Paths and stability number in digraphs

Jacob Fox^{*} Benny Sudakov[†]

Submitted: May 15, 2009; Accepted: Jul 3, 2009; Published: Jul 24, 2009 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C20, 05C38, 05C55

Abstract

The Gallai-Milgram theorem says that the vertex set of any digraph with stability number k can be partitioned into k directed paths. In 1990, Hahn and Jackson conjectured that this theorem is best possible in the following strong sense. For each positive integer k, there is a digraph D with stability number k such that deleting the vertices of any k-1 directed paths in D leaves a digraph with stability number k. In this note, we prove this conjecture.

1 Introduction

The Gallai-Milgram theorem [7] states that the vertex set of any digraph with stability number k can be partitioned into k directed paths. It generalizes Dilworth's theorem [4] that the size of a maximum antichain in a partially ordered set is equal to the minimum number of chains needed to cover it. In 1990, Hahn and Jackson [8] conjectured that this theorem is best possible in the following strong sense. For each positive integer k, there is a digraph D with stability number k such that deleting the vertices of any k-1 directed paths in D leaves a digraph with stability number k. Hahn and Jackson used known bounds on Ramsey numbers to verify their conjecture for $k \leq 3$. Recently, Bondy, Buchwalder, and Mercier [3] used lexicographic products of graphs to show that the conjecture holds if $k = 2^a 3^b$ with a and b nonnegative integers. In this short note we prove the conjecture of Hahn and Jackson for all k.

Theorem 1 For each positive integer k, there is a digraph D with stability number k such that deleting the vertices of any k-1 directed paths leaves a digraph with stability number k.

To prove this theorem we will need some properties of random graphs. As usual, the random graph G(n, p) is a graph on n labeled vertices in which each pair of vertices forms an edge randomly and independently with probability p = p(n).

^{*}Department of Mathematics, Princeton, Princeton, NJ. Email: jacobfox@math.princeton.edu. Research supported by an NSF Graduate Research Fellowship and a Princeton Centennial Fellowship.

[†]Department of Mathematics, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA 90095. Email: bsudakov@math.ucla.edu. Research supported in part by NSF CAREER award DMS-0812005 and by USA-Israeli BSF grant.

Lemma 1 For $k \ge 3$, the random graph G = G(n, p) with $p = 20n^{-2/k}$ and $n \ge 2^{15k^2}$ a multiple of 2k has the following properties.

(a) The expected number of cliques of size k + 1 in G is at most $20^{\binom{k+1}{2}}$.

(b) With probability more than $\frac{2}{3}$, every induced subgraph of G with $\frac{n}{2k}$ vertices has a clique of size k.

Proof: (a) Each subset of k + 1 vertices has probability $p^{\binom{k+1}{2}}$ of being a clique. By linearity of expectation, the expected number of cliques of size k + 1 is

$$\binom{n}{k+1}p^{\binom{k+1}{2}} = \binom{n}{k+1}20^{\binom{k+1}{2}}n^{-k-1} \le 20^{\binom{k+1}{2}}$$

(b) Let U be a set of $\frac{n}{2k}$ vertices of G. We first give an upper bound on the probability that U has no clique of size k. For each subset $S \subset U$ with |S| = k, let B_S be the event that S forms a clique, and X_S be the indicator random variable for B_S . Since $k \geq 3$, by linearity of expectation, the expected number μ of cliques in U of size k is

$$\mu = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{S} X_{S}\right] = \binom{\frac{n}{2k}}{k} p^{\binom{k}{2}} \ge \frac{n^{k}}{2(2k)^{k}k!} 20^{\binom{k}{2}} n^{1-k} \ge 2n$$

Let $\Delta = \sum \Pr[B_S \cap B_T]$, where the sum is over all ordered pairs S, T with $|S \cap T| \ge 2$. We have

$$\Delta = \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} \sum_{|S \cap T|=i} \Pr[B_S \cap B_T] = \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} \sum_{|S \cap T|=i} p^{2\binom{k}{2} - \binom{i}{2}} = \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n-i}{k-i} \binom{n-k}{k-i} p^{2\binom{k}{2} - \binom{i}{2}}$$
$$\leq \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} n^{2k-i} p^{k(k-1) - \binom{i}{2}} \leq 20^{k^2} \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} n^{2-i+i(i-1)/k} \leq k 20^{k^2} n^{2/k}.$$

Here we used the fact that i(i-1)/k - i for $2 \le i \le k-1$ clearly achieves its maximum when i = 2 or i = k - 1.

Using that $k \geq 3$ and $n \geq 2^{15k^2}$, it is easy to check that $\Delta \leq n$. Hence, by Janson's inequality (see, e.g., Theorem 8.11 of [2]) we can bound the probability that U does not contain a clique of size k by $\Pr\left[\wedge_S \bar{B}_S\right] \leq e^{-\mu + \Delta/2} \leq e^{-n}$. By the union bound, the probability that there is a set of $\frac{n}{2k}$ vertices of G(n, p) which does not contain a clique of size k is at most $\binom{n}{\frac{n}{2k}}e^{-n} \leq 2^n e^{-n} < 1/3$.

