpet to detect falls, measure gait, and count the number of individuals in the operation area, while in [\[42\]](#page-29-2), plastic optical fiber sensors are installed under the carpet to assess gait and monitor balance.

The study in [\[109\]](#page-32-16) applies signal processing techniques to WiFi channel state information (CSI) for capturing human pose figures and monitoring respiration status. It should be noted, however, that WiFi-based activity recognition methods have limitations in monitoring human activities. On one hand, since the reflection signals from the target are weaker than line-of-sight signals, their range is restricted to a few meters. On the other hand, sensing performance degrades when the target's reflection signal is combined with interfering reflection signals. One possible solution to overcome these issues is beamforming towards the target. To accomplish this, intelligent wireless walls are developed in [\[319\]](#page-40-17) to monitor human activity. This system consists of a reconfigurable intelligent surface for performing beamforming and an ML algorithm, e.g., MLP and RF, for detecting human activities including walking, standing, and sitting.

The study in [\[254\]](#page-38-18) combines Pyroelectric IR sensors with random sampling masks to identify the human body thermal variations and then trains a genetic algorithm-based neural network to recognize human activities, i.e., walking normally or abnormally. Multi-person activity monitoring has also been investigated in the literature.

For instance, in [\[334\]](#page-41-1), a multi-resident (multi-person and pet) activity recognition model for in-home monitoring is proposed. This method first builds a generative model to map sensors in a latent space by maximizing the probability that the subsequent sensor can be read shortly after the current sensor. Then, the data stream is separated into multiple sub-streams, i.e., one for each resident, to recognize activities in multi-resident settings properly. Finally, a single-resident activity recognition model based on a Gaussian mixture probability is used to recognize activities in each sub-stream. The study in [\[224\]](#page-36-11) trains a regression model using data collected from doorway sensors for measuring physical activity levels and tracking movements. In [\[317\]](#page-40-16), water flow sensors are used to measure water usage for three daily activities, including kitchen work, urination, and activities related to maintaining physical cleanliness. Rule-based methods are used to predict health status.

3.1.3 Fall detection. Falls are the most prevalent concern among the aging population which can result in severe injuries and even death. The risk of falls not only limits the independence of older adults but also affects their social life and reduces their daily activities. The primary causes that contribute to falls are chronic conditions, weakness, decreased vision and hearing, and side effects of medications. Given the increasing number of fallrelated injuries, particularly among the aging population, there is an immediate need to develop in-home FD systems to detect and prevent falls [\[218\]](#page-36-12). Existing FD systems in the literature can be categorized into three main groups: fall detection [\[43\]](#page-29-12), fall prediction [\[51\]](#page-30-13), and fall prevention [\[218\]](#page-36-12), with the majority of works focusing on FD rather than prediction and prevention. The study in [\[43\]](#page-29-12) uses accelerometers and gyroscopes embedded in smartphones/smartwatches to capture signals and transmit the signal by establishing a BLE connection. Then, a mathematical model is designed to process the data and identify falls. The study in [\[64\]](#page-30-12) uses a combination of different signals, such as acceleration, velocity, and displacement, obtained from sensors embedded in wrist-based devices. It applies a set of threshold-based and ML algorithms to identify falls. The study in [\[240\]](#page-37-16) analyses data acquired from IMUs and depth cameras embedded in a leg band to detect falls in patients with Parkinson's disease (PD). The FD system proposed in [\[180\]](#page-35-14) uses a deep Autoencoder structure to analyze low-resolution accelerometer signals.

Examples of vision-based FD systems include the system in [\[327\]](#page-41-11) that constructs a Gaussian mixture model to capture motion attributes in both fall and non-fall videos. To achieve this, firstly, a combination of two features, namely the histogram of optical flow and motion boundary histogram features, are extracted, and then, a factor analysis is performed to select low-dimensional and informative representations of the fall motion vector. The proposed FD in [\[173\]](#page-34-15) trains DL using data collected from RGB cameras and IMUs. The study in [\[321\]](#page-40-18) utilizes a CNN-based model to analyze video and detect the occurrence of falls in smart homes. In contrast to the above-mentioned studies that install cameras at fixed locations, the study in [\[236\]](#page-37-14) attaches the camera to the human body. The proposed system employs a histogram of oriented gradients and gradient local binary patterns to extract features, and then a threshold is applied to detect falls. However, the use of vision data raises privacy issues, which may be a point of concern to many adults. To alleviate such concerns, the proposed FD model in [\[79\]](#page-31-11) considers five privacy enhancements including applying perturbations such as oval, blur, trailing arrows, box, and silhouette to the videos. Meanwhile, the study in [\[179\]](#page-35-11) uses visually shielded video frames to preserve privacy. This method, first, creates a visual shielding effect by applying multi-layer compressed sensing on the image frames. Then, the low-rank sparse decomposition in combination with binary patterns is used to extract object features from the shielded video frames. Additionally, it develops a private information-embedded classification model to accurately identify falls. Lastly, several studies use depth cameras for FD in the literature [\[40,](#page-29-18) [365\]](#page-42-11). For instance, the study in [\[365\]](#page-42-11) uses a depth camera to detect falls from beds. This system, first, detects the human head and upper body using RF, and then the nearest neighbor classifier is utilized to detect falls from the bed using the motion information of the human upper body. In [\[40\]](#page-29-18), a simulation model based on discrete event system specifications (DEVS) for FD is presented. This study compares the performance of several architectures that are formed by combining various wearable sensors, e.g., accelerometers embedded in smartphones or smartwatches, with depth cameras to detect falls.

3.2 Sleep monitoring

High-quality sleep is crucial for health and well-being, and sleep disorders have been highly correlated to various health outcomes [\[77\]](#page-31-12). The International Classification of Sleep Disorders classifies sleep disorders into seven categories. These categories include insomnia, hypersomnolence, parasomnia, circadian rhythm sleep-wake, sleep-related breathing and movement, and other disorders [\[284\]](#page-39-14). In the long term, sleep disorders can have health implications and increase the probability of developing various diseases such as cardiovascular, metabolic, and psychiatric disorders. Moreover, poor-quality sleep can deteriorate mental and physical performance [\[98,](#page-31-13) [237\]](#page-37-13).

Table 3. A summary of existing sleep monitoring systems. Note that some of the sensors such as the accelerometer, BP, HR, and ECG are embedded in a smartwatch or wristband. In addition, "–" indicates that the study does not use/mention the corresponding item, i.e., technology or modeling.

Study (year)	Sensor technology	Comm.	Modeling	Application
[105] (2015)	Acc., Audio	Wireless	-	Detecting incontinence, sleep agitation
[177] (2016)	Acc., Air flow, Radar	Wireless	DТ	Sleep status
$[136]$ (2017)	RF, Audio	Wireless	Hidden Markov Mode, DNN	Monitoring insomnia, sleep
$[278]$ (2018)	Fiber optic	Wired	-	Sleep motoring
[211] (2019)	ECG, IMU	BLE	-	Monitoring nocturnal enuresis during sleep
[237] (2020)	PIR, ECG	Wireless	Mathematical model	Sleep, Daily activities
[163] (2021)	PSG	Wired	Shallow and deep models	Sleep Apnea detection
[238] (2021)	Acc.		Threshold, K-means	Sleep monitoring
[133] (2022)	Humidity sensors	Bluetooth	$\overline{}$	Diagnose sleep apnea symptoms

Therefore, the development of sleep monitoring systems to continuously monitor and assess the individual's health is a crucial area in the context of in-home health monitoring. A summary of existing sleep monitoring systems is provided in Table [3.](#page-17-0)

Polysomnography (PSG) is considered a gold standard technique in sleep research. This technique monitors the patient's sleep with EMG, ECG, EEG, pulse, and respiration to measure sleep quality, structure, and disturbance. In contrast, the polygraphy (PG) technique is a simplified version of PSG that does not measure/monitor the sleep structure. Although PSG is a comprehensive technique for sleep monitoring, it is resource-intensive, expensive, and usually requires patients to be administrated in hospitals or sleep centers [\[163,](#page-34-16) [323\]](#page-40-19). In addition, both PSG and PG require various signal measurements. Therefore, these techniques are not feasible to administer in in-home settings. To overcome these issues, researchers have attempted to develop simple and non-intrusive systems that are easy to use by individuals and suitable for in-home environments. For example, the study in [\[238\]](#page-37-17) monitors sleep by measuring wrist movement using a 3-axis accelerometer. The proposed sleep monitoring system in [\[278\]](#page-39-15) uses an optical fiber embedded sensor mat under the mattress to continuously monitor the quality of sleep based on sleep duration and vital signs. Optical fiber mats are highly sensitive to physical movements, breathing, and HR. Their use ensures a high level of privacy as no visual or sound data is captured, but their monitoring is confined to the bed area, making them ineffective if the user is not on the mattress. Pressure sensors embedded in beds also provide a privacy-preserving approach for pose estimation during sleep. They offer a non-intrusive method to analyze body positions and movements during sleep. These methods use custom-designed [\[61,](#page-30-14) [181\]](#page-35-15) or off-the-shelf pose estimators [\[62,](#page-30-15) [63\]](#page-30-16) to detect the general pose (e.g., supine, left, or right) [\[61\]](#page-30-14) or fine-grained 2D/3D pose information [\[62,](#page-30-15) [63,](#page-30-16) [181\]](#page-35-15).

SleepSense [\[177\]](#page-35-2) uses a radar sensor to continuously obtain sleep-related signals from the patient and recognize the sleep status. Radar sensor-based systems allow for non-contact sleep monitoring, detecting movements and vital signs without the need for direct physical contact or specific positioning. This approach offers flexibility in monitoring, regardless of the user's location in the room, while also maintaining privacy. However, these systems may not match the precision of direct contact methods in distinguishing specific sleep stages or detailed movements and can be influenced by other environmental factors. EZ-Sleep [\[136\]](#page-33-8), which is a contactless sleep sensor for monitoring insomnia and sleep, utilizes RF signals that reflect off the user's body to record parameters such as total sleep time, sleep latency, and sleep efficiency. EZ-Sleep can also automatically identify the location of users and simultaneously monitor multiple users. In [\[168\]](#page-34-17), the respiration rate is used to monitor sleep apnea syndrome. Notably, various sensors, such as pressure, humidity, accelerometers, and strain sensors, can be employed to measure respiration rates [\[133\]](#page-33-5). Finally, the study in [\[211\]](#page-36-13) develops a sensor-based framework

consisting of electrical impedance tomography, ECG, and IMU to monitor body impedance values, HR, and periodic limb movements during sleep, for health applications such as bedwetting.

3.3 Disease detection

This category of monitoring systems aims to provide continuous surveillance of individuals and identify various diseases as early as possible and provide timely interventions before they become major problems. Table [4](#page-19-0) reviews disease detection systems. Recently, the development of such systems has become important due to factors such as the aging population, epidemics, and pandemics such as COVID-19. Fever is one of the common issues that can be caused by a variety of underlying conditions such as infections, inflammatory disorders, medication, paraplegia, and others [\[258\]](#page-38-19). In particular, with pandemics such as the COVID-19 pandemic, fever has become an important symptom to detect as it can be an indicator of the virus. The study in [\[5\]](#page-28-3) develops a WSN to continuously monitor BT and alert healthcare providers when a fever is detected. The study in [\[263\]](#page-38-20) develops a DL model to detect COVID-19 symptoms.

Several studies attempt to continuously monitor vital signs such as respiration, HR, BP, BT, SpO2, and etc [\[3,](#page-28-15) [9,](#page-28-16) [16,](#page-28-17) [26,](#page-29-19) [70,](#page-30-5) [92,](#page-31-14) [110,](#page-32-19) [152,](#page-34-2) [299,](#page-39-5) [311,](#page-40-20) [348,](#page-41-17) [362\]](#page-42-12), which can all be used to detect various diseases in different stages of progression. For example, the study in [\[92\]](#page-31-14) uses ultrasensitive accelerometers to record ballistocardiogram data for measuring HR and respiration, while the proposed system in [\[311\]](#page-40-20) uses ECG signals and a pulse oximeter to measure HR and monitor vital signs. In [\[110\]](#page-32-19), WiFi signals are employed to continuously monitor the position, behavior, and respiration of older adults. It uses the WiFi CSI to extract the emergency semantic feature vectors. First, the WiFi signals are converted into two keypoint maps and respiration graphs, which are then used to extract features related to the position/behavior and respiration, respectively. In addition, Vital-Radio [\[3\]](#page-28-15) uses wireless sensing technology embedded in smart homes to track breathing and measure HR. It computes the changes in the distance between the human body and the wireless sensor, as the distance slightly changes during inhale and exhale. The distance is measured by computing the time that a low-power wireless signal travels to the human body and returns to its antenna. It then uses the Fourier transform to extract the frequency rate for monitoring vital signs. Vital Radio can simultaneously track the vital signs of multiple people. In contrast, the study in [\[348\]](#page-41-17) uses UWB and depth sensors. After identifying four vital temporal and spectral signs as well as their vital sign estimator, a probabilistic weighted framework is used for tracking vital signs. Additionally, a two-branch LSTM-based model is developed to monitor multiple individuals and distinguish between their activities at home.

Furthermore, the method in [\[362\]](#page-42-12) uses RFID technology to perform continuous respiratory monitoring of moving people. However, there are two challenging issues in developing such a monitoring system. The first challenge is that small movements associated with breathing can be obscured by body movements. The second challenge is the phase ambiguity that occurs when the body is moving, which is more complex than when the body is static. To address the first challenge, a pair of tags are used to mitigate the effects of the individual's body movement. While a distance-tracking model is developed for monitoring the phase transitions during user movement to handle the phase ambiguity issue. The study in [\[28\]](#page-29-20) proposed to monitor both environmental conditions and vital signs. For monitoring the environmental conditions, it measures the carbon monoxide level at home. It also attempts to aggregate data from various sources and handle the missing data. The study in [\[134\]](#page-33-6) employs a one-class SVM to identify abnormal ECG signals, which could be indicators of certain diseases. The study in [\[346\]](#page-41-22) identifies abnormal conditions by analyzing the trajectory of data streams including motion, depth, and bed sensors. It then extracts features to train a sequential probabilistic Gaussian mixture model [\[345\]](#page-41-23) to track the health trajectory and predict abnormal conditions in its early stages.

Table 4. A summary of disease detection systems. Note that some of the sensors such as the accelerometer, BP, HR, and ECG are embedded in a smartwatch or wristband. In addition, "–" indicates that the study does not use/mention the corresponding item, i.e., technology or modeling.

Study (year)	Sensor technology	Comm.	Model	Application
[3] (2015)	Radar	Wireless	Mathematical model	tracking breath, HR
[134] (2016)	ECG	Cellular	One class SVM	Identify abnormal ECG
[92] (2017)	Ultrasensitive Acc.		Autocorrelation	HR and respiration
[326](2018)	Various sensors		Bayesian belief network	Situation of the patient
[57] (2019)	ECG	Wireless		Cardiovascular monitoring
$\left[10\right]$ (2019)	Audio	SM		BP
[362] (2020)	RFID	Wireless	Mathematical model	Respiration monitoring
[26] (2020)	Acc., PPG			Monitoring vital signs
[110] (2021)	WiFi signals	WiFi	Mathematical model	Position, Behavior, Respiration
[70] (2021)	Temp., Pulse	Wired, Wireless	DT, KNN, K-means	Vital signs
$[346]$ (2021)	IR, Depth camera, Hydraulic bed	-	Gaussian model	Tracking health trajectory
[96] (2022)	Camera		CNN	Prenatal care
$[299]$ (2022)	PPG, SpO2, ECG, Temp., Humidity	Wireless	Mathematical model	Vital signs
[28] (2022)	BT, Humidity, SpO2, CO	Bluetooth	Mathematical model	Monitoring health, Environment
$[348]$ (2022)	Depth sensors	UWB	LSTM	HR, Respiratory rates
[91] (2022)	IMU, ECG	Wireless	FL	Health monitoring

It should be noted that wearable systems can also be widely used for the detection of abnormal conditions in vital signs, which could be indicative of certain diseases. However, a detailed review of wearables is beyond the scope of this study. For a review on wearable technologies, please see [\[94,](#page-31-16) [184\]](#page-35-16).

3.4 Disease monitoring

Whereas disease detection systems aim to identify sudden changes in healthy individuals that could indicate a new disease, in-home disease monitoring systems aim to monitor the progress of different 'known' diseases and the effectiveness of medical interventions. Additionally, they can produce emergency alarms or notify healthcare professionals in critical situations. Table [5](#page-20-0) summarizes the literature on in-home disease monitoring systems.

Neurological conditions such as dementia, Alzheimer's Disease (AD), PD, and epilepsy have been widely targeted for in-home monitoring in the literature [\[34,](#page-29-21) [84,](#page-31-17) [85,](#page-31-18) [105,](#page-32-18) [197,](#page-35-17) [215\]](#page-36-14). For instance, the study presented in [\[85\]](#page-31-18) extracts patterns that are directly correlated with irritability, agitation, and aggression, in patients with dementia. It uses ML techniques to explore the relationship between environmental and physiological data for monitoring patients and discovering any changes in their health. The work presented in [\[105\]](#page-32-18) analyzes the relationship between urinary incontinence and sleep continuity and the number of occurrences of nighttime agitation for patients with AD. This study employs various sensors to detect wetness, nighttime agitation, and speech outbursts. Another study [\[84\]](#page-31-17) uses ML and analytical algorithms to identify the risks of adverse health conditions such as urinary tract infections. Meanwhile, the study in [\[215\]](#page-36-14) monitors and localizes people with dementia using various sensors and ML models. The proposed framework in [\[197\]](#page-35-17) continuously monitors epileptic patients in their homes by performing two services: (1) ongoing monitoring, and (2) emergency response which can be activated during life-threatening situations.

