

DEAD SKUNK IN THE MIDDLE OF THE ROAD

STINKING TO HIGH HEAVEN

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	SCIENTIFIC QUOTES TO NOTE
2	WHOSE FOOTSTEPS (Poem)
3	A STUDY IN DIFFERING INERTIAL REFERENCE FRAMES: Part One
4	A STUDY IN DIFFERING INERTIAL REFERENCE FRAMES: Part Two
5	IGNORANT ABOUT THE CMB?
6	THE GLOBAL FLOOD OF NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC MAGAZINE
7	GALILEO AND HIS TELESCOPE
8	WE'RE NOT THAT SORRY, UNCLE ALBERT (Poem)
9	SEARCH "NEGATIVE STELLAR PARALLAX"
10	GET A CLUE FROM THE STARS OF THE CONSTELLATIONS
11	GOODBYE BIG BANG THEORY (Poem)
12	MALCOLM BOWDEN WAS CORRECT!!!
13	THE BESSEL STELLAR ABERRATION
14	WHAT ISAAC NEWTON DID NOT SEE (Sketch)
15	BLOWING IN THE WIND OF JOHANN KEPLER'S MISSED OPPORTUNITY (Poem)
16	THE STAR AND THE CRESCENT ASTRONOMICAL SOCIETY
17	ONCE MORE INTO THE BREACH DEAR FRIENDS
18	KARTIK CHANDRA PAUL
19	HOUSTON, WE'VE GOT A PROBLEM
20	CROSSING THE RUBICON AND MARCHING ON ROME
21	PLATO'S CAVE
22	IN MEMORY OF HENRIETTA WOODS

SCIENTIFIC QUOTES

TO NOTE

There are children playing in the streets who could solve some of my top problems in Physics because they have modes of sensory perception that I lost long ago.

It is a profound and necessary truth that the deep things in Science are not found because they are useful. They are found because it is possible to find them.

-J. Robert Oppenheimer

An expert is a person who made all the mistakes that can be made in a very narrow field.

It is wrong to think that the task of Physics is to find out how Nature is. Physics concerns what we say about Nature.

Every great and deep difficulty bears in itself its own solution. It forces us to change our thinking in order to find it.

How wonderful that we have met with a paradox. Now we have hope of making progress. Your theory is crazy, but it is not crazy enough to be true.

-Neils Bohr

Two paradoxes are better than one; they may even suggest a solution. No endeavor that is worthwhile is simple in prospect. If it is right, it will be simple in retrospect.

-Edward Teller

There is no harm in doubt and skepticism {because} it is through these that new discoveries are made.

Reality must take precedence over public relations {because} Nature cannot be fooled.

I was born not knowing and have had only little time to change that here and there.

The First Principle is that you must not fool yourself, and you are the easiest person to fool.

-Richard Feynman

The scientist only imposes two things: namely truth and sincerity, imposes them upon himself and upon other scientists

Our minds – by virtue of a certain finite limited capability – is by no means capable of putting a question to Nature that permits a continuous series of answers. The observations – the individual results of measurements – are the answers of Nature to our discontinuous questioning.

We do not belong to this material world that science constructs for us. We are not in it; we are outside, we are only spectators. The reason we believe that we are in it – that we belong to the picture – is that our bodies are in the picture. Our bodies belong to it. Not only my own body, but those of my friends, also of my dog and cat and horse, and of all the other people and animals. And this is my only means of communicating with them.

The task is not so much to see what no one has yet seen but to think what nobody has yet thought about that which everybody see.

-Erwin Shrodinger

I am now convinced that theoretical physics is actually philosophy.

Intellect distinguishes between the possible and the impossible. Reason distinguishes between the sensible and the senseless. Even the possible can be senseless.

The scientist's urge to investigate – like the faith of the devout or the inspiration of the artist – is an expression of Mankind's longing for something fixed, something at rest, in the universal whirl.

Truth is what the scientist aims at. He finds nothing at rest, nothing enduring, in the universe. Not everything is knowable, still less predictable. But the Mind of Man is capable of grasping and understanding a part of Creation – amid the fight of phenomena stands the immutable pole of law.

-Max Born

Not only is the Universe stranger than we think; it is stranger than we can think.

We have to remember that what we observe is not Nature itself but Nature exposed to our method of questioning.

Natural Science does not simply describe Nature; it is part of the interplay between Nature and ourselves.

The first gulp from the glass of the Natural Sciences will make you into an Atheist; but at the bottom of the glass, God is waiting for you.

-Werner Heisenberg

WHOSE FOOTSTEPS ARE YOU FOLLOWING?