The proof of Theorem 1 combines the idea of Hahn and Jackson of partitioning a graph into maximum stable sets and orienting the graph accordingly with Lemma 1 on properties of random graphs.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $k \ge 3$ and $n \ge 2^{15k^2}$. By Markov's inequality and Lemma 1(a), the probability that G(n,p) with $p = 20n^{-2/k}$ has at most $2 \cdot 20^{\binom{k+1}{2}}$ cliques of size k+1 is at least 1/2. Also, by Lemma 1(b), we have that with probability at least 2/3 every set of $\frac{n}{2k}$ vertices of this random graph contains a clique of size k. Hence, with positive

probability (at least 1/6) the random graph G(n, p) has both properties. This implies that there is a graph G on n vertices which contains at most $2 \cdot 20^{\binom{k+1}{2}}$ cliques of size k+1 and every set of $\frac{n}{2k}$ vertices of G contains a clique of size k. Delete one vertex from each clique of size k + 1 in G. The resulting graph G' has at least $n - 2 \cdot 20^{\binom{k+1}{2}} \ge 3n/4$ vertices and no cliques of size k + 1. Next pull out vertex disjoint cliques of size k from G'until the remaining subgraph has no clique of size k, and let V_1, \ldots, V_t be the vertex sets of these disjoint cliques of size k. Since every induced subgraph of G of size at least $\frac{n}{2k}$ contains a clique of size k, then $|V_1 \cup \ldots \cup V_t| \ge \frac{3n}{4} - \frac{n}{2k} \ge \frac{n}{2}$. Define the digraph D on the vertex set $V_1 \cup \ldots \cup V_t$ as follows. The edges of D are the nonedges of G. In particular, all sets V_i are stable sets in D. Moreover, all edges of D between V_i and V_j with i < j are oriented from V_i to V_j . By construction, the stability number of D is equal to the clique number of G', namely k. Also any set of $\frac{n}{2k}$ vertices of D contains a stable set of size k. Note that every directed path in D has at most one vertex in each V_i . Hence, deleting any k-1 directed paths in D leaves at least $|D|/k \geq \frac{n}{2k}$ remaining vertices. These remaining vertices contain a stable set of size k, completing the proof.

Remark. Note that in order to prove Theorem 1, we only needed to find a graph G on n vertices with no clique of size k + 1 such that every set of $\frac{n}{2k}$ vertices of G contains a clique of size k. The existence of such graphs was first proved by Erdős and Rogers [6], who more generally asked to estimate the minimum t for which there is a graph G on n vertices with no clique of size s such that every set of t vertices of G contains a clique of size r. Since then a lot of work has been done on this question, see, e.g., [9, 1, 10, 5]. Although most results for this problem rely on probabilistic arguments, Alon and Krivelevich [1] give an explicit construction of an n-vertex graph G with no clique of size k + 1, such that every subset of G of size $n^{1-\epsilon_k}$ contains a k-clique. Since we only need a much weaker result to prove the conjecture of Hahn and Jackson, we decided to include its very short and simple proof to keep this note self-contained.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank Adrian Bondy for stimulating discussions and generously sharing his presentation slides. We also are grateful to Noga Alon for drawing our attention to the paper [1]. Finally, we want to thank the referee for helpful comments.

References

- N. Alon and M. Krivelevich, Constructive bounds for a Ramsey-type problem, Graphs Combin. 13 (1997), 217–225.
- [2] N. Alon and J. H. Spencer, The Probabilistic Method, 3rd ed., Wiley, 2008.
- [3] J. A. Bondy, X. Buchwalder, and F. Mercier, Lexicographic products and a conjecture of Hahn and Jackson, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 23 (2009), 882–887.
- [4] R. P. Dilworth, A decomposition theorem for partially ordered sets, Ann. of Math. 51 (1950), 161–166.

- [5] A. Dudek and V. Rödl, On K_s -free subgraphs in K_{s+k} -free graphs and vertex Folkman numbers, submitted.
- [6] P. Erdős and C. A. Rogers, The construction of certain graphs, Can. J. Math. 14 (1962), 702–707.
- [7] T. Gallai and A. N. Milgram, Verallgemeinerung eines graphentheoretischen Satzes von Rédei, Acta. Sci. Math. 21 (1960) 181–186.
- [8] G. Hahn and B. Jackson, A note concerning paths and independence number in digraphs, *Discrete Math.* 82 (1990), 327–329.
- [9] M. Krivelevich, Bounding Ramsey numbers through large deviation inequalities, Random Structures Algorithms 7 (1995), 145–155.
- [10] B. Sudakov, Large K_r -free subgraphs in K_s -free graphs and some other Ramsey-type problems, *Random Structures Algorithms* **26** (2005), 253–265.