Cardiovascular monitoring is another essential aspect of in-home health monitoring, particularly for individuals who are at risk of developing cardiovascular disease or those who have already been diagnosed with the condition [\[57\]](#page-30-17). To this end, several methods have been developed, each offering unique benefits and facing distinct challenges for in-home health monitoring. The wrist-worn device described in [\[199\]](#page-35-18) integrates an HR

Table 5. A summary of existing disease monitoring systems. Note that some of the sensors such as the accelerometer, BP, HR, and ECG are embedded in a smartwatch or wristband. In addition, "–" indicates that the study does not use/mention the corresponding item, i.e., technology or modeling.

Study (year)	Sensor technology	Comm.	Model	Application
$\lceil 105 \rceil (2015)$	Acc., Audio	Wireless		Detecting incontinence
[34] (2015)	BT, Acc., Blood flow	WiFi		Monitoring dementia
$[199]$ (2017)	ECG, BP, HR		Fuzzy model	Cardiac rehabilitation
[202] (2018)	ECG, PPG	Bluetooth	MLR, DT, BP	Arterial stiffness evaluation
[85] (2018)	BP, HR, Temp., Environmental		Markov chain	Monitoring dementia
[45] (2018)	Multiple sensors	Wireless	ANN	Chronic disease of diabetes
[188] (2020)	Camera	Ethernet	Mathematical model	Dementia
[84] (2020)	RFID	Bluetooth	ML algorithms	Identifying the risks of health
[222] (2020)	Camera		CNN	Pill reminder
[197] (2021)	EEG, camera, ECG, EMG, SpO2	Cellular		Epileptic patients
[155] (2022)	BP, HR, ECG, PPG, SpO2	Bluetooth, WiFi	DT	Remote heart monitoring
[99] (2022)	BT, BP, SpO2	Bluetooth		After lung cancer surgery

sensor with a cardiac rehabilitation program, offering real-time monitoring of physical activities. Its use of a fuzzy model to simulate the cardiac rehabilitation protocol provides personalized care but may require user engagement in consistently wearing the device. RemoteHeart [\[155\]](#page-34-18) utilizes ML models to analyze data from a range of biomedical sensors, including blood pressure, SpO2, ECG, PPG, and HR. This system offers a comprehensive view of heart health remotely, making it highly beneficial for continuous monitoring, though it may face challenges in data management and interpretation. The study in [\[231\]](#page-37-18) discusses the impact of technology on home health aids in the context of heart failure management. The developed in-home arterial stiffness evaluation device in [\[202\]](#page-36-7) extracts various features from ECG and PPG for training various ML models such as multivariate linear regression, DT, and BP neural networks. Wearable ECG sensors provide a direct and user-friendly approach to cardiovascular monitoring, suitable for continuous observation. They are less intrusive than traditional medical equipment, but their effectiveness depends on the precision of sensors and algorithms used. Reviews in [\[228,](#page-37-19) [293\]](#page-39-16) provide further insights into these wearable systems, underscoring their potential in the early detection and long-term management of cardiovascular diseases. Given the challenges of recording ECG through wearable devices, studies such as [\[282,](#page-39-0) [298\]](#page-39-17) have proposed the translation of PPG to ECG, which allows existing ECG frameworks to be used for cardiovascular health monitoring based on PPG signals. These studies have demonstrated benefits in utilizing the synthesized ECG as opposed to the original PPG signals in terms of cardiac disease detection.

Several studies focus on detecting health changes in individuals with chronic conditions, each employing different technologies and techniques [\[10,](#page-28-18) [44,](#page-29-22) [45,](#page-29-13) [244,](#page-37-20) [302\]](#page-40-22). The study in [\[302\]](#page-40-22) proposes using IR sensors to capture motion signals and trains multiple one-class classification models to recognize abnormal patterns. The studies in [\[44,](#page-29-22) [45\]](#page-29-13) focus on diabetes management by training supervised learning models to predict blood glucose levels. These studies demonstrate the potential of ML in managing diabetes and offer personalized insights into blood sugar trends. The works in [\[10,](#page-28-18) [244\]](#page-37-20) develop phone- and SMS-based systems for monitoring hypertension and blood pressure. These systems are particularly user-friendly and accessible, making them suitable for a wide range of users, including those not technologically adept. However, their effectiveness is contingent upon user engagement and accurate self-reporting. Additionally, the work in [\[261\]](#page-38-21) introduces a gesture-based model to assist individuals with physical impairments. It showcases the use of technology in improving the quality of life for people with mobility challenges. While innovative, the practicality and user acceptance of such gesture-based systems can vary greatly among individuals.

Furthermore, the study in [\[99\]](#page-31-0) focuses on monitoring lung diseases. In this work, a remote monitoring system is developed for post-lung cancer surgery patients. This system integrates Telehealth electronic monitoring with a smartphone application, guiding patients and tracking vital parameters like BT, BP, SpO2, and others. The data is uploaded to a platform for medical staff review, offering real-time monitoring and potentially improving postoperative care. The study in [\[341\]](#page-41-24) reviews in-home monitoring systems for interstitial lung diseases, providing insights into current practices and future directions. Their review covers advanced procedures as well as off-theshelf systems such as pulse oximeters, cough monitors, and activity trackers, which offer comprehensive and continuous monitoring options that may improve disease management. However, the challenge lies in aggregating these various data sources for a holistic view of the patient's condition, and ensuring that these technologies are accessible and user-friendly for patients at home.

3.5 Rehabilitation

These systems have been developed to provide real-time monitoring and tracking of patients' progress during rehabilitation. In-home injury rehabilitation monitoring systems can provide real-time feedback to patients recovering from injuries and physical impairments and ensure that they correctly perform their therapy. In addition, these systems allow in-home therapy to patients and receive instructions from their therapists. A summary of injury rehabilitation systems is presented in Table [6.](#page-22-1) The proposed in-home therapy monitoring system in [\[262\]](#page-38-22) uses multiple gesture-tracking sensors to identify various joints as well as their movements in both rotational and angular directions. The study in [\[52\]](#page-30-3) develops a Kinect-based intervention system to improve individuals' exercise at home. This model, first, uses a Kinect sensor and a motion capture system to simultaneously record the joint position, and then, maps Kinect measurements to the motion capture measurements by training a Gaussian process model.

Studies [\[88,](#page-31-19) [97,](#page-31-20) [104,](#page-32-20) [175,](#page-34-19) [241,](#page-37-21) [280\]](#page-39-18) focus on developing rehabilitation devices to monitor the movement of various body parts post-stroke. The study in [\[104\]](#page-32-20) develops a device named ARM to monitor arm movement. It employs classification algorithms to analyze signals from an IMU worn on the wrist. ARM collects two types of data: functional assessment data involving standard movements and data from activities of daily living, like pizza-making. This approach allows for a comprehensive understanding of the patient's abilities in both controlled and real-life scenarios. The study in [\[280\]](#page-39-18) introduces MusicGlove, a sensor-equipped glove that uses music-based therapy for in-home finger rehabilitation. It combines therapeutic exercises to enhance patient motivation and adherence to rehabilitation protocols in the early stages following a stroke. The works in [\[88,](#page-31-19) [175\]](#page-34-19) focus on wearable devices for limb recovery. The developed device in [\[175\]](#page-34-19) uses sensors to monitor stroke-affected upper limbs, providing feedback to encourage the use of the affected limb. In contrast, the telerehabilitation system in [\[88\]](#page-31-19) offers a remote but personalized rehabilitation approach by measuring limb mobility and classifying patient impairment levels.

3.6 Female health monitoring

In-home health monitoring can provide convenient and accessible healthcare services for females. These services are particularly important for those who may face barriers to accessing conventional healthcare facilities. These systems enable women to receive regular checkups and monitor their health from home, which can lead to better health outcomes and a more proactive approach to managing their health. Specifically, these systems can be beneficial for women during pregnancy [\[154\]](#page-34-20) and postpartum care [\[312\]](#page-40-23), menstrual cycle tracking [\[192\]](#page-35-20), and managing other conditions. The study in [\[154\]](#page-34-20) highlights the use of in-home monitoring during pregnancy. It offers a way for expectant mothers to track their health and the baby's development without frequent hospital visits. The study in [\[312\]](#page-40-23) focuses on postpartum care, emphasizing the importance of accessible healthcare support during this critical period. The work in [\[192\]](#page-35-20) explores menstrual cycle tracking, providing women with valuable

Table 6. A summary of the existing injury rehabilitation systems. Note that some of the sensors such as the accelerometer, BP, HR, and ECG are embedded in a smartwatch or wristband. In addition, "–" indicates that the study does not use/mention the corresponding item, i.e., technology or modeling.

Study (year)	Sensor technology	Comm.	Model	Application
[262] (2017)	Gesture-tracking sensors	Wi-Fi, ZigBee		Therapy
[52] (2018)	Kinect sensor, Motion capture	Wireless	Regression	Improve individuals' exercise
[175] (2018)	Acc.	Bluetooth	Regression	Upper limb Rehabilitation
[280] (2020)	Grip sensor			Finger rehabilitation after stroke
[88] (2021)	Acc.	ZigBee	Fuzzy model	Limb function recovery after stroke
[104] (2022)	Acc.	Bluetooth	CNN, RF	Arm rehabilitation after stroke
[172] (2022)	Visual, Vibrotactile	Cellular or WiFi	Mathematical model	Balance, stability

Table 7. A summary of existing mental health and social interaction monitoring systems. Note that some of the sensors such as the accelerometer, BP, HR, and ECG are embedded in a smartwatch or wristband. In addition, "–" indicates that the study does not use/mention the corresponding item, i.e., technology or modeling.

insights into their reproductive health. Furthermore, [\[96\]](#page-31-15) proposes a vision-based approach for analyzing urine at home during prenatal care to identify pregnancy-related diseases. To achieve this, various images are obtained from an in-home control urine study. They are then labeled and used to train a region-based CNN to perform the prediction of pregnancy-related diseases. This approach offers a non-invasive, user-friendly method for early disease detection, although it requires accurate image capture and processing. Overall, these in-home health monitoring systems present the potential for advanced in-home women's health monitoring systems by offering personalized, convenient, and non-invasive options for health management. They represent a shift towards more patient-centric healthcare that could empower women to actively participate in their health tracking and decision-making.

3.7 Mental health and social interaction monitoring

The mental well-being of individuals is essential in promoting sustainable in-home long-term care and encouraging their ability for self-care. In this regard, several mental health monitoring systems have been developed. The work in [\[25\]](#page-29-3) develops a transformer-based neural network capable of accurate detection of stress from ECG, offering the potential to be used in wearable or mobile devices. The works in [\[204,](#page-36-16) [205\]](#page-36-19) propose mind monitoring services using a chatbot that assesses mental health by analyzing responses to user-specific questions. This approach leverages interactive technology to engage users and track their mental state over time, though it relies heavily on user participation and accurate self-reporting. The study conducted in [\[100\]](#page-32-21) develops a framework aimed at enhancing interactions between dementia patients and caregivers in home environments. This system includes a mood monitoring component based on speech analysis and a recommendation system to alleviate caregiver

stress. The study in [\[189\]](#page-35-21) monitors behaviors such as gait, sleep patterns, and medication adherence by installing sensors in over 480 homes for more than eight years. This extensive study provides insights into factors like cognitive functions and mood through a significant investment in long-term data collection and analysis.

Empath2 [\[71\]](#page-30-18) is an in-home healthcare architecture designed to monitor depression and the relationship between sleep, stress, and nighttime agitation. Its adaptation to diverse mental health conditions demonstrates versatility, though the complexity of monitoring multiple factors simultaneously can be challenging. The developed smart mirror in [\[30\]](#page-29-23) detects and analyzes motion using visual and audio interactions. It employs DL models for face detection, identification, and emotion recognition. This approach offers an integrated solution for monitoring mental health but raises privacy concerns due to its visual and audio data processing. Table [7](#page-22-0) provides a summary of mental health and social interaction monitoring systems.

3.8 Interconnections between applications

There exist various interesting and intricate interconnections between different applications of in-home health monitoring systems. For example, the data collected from activity monitoring systems could also be essential for injury rehabilitation, disease detection, disease monitoring, and mental health monitoring. In the context of rehabilitation, activity data can help healthcare providers assess patients' progress, track movement patterns, and determine the effectiveness of rehabilitation plans [\[93\]](#page-31-21). For disease detection and monitoring, changes in activity levels and patterns can serve as early indicators of potential health issues or disease progression [\[118\]](#page-32-22). Moreover, in the context of mental health, changes in activity levels and patterns can offer insights into an individual's mental well-being, with changes often correlating with fluctuations in mood, energy levels, and overall psychological state [\[148\]](#page-33-20). Conversely, data from both sleep and mental state of individuals can be used to contextualize information for various applications such as disease monitoring [\[275,](#page-39-19) [355\]](#page-42-13).

4 FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

In this survey, we have been delving into various types of ubiquitous in-home health monitoring systems and identifying their main components, including advanced sensing technologies, communication technologies, and intelligence and computing systems. Our findings indicate that despite the considerable progress in developing inhome health monitoring systems, many of them have only shown success in controlled laboratory environments and have not yet produced reliable results when implemented in real-world settings. Therefore, we strongly argue that there is still a substantial amount of research needed to build effective and reliable in-home health monitoring systems. To address this challenge, it is important to identify research gaps and avenues for further investigation. These gaps highlight areas where existing research has not yet fully addressed the challenges of in-home health monitoring systems. In the following sections, we highlight the most important research gaps and provide potential avenues for further research.

4.1 Robust and easy-to-use sensor design

Sensors play a key role in developing in-home health monitoring systems as they are used to collect a wide range of health and environmental data. They must have several characteristics, such as accuracy, reliability, sensitivity, low power consumption, durability, and cost-effectiveness, to establish accurate data acquisition [\[121\]](#page-32-23). Contact sensors embedded in wearable devices are more accessible, cost-effective, and can obtain more accurate data [\[52\]](#page-30-3). However, a common trade-off exists between the wearability and ease of use of these sensors, and the quality of data they produce. This is particularly evident when comparing them to lab-grade equipment, which, despite its bulkiness and complex setup requirements like calibration procedures, often provides higher quality data [\[318\]](#page-40-24). Another significant challenge with sensors is their susceptibility to noise and variations in real-world conditions [\[126\]](#page-33-21). These factors can lead to distorted or inaccurate sensor measurements, thereby compromising the quality

and reliability of the data collected. Additionally, ensuring a constant power supply poses a substantial challenge, as sensors and data processing units in these systems often have high power requirements [\[369\]](#page-42-14). Lastly, the lack of standardization in assessing the quality of different sensors presents a critical challenge. This absence of a unified standard makes it difficult to analyze and compare the performance of various in-home health monitoring systems [\[239\]](#page-37-22). Addressing these challenges is essential for the advancement and reliability of in-home health monitoring technologies.

Recommendation 1: We believe that there are several research directions in the field of sensors for robust in-home health monitoring systems that require further investigation. The primary objective in this context is to improve the usability of sensing devices without compromising quality or durability. Accordingly, developing new sensors that offer lab-grade signal quality in wearable and user-friendly form factors, with minimal power consumption, is essential. Second, it is essential to develop standardized measures and techniques to quantify and assess the quality and consistency of sensor data, which could be used to determine the reliability of the analyses performed by different health monitoring systems. Lastly, creating universal standards for different types of sensors can be an essential step toward enabling the aggregation of data across platforms and products.

4.2 Responsible artificial intelligence

Responsible artificial intelligence (RAI) refers to the design and development of AI systems that are ethical, transparent, robust, and fair while adhering to strict privacy and safety guidelines [\[18,](#page-28-19) [72,](#page-30-19) [220,](#page-36-20) [294\]](#page-39-20). Explainability and interpretability are crucial for in-home health monitoring systems, especially when using complex ML and DL algorithms [\[286\]](#page-39-21). They enable healthcare providers and patients to understand the rationale behind system predictions or decisions. Additionally, addressing biases and promoting fairness in ML and DL models is vital due to potential inherent biases in health data, which may adversely affect the accuracy and fairness of the resulting models [\[78\]](#page-31-22). This can arise in various situations, such as in imbalanced datasets, or towards certain sensitive attributes, including gender, age, ethnicity, and race [\[78\]](#page-31-22). Robustness is another key factor in developing in-home health monitoring systems. These systems must be able to perform without failure under a wide range of conditions, such as various distribution shifts [\[304\]](#page-40-14), noise [\[58\]](#page-30-20), and uncertainty [\[1,](#page-28-20) [367\]](#page-42-15), which require robustness in their design and implementation. Lastly, the privacy of health data is critical due to its sensitivity and potential consequences like identity theft and confidentiality breaches [\[112\]](#page-32-24). As a result, given the sensitivity of health data and AI systems, addressing these challenges is essential for the reliability of in-home health monitoring systems. Therefore, we recommend that the research community focus on integrating RAI into in-home health monitoring systems.