**I KNEW A MAN WITH AN UNUSUAL PAST
HE WAS STRUCK BY LIGHTNING FREQUENTLY
WAS THERE A MESSAGE BEING DELIVERED?
WHO ELSE CONTROLS THE STORM BUT DIVINITY?**

**I DID NOT NOTICE A BEHAVIORAL CHANGE
THERE WAS NO CONFESSION OF WRONG DOING
A CONVERSATION BETWEEN THE CLAY AND THE POTTER
THE REST OF US INCAPABLE OF OVERHEARING.**

**WE STAND BEFORE THE JUDGEMENT SEAT ALONE
NO LONGER ANONYMOUS IN A GROUP
INDIVIDUAL RESPONSIBILITY AND ACCOUNTABILITY
TOO LATE A LIFE TO RECOUP.**

**A MENTAL HEALTH PATIENT HE WAS NOT
THE SOCIOPATHIC DISORDER NEVER DIAGNOSED
FOOLED EVERYONE BUT PERHAPS HIS WIFE
A PILLAR OF THE COMMUNITY ACCORDING TO OBIT POSTS.**

**REST ASSURED THAT HE IS NOT ALONE
THE FINAL RESTING PLACE FOR SUCH INDIVIDUALS
THE IRONY IS WASTED ON THE LIVING
FOR HE WAS A MAN WITH CLERGY CREDENTIALS**

IF HE WAS YOUR FATHER AS YOU SEEM TO INFER

HOW FAR DID THE ACORN FALL FROM THE TREE?

WHOSE FOOTSTEPS ARE YOU FOLLOWING?

WHAT IS YOUR FATE FOR ETERNITY?

A STUDY IN RELATIVE INERTIAL REFERENCE FRAMES:

THE PERIHELION OF MERCURY

Part One

The Capellan system of Heraclides of Pontus predicts a “virtual” Precession of the Perihelion of Mercury. The eccentricity of the orbit of Mercury is predicted by the translation of the Sun through space. Since this translation is circular in nature, a “virtual” Precession of the Perihelion of Mercury is predicted.

Before we dismiss Newtonian Celestial Mechanics that is based on Varahimihira’s Universal Gravitation Model, let us note the German physics instructor who published his solution in the years of 1898 and 1902 (republished in 1917). His name is Paul Gerber (1854 – 1909).

1. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/301432563_Gravity_AS_AN..
2. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/2210184_On_the_Origin_of..
3. <https://arxiv.org/abs/physics/0510086>
4. <https://physics.stackexchange.com/q/386856>
5. <https://astronomy.stackexchange.com/questions/7938/where-did-this...>
6. <https://file.scrip.org/html/4679.html>
7. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0960077907001294>
8. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/two-body_problem_in_genearl_relativity
9. <https://molwick.com/en/gravitation/077-mercury-orbit.html>
10. <https://acedolius.blogspot.com/2011/12/paul-gerber-and-anomalous>
11. <https://rpc13052018.blogspot.com>
12. <https://kehrerkaroly.blogspot.com/2018/01/einstins-legacy-011018...>
13. <https://www.lewrockwell.com/2011/02/tom-bethell/relativity-and-the....>
14. https://www.gsjournal.net/Science-Journals/Research_Papers...
15. <https://www.alternatephysics.org/gerber/perihelion.htm>
16. Cds.cerm.ch/record/895745

In hindsight, we say “I should have noticed the yellow flags of caution and the red flags of danger.” In 1916, Einstein claimed that his newest theory solved the mystery of the Precession of the Perihelion of Mercury. It is similar to Edwin Hubble discovering what he was NOT looking for. Hindsight is 20/20.

What is the Precession of the Perihelion of Mercury? Any eccentric/elliptical orbit has an Aphelion and a Perihelion. The Aphelion is the farthest distance from the center. The Perihelion is the shortest distance from the center. The Perihelion “advances” with each orbit. This is Precession.

How does this advancing Perihelion “advance”? It advances by arc degrees and it is circular in nature. In the Capellan System of the Heraclidean Model, it is a three-

body problem and all three bodies are translating in circles. It resembles a carrot dangling before the eyes of a stubborn mule. The mule sees the carrot and advances toward it...except the carrot is dangling from a pole that is affixed to the mule. The carrot must move when the mule moves. The carrot (Mercury) and the mule (the Sun) remain in a constant relationship. So, the issue is in Relative Inertial Reference Frames. Einstein was correct in principle, but even a stopped clock is right twice a day.

In the Heraclidean Model, the observer on Earth is perceiving a different Inertial Reference Frame that is circling the observer. The observer is perceiving the “virtual” Precession of the Perihelion of the orbit of Mercury. For the Inertial Reference Frame of the Sun and its natural satellite (Mercury), there is no Precession.

Let's put Galileo aboard a ship under sail, then let's put Newton on an island, and then let's assign the ship to sail around the island. Let's assign Galileo on deck surrounded by an opaque barricade, and let's assign Newton to Plato's Cave on the island so Newton cannot see the circling ship and he cannot see the opaque barricade. Let Galileo toss a ball vertically and catch it on its descent, repeatedly and then let Newton see this tossed projectile. Now, let Newton publish a paper on his observations. Other scientists will flock to Plato's Cave on Newton's Island and they will confirm Newton's observations. Let's censure the people with an opposing explanation. Let's award ourselves Nobel Prizes for propaganda purposes to convey the idea of “settled science”. Let's publish scientific textbooks to indoctrinate young and impressionable minds without a – pardon the pun –FRAME OF REFERENCE!!!

Who said that GOD does not have a sense of humor? The Psalmist writes that GOD sits on His throne and laughs.

John Roach

12-05-2019

PLATO'S CAVE ON THE ISLAND OF THEORETICAL PHYSICS

STATIONARY

OBSERVER