Recommendation 2: Incorporating RAI into the development of in-home health monitoring systems is a crucial consideration in automated or AI-assisted healthcare. We strongly recommend that future research in this field focus on addressing these concerns, i.e., security and privacy, explainability and interoperability, bias and fairness, and trustworthiness, to ensure the safe and effective implementation of RAI-powered health monitoring systems.

4.3 Real-time analysis

Most existing in-home health monitoring systems rely on offline analysis, which involves collecting data from sensors and analyzing it post-collection. However, offline analysis is inherently limited in providing real-time feedback and intervention. Several studies such as [\[13,](#page-28-21) [194,](#page-35-22) [264\]](#page-38-23) highlight these limitations, particularly in cardiac monitoring systems where delays in essential interventions can occur. Similarly, research in [\[81,](#page-31-23) [165\]](#page-34-22) points to significant delays in insulin adjustment in diabetes management systems due to offline data analysis. Moreover, research such as [\[170,](#page-34-23) [269\]](#page-38-24) in elderly care and FD systems underlines the importance of real-time data processing. These studies demonstrate how immediate data analysis can significantly mitigate injury risks by enabling prompt responses. Given these challenges, it is imperative to develop real-time health monitoring systems that can analyze data and provide timely feedback and intervention.

Recommendation 3: The integration of edge computing and fog computing, 5G+ communications, and the implementation of online or continual learning models, can significantly improve the real-time analysis and feedback of in-home health monitoring systems. Such improvements have the potential to greatly enhance patient outcomes and their quality of care.

4.4 Prediction and forecasting instead of detection

We notice that most of the existing in-home health monitoring systems in the literature perform detection rather than prediction/forecasting [\[43,](#page-29-12) [173,](#page-34-15) [240,](#page-37-16) [321,](#page-40-18) [327\]](#page-41-11). However, developing 'predictive' in-home health monitoring systems is a key missing piece as it can provide significant benefits to both patients and healthcare providers [\[248,](#page-38-25) [279\]](#page-39-22). To elaborate on this notion, detection includes monitoring individuals' health data and identifying abnormalities in the event of occurrence. For example, an FD model continuously monitors individuals and warns if it detects a fall. In contrast, prediction/forecasting includes employing data analysis methods to examine trends in data and predict the probability of a specific disease occurring in the future. By predicting adverse health events, proactive steps can be taken to prevent them [\[44\]](#page-29-22). In fact, recent advancements underscore the potential of predictive systems in enhancing patient care through timely interventions and reducing hospital admissions [\[24,](#page-29-24) [28\]](#page-29-20). Furthermore, the integration of cloud, edge, and fog computing in health monitoring systems [\[248\]](#page-38-25) as well as time-series forecasting DL algorithms [\[176,](#page-34-24) [353\]](#page-41-25), highlights the evolving technological landscape that can support more sophisticated predictive analytics in healthcare. Therefore, we list the development of predictive in-home health monitoring systems as an important potential future research direction, especially considering the rapid technological advancements and their demonstrated benefits in proactive healthcare management.

Recommendation 4: Developing prediction/forecasting systems can lead to early detection and intervention, and thus better management of chronic conditions, making them a crucial area of research for improving patient outcomes and quality of care.

4.5 Personalized and adaptive systems

The majority of existing in-home health monitoring systems provide standardized monitoring and intervention protocols that may not necessarily address individual needs and preferences. Therefore, it is important to develop personalized and adaptive in-home health monitoring systems by using data from various sources to provide tailored healthcare that is specific to each patient's unique needs [\[14\]](#page-28-22). Not only should personalized monitoring systems focus on tailoring healthcare to the unique needs of each individual but also on adapting to changes in their health status over time and with changing ambient conditions [\[289\]](#page-39-23). This individualized approach is especially crucial for diseases that exhibit a wide range of symptoms and progress differently from patient to patient. Studies focusing on chronic conditions like diabetes and hypertension [\[44\]](#page-29-22), where patient conditions can vary considerably over time, are prime examples of where these systems can have a significant impact.

More specifically, in cardiac monitoring systems [\[336\]](#page-41-4), the ability to adapt to changes in HR, rhythm, and other cardiovascular markers is key to providing more effective and personalized interventions. As another example, in the area of cancer treatment monitoring, these systems can play a pivotal role by optimizing treatment schedules and dosages based on real-time patient data [\[99\]](#page-31-0). They can accommodate the variability in patient responses to various forms of therapy. Furthermore, the management of neurological disorders [\[202\]](#page-36-7) also benefits from the adaptability of these systems. They can adjust therapeutic interventions in response to changes in symptoms or the progression of the condition. Recent advancements in DL such as continual learning [\[208,](#page-36-21) [209\]](#page-36-22) and personalized federated learning [\[86,](#page-31-24) [309\]](#page-40-25) provide promising tools to address the personalization needs of in-home health monitoring systems. Through investing in research and development in such areas, researchers can enrich the quality of care received by subjects through personalized and adaptive systems.

Recommendation 5: The development of personalized and adaptive systems is necessary to further enhance the capabilities of in-home health monitoring systems' and ensure that patients receive the most tailored and up-to-date diagnoses and interventions possible.

4.6 More in-the-wild data and real-world deployment

Many current datasets used in home-health monitoring systems are collected in controlled laboratory environments, which are limited in size and diversity of subjects, sensor variations, collection protocols, and ambient conditions [\[169,](#page-34-25) [201\]](#page-36-23). Furthermore, patients may find existing sensors bulky and inconvenient, leading to noncompliance with monitoring protocols. These issues, among others, lead to many health-related systems being trained, evaluated, and consequently adept to controlled and relatively clean data, which can limit their effectiveness when applied to real-world, in-the-wild scenarios. It is therefore crucial to collect large datasets in in-the-wild settings with which to train DL methods for health monitoring. To this end, developing effective mechanisms for data collection and labeling by a large number of participants in real-world settings is an important endeavor. Moreover, from a DL perspective, focusing on noisy [\[73\]](#page-30-21) and imbalanced [\[344\]](#page-41-9) representation learning approaches can lead to significant improvements in robustness and deployability.

Recommendation 6: Curation and collection of large in-the-wild datasets, and prioritizing the usage of such data for developing in-home health monitoring models is a critical line of research that requires further attention. Furthermore, focusing on DL methods with the ability to process noisy, highly varied, and imbalanced data should be a priority in order to advance the possible deployment of accurate and reliable in-home health monitoring systems.

4.7 Large language models

Recently, large language models (LLMs) [\[132\]](#page-33-22), such as BERT [\[68\]](#page-30-22), GPT [\[259\]](#page-38-26), and PaLM [\[54\]](#page-30-23), have shown remarkable performances on various tasks of natural language and multimodal understanding. These models are at the core of recent chatbots that can seamlessly communicate with individuals. Given the recent advances in conversational AI systems, we believe the integration of LLMs into in-home health monitoring systems can serve several critical purposes. A key application is the development of voice-based interaction models using LLMs [\[350\]](#page-41-26). These models can be adapted to recognize and respond to individual voices, offering personalized services such as medication reminders and health tracking, which is particularly beneficial for patients. This aligns with the need for individualized/personalized patient care highlighted in our survey. Furthermore, LLMs play a significant role in enhancing communication within telehealth services. Their ability to transcribe and

analyze remote consultations makes them highly effective in improving the quality of remote healthcare delivery [\[88\]](#page-31-19). In addition to these applications, we also believe such systems have the potential to contribute to in-home mental health systems. Through conversational inputs, LLMs can aid in identifying early signs of mental health disease [\[140,](#page-33-23) [195\]](#page-35-23) and support patients with dementia and other cognitive diseases [\[276\]](#page-39-24).

Recommendation 7: LLMs have enormous potential in the context of in-home health monitoring systems. These systems can be used to provide health-related cues, converse with users, and more, which can improve patient outcomes and enhance the quality of care. Therefore, we list this field as an important emerging research direction.

4.8 Less explored application areas

Some application areas of in-home health monitoring systems have been more thoroughly explored, such as monitoring the elderly population [\[89,](#page-31-3) [158,](#page-34-0) [216,](#page-36-0) [330\]](#page-41-2). However, other areas have received less attention in the literature. For example, monitoring infants and young children poses unique challenges that require further investigation. As stated in [\[141\]](#page-33-24), the integration of these systems into mobile platforms, particularly smartphones, presents a significant improvement in the quality of life in infants. Moreover, there is a need to study the longterm effects of such systems on parents and their behavioral changes toward providing effective health-related interventions for their babies [\[35\]](#page-29-25). One potential effect is the development of stress and anxiety in the case of false alarms, which may result in unnecessary medical interventions. As a result, this field is an interesting direction that must be explored. As another example of less explored areas, existing monitoring systems have not fully addressed some specific diseases and conditions, and research efforts should focus on developing systems to meet the needs of these patients [\[239,](#page-37-22) [313\]](#page-40-26). This will facilitate the development of more equitable and comprehensive health monitoring systems, ensuring that individuals with rare health conditions are not excluded. For example, rare genetic and neurological disorders [\[53\]](#page-30-24) that affect a small subset of the population require tracking disease progression and providing personalized care. Similarly, diseases with non-specific symptoms or those that are difficult to diagnose, such as chronic fatigue syndrome or Lyme disease, can be diagnosed and treated by continuously monitoring and tracking the individual's health data.

Recommendation 8: It is crucial to continue to carry out research and development in areas that have received less attention, such as monitoring infants and young children, as well as specific diseases and conditions that have not yet been fully addressed by existing monitoring systems, to ensure that patients receive equitable and high-quality care.

5 CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper, we provide a comprehensive review of current ubiquitous in-home health monitoring systems. We begin by identifying three main components of these systems which are sensing technologies, communication technologies, and intelligence and computing techniques. We then discuss each component in detail and highlight their recent advancements. Moreover, we review the applications of ubiquitous in-home health monitoring systems and explore how these systems can be utilized to monitor individuals' health. Our findings indicate that although there has been considerable progress in developing in-home health monitoring systems, there are still several limitations that need to be addressed. Finally, we point out some of these challenges and make 8 recommendations for future research directions. We believe this survey will be a valuable resource for researchers active in the field of ubiquitous in-home health monitoring.

REFERENCES

- [1] Moloud Abdar, Farhad Pourpanah, Sadiq Hussain, Dana Rezazadegan, Li Liu, Mohammad Ghavamzadeh, Paul Fieguth, Xiaochun Cao, Abbas Khosravi, U Rajendra Acharya, et al. 2021. A review of uncertainty quantification in deep learning: Techniques, applications and challenges. Information Fusion 76 (2021), 243–297.
- [2] Hajar Abedi, Ahmad Ansariyan, Plinio P Morita, Alexander Wong, Jennifer Boger, and George Shaker. 2023. AI-Powered Non-Contact In-Home Gait Monitoring and Activity Recognition System Based on mm-Wave FMCW Radar and Cloud Computing. IEEE Internet of Things Journal 10, 11 (2023), 9465–9481.
- [3] Fadel Adib, Hongzi Mao, Zachary Kabelac, Dina Katabi, and Robert C Miller. 2015. Smart homes that monitor breathing and heart rate. In Proceedings of the Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. ACM, 837–846.
- [4] Hamsa M Ahmed and Ahmed Noori Rashid. 2022. Wireless sensor network technology and adoption in healthcare: A review. Proceedings of the American Institute of Physics 2400 (2022), 020021.
- [5] Ali S Al-Mejrad. 2021. Design and Implementation of in-Home Real-Time Wireless Fever Monitoring in Pediatrics during the COVID-19 Pandemic. Journal of Robotics Networks Artificial Life 8, 1 (2021), 37–40.
- [6] Mohammed Gh Al Zamil, Majdi Rawashdeh, Samer Samarah, M Shamim Hossain, Awny Alnusair, and Sk Md Mizanur Rahman. 2017. An annotation technique for in-home smart monitoring environments. IEEE Access 6 (2017), 1471–1479.
- [7] Ridwan Alam, Joshua Dugan, Nutta Homdee, Neeraj Gandhi, Benjamin Ghaemmaghami, Harshitha Meda, Azziza Bankole, Martha Anderson, Jiaqi Gong, Tonya Smith-Jackson, et al. 2017. BESI: Reliable and heterogeneous sensing and intervention for in-home health applications. In IEEE/ACM International Conference on Connected Health: Applications, Systems and Engineering Technologies, Vol. 2. 147–156.
- [8] Ahlam Alami, Laila Benhlima, and Slimane Bah. 2018. A study of security requirements in wireless sensor networks for smart home healthcare systems. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Smart City Applications. ACM, 1-8.
- [9] Malik Bader Alazzam, Fawaz Alassery, and Ahmed Almulihi. 2021. A novel smart healthcare monitoring system using machine learning and the Internet of Things. Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing 2021 (2021), 1–7.
- [10] Matthew E Allen, Taya Irizarry, Julian Einhorn, Thomas W Kamarck, Brian P Suffoletto, Lora E Burke, Bruce L Rollman, and Matthew F Muldoon. 2019. SMS-facilitated home blood pressure monitoring: A qualitative analysis of resultant health behavior change. Patient Education and Counseling 102, 12 (2019), 2246–2253.
- [11] Mazin Alshamrani. 2022. IoT and artificial intelligence implementations for remote healthcare monitoring systems: A survey. Journal of King Saud University-Computer and Information Sciences 34, 8 (2022), 4687–4701.
- [12] Moeness G Amin, Yimin D Zhang, Fauzia Ahmad, and KC Dominic Ho. 2016. Radar signal processing for elderly fall detection: The future for in-home monitoring. IEEE Signal Processing Magazine 33, 2 (2016), 71–80.
- [13] Alireza Amirshahi and Matin Hashemi. 2019. ECG classification algorithm based on STDP and R-STDP neural networks for real-time monitoring on ultra low-power personal wearable devices. IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Circuits and Systems 13, 6 (2019), 1483–1493.
- [14] Ayesha Amjad, Piotr Kordel, and Gabriela Fernandes. 2023. A Review on Innovation in Healthcare Sector (Telehealth) through Artificial Intelligence. Sustainability 15, 8 (2023), 6655.
- [15] Prithila Angkan, Behnam Behinaein, Zunayed Mahmud, Anubhav Bhatti, Dirk Rodenburg, Paul Hungler, and Ali Etemad. 2023. Multimodal Brain-Computer Interface for In-Vehicle Driver Cognitive Load Measurement: Dataset and Baselines.
- [16] Arman Anzanpour, Amir-Mohammad Rahmani, Pasi Liljeberg, and Hannu Tenhunen. 2015. Internet of things enabled in-home health monitoring system using early warning score. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Eireless Mobile Communication and Healthcare. ACM, 174–177.
- [17] Mohammad Arar, Chuloh Jung, Jihad Awad, and Afaq Hyder Chohan. 2021. Analysis of smart home technology acceptance and preference for elderly in Dubai, UAE. Designs 5, 4 (2021), 70.
- [18] Alejandro Barredo Arrieta, Natalia Díaz-Rodríguez, Javier Del Ser, Adrien Bennetot, Siham Tabik, Alberto Barbado, Salvador García, Sergio Gil-López, Daniel Molina, Richard Benjamins, et al. 2020. Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI): Concepts, taxonomies, opportunities and challenges toward responsible AI. Information Fusion 58 (2020), 82–115.
- [19] Gagangeet Singh Aujla and Anish Jindal. 2020. A decoupled blockchain approach for edge-envisioned IoT-based healthcare monitoring. IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications 39, 2 (2020), 491–499.
- [20] Ibukun Awolusi, Eric Marks, and Matthew Hallowell. 2018. Wearable technology for personalized construction safety monitoring and trending: Review of applicable devices. Automation in Construction 85 (2018), 96–106.
- [21] Lu Bai, Fabio Ciravegna, Raymond Bond, and Maurice Mulvenna. 2020. A low cost indoor positioning system using bluetooth low energy. IEEE Access 8 (2020), 136858–136871.
- [22] UABUA Bakar, Hemant Ghayvat, SF Hasanm, and Subhas Chandra Mukhopadhyay. 2016. Activity and anomaly detection in smart home: A survey. Springer International Publishing, 191–220.
- [23] Ryoji Ban, Katsuhiko Kaji, Kei Hiroi, and Nobuo Kawaguchi. 2015. Indoor positioning method integrating pedestrian Dead Reckoning with magnetic field and WiFi fingerprints. In International Conference on Mobile Computing and Ubiquitous Networking. IEEE, 167-172.
- [24] Juan Camilo Lopera Bedoya and Jose Lisandro Aguilar Castro. 2023. Explainability analysis in predictive models based on machine learning techniques on the risk of hospital readmissions. Health and Technology (2023), 1-16.
- [25] Behnam Behinaein, Anubhav Bhatti, Dirk Rodenburg, Paul Hungler, and Ali Etemad. 2021. A transformer architecture for stress detection from ecg. In International Symposium on Wearable Computers. ACM, 132–134.
- [26] J Michael Bertsch and Stephen P Gent. 2020. Design of a Wearable Health Monitoring System for In-Home and Emergency Use. In Frontiers in Biomedical Devices, Vol. 83549. V001T09A008.
- [27] Anubhav Bhatti, Behnam Behinaein, Paul Hungler, and Ali Etemad. 2022. AttX: Attentive Cross-Connections for Fusion of Wearable Signals in Emotion Recognition. arXiv:2206.04625 (2022).
- [28] Tanima Bhowmik, Rohan Mojumder, Dibyendu Ghosh, and Indrajit Banerjee. 2022. IoT based smart home-health monitoring system using dempster-shafer evidence theory for pandemic situation. In International Conference on Distributed Computing and Networking. ACM, 260–265.
- [29] Mingyun Bian, Guanghui He, Guorui Feng, Xinpeng Zhang, and Yanli Ren. 2023. Verifiable Privacy-Preserving Heart Rate Estimation Based on LSTM. IEEE Internet of Things Journal (2023).
- [30] Simone Bianco, Luigi Celona, Gianluigi Ciocca, Davide Marelli, Paolo Napoletano, Stefano Yu, and Raimondo Schettini. 2021. A smart mirror for emotion monitoring in home environments. Sensors 21, 22 (2021), 7453.
- [31] Christopher M Bishop. 2006. Machine Learning.
- [32] Igor Bisio, Alessandro Delfino, Fabio Lavagetto, and Andrea Sciarrone. 2016. Enabling IoT for in-home rehabilitation: Accelerometer signals classification methods for activity and movement recognition. IEEE Internet of Things Journal 4, 1 (2016), 135–146.
- [33] Dwaipayan Biswas, Neide Simões-Capela, Chris Van Hoof, and Nick Van Helleputte. 2019. Heart rate estimation from wrist-worn photoplethysmography: A review. IEEE Sensors Journal 19, 16 (2019), 6560–6570.
- [34] Costas Boletsis, Simon McCallum, and Brynjar Fowels Landmark. 2015. The use of smartwatches for health monitoring in home-based dementia care. In Human Aspects of IT for the Aged Population. Design for Everyday Life: First International Conference. 15–26.
- [35] Christopher P Bonafide, A Russell Localio, Daria F Ferro, Evan W Orenstein, David T Jamison, Chris Lavanchy, and Elizabeth E Foglia. 2018. Accuracy of pulse oximetry-based home baby monitors. Jama 320, 7 (2018), 717–719.
- [36] Sam Bond-Taylor, Adam Leach, Yang Long, and Chris G. Willcocks. 2022. Deep Generative Modelling: A Comparative Review of VAEs, GANs, Normalizing Flows, Energy-Based and Autoregressive Models. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence 44, 11 (2022), 7327–7347.
- [37] Flavio Bonomi, Rodolfo Milito, Jiang Zhu, and Sateesh Addepalli. 2012. Fog computing and its role in the internet of things. In Proceedings of the Workshop on Mobile Cloud Computing. ACM, 13–16.
- [38] Amine Boulemtafes and Nadjib Badache. 2016. Design of wearable health monitoring systems: An overview of techniques and technologies. 79–94.
- [39] Leo Breiman. 2001. Random forests. Machine learning 45 (2001), 5–32.
- [40] Renato Bulcão-Neto, Paulo Teixeira, Bruno Lebtag, Valdemar Graciano-Neto, Alessandra Macedo, and Bernard Zeigler. 2023. Simulation of IoT-oriented Fall Detection Systems Architectures for In-home Patients. IEEE Latin America Transactions 21, 1 (2023), 16–26.
- [41] JV Candy. 2021. Accelerometer Modeling in the State-Space. Technical Report. Lawrence Livermore National Lab.
- [42] Jose A Cantoral-Ceballos, Nurgiyatna Nurgiyatna, Paul Wright, John Vaughan, Christine Brown-Wilson, Patricia J Scully, and Krikor B Ozanyan. 2015. Intelligent carpet system, based on photonic guided-path tomography, for gait and balance monitoring in home environments. IEEE Sensors Journal 15, 1 (2015), 279–289.
- [43] José-Borja Castillo-Sánchez, Eduardo Casilari, Jose Manuel Cano-García, Eva González-Parada, and Francisco J González-Cañete. 2022. A Low-cost Home Monitoring Architecture for Human Activity Recognition Using Smartwatches. In International Conference on Communications and Networking. IEEE, 172–177.
- [44] Saiteja Prasad Chatrati, Gahangir Hossain, Ayush Goyal, Anupama Bhan, Sayantan Bhattacharya, Devottam Gaurav, and Sanju Mishra Tiwari. 2022. Smart home health monitoring system for predicting type 2 diabetes and hypertension. Journal of King Saud University-Computer and Information Sciences 34, 3 (2022), 862–870.
- [45] Samir Chatterjee, Jongbok Byun, Kaushik Dutta, Rasmus Ulslev Pedersen, Akshay Pottathil, and Harry Xie. 2018. Designing an Internet-of-Things (IoT) and sensor-based in-home monitoring system for assisting diabetes patients: iterative learning from two case studies. European Journal of Information Systems 27, 6 (2018), 670–685.
- [46] Kefan Chen, Noah Snavely, and Ameesh Makadia. 2021. Wide-Baseline Relative Camera Pose Estimation with Directional Learning. In IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 3257–3267.
- [47] Mu-Yen Chen. 2021. Establishing a cybersecurity home monitoring system for the elderly. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics 18, 7 (2021), 4838–4845.
- [48] Sinan Chen, Sachio Saiki, and Masahide Nakamura. 2020. Nonintrusive fine-grained home care monitoring: Characterizing quality of in-home postural changes using bone-based human sensing. Sensors 20, 20 (2020), 5894.
- [49] Zhaomin Chen, Chai Kiat Yeo, Bu Sung Lee, and Chiew Tong Lau. 2018. Autoencoder-based network anomaly detection. In Wireless Telecommunications Symposium. IEEE, 1–5.

- [50] Keith Yu-Kin Cheng, Simon Kwoon-Ho Chow, Vivian Wing-Yin Hung, Carissa Hing-Wai Wong, Ronald Man-Yeung Wong, Charlotte Sau-Lan Tsang, Timothy Kwok, and Wing-Hoi Cheung. 2021. Diagnosis of sarcopenia by evaluating skeletal muscle mass by adjusted bioimpedance analysis validated with dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry. Journal of Cachexia, Sarcopenia and Muscle 12, 6 (2021), 2163–2173.
- [51] Zhengnan Cheng, Xiaohui Li, Hongmei Xu, Di Bao, Chun Mu, and Qiuling Xing. 2023. Incidence of accidental falls and development of a fall risk prediction model among elderly patients with diabetes mellitus: A prospective cohort study. Journal of Clinical Nursing 32, 7-8 (2023), 1398–1409.
- [52] An-Ti Chiang, Qi Chen, Yao Wang, and Mei R Fu. 2018. Kinect-based in-home exercise system for lymphatic health and lymphedema intervention. IEEE Journal of Translational Engineering in Health and Medicine 6 (2018), 1–13.
- [53] Martina Chirra, Luca Marsili, Linsdey Wattley, Leonard L Sokol, Elizabeth Keeling, Simona Maule, Gabriele Sobrero, Carlo Alberto Artusi, Alberto Romagnolo, Maurizio Zibetti, et al. 2019. Telemedicine in neurological disorders: opportunities and challenges. Telemedicine and E-Health 25, 7 (2019), 541–550.
- [54] Aakanksha Chowdhery, Sharan Narang, Jacob Devlin, Maarten Bosma, Gaurav Mishra, Adam Roberts, Paul Barham, Hyung Won Chung, Charles Sutton, Sebastian Gehrmann, et al. 2022. Palm: Scaling language modeling with pathways.
- [55] Junyoung Chung, Caglar Gulcehre, KyungHyun Cho, and Yoshua Bengio. 2014. Empirical evaluation of gated recurrent neural networks on sequence modeling.
- [56] David D Clark, Kenneth T Pogran, and David P Reed. 1978. An introduction to local area networks. Proc. IEEE 66, 11 (1978), 1497-1517.
- [57] Nicholas J Conn, Karl Q Schwarz, and David A Borkholder. 2019. In-home cardiovascular monitoring system for heart failure: comparative study. Journal of Medical Internet Research mHealth and uHealth 7, 1 (2019), e12419.
- [58] A Feder Cooper, Emanuel Moss, Benjamin Laufer, and Helen Nissenbaum. 2022. Accountability in an algorithmic society: relationality, responsibility, and robustness in machine learning. In Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency. 864–876.
- [59] An Dang, Toan H Vu, and Jia-Ching Wang. 2017. A survey of deep learning for polyphonic sound event detection. In International Conference on Orange Technologies. IEEE, 75–78.
- [60] Ishan Rajendrakumar Dave, Mamshad Nayeem Rizve, Chen Chen, and Mubarak Shah. 2023. TimeBalance: Temporally-Invariant and Temporally-Distinctive Video Representations for Semi-Supervised Action Recognition.
- [61] Vandad Davoodnia and Ali Etemad. 2019. Identity and posture recognition in smart beds with deep multitask learning. In 2019 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man and Cybernetics. 3054–3059.
- [62] Vandad Davoodnia, Saeed Ghorbani, and Ali Etemad. 2021. In-bed pressure-based pose estimation using image space representation learning. In ICASSP 2021-2021 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP). 3965–3969.
- [63] Vandad Davoodnia, Saeed Ghorbani, and Ali Etemad. 2022. Estimating pose from pressure data for smart beds with deep image-based pose estimators. Applied Intelligence 52 (2022), 2119–2133.
- [64] Thiago de Quadros, Andre Eugenio Lazzaretti, and Fábio Kürt Schneider. 2018. A movement decomposition and machine learning-based fall detection system using wrist wearable device. IEEE Sensors Journal 18, 12 (2018), 5082–5089.
- [65] M Jamal Deen. 2015. Information and communications technologies for elderly ubiquitous healthcare in a smart home. Personal and Ubiquitous Computing 19 (2015), 573–599.
- [66] Emily L Denton, Soumith Chintala, Rob Fergus, et al. 2015. Deep generative image models using a laplacian pyramid of adversarial networks. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 28 (2015), 1–9.
- [67] Omer Deperlioglu, Utku Kose, Deepak Gupta, Ashish Khanna, and Arun Kumar Sangaiah. 2020. Diagnosis of heart diseases by a secure internet of health things system based on autoencoder deep neural network. Computer Communications 162 (2020), 31–50.
- [68] Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2018. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. arXiv:1810.04805 (2018).
- [69] Nilanjan Dey, Amira S Ashour, Fuqian Shi, Simon James Fong, and R Simon Sherratt. 2017. Developing residential wireless sensor networks for ECG healthcare monitoring. IEEE Transactions on Consumer Electronics 63, 4 (2017), 442–449.
- [70] RC Dharmik, Shashank Gotarkar, Pooja Dinesh, and Harshal Sant Burde. 2021. An IoT framework for healthcare monitoring system. In Journal of Physics: Conference Series, Vol. 1913. 012145.
- [71] Robert F Dickerson, Enamul Hoque, Ifat Afrin Emi, and John A Stankovic. 2015. Empath2: A flexible web and cloud-based home health care monitoring system. In Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on PErvasive Technologies Related to Assistive Environments. $1 - 8$
- [72] Virginia Dignum. 2019. Responsible artificial intelligence: how to develop and use AI in a responsible way. Vol. 2156. Springer.
- [73] Youcef Djenouri, Ahmed Nabil Belbachir, Alberto Cano, and Asma Belhadi. 2024. Spatio-temporal visual learning for home-based monitoring. Information Fusion 101 (2024), 101984.
- [74] Trong-Hop Do and Myungsik Yoo. 2016. An in-depth survey of visible light communication based positioning systems. Sensors 16, 5 (2016), 678.
- [75] Hiroko H Dodge, Jian Zhu, Nora C Mattek, Daniel Austin, Judith Kornfeld, and Jeffrey A Kaye. 2015. Use of high-frequency in-home monitoring data may reduce sample sizes needed in clinical trials. PloS One 10, 9 (2015), e0138095.
- [76] Alexey Dosovitskiy, Lucas Beyer, Alexander Kolesnikov, Dirk Weissenborn, Xiaohua Zhai, Thomas Unterthiner, Mostafa Dehghani, Matthias Minderer, Georg Heigold, Sylvain Gelly, Jakob Uszkoreit, and Neil Houlsby. 2020. An Image Is Worth 16x16 Words: Transformers for Image Recognition at Scale. arXiv:2010.11929 (2020).
- [77] Alexandru Dregan and David Armstrong. 2011. Cross-country variation in sleep disturbance among working and older age groups: an analysis based on the European Social Survey. International Psychogeriatrics 23, 9 (2011), 1413–1420.
- [78] Cynthia Dwork, Moritz Hardt, Toniann Pitassi, Omer Reingold, and Rich Zemel. 2011. Fairness Through Awareness. arXiv[:1104.3913](https://arxiv.org/abs/1104.3913)
- [79] Alex Edgcomb and Frank Vahid. 2012. Privacy perception and fall detection accuracy for in-home video assistive monitoring with privacy enhancements. ACM SIGHIT Record 2, 2 (2012), 6–15.
- [80] Chadi El-Hajj and Panayiotis A Kyriacou. 2020. A review of machine learning techniques in photoplethysmography for the non-invasive cuff-less measurement of blood pressure. Biomedical Signal Processing and Control 58 (2020), 101870.
- [81] Shaker El-Sappagh, Farman Ali, Samir El-Masri, Kyehyun Kim, Amjad Ali, and Kyung-Sup Kwak. 2018. Mobile health technologies for diabetes mellitus: current state and future challenges. IEEE Access 7 (2018), 21917–21947.
- [82] Fatma H Elgendy, Amany M Sarhan, and Mahmoud AM Alshewimy. 2021. Fog-based Remote in-Home Health Monitoring Framework. Fog 12, 6 (2021), 247–254.
- [83] Jihed Elouni, Hamdi Ellouzi, Hela Ltifi, and Mounir Ben Ayed. 2020. Intelligent health monitoring system modeling based on machine learning and agent technology. Multiagent and Grid Systems 16, 2 (2020), 207–226.
- [84] Shirin Enshaeifar, Payam Barnaghi, Severin Skillman, David Sharp, Ramin Nilforooshan, and Helen Rostill. 2020. A digital platform for remote healthcare monitoring. In Companion Proceedings of the Web Conference. ACM, 203–206.
- [85] Shirin Enshaeifar, Ahmed Zoha, Andreas Markides, Severin Skillman, Sahr Thomas Acton, Tarek Elsaleh, Masoud Hassanpour, Alireza Ahrabian, Mark Kenny, Stuart Klein, et al. 2018. Health management and pattern analysis of daily living activities of people with dementia using in-home sensors and machine learning techniques. PloS One 13, 5 (2018), e0195605.
- [86] Alireza Fallah, Aryan Mokhtari, and Asuman Ozdaglar. 2020. Personalized federated learning with theoretical guarantees: A modelagnostic meta-learning approach. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 33 (2020), 3557–3568.
- [87] Kerry Y Fang, Jeewani Anupama Ginige, Jim Basilakis, Heidi Bjering, and Bahman Javadi. 2022. Smart Homecare Research Translation Into Broader Practice: Enablers, Barriers and Directions. IEEE Access 10 (2022), 134726–134743.
- [88] Qiang Fang, Seedahmed S Mahmoud, Akshay Kumar, Xudong Gu, and Jianming Fu. 2020. A longitudinal investigation of the efficacy of supported in-home post-stroke rehabilitation. IEEE Access 8 (2020), 138690–138700.
- [89] Nada Fares, R Simon Sherratt, and Imad H Elhajj. 2021. Directing and orienting ICT healthcare solutions to address the needs of the aging population. Healthcare 9 (2021), 147.
- [90] Zahid Farid, Rosdiadee Nordin, Mahamod Ismail, et al. 2013. Recent advances in wireless indoor localization techniques and system. Journal of Computer Networks and Communications 2013 (2013).
- [91] Komal Farooq, Hassan Jamil Syed, Samar Othman Alqahtani, Wamda Nagmeldin, Ashraf Osman Ibrahim, and Abdullah Gani. 2022. Blockchain Federated Learning for In-Home Health Monitoring. Electronics 12, 1 (2022), 136.
- [92] Xiaoce Feng, Ming Dong, Philip Levy, and Yong Xu. 2017. Non-contact home health monitoring based on low-cost high-performance accelerometers. In IEEE/ACM International Conference on Connected Health: Applications, Systems and Engineering Technologies. 356–364.
- [93] C Ferraris, R Nerino, A Chimienti, G Pettiti, D Pianu, G Albani, C Azzaro, L Contin, Veronica Cimolin, and A Mauro. 2014. Remote monitoring and rehabilitation for patients with neurological diseases. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Body Area Networks. 76–82.
- [94] João J Ferreira, Cristina I Fernandes, Hussain G Rammal, and Pedro M Veiga. 2021. Wearable technology and consumer interaction: A systematic review and research agenda. Computers in Human Behavior 118 (2021), 106710.
- [95] Halit Fidanci, Şencan Buturak, İlker Öztürk, and Zuelfikar Arlier. 2023. Needle electromyography abnormalities in the upper trapezius muscle in neuromuscular disorders. Turkish Journal of Medical Sciences 53, 1 (2023), 233–242.
- [96] Madeleine Flaucher, Michael Nissen, Katharina M Jaeger, Adriana Titzmann, Constanza Pontones, Hanna Huebner, Peter A Fasching, Matthias W Beckmann, Stefan Gradl, and Bjoern M Eskofier. 2022. Smartphone-Based Colorimetric Analysis of Urine Test Strips for At-Home Prenatal Care. IEEE Journal of Translational Engineering in Health and Medicine 10 (2022), 1–9.
- [97] Shane Forbrigger, Madeleine Liblong, TC Davies, Vincent DePaul, Evelyn Morin, and Keyvan Hashtrudi-Zaad. 2023. Considerations for at-home upper-limb rehabilitation technology following stroke: Perspectives of stroke survivors and therapists. Journal of Rehabilitation and Assistive Technologies Engineering 10 (2023), 20556683231171840.
- [98] Petra Friedrich, Maksym Gaiduk, Ángel Serrano Alarcón, Daniel Scherz, Natividad Martinez Madrid, Ralf Seepold, Matthias Gaßner, and Dominik Fuchs. 2022. Assistive health systems for home-dwelling elderly: connecting training and monitoring technologies to a data integration platform. Procedia Computer Science 207 (2022), 3008–3017.
- [99] Wentao Fu, Dongfang Tang, Fuzhi Yang, Jing Wang, Yingting Wu, Xiaoyong Shen, and Wen Gao. 2022. Short-term home remote monitoring of patients after lung cancer surgery. Clinical Surgical Oncology 1, 1 (2022), 100004.

- [100] Ye Gao, Meiyi Ma, Kristina Gordon, Karen Rose, Hongning Wang, and John Stankovic. 2020. A monitoring, modeling, and interactive recommendation system for in-home caregivers: Demo abstract. In Proceedings of the Conference on Embedded Networked Sensor Systems. ACM, 587–588.
- [101] Matt W Gardner and SR Dorling. 1998. Artificial neural networks (the multilayer perceptron)—a review of applications in the atmospheric sciences. Atmospheric Environment 32, 14-15 (1998), 2627–2636.
- [102] Mohammad Ghamari, Balazs Janko, R Simon Sherratt, William Harwin, Robert Piechockic, and Cinna Soltanpur. 2016. A survey on wireless body area networks for ehealthcare systems in residential environments. Sensors 16, 6 (2016), 831.
- [103] Ammar Gharaibeh, Mohammad A Salahuddin, Sayed Jahed Hussini, Abdallah Khreishah, Issa Khalil, Mohsen Guizani, and Ala Al-Fuqaha. 2017. Smart cities: A survey on data management, security, and enabling technologies. IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials 19, 4 (2017), 2456–2501.
- [104] Juan Pablo Gomez-Arrunategui, Janice J Eng, and Antony J Hodgson. 2022. Monitoring Arm Movements Post-Stroke for Applications in Rehabilitation and Home Settings. IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering 30 (2022), 2312–2321.
- [105] Jiaqi Gong, Karen Moomaw Rose, Ifat Afrin Emi, Janet P Specht, Enamul Hoque, Dawei Fan, Sriram Raju Dandu, Robert F Dickerson, Yelena Perkhounkova, John Lach, et al. 2015. Home wireless sensing system for monitoring nighttime agitation and incontinence in patients with Alzheimer's disease. In Proceedings of the conference on Wireless Health. ACM, 1–8.
- [106] Ian Goodfellow, Jean Pouget-Abadie, Mehdi Mirza, Bing Xu, David Warde-Farley, Sherjil Ozair, Aaron Courville, and Yoshua Bengio. 2020. Generative adversarial networks. Commun. ACM 63, 11 (2020), 139–144.
- [107] Xiaowei Gu, Plamen Angelov, Ce Zhang, and Peter Atkinson. 2022. A Semi-Supervised Deep Rule-Based Approach for Complex Satellite Sensor Image Analysis. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence 44, 5 (2022), 2281–2292.
- [108] Hongyu Guo and Herna L Viktor. 2004. Learning from imbalanced data sets with boosting and data generation: the databoost-im approach. Sigkdd Explorations Newsletter 6, 1 (2004), 30–39.
- [109] Lingchao Guo, Zhaoming Lu, Shuang Zhou, Xiangming Wen, and Zhihong He. 2020. When healthcare meets off-the-shelf WiFi: A non-wearable and low-costs approach for in-home monitoring. arXiv:2009.09715 (2020).
- [110] Lingchao Guo, Zhaoming Lu, Shuang Zhou, Xiangming Wen, and Zhihong He. 2021. Emergency semantic feature vector extraction from WiFi signals for in-home monitoring of elderly. IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing 15, 6 (2021), 1423–1438.
- [111] Divij Gupta and Ali Etemad. 2023. Privacy-Preserving Remote Heart Rate Estimation from Facial Videos. arXiv:2306.01141 (2023).
- [112] Mohammad Hadian, Xiaohui Liang, Thamer Altuwaiyan, and Mohamed MEA Mahmoud. 2016. Privacy-preserving mhealth data release with pattern consistency. In Global Communications Conference. IEEE, 1–6.
- [113] Savvas Hadjixenophontos, Anna Maria Mandalari, Yuchen Zhao, and Hamed Haddadi. 2023. PRISM: Privacy Preserving Healthcare Internet of Things Security Management. In IEEE Symposium on Computers and Communications. 1–5.
- [114] Katie S Hahm and Brian W Anthony. 2022. In-home Health Monitoring using Floor-based Gait Tracking. Internet of Things 19 (2022), 100541.
- [115] Gelareh Hajian, Behnam Behinaein, Ali Etemad, and Evelyn Morin. 2022. Bagged tree ensemble modelling with feature selection for isometric EMG-based force estimation. Biomedical Signal Processing and Control 78 (2022), 104012.
- [116] Gelareh Hajian, Ali Etemad, and Evelyn Morin. 2020. Automated channel selection in high-density sEMG for improved force estimation. Sensors 20, 17 (2020), 4858.
- [117] Gelareh Hajian, Evelyn Morin, and Ali Etemad. 2022. Multimodal estimation of endpoint force during quasi-dynamic and dynamic muscle contractions using deep learning. IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement 71 (2022), 1–11.
- [118] Pedro C Hallal, Lars Bo Andersen, Fiona C Bull, Regina Guthold, William Haskell, and Ulf Ekelund. 2012. Global physical activity levels: surveillance progress, pitfalls, and prospects. The lancet 380 (2012), 247–257.
- [119] GM Harshvardhan, Mahendra Kumar Gourisaria, Manjusha Pandey, and Siddharth Swarup Rautaray. 2020. A comprehensive survey and analysis of generative models in machine learning. Computer Science Review 38 (2020), 100285.
- [120] Paige Harvey, Otily Toutsop, Kevin Kornegay, Excel Alale, and Don Reaves. 2020. Security and privacy of medical internet of things devices for smart homes. In International Conference on Internet of Things: Systems, Management and Security. IEEE, 1–6.
- [121] MN Ul Hasan and I Negulescu. 2020. Wearable technology for baby monitoring: a review. Journal of Textile Engineering & Fashion Technology 6, 112.10 (2020), 15406.
- [122] Ibrahim Abaker Targio Hashem, Ibrar Yaqoob, Nor Badrul Anuar, Salimah Mokhtar, Abdullah Gani, and Samee Ullah Khan. 2015. The rise of "big data" on cloud computing: Review and open research issues. Information Systems 47 (2015), 98–115.
- [123] Aboul Ella Hassanien et al. 2019. Machine learning paradigms: Theory and application.
- [124] Suining He and S-H Gary Chan. 2015. Wi-Fi fingerprint-based indoor positioning: Recent advances and comparisons. IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials 18, 1 (2015), 466–490.
- [125] Moein Heidari, Amirhossein Kazerouni, Milad Soltany, Reza Azad, Ehsan Khodapanah Aghdam, Julien Cohen-Adad, and Dorit Merhof. 2023. Hiformer: Hierarchical multi-scale representations using transformers for medical image segmentation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision. 6202–6212.
- [126] Jajack Heikenfeld, Andrew Jajack, Jim Rogers, Philipp Gutruf, Lei Tian, Tingrui Pan, Ruya Li, Michelle Khine, Jintae Kim, and Juanhong Wang. 2018. Wearable sensors: modalities, challenges, and prospects. Lab on a Chip 18, 2 (2018), 217-248.
- [127] Carolin Hildebrandt, Christian Raschner, and Kurt Ammer. 2010. An overview of recent application of medical infrared thermography in sports medicine in Austria. Sensors 10, 5 (2010), 4700–4715.
- [128] Geoffrey E Hinton and Ruslan R Salakhutdinov. 2006. Reducing the dimensionality of data with neural networks. Science 313, 5786 (2006), 504–507.
- [129] Geoffrey E Hinton, Nitish Srivastava, Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Ruslan R Salakhutdinov. 2012. Improving neural networks by preventing co-adaptation of feature detectors. arXiv:1207.0580 (2012).
- [130] Mollie Hobensack, Jiyoun Song, Danielle Scharp, Kathryn H Bowles, and Maxim Topaz. 2022. Machine Learning Applied to Electronic Health Record Data in Home Healthcare: A Scoping Review. International Journal of Medical Informatics 170 (2022), 104978.
- [131] Sepp Hochreiter and Jürgen Schmidhuber. 1997. Long short-term memory. Neural Computation 9, 8 (1997), 1735–1780.
- [132] Jordan Hoffmann, Sebastian Borgeaud, Arthur Mensch, Elena Buchatskaya, Trevor Cai, Eliza Rutherford, Diego de Las Casas, Lisa Anne Hendricks, Johannes Welbl, Aidan Clark, Tom Hennigan, Eric Noland, Katie Millican, George van den Driessche, Bogdan Damoc, Aurelia Guy, Simon Osindero, Karen Simonyan, Erich Elsen, Jack W. Rae, Oriol Vinyals, and Laurent Sifre. 2022. Training compute-optimal large language models. arXiv:2203.15556 (2022).
- [133] Satoko Honda, Hyuga Hara, Takayuki Arie, Seiji Akita, and Kuniharu Takei. 2022. A wearable, flexible sensor for real-time, home monitoring of sleep apnea. Iscience 25, 4 (2022), 104163.
- [134] M Shamim Hossain and Ghulam Muhammad. 2016. Cloud-assisted industrial internet of things (IIoT)–enabled framework for health monitoring. Computer Networks 101 (2016), 192–202.
- [135] Borui Hou, Jianyong Yang, Pu Wang, and Ruqiang Yan. 2019. LSTM-based auto-encoder model for ECG arrhythmias classification. IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement 69, 4 (2019), 1232–1240.
- [136] Chen-Yu Hsu, Aayush Ahuja, Shichao Yue, Rumen Hristov, Zachary Kabelac, and Dina Katabi. 2017. Zero-effort in-home sleep and insomnia monitoring using radio signals. Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies 1, 3 (2017), 1–18.
- [137] Rui Hu, Jie Chen, and Li Zhou. 2022. A transformer-based deep neural network for arrhythmia detection using continuous ECG signals. Computers in Biology and Medicine 144 (2022), 105325.
- [138] Shih-Cheng Huang, Anuj Pareek, Malte Jensen, Matthew P Lungren, Serena Yeung, and Akshay S Chaudhari. 2023. Self-supervised learning for medical image classification: a systematic review and implementation guidelines. npj Digital Medicine 6, 1 (2023), 74.
- [139] David H Hubel and Torsten N Wiesel. 1968. Receptive fields and functional architecture of monkey striate cortex. The Journal of Physiology 195, 1 (1968), 215–243.
- [140] Syed Mahmudul Huq, Rytis Maskeliunas, and Robertas Damaševičius. 2022. Dialogue agents for artificial intelligence-based conversa- ¯ tional systems for cognitively disabled: A systematic review. Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology (2022), 1-20.
- [141] Tanveer Hussain, Khan Muhammad, Salman Khan, Amin Ullah, Mi Young Lee, and Sung Wook Baik. 2019. Intelligent baby behavior monitoring using embedded vision in IoT for smart healthcare centers. Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Systems 1, 1 (2019), 110-124.
- [142] Augustine Ikpehai, Bamidele Adebisi, Khaled M Rabie, Kelvin Anoh, Ruth E Ande, Mohammad Hammoudeh, Haris Gacanin, and Uche M Mbanaso. 2018. Low-power wide area network technologies for Internet-of-Things: A comparative review. IEEE Internet of Things Journal 6, 2 (2018), 2225–2240.
- [143] Max Jaderberg, Karen Simonyan, Andrew Zisserman, and koray kavukcuoglu. 2015. Spatial Transformer Networks. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, Vol. 28. Curran Associates, Inc.
- [144] Amin Jalali and Minho Lee. 2019. Atrial fibrillation prediction with residual network using sensitivity and orthogonality constraints. IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics 24, 2 (2019), 407–413.
- [145] Amin Jalali and Minho Lee. 2023. Adversarial Lagrangian integrated contrastive embedding for limited size datasets. Neural Networks 160 (2023), 122–131.
- [146] Abdul Q Javaid, Rishabh Gupta, Alex Mihalidis, and S Ali Etemad. 2017. Balance-based time-frequency features for discrimination of young and elderly subjects using unsupervised methods. In IEEE International Conference on Biomedical & Health Informatics. 453-456.
- [147] M Jayalakshmi and V Gomathi. 2020. Pervasive health monitoring through video-based activity information integrated with sensorcloud oriented context-aware decision support system. Multimedia Tools and Applications 79 (2020), 3699–3712.
- [148] Long Jiang, Bin Gao, Jun Gu, Yuanpeng Chen, Zhao Gao, Xiaole Ma, Keith M Kendrick, and Wai Lok Woo. 2018. Wearable long-term social sensing for mental wellbeing. IEEE Sensors Journal 19 (2018), 8532–8542.
- [149] Longlong Jing and Yingli Tian. 2020. Self-supervised visual feature learning with deep neural networks: A survey. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence 43, 11 (2020), 4037–4058.
- [150] Yanguo Jing, Mark Eastwood, Bo Tan, Alexandros Konios, Abdul Hamid, and Mark Collinson. 2017. An intelligent well-being monitoring system for residents in extra care homes. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Internet of Things and Machine Learning. ACM, 1–6.

- [151] Hlengekile Jita and Vreda Pieterse. 2018. A framework to apply the internet of things for medical care in a home environment. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Cloud Computing and Internet of Things. ACM, 45–54.
- [152] Thirukrishna Jt, Aishwarya V, Mansi Singh, Mounisha B, and Naksha Kaveri. 2021. A survey on instantaneous data transmission in Wireless Sensor Networks for Healthcare Monitoring. (2021).
- [153] Zhizhong Kang, Juntao Yang, Zhou Yang, and Sai Cheng. 2020. A review of techniques for 3d reconstruction of indoor environments. International Journal of Geo-Information 9, 5 (2020), 330.
- [154] Alexander Kazantsev, Julia Ponomareva, Pavel Kazantsev, Rafael Digilov, and Ping Huang. 2012. Development of e-health network for in-home pregnancy surveillance based on artificial intelligence. In Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Biomedical and Health Informatics. 82–84.
- [155] Pantea Keikhosrokiani and Nor Saralyna Azwa Binti Kamaruddin. 2022. IoT-Based in-hospital-in-home heart disease remote monitoring system with machine learning features for decision making. In Connected e-Health: Integrated IoT and Cloud Computing. Cham, 349–369.
- [156] Rabia Khan, Pardeep Kumar, Dushantha Nalin K Jayakody, and Madhusanka Liyanage. 2019. A survey on security and privacy of 5G technologies: Potential solutions, recent advancements, and future directions. IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials 22, 1 (2019), 196–248.
- [157] Salman Khan, Muzammal Naseer, Munawar Hayat, Syed Waqas Zamir, Fahad Shahbaz Khan, and Mubarak Shah. 2022. Transformers in vision: A survey. Comput. Surveys 54, 10s (2022), 1–41.
- [158] Daejin Kim, Hongyi Bian, Carl K Chang, Liang Dong, Jennifer Margrett, et al. 2022. In-Home Monitoring Technology for Aging in Place: Scoping Review. Interactive Journal of Medical Research 11, 2 (2022), e39005.
- [159] Diederik P Kingma and Max Welling. 2022. Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes. arXiv[:1312.6114](https://arxiv.org/abs/1312.6114)
- [160] Sotiris B Kotsiantis. 2013. Decision trees: a recent overview. Artificial Intelligence Review 39 (2013), 261–283.
- [161] L Koval, J Vaňuš, and P Bilík. 2016. Distance measuring by ultrasonic sensor. IFAC-PapersOnLine 49, 25 (2016), 153–158.
- [162] Rayan Krishnan, Pranav Rajpurkar, and Eric J Topol. 2022. Self-supervised learning in medicine and healthcare. Nature Biomedical Engineering 6, 12 (2022), 1346–1352.
- [163] Stein Kristiansen, Konstantinos Nikolaidis, Thomas Plagemann, Vera Goebel, Gunn Marit Traaen, Britt Øverland, Lars Aakerøy, Tove-Elizabeth Hunt, Jan Pål Loennechen, Sigurd Loe Steinshamn, et al. 2021. Machine learning for sleep apnea detection with unattended sleep monitoring at home. ACM Transactions on Computing for Healthcare 2, 2 (2021), 1-25.
- [164] Nicolas Kube. 2018. Blockchain basics: a non-technical introduction in 25 steps. Financial Markets and Portfolio Management 8 (2018), 329–331.
- [165] KS Santhosh Kumar, J Hanumantappa, and Balachandra G Chikkoppa. 2021. Advanced social internet of things for real time monitoring of diabetics patient in healthcare system. In International Conference on Smart Generation Computing, Communication and Networking. IEEE, 1–8.
- [166] Ravi Kumar. 2023. Establishment of Telemedicine Architecture in IoT Based Smart Home Security System for Health Monitoring System. In International Conference on Innovation in Technology. IEEE, 1–8.
- [167] Jayakanth Kunhoth, AbdelGhani Karkar, Somaya Al-Maadeed, and Abdulla Al-Ali. 2020. Indoor positioning and wayfinding systems: a survey. Human-centric Computing and Information Sciences 10, 1 (2020), 1–41.
- [168] Shinjae Kwon, Hojoong Kim, and Woon-Hong Yeo. 2021. Recent advances in wearable sensors and portable electronics for sleep monitoring. Iscience 24, 5 (2021), 102461.
- [169] Oscar D Lara and Miguel A Labrador. 2012. A survey on human activity recognition using wearable sensors. IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials 15, 3 (2012), 1192–1209.
- [170] David L Larkai and Ruiheng Wu. 2015. Wireless heart rate monitor in personal emergency response system. In IEEE International Symposium on Design and Diagnostics of Electronic Circuits & Systems. 299–300.
- [171] Yann LeCun, Yoshua Bengio, and Geoffrey Hinton. 2015. Deep learning. Nature 521, 7553 (2015), 436.
- [172] Beom-Chan Lee, Junmo An, Jiyeon Kim, and Eugene C Lai. 2022. Performing dynamic weight-shifting balance exercises with a smartphone-based wearable telerehabilitation system for home Use by individuals with parkinson's aisease: A proof-of-concept study. IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering 31 (2022), 456–463.
- [173] Deok-Won Lee, Kooksung Jun, Khawar Naheem, and Mun Sang Kim. 2021. Deep neural network–based double-check method for fall detection using IMU-l sensor and RGB camera data. IEEE Access 9 (2021), 48064–48079.
- [174] Ho Seung Lee, Byeongju Noh, Seong Uk Kong, Yong Ha Hwang, Ha-Eun Cho, Yongmin Jeon, and Kyung Cheol Choi. 2023. Fiber-based quantum-dot pulse oximetry for wearable health monitoring with high wavelength selectivity and photoplethysmogram sensitivity. Npj Flexible Electronics 7, 1 (2023), 15.
- [175] Sunghoon I Lee, Catherine P Adans-Dester, Matteo Grimaldi, Ariel V Dowling, Peter C Horak, Randie M Black-Schaffer, Paolo Bonato, and Joseph T Gwin. 2018. Enabling stroke rehabilitation in home and community settings: a wearable sensor-based approach for upper-limb motor training. IEEE Journal of Translational Engineering in Health and Medicine 6 (2018), 1–11.
- [176] Bryan Lim and Stefan Zohren. 2021. Time-series forecasting with deep learning: a survey. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A 379 (2021), 20200209.
- [177] Feng Lin, Yan Zhuang, Chen Song, Aosen Wang, Yiran Li, Changzhan Gu, Changzhi Li, and Wenyao Xu. 2016. SleepSense: A noncontact and cost-effective sleep monitoring system. IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Circuits and Systems 11, 1 (2016), 189–202.
- [178] Tianyang Lin, Yuxin Wang, Xiangyang Liu, and Xipeng Qiu. 2022. A survey of transformers. AI Open 3 (2022), 111–132.
- [179] Jixin Liu, Rong Tan, Guang Han, Ning Sun, and Sam Kwong. 2020. Privacy-preserving in-home fall detection using visual shielding sensing and private information-embedding. IEEE Transactions on Multimedia 23 (2020), 3684-3699.
- [180] Kai-Chun Liu, Kuo-Hsuan Hung, Chia-Yeh Hsieh, Hsiang-Yun Huang, Chia-Tai Chan, and Yu Tsao. 2021. Deep-Learning-Based Signal Enhancement of Low-Resolution Accelerometer for Fall Detection Systems. IEEE Transactions on Cognitive and Developmental Systems 14, 3 (2021), 1270–1281.
- [181] Shuangjun Liu and Sarah Ostadabbas. 2023. Pressure eye: In-bed contact pressure estimation via contact-less imaging. Medical Image Analysis 87 (2023), 102835.
- [182] Yun Liu and Siqing Du. 2018. Psychological stress level detection based on electrodermal activity. Behavioural brain research 341 (2018), 50–53.
- [183] Yiming Liu, Binjie Qin, Rong Li, Xintong Li, Anqi Huang, Haifeng Liu, Yisong Lv, and Min Liu. 2021. Motion-robust multimodal heart rate estimation using BCG fused remote-PPG with deep facial ROI tracker and pose constrained Kalman filter. IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement 70 (2021), 1–15.
- [184] Tatjana Loncar-Turukalo, Eftim Zdravevski, José Machado da Silva, Ioanna Chouvarda, Vladimir Trajkovik, et al. 2019. Literature on wearable technology for connected health: scoping review of research trends, advances, and barriers. Journal of Medical Internet Research 21, 9 (2019), e14017.
- [185] Yuri Álvarez López, María Elena de Cos Gómez, and Fernando Las-Heras Andrés. 2017. A received signal strength RFID-based indoor location system. Sensors and Actuators A: Physical 255 (2017), 118–133.
- [186] Zelun Luo, Jun-Ting Hsieh, Niranjan Balachandar, Serena Yeung, Guido Pusiol, Jay Luxenberg, Grace Li, Li-Jia Li, N Lance Downing, Arnold Milstein, et al. 2018. Computer vision-based descriptive analytics of seniors' daily activities for long-term health monitoring. Machine Learning for Healthcare 2, 1 (2018), 1–18.
- [187] Maxime Lussier, Mélanie Couture, Maxime Moreau, Catherine Laliberté, Sylvain Giroux, Hélène Pigot, Sébastien Gaboury, Kévin Bouchard, Patricia Belchior, Carolina Bottari, et al. 2020. Integrating an ambient assisted living monitoring system into clinical decision-making in home care: an embedded case study. Gerontechnology 19, 1 (2020), 77–92.
- [188] Honghao Lv, Geng Yang, Huiying Zhou, Xiaoyan Huang, Huayong Yang, and Zhibo Pang. 2020. Teleoperation of collaborative robot for remote dementia care in home environments. IEEE Journal of Translational Engineering in Health and Medicine 8 (2020), 1–10.
- [189] Bayard E Lyons, Daniel Austin, Adriana Seelye, Johanna Petersen, Jonathan Yeargers, Thomas Riley, Nicole Sharma, Nora Mattek, Katherine Wild, Hiroko Dodge, et al. 2015. Pervasive computing technologies to continuously assess Alzheimer's disease progression and intervention efficacy. Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience 7 (2015), 102.
- [190] Anzar Mahmood, Nadeem Javaid, and Sohail Razzaq. 2015. A review of wireless communications for smart grid. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 41 (2015), 248–260.
- [191] Mufti Mahmud, Mohammed Shamim Kaiser, Amir Hussain, and Stefano Vassanelli. 2018. Applications of deep learning and reinforcement learning to biological data. IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems 29, 6 (2018), 2063–2079.
- [192] Anna Maijala, Hannu Kinnunen, Heli Koskimäki, Timo Jämsä, and Maarit Kangas. 2019. Nocturnal finger skin temperature in menstrual cycle tracking: ambulatory pilot study using a wearable Oura ring. BMC Women's Health 19, 1 (2019), 1–10.
- [193] Anindya Majumder et al. 2004. Power line communications. IEEE Potentials 23, 4 (2004), 4–8.
- [194] Akm Jahangir Majumder, Mohammed Elsaadany, Joshua Aaron Izaguirre, and Donald R Ucci. 2019. A real-time cardiac monitoring using a multisensory smart IoT system. In IEEE Annual Computer Software and Applications Conference, Vol. 2. 281–287.
- [195] Anshu Malhotra and Rajni Jindal. 2024. XAI Transformer based Approach for Interpreting Depressed and Suicidal User Behavior on Online Social Networks. Cognitive Systems Research 84 (2024), 101186.
- [196] Leandro Y Mano, Bruno S Faiçal, Luis HV Nakamura, Pedro H Gomes, Giampaolo L Libralon, Rodolfo I Meneguete, P Rocha Geraldo Filho, Gabriel T Giancristofaro, Gustavo Pessin, Bhaskar Krishnamachari, et al. 2016. Exploiting IoT technologies for enhancing Health Smart Homes through patient identification and emotion recognition. Computer Communications 89 (2016), 178–190.
- [197] Sergio Martiradonna, Giulia Cisotto, Gennaro Boggia, Giuseppe Piro, Lorenzo Vangelista, and Stefano Tomasin. 2021. Cascaded WLAN-FWA networking and computing architecture for pervasive in-home healthcare. IEEE Wireless Communications 28, 3 (2021), 92–99.
- [198] Brendan McMahan, Eider Moore, Daniel Ramage, Seth Hampson, and Blaise Aguera y Arcas. 2017. Communication-Efficient Learning of Deep Networks from Decentralized Data. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics, Vol. 54. 1273–1282.
- [199] Javier Medina Quero, Maria Rosa Fernandez Olmo, Maria Dolores Pelaez Aguilera, and Macarena Espinilla Estevez. 2017. Real-time monitoring in home-based cardiac rehabilitation using wrist-worn heart rate devices. Sensors 17, 12 (2017), 2892.
- [200] Marci Meingast, Tanya Roosta, and Shankar Sastry. 2006. Security and privacy issues with health care information technology. In International Conference of Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society. IEEE, 5453–5458.

- [201] Zhaozong Meng, Mingxing Zhang, Changxin Guo, Qirui Fan, Hao Zhang, Nan Gao, and Zonghua Zhang. 2020. Recent progress in sensing and computing techniques for human activity recognition and motion analysis. Electronics 9, 9 (2020), 1357.
- [202] Fen Miao, Xurong Wang, Liyan Yin, and Ye Li. 2018. A wearable sensor for arterial stiffness monitoring based on machine learning algorithms. IEEE Sensors Journal 19, 4 (2018), 1426–1434.
- [203] Guanhong Miao, A Adam Ding, and Samuel S Wu. 2022. Real-time privacy preserving disease diagnosis using ECG signal. arXiv:2202.03652 (2022).
- [204] Chisaki Miura, Haruhisa Maeda, Sachio Saiki, Masahide Nakamura, and Kiyoshi Yasuda. 2019. Prototyping and preliminary evaluation of mind monitoring service for elderly people at home. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Information Integration and Web-based Applications & Services. ACM, 437–443.
- [205] Chisaki Miura, Haruhisa Maeda, Sachio Saiki, Masahide Nakamura, and Kiyoshi Yasuda. 2020. Empirical Evaluation of Mind Monitoring Service for Elderly People at Home Using LINE Chatbot. IEICE Technical Report; IEICE Tech. Rep. 119, 477 (2020), 139–144.
- [206] Chisaki Miura, Sachio Saiki, Masahide Nakamura, and Kiyoshi Yasuda. 2020. Implementing and evaluating feedback feature of mind monitoring service for elderly people at home. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Information Integration and Web-based Applications & Services. ACM, 390–395.
- [207] Safaa Abdullahi Moallim Mohamud, Amin Jalali, and Minho Lee. 2023. Encoder–decoder cycle for visual question answering based on perception-action cycle. Pattern Recognition 144 (2023), 109848.
- [208] Mahdiyar Molahasani, Ali Etemad, and Michael Greenspan. 2023. Continual Learning for Out-of-Distribution Pedestrian Detection. In International Conference on Image Processing. IEEE, 2685–2689.
- [209] Mahdiyar Molahasani, Michael Greenspan, and Ali Etemad. 2023. Can Continual Learning Improve Long-Tailed Recognition? Toward a Unified Framework. arXiv:2306.13275 (2023).
- [210] Md Sarfaraz Momin, Abu Sufian, Debaditya Barman, Paramartha Dutta, Mianxiong Dong, and Marco Leo. 2022. In-home older adults' activity pattern monitoring using depth sensors: A review. Sensors 22, 23 (2022), 9067.
- [211] Junhyung Moon, Kyoungwoo Lee, and Yong Seung Lee. 2019. Integrated system of monitoring and intervention for in-home healthcare and treatment. In ACM International Joint Conference on Pervasive and Ubiquitous Computing and ACM International Symposium on Wearable Computers. 393–398.
- [212] Jimmy Moore, Pascal Goffin, Miriah Meyer, Philip Lundrigan, Neal Patwari, Katherine Sward, and Jason Wiese. 2018. Managing in-home environments through sensing, annotating, and visualizing air quality data. Proceedings of the ACM on interactive, mobile, wearable and ubiquitous technologies 2, 3 (2018), 1–28.
- [213] Rui Silva Moreira, José Torres, Pedro Sobral, and Christophe Soares. 2019. Intelligent sensing and ubiquitous systems (ISUS) for smarter and safer home healthcare. Chapter 1, 1–36.
- [214] Sajad Abolpour Moshizi, Abolfazl Abedi, Majid Sanaeepur, Christopher J Pastras, Zhao Jun Han, Shuying Wu, and Mohsen Asadnia. 2021. Polymeric piezoresistive airflow sensor to monitor respiratory patterns. Journal of the Royal Society Interface 18, 185 (2021), 20210753.
- [215] Vasily Moshnyaga, Tanaka Osamu, Toshin Ryu, and Koji Hashimoto. 2015. Identification of basic behavioral activities by heterogeneous sensors of in-home monitoring system. In Human Behavior Understanding. 160-174.
- [216] Wendy Moyle, Jenny Murfield, and Katarzyna Lion. 2021. The effectiveness of smart home technologies to support the health outcomes of community-dwelling older adults living with dementia: A scoping review. International Journal of Medical Informatics 153 (2021), 104513.
- [217] Haider Mshali, Tayeb Lemlouma, and Damien Magoni. 2018. Adaptive monitoring system for e-health smart homes. Pervasive and Mobile Computing 43 (2018), 1–19.
- [218] Muhammad Mubashir, Ling Shao, and Luke Seed. 2013. A survey on fall detection: Principles and approaches. Neurocomputing 100 (2013), 144–152.
- [219] Fadi Muheidat and A Tawalbeh Lo'Ai. 2020. In-home floor-based sensor system-smart carpet-to facilitate healthy aging in place (AIP). IEEE Access 8 (2020), 178627–178638.
- [220] Vincent C Müller. 2020. Ethics of artificial intelligence and robotics.
- [221] Alvaro Muro-De-La-Herran, Begonya Garcia-Zapirain, and Amaia Mendez-Zorrilla. 2014. Gait analysis methods: An overview of wearable and non-wearable systems, highlighting clinical applications. Sensors 14, 2 (2014), 3362–3394.
- [222] Muddasar Naeem, Giovanni Paragiola, Antonio Coronato, and Giuseppe De Pietro. 2020. A CNN based monitoring system to minimize medication errors during treatment process at home. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Applications of Intelligent Systems. ACM, 1–5.
- [223] Masahide Nakamura, Kenji Hatano, Jun Miyazaki, Kiyoshi Yasuda, Noriaki Kuwahara, Hiroaki Kazui, Sachio Saiki, Seiki Tokunaga, Mihoko Otake, Naoki Kodama, et al. 2019. Developing a system for self-care and mutual-aids of elderly people at home based on externalization of internal states. Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research 19H01138 (2019), 2019–2023.
- [224] Siddhartha Nambiar, Alexander Nikolaev, Melissa Greene, Lora Cavuoto, and Ann Bisantz. 2016. Low-cost sensor system design for in-home physical activity tracking. IEEE Journal of Translational Engineering in Health and Medicine 4 (2016), 1-6.
- [225] Lucas Medeiros Souza do Nascimento, Lucas Vacilotto Bonfati, Melissa La Banca Freitas, José Jair Alves Mendes Junior, Hugo Valadares Siqueira, and Sergio Luiz Stevan Jr. 2020. Sensors and systems for physical rehabilitation and health monitoring—A review. Sensors 20, 15 (2020), 4063.
- [226] Akm Iqtidar Newaz, Amit Kumar Sikder, Mohammad Ashiqur Rahman, and A Selcuk Uluagac. 2021. A survey on security and privacy issues in modern healthcare systems: Attacks and defenses. ACM Transactions on Computing for Healthcare 2, 3 (2021), 1–44.
- [227] Anh Nguyen, Jason Yosinski, and Jeff Clune. 2015. Deep neural networks are easily fooled: High confidence predictions for unrecognizable images. In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 427–436.
- [228] Abreha Bayrau Nigusse, Desalegn Alemu Mengistie, Benny Malengier, Granch Berhe Tseghai, and Lieva Van Langenhove. 2021. Wearable smart textiles for long-term electrocardiography monitoring—A review. Sensors 21, 12 (2021), 4174.
- [229] Satish Nimmakayala, Bhargav Mummidi, Parvateesam Kunda, and Sanjeev Kumar. 2021. Modern Health Monitoring System Using IoT. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Communications and Cyber Physical Engineering. 1135–1144.
- [230] William S Noble. 2006. What is a support vector machine? Nature Biotechnology 24, 12 (2006), 1565–1567.
- [231] Fabian Okeke, Emily Tseng, Benedetta Piantella, Mikaela Brown, Harveen Kaur, Madeline R Sterling, and Nicola Dell. 2019. Technology, home health care, and heart failure: A qualitative analysis with multiple stakeholders. In Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Computing and Sustainable Societies. 122–133.
- [232] Ogunduyile O.O, Zuva K, Randle O.A, and Zuva T. 2013. Ubiquitous Healthcare Monitoring System Using Integrated Triaxial Accelerometer, Spo2 and Location Sensors. International Journal of UbiComp 4, 2 (2013), 1–13.
- [233] Aaron van den Oord, Sander Dieleman, Heiga Zen, Karen Simonyan, Oriol Vinyals, Alex Graves, Nal Kalchbrenner, Andrew Senior, and Koray Kavukcuoglu. 2016. Wavenet: A generative model for raw audio. arXiv:1609.03499 (2016).
- [234] Carsten Orwat, Andreas Graefe, and Timm Faulwasser. 2008. Towards pervasive computing in health care–A literature review. Medical Informatics and Decision Making 8, 1 (2008), 1–18.
- [235] Edward J Oughton, William Lehr, Konstantinos Katsaros, Ioannis Selinis, Dean Bubley, and Julius Kusuma. 2021. Revisiting wireless internet connectivity: 5G vs Wi-Fi 6. Telecommunications Policy 45, 5 (2021), 102127.
- [236] Koray Ozcan, Senem Velipasalar, and Pramod K Varshney. 2016. Autonomous fall detection with wearable cameras by using relative entropy distance measure. IEEE Transactions on Human-Machine Systems 47, 1 (2016), 31–39.
- [237] Bruno Pais, Philipp Buluschek, Guillaume DuPasquier, Tobias Nef, Narayan Schütz, Hugo Saner, Daniel Gatica-Perez, and Valérie Santschi. 2020. Evaluation of 1-year in-home monitoring technology by home-dwelling older adults, family caregivers, and nurses. Frontiers in Public Kealth 8 (2020), 538.
- [238] Qiang Pan, Damien Brulin, and Eric Campo. 2021. Home sleep monitoring based on wrist movement data processing. Procedia Computer Science 183 (2021), 696–705.
- [239] Alexandros Pantelopoulos and Nikolaos G Bourbakis. 2009. A survey on wearable sensor-based systems for health monitoring and prognosis. IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part C 40, 1 (2009), 1–12.
- [240] Elena Paraschiv, Cristian Petrache, Ovidiu Bica, and Ana Vasilevschi. 2022. Fall Detection System: Continuous in-Home Monitoring of Parkinson's Patients. In E-Health and Bioengineering Conference. IEEE, 1–4.
- [241] Se Jin Park, Murali Subramaniyam, Seoung Eun Kim, Seunghee Hong, Joo Hyeong Lee, Chan Min Jo, and Youngseob Seo. 2017. Development of the elderly healthcare monitoring system with IoT. In Advances in Human Factors and Ergonomics in Healthcare. 309–315.
- [242] Razvan Pascanu, Caglar Gulcehre, Kyunghyun Cho, and Yoshua Bengio. 2013. How to construct deep recurrent neural networks. arXiv:1312.6026 (2013).
- [243] Sushant Kumar Pattnaik, Soumya Ranjan Samal, Shuvabrata Bandopadhaya, Kaliprasanna Swain, Subhashree Choudhury, Jitendra Kumar Das, Albena Mihovska, and Vladimir Poulkov. 2022. Future wireless communication technology towards 6g IoT: an application-based analysis of IoT in real-time location monitoring of employees inside underground mines by using BLE. Sensors 22, 9 (2022), 3438.
- [244] Stephen D Persell, Kunal N Karmali, Natalie Stein, Jim Li, Yaw A Peprah, Dawid Lipiszko, Jody D Ciolino, and Hironori Sato. 2018. Design of a randomized controlled trial comparing a mobile phone-based hypertension health coaching application to home blood pressure monitoring alone: the Smart Hypertension Control Study. Contemporary Clinical Trials 73 (2018), 92–97.
- [245] Minh Pham, Yehenew Mengistu, Ha Do, and Weihua Sheng. 2018. Delivering home healthcare through a cloud-based smart home environment. Future Generation Computer Systems 81 (2018), 129-140.
- [246] Nada Y Philip, Joel JPC Rodrigues, Honggang Wang, Simon James Fong, and Jia Chen. 2021. Internet of Things for in-home health monitoring systems: Current advances, challenges and future directions. IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications 39, 2 (2021), 300–310.
- [247] Ming-Zher Poh, Tobias Loddenkemper, Claus Reinsberger, Nicholas C Swenson, Shubhi Goyal, Mangwe C Sabtala, Joseph R Madsen, and Rosalind W Picard. 2012. Convulsive seizure detection using a wrist-worn electrodermal activity and accelerometry biosensor. Epilepsia 53, 5 (2012), e93–e97.

- [248] Sudhir Ponnapalli, Raghunadha Reddi Dornala, and S Parvathi Vallabaneni. 2023. A Triple-Tap Hybrid Load Balancing System (TTHLB) for Health Monitoring System. In International Conference on IoT in Social, Mobile, Analytics and Cloud. IEEE, 616–622.
- [249] Farhad Pourpanah, Moloud Abdar, Yuxuan Luo, Xinlei Zhou, Ran Wang, Chee Peng Lim, Xi-Zhao Wang, and QM Jonathan Wu. 2023. A review of generalized zero-shot learning methods. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence 45, 4 (2023), 4051–4070.
- [250] Farhad Pourpanah, Chee Peng Lim, Ali Etemad, and QM Jonathan Wu. 2023. An Ensemble Semi-Supervised Adaptive Resonance Theory Model With Explanation Capability for Pattern Classification. IEEE Transactions on Emerging Topics in Computational Intelligence (2023), 1–14.
- [251] Farhad Pourpanah, Chee Peng Lim, and Qi Hao. 2019. A reinforced fuzzy ARTMAP model for data classification. International Journal of Machine Learning and Cybernetics 10 (2019), 1643–1655.
- [252] Farhad Pourpanah, Di Wang, Ran Wang, and Chee Peng Lim. 2021. A semisupervised learning model based on fuzzy min–max neural networks for data classification. Applied Soft Computing 112 (2021), 107856.
- [253] Farhad Pourpanah, Ran Wang, Chee Peng Lim, Xizhao Wang, Manjeevan Seera, and Choo Jun Tan. 2019. An improved fuzzy ARTMAP and Q-learning agent model for pattern classification. Neurocomputing 359 (2019), 139–152.
- [254] Farhad Pourpanah, Bin Zhang, Rui Ma, and Qi Hao. 2018. Non-intrusive human motion recognition using distributed sparse sensors and the genetic algorithm based neural network. In IEEE Sensors. 1–4.
- [255] Peter J Pronovost, Melissa D Cole, and Robert M Hughes. 2022. Remote patient monitoring during COVID-19: an unexpected patient safety benefit. Jama 327, 12 (2022), 1125–1126.
- [256] Juha Puustjärvi and Leena Puustjärvi. 2015. The role of smart data in smart home: health monitoring case. Procedia Computer Science 69 (2015), 143–151.
- [257] Sen Qiu, Zhelong Wang, Hongyu Zhao, Kairong Qin, Zhenglin Li, and Huosheng Hu. 2018. Inertial/magnetic sensors based pedestrian dead reckoning by means of multi-sensor fusion. Information Fusion 39 (2018), 108–119.
- [258] Meheroz H Rabadi. 2021. Fever in a paraplegia patient with a pressure ulcer. Radiology Case Reports 16, 9 (2021), 2434–2436.
- [259] Alec Radford, Jeffrey Wu, Rewon Child, David Luan, Dario Amodei, Ilya Sutskever, et al. 2019. Language models are unsupervised multitask learners. OpenAI blog 1, 8 (2019), 9.
- [260] Setareh Rahimi Taghanaki, Michael J Rainbow, and Ali Etemad. 2021. Self-supervised Human Activity Recognition by Learning to Predict Cross-Dimensional Motion. In International Symposium on Wearable Computers. ACM, 23–27.
- [261] Md Abdur Rahman and M Shamim Hossain. 2016. A gesture-based smart home-oriented health monitoring service for people with physical impairments. In Inclusive Smart Cities and Digital Health. 464–476.
- [262] Md Abdur Rahman and M Shamim Hossain. 2017. m-Therapy: A multisensor framework for in-home therapy management: A social therapy of things perspective. IEEE Internet of Things Journal 5, 4 (2017), 2548–2556.
- [263] Md Abdur Rahman and M Shamim Hossain. 2021. An internet-of-medical-things-enabled edge computing framework for tackling COVID-19. IEEE Internet of Things Journal 8, 21 (2021), 15847–15854.
- [264] Sandeep Raj. 2020. An efficient IoT-based platform for remote real-time cardiac activity monitoring. IEEE Transactions on Consumer Electronics 66, 2 (2020), 106–114.
- [265] Rajkumar Rajasekaran, K Govinda, Jolly Masih, and M Sruthi. 2020. Health Monitoring System for Individuals Using Internet of Things. In Incorporating the Internet of Things in Healthcare Applications and Wearable Devices. 150–164.
- [266] Roger Ratcliff. 1978. A theory of memory retrieval. Psychological Review 85, 2 (1978), 59.
- [267] Waseem Rawat and Zenghui Wang. 2017. Deep convolutional neural networks for image classification: A comprehensive review. Neural computation 29, 9 (2017), 2352–2449.
- [268] Usman Raza, Parag Kulkarni, and Mahesh Sooriyabandara. 2017. Low power wide area networks: An overview. Ieee Communications Surveys & Tutorials 19, 2 (2017), 855–873.
- [269] Anu Radha Reddy, GS Pradeep Ghantasala, Rizwan Patan, R Manikandan, and Suresh Kallam. 2021. Smart assistance of elderly individuals in emergency situations at home. Internet of Medical Things: Remote Healthcare Systems and Applications (2021), 95–115.
- [270] Sai Deepika Regani, Yuqian Hu, Beibei Wang, and KJ Ray Liu. 2022. Wifi-based robust indoor localization for daily activity monitoring. In Proceedings of the ACM Workshop on Mobile and Wireless Sensing for Smart Healthcare. 1–6.
- [271] Danilo Rezende and Shakir Mohamed. 2015. Variational inference with normalizing flows. In International conference on machine learning. Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, 1530–1538.
- [272] Mary M Rodgers, Vinay M Pai, and Richard S Conroy. 2014. Recent advances in wearable sensors for health monitoring. IEEE Sensors Journal 15, 6 (2014), 3119–3126.
- [273] Kyle Ross, Paul Hungler, and Ali Etemad. 2021. Unsupervised multi-modal representation learning for affective computing with multi-corpus wearable data. Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing 14 (2021), 1–26.
- [274] Nils Roth, Christine F Martindale, Bjoern M Eskofier, Heiko Gaßner, Zacharias Kohl, and Jochen Klucken. 2018. Synchronized sensor insoles for clinical gait analysis in home-monitoring applications. Current Directions in Biomedical Engineering 4, 1 (2018), 433–437.
- [275] Catherine Rowan and Robert Hirten. 2022. The future of telemedicine and wearable technology in IBD. Current Opinion in Gastroenterology 38, 4 (2022), 373–381.
- [276] Nicole Ruggiano, Ellen L Brown, Lisa Roberts, C Victoria Framil Suarez, Yan Luo, Zhichao Hao, and Vagelis Hristidis. 2021. Chatbots to support people with dementia and their caregivers: systematic review of functions and quality. Journal of Medical Internet Research 23 (2021), e25006.
- [277] David E Rumelhart, Geoffrey E Hinton, and Ronald J Williams. 1986. Learning representations by back-propagating errors. Nature 323, 6088 (1986), 533–536.
- [278] Ibrahim Sadek and Mounir Mohktari. 2018. Nonintrusive remote monitoring of sleep in home-based situation. Journal of Medical Systems 42, 4 (2018), 64.
- [279] Daniel Sanchez-Morillo, Miguel A Fernandez-Granero, and Antonio Leon-Jimenez. 2016. Use of predictive algorithms in-home monitoring of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and asthma: a systematic review. Chronic Respiratory Disease 13, 3 (2016), 264–283.
- [280] Quentin Sanders, Vicky Chan, Renee Augsburger, Steven C Cramer, David J Reinkensmeyer, and An H Do. 2020. Feasibility of wearable sensing for in-home finger rehabilitation early after stroke. IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering 28, 6 (2020), 1363–1372.
- [281] Pritam Sarkar and Ali Etemad. 2020. Self-supervised ECG representation learning for emotion recognition. IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing 13, 3 (2020), 1541–1554.
- [282] Pritam Sarkar and Ali Etemad. 2021. CardioGan: Attentive generative adversarial network with dual discriminators for synthesis of ECG from PPG. In Proceedings of the Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence, Vol. 35. 488–496.
- [283] Pritam Sarkar, Silvia Lobmaier, Bibiana Fabre, Diego González, Alexander Mueller, Martin G Frasch, Marta C Antonelli, and Ali Etemad. 2021. Detection of maternal and fetal stress from the electrocardiogram with self-supervised representation learning. Scientific Reports 11, 1 (2021), 1–10.
- [284] Michael J Sateia. 2014. International classification of sleep disorders. Chest 146, 5 (2014), 1387–1394.
- [285] Dominik Scherer, Andreas Müller, and Sven Behnke. 2010. Evaluation of pooling operations in convolutional architectures for object recognition. In International Conference on Artificial Neural Networks. 92–101.
- [286] Udo Schlegel, Hiba Arnout, Mennatallah El-Assady, Daniela Oelke, and Daniel A Keim. 2019. Towards a rigorous evaluation of XAI methods on time series. In IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision Workshop. 4197–4201.
- [287] Narayan Schütz, Hugo Saner, Angela Botros, Philipp Buluschek, Prabitha Urwyler, René M Müri, and Tobias Nef. 2021. Wearable based calibration of contactless in-home motion sensors for physical activity monitoring in community-dwelling older adults. Frontiers in Digital Health 2 (2021), 566595.
- [288] Paul Scovanner, Saad Ali, and Mubarak Shah. 2007. A 3-dimensional sift descriptor and its application to action recognition. In Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Multimedia. 357–360.
- [289] Valeria Sebri and Lucrezia Savioni. 2020. An introduction to personalized eHealth. P5 eHealth: An Agenda for the Health Technologies of the Future (2020), 53.
- [290] Ann-Kathrin Seifert, Moeness G Amin, and Abdelhak M Zoubir. 2019. Toward unobtrusive in-home gait analysis based on radar micro-Doppler signatures. IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering 66, 9 (2019), 2629–2640.
- [291] Alireza Sepas-Moghaddam and Ali Etemad. 2022. Deep gait recognition: A survey. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence 45, 1 (2022), 264–284.
- [292] Alireza Sepas-Moghaddam, Ali Etemad, Paulo Lobato Correia, and Fernando Pereira. 2019. A deep framework for facial emotion recognition using light field images. In International Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction. IEEE, 1–7.
- [293] Muhammad Shabaan, Kaleem Arshid, Muhammad Yaqub, Feng Jinchao, M Sultan Zia, Giridhar Reddy Bojja, Muazzam Iftikhar, Usman Ghani, Loknath Sai Ambati, and Rizwan Munir. 2020. Survey: smartphone-based assessment of cardiovascular diseases using ECG and PPG analysis. Medical Informatics and Decision Making 20 (2020), 1–16.
- [294] Kyarash Shahriari and Mana Shahriari. 2017. IEEE standard review—Ethically aligned design: A vision for prioritizing human wellbeing with artificial intelligence and autonomous systems. In IEEE Canada International Humanitarian Technology Conference. 197-201.
- [295] Praveer Sharan. 2022. Automated discrimination of cough in audio recordings: A scoping review. Frontiers in Signal Processing 2 (2022), 759684.
- [296] Lakhan Dev Sharma, Vijay Kumar Bohat, Maria Habib, Al-Zoubi Ala'M, Hossam Faris, and Ibrahim Aljarah. 2022. Evolutionary inspired approach for mental stress detection using EEG signal. Expert Systems with Applications 197 (2022), 116634.
- [297] Weisong Shi, Jie Cao, Quan Zhang, Youhuizi Li, and Lanyu Xu. 2016. Edge computing: Vision and challenges. IEEE Internet of Things Journal 3, 5 (2016), 637–646.
- [298] Debaditya Shome, Pritam Sarkar, and Ali Etemad. 2024. Region-Disentangled Diffusion Model for High-Fidelity PPG-to-ECG Translation. Proceedings of the Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence (2024).
- [299] Ali I Siam, Mohammed A El-Affendi, Atef Abou Elazm, Ghada M El-Banby, Nirmeen A El-Bahnasawy, Fathi E Abd El-Samie, and Ahmed A Abd El-Latif. 2023. Portable and Real-Time IoT-Based Healthcare Monitoring System for Daily Medical Applications. IEEE

Transactions on Computational Social Systems 10, 4 (2023), 1629–1641.

- [300] Erika Aparecida Silveira, Larissa Silva Barbosa, Ana Paula Santos Rodrigues, Matias Noll, and Cesar De Oliveira. 2020. Body fat percentage assessment by skinfold equation, bioimpedance and densitometry in older adults. Archives of Public Health 78, 1 (2020), 1–9.
- [301] Anuradha Singh, Saeed Ur Rehman, Sira Yongchareon, and Peter Han Joo Chong. 2020. Sensor technologies for fall detection systems: A review. IEEE Sensors Journal 20, 13 (2020), 6889–6919.
- [302] Marjorie Skubic, Rainer Dane Guevara, and Marilyn Rantz. 2015. Automated health alerts using in-home sensor data for embedded health assessment. IEEE Iournal of Translational Engineering in Health and Medicine 3 (2015), 1-11.
- [303] Sahar Soltanieh, Ali Etemad, and Javad Hashemi. 2022. Analysis of Augmentations for Contrastive ECG Representation Learning. In International Joint Conference on Neural Networks. IEEE, 1–10.
- [304] Sahar Soltanieh, Javad Hashemi, and Ali Etemad. 2023. In-Distribution and Out-of-Distribution Self-supervised ECG Representation Learning for Arrhythmia Detection.
- [305] Haiba Somaya and Mazri Tomadar. 2019. Secure communication in E-health care system monitoring. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Smart City Applications. ACM, 1–9.
- [306] Gautam Srivastava, Ashutosh Dhar Dwivedi, and Rajani Singh. 2018. Automated remote patient monitoring: Data sharing and privacy using blockchain. arXiv:1811.03417 (2018).
- [307] James H Stapleton. 2009. *Linear statistical models*. Vol. 719. John Wiley & Sons.
- [308] AVLN Sujith, Guna Sekhar Sajja, V Mahalakshmi, Shibili Nuhmani, and B Prasanalakshmi. 2022. Systematic review of smart health monitoring using deep learning and Artificial intelligence. Neuroscience Informatics 2, 3 (2022), 100028.
- [309] Alysa Ziying Tan, Han Yu, Lizhen Cui, and Qiang Yang. 2022. Towards personalized federated learning. IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems (2022).
- [310] Savas Tasoglu. 2022. Toilet-based continuous health monitoring using urine. Nature Reviews Urology 19, 4 (2022), 219–230.
- [311] A Dharma Teja and K Srihari Rao. 2018. A Smart Wearable System for ECG and Health Monitoring. International Journal for Advance Research and Development 3, 2 (2018), 57–63.
- [312] Nicole A Thomas, Anna Drewry, Susan Racine Passmore, Nadia Assad, and Kara K Hoppe. 2021. Patient perceptions, opinions and satisfaction of telehealth with remote blood pressure monitoring postpartum. Pregnancy and Childbirth 21 (2021), 1-11.
- [313] Marita G Titler. 2008. The evidence for evidence-based practice implementation. Patient Safety and Quality: An Evidence-based Handbook for Nurses (2008).
- [314] Hugo Touvron, Matthieu Cord, Matthijs Douze, Francisco Massa, Alexandre Sablayrolles, and Hervé Jégou. 2021. Training data-efficient image transformers & distillation through attention. In International conference on machine learning. Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, 10347–10357.
- [315] Bang Tran, Sai Harshavardhan Reddy Kona, Xiaohui Liang, Gabriel Ghinita, Caroline Summerour, and John A Batsis. 2023. VPASS: Voice Privacy Assistant System for Monitoring In-home Voice Commands. In Annual International Conference on Privacy, Security and Trust. 1–10.
- [316] Michael Tschannen, Olivier Bachem, and Mario Lucic. 2018. Recent advances in autoencoder-based representation learning. arXiv:1812.05069 (2018).
- [317] Toshifumi Tsukiyama. 2015. In-home health monitoring system for solitary elderly. Procedia Computer Science 63 (2015), 229–235.
- [318] Md Zia Uddin, Mohammed Mehedi Hassan, Ahmed Alsanad, and Claudio Savaglio. 2020. A body sensor data fusion and deep recurrent neural network-based behavior recognition approach for robust healthcare. Information Fusion 55 (2020), 105–115.
- [319] Muhammad Usman, James Rains, Tie Jun Cui, Muhammad Zakir Khan, Jalil Ur Rehman Kazim, Muhammad Ali Imran, and Qammer H Abbasi. 2022. Intelligent wireless walls for contactless in-home monitoring. Light: Science & Applications 11, 1 (2022), 212.
- [320] Anthony Ngozichukwuka Uwaechia and Nor Muzlifah Mahyuddin. 2020. A comprehensive survey on millimeter wave communications for fifth-generation wireless networks: Feasibility and challenges. IEEE Access 8 (2020), 62367–62414.
- [321] Thavavel Vaiyapuri, E Laxmi Lydia, Mohamed Yacin Sikkandar, Vicente García Díaz, Irina V Pustokhina, and Denis A Pustokhin. 2021. Internet of things and deep learning enabled elderly fall detection model for smart homecare. IEEE Access 9 (2021), 113879–113888.
- [322] Jesper E Van Engelen and Holger H Hoos. 2020. A survey on semi-supervised learning. Machine learning 109, 2 (2020), 373–440.
- [323] Bert Vandenberghe and David Geerts. 2015. Sleep monitoring tools at home and in the hospital: bridging quantified self and clinical sleep research. In International Conference on Pervasive Computing Technologies for Healthcare. ACM, 153–160.
- [324] C VandeWeerd, A Yalcin, G Aden-Buie, Y Wang, M Roberts, N Mahser, C Fnu, and D Fabiano. 2020. HomeSense: Design of an ambient home health and wellness monitoring platform for older adults. Health and Technology 10 (2020), 1291–1309.
- [325] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention is all you need. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, Vol. 30. 1–11.
- [326] Prabal Verma and Sandeep K Sood. 2018. Fog assisted-IoT enabled patient health monitoring in smart homes. IEEE Internet of Things Journal 5, 3 (2018), 1789–1796.
- [327] Chalavadi Vishnu, Rajeshreddy Datla, Debaditya Roy, Sobhan Babu, and C Krishna Mohan. 2021. Human fall detection in surveillance videos using fall motion vector modeling. IEEE Sensors Journal 21, 15 (2021), 17162–17170.
- [328] JinFeng Wang, ZhenYu He, ShuaiHui Huang, Hao Chen, WenZhong Wang, and Farhad Pourpanah. 2021. Fuzzy measure with regularization for gene selection and cancer prediction. International Journal of Machine Learning and Cybernetics 12 (2021), 2389–2405.
- [329] Ju Wang, Nicolai Spicher, Joana M Warnecke, Mostafa Haghi, Jonas Schwartze, and Thomas M Deserno. 2021. Unobtrusive health monitoring in private spaces: The smart home. Sensors 21, 3 (2021), 864.
- [330] Ju Wang, Jing Wang, Hongyu Miao, Michael Marschollek, Klaus-Hendrik Wolf, Kerry A Lynch, and Yang Gong. 2018. Leveraging aging in place through sensor-enhanced in-home monitoring. In Nursing Informatics. 19–23.
- [331] Jiayuan Wang, QM Jonathan Wu, and Farhad Pourpanah. 2023. An attentive-based generative model for medical image synthesis. International Journal of Machine Learning and Cybernetics (2023), 1–14.
- [332] Jiayuan Wang, QM Jonathan Wu, and Farhad Pourpanah. 2023. Dc-cyclegan: bidirectional ct-to-mr synthesis from unpaired data. Computerized Medical Imaging and Graphics (2023), 102249.
- [333] Ke Wang, Tingting Song, Yitong Wang, Chengwei Fang, Jiayuan He, Ampalavanapillai Nirmalathas, Christina Lim, Elaine Wong, and Sithamparanathan Kandeepan. 2022. Evolution of short-range optical wireless communications. Journal of Lightwave Technology 41, 4 (2022), 1019–1040.
- [334] Tinghui Wang and Diane J Cook. 2021. Multi-person activity recognition in continuously monitored smart homes. IEEE Transactions on Emerging Topics in Computing 10, 2 (2021), 1130–1141.
- [335] Xizhao Wang, Yanxia Zhao, and Farhad Pourpanah. 2020. Recent advances in deep learning. International Journal of Machine Learning and Cybernetics 11 (2020), 747–750.
- [336] Zhi Wang, Beihong Jin, Siheng Li, Fusang Zhang, and Wenbo Zhang. 2023. ECG-grained Cardiac Monitoring Using UWB Signals. Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies 6, 4 (2023), 1–25.
- [337] N Watthanawisuth, T Lomas, A Wisitsoraat, and A Tuantranont. 2010. Wireless wearable pulse oximeter for health monitoring using ZigBee wireless sensor network. In The International Conference on Electrical Engineering/Electronics, Computer, Telecommunications and Information Technology. IEEE, 575–579.
- [338] Mark Weiser. 1999. The computer for the 21st century. ACM mobile computing and communications review 3, 3 (1999), 3–11.
- [339] Qingsong Wen, Tian Zhou, Chaoli Zhang, Weiqi Chen, Ziqing Ma, Junchi Yan, and Liang Sun. 2022. Transformers in time series: A survey. arXiv:2202.07125 (2022).
- [340] Thomas Wiatowski and Helmut Bölcskei. 2018. A mathematical theory of deep convolutional neural networks for feature extraction. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 64, 3 (2018), 1845–1866.
- [341] Marlies S Wijsenbeek, Catharina C Moor, Kerri A Johannson, Peter D Jackson, Yet H Khor, Yasuhiro Kondoh, Sujeet K Rajan, Gabriela C Tabaj, Brenda E Varela, Pieter van der Wal, et al. 2023. Home monitoring in interstitial lung diseases. The Lancet Respiratory Medicine 11, 1 (2023), 97–110.
- [342] Peter J Winzer. 2010. Beyond 100G ethernet. IEEE Communications Magazine 48, 7 (2010), 26–30.
- [343] Oliver J Woodman. 2007. An introduction to inertial navigation. Technical Report. University of Cambridge, Computer Laboratory.
- [344] Qiong Wu, Xu Chen, Zhi Zhou, and Junshan Zhang. 2020. Fedhome: Cloud-edge based personalized federated learning for in-home health monitoring. IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing 21, 8 (2020), 2818–2832.
- [345] Wenlong Wu, James M Keller, Marjorie Skubic, and Mihail Popescu. 2019. Data stream trajectory analysis using sequential possibilistic Gaussian mixture model. In IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems. 1–7.
- [346] Wenlong Wu, James M Keller, Marjorie Skubic, Mihail Popescu, and Kari R Lane. 2021. Early detection of health changes in the elderly using in-home multi-sensor data streams. ACM Transactions on Computing for Healthcare 2, 3 (2021), 1-23.
- [347] Jiali Xie, Pedro Fonseca, Johannes van Dijk, Sebastiaan Overeem, and Xi Long. 2023. Assessment of obstructive sleep apnea severity using audio-based snoring features. Biomedical Signal Processing and Control 86 (2023), 104942.
- [348] Zongxing Xie, Bing Zhou, Xi Cheng, Elinor Schoenfeld, and Fan Ye. 2022. Passive and Context-Aware In-Home Vital Signs Monitoring Using Co-Located UWB-Depth Sensor Fusion. ACM Transactions on Computing for Healthcare 3, 4 (2022), 1–31.
- [349] Shudong Yang, Xueying Yu, and Ying Zhou. 2020. Lstm and gru neural network performance comparison study: Taking yelp review dataset as an example. In International Workshop on Electronic Communication and Artificial Intelligence. IEEE, 98–101.
- [350] Ziqi Yang, Xuhai Xu, Bingsheng Yao, Shao Zhang, Ethan Rogers, Stephen Intille, Nawar Shara, Dakuo Wang, et al. 2023. Talk2Care: Facilitating Asynchronous Patient-Provider Communication with Large-Language-Model. arXiv:2309.09357 (2023).
- [351] Jingyu Yu, Ning An, Tanbir Hassan, and Quan Kong. 2019. A pilot study on a smart home for elders based on continuous in-home unobtrusive monitoring technology. Health Environments Research & Design Journal 12, 3 (2019), 206–219.
- [352] Yong Yu, Xiaosheng Si, Changhua Hu, and Jianxun Zhang. 2019. A review of recurrent neural networks: LSTM cells and network architectures. Neural Computation 31, 7 (2019), 1235–1270.
- [353] Zhihan Yue, Yujing Wang, Juanyong Duan, Tianmeng Yang, Congrui Huang, Yunhai Tong, and Bixiong Xu. 2022. Ts2vec: Towards universal representation of time series. In Proceedings of the Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence, Vol. 36. 8980–8987.

- [354] YIN Yuehong, Yan Zeng, Xing Chen, and Yuanjie Fan. 2016. The internet of things in healthcare: An overview. Journal of Industrial Information Integration 1 (2016), 3–13.
- [355] Olivia Yvellez, Michael J Andersen, Maya Aharoni Golan, Dylan M Rodriquez, Nada Zmeter, Katia El Jurdi, and David T Rubin. 2018. P051 IBD patient compliance with mobile and wearable technologies as tools to assess quality of life, sleep quality and pain. Gastroenterology 154, 1 (2018), S26–S27.
- [356] Faheem Zafari, Athanasios Gkelias, and Kin K Leung. 2019. A survey of indoor localization systems and technologies. IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials 21, 3 (2019), 2568–2599.
- [357] Manzil Zaheer, Guru Guruganesh, Kumar Avinava Dubey, Joshua Ainslie, Chris Alberti, Santiago Ontanon, Philip Pham, Anirudh Ravula, Qifan Wang, Li Yang, et al. 2020. Big bird: Transformers for longer sequences. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 33 (2020), 17283–17297.
- [358] Guangyi Zhang, Vandad Davoodnia, and Ali Etemad. 2022. Parse: Pairwise alignment of representations in semi-supervised eeg learning for emotion recognition. IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing 13, 4 (2022), 2185–2200.
- [359] Guangyi Zhang, Vandad Davoodnia, Alireza Sepas-Moghaddam, Yaoxue Zhang, and Ali Etemad. 2019. Classification of hand movements from EEG using a deep attention-based LSTM network. IEEE Sensors Journal 20, 6 (2019), 3113–3122.
- [360] G. Zhang and A. Etemad. 2021. Deep Recurrent Semi-Supervised EEG Representation Learning for Emotion Recognition. In International Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction. IEEE Computer Society, 1–8.
- [361] Mingyang Zhang, Yingyou Wen, Jian Chen, Xiaotao Yang, Rui Gao, and Hong Zhao. 2018. Pedestrian dead-reckoning indoor localization based on OS-ELM. IEEE Access 6 (2018), 6116–6129.
- [362] Shigeng Zhang, Xuan Liu, Yangyang Liu, Bo Ding, Song Guo, and Jianxin Wang. 2020. Accurate respiration monitoring for mobile users with commercial RFID devices. IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications 39, 2 (2020), 513-525.
- [363] Xuewan Zhang, Liuqing Yang, Zhiguo Ding, Jian Song, Yunkai Zhai, and Di Zhang. 2020. Sparse vector coding-based multi-carrier NOMA for in-home health networks. IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications 39, 2 (2020), 325–337.
- [364] Yu Zhang, Peter Tiňo, Aleš Leonardis, and Ke Tang. 2021. A survey on neural network interpretability. IEEE Transactions on Emerging Topics in Computational Intelligence 5, 5 (2021), 726–742.
- [365] Feng Zhao, Zhiguo Cao, Yang Xiao, Jing Mao, and Junsong Yuan. 2018. Real-time detection of fall from bed using a single depth camera. IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering 16, 3 (2018), 1018–1032.
- [366] Yifan Zhao, Jiaxin Wang, Yifei Zhang, Hejian Liu, Zi'ang Chen, Yujiao Lu, Yanning Dai, Lijun Xu, and Shuo Gao. 2021. Flexible and wearable EMG and PSD sensors enabled locomotion mode recognition for IoHT-based in-home rehabilitation. IEEE Sensors Journal 21, 23 (2021), 26311–26319.
- [367] Xinlei Zhou, Han Liu, Farhad Pourpanah, Tieyong Zeng, and Xizhao Wang. 2022. A survey on epistemic (model) uncertainty in supervised learning: Recent advances and applications. Neurocomputing 489 (2022), 449–465.
- [368] Alex Zihao Zhu, Liangzhe Yuan, Kenneth Chaney, and Kostas Daniilidis. 2019. Unsupervised event-based learning of optical flow, depth, and egomotion. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 989-997.
- [369] Zhihua Zhu, Tao Liu, Guangyi Li, Tong Li, and Yoshio Inoue. 2015. Wearable sensor systems for infants. Sensors 15, 2 (2015), 3721–3749.