

## HOMOGENEOUS GRAPHS AND STABILITY

A. GARDINER

(Received 16 April 1975; revised 4 July 1975)

Communicated by W. D. Wallis

### Abstract

Let  $\Gamma$  be a graph with finite vertex set  $V$ .  $\Gamma$  is *homogeneous* if whenever  $U_1, U_2 \subseteq V$  are such that the vertex subgraphs  $\langle U_1 \rangle, \langle U_2 \rangle$  are isomorphic, then every isomorphism from  $\langle U_1 \rangle$  to  $\langle U_2 \rangle$  extends to an automorphism of  $\Gamma$ ; homogeneous graphs were studied by Sheehan (1974) and were classified by the author.  $\Gamma$  is *locally homogeneous* if whenever  $U \subseteq V$ , then every automorphism of  $\langle U \rangle$  extends to an automorphism of  $\Gamma$ . We prove that every locally homogeneous graph is homogeneous.

We study finite, undirected, loopless graphs  $\Gamma = (V, E)$ , with vertex set  $V = V\Gamma$ , edge set  $E \subseteq V \times V$ , and automorphism group  $\text{Aut}\Gamma = G$ . If  $U \subseteq V$ , then the vertex subgraph  $\langle U \rangle$  has vertex set  $U$  and edge set  $(U \times U) \cap E$ . We have a natural metric  $\partial$  on  $V$  and denote by  $d$  the diameter of  $\Gamma$ . Set

$$\Gamma_i := \{(u, v) \in V \times V : \partial(u, v) = i\}, \quad 0 \leq i,$$

and for  $u \in V$

$$\Gamma_i(u) := \{v \in V : (u, v) \in \Gamma_i\}.$$

We write  $\Gamma(u) := \Gamma_1(u)$ .

$K_r$  denotes the complete graph on  $r$  vertices,  $K_{k,k}$  denotes the complete bipartite graph of valency  $k$ ,  $K_{t,r}$  denotes the complete  $t$ -partite graph with blocks of size  $r$ ,  $C_n$  denotes the circuit of length  $n$ ,  $0_3$  denotes Petersen's graph. (These graphs are described in Wilson (1972), to which the reader is referred for the general graph theoretical background.)

If  $\Gamma$  is a graph, then  $t \cdot \Gamma$  denotes the disjoint union of  $t$  copies of  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Gamma^c$  denotes the complement of  $\Gamma$ , and  $L(\Gamma)$  denotes the line graph of  $\Gamma$ .

If  $U \subseteq V$ , then  $G_U$  and  $G_{\{U\}}$  denote respectively the pointwise and setwise stabilisers of  $U$ ; if  $U = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_i\}$ , then we simply write  $G_{u_1 u_2 \dots u_i}$  and  $G_{\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_i\}}$ .  $S_t$  denotes the symmetric group on  $t$  symbols. Basic facts about permutation groups can be found in Wielandt (1964).

A graph is *homogeneous* if whenever  $\langle U_1 \rangle, \langle U_2 \rangle$  are isomorphic subgraphs of  $\Gamma$  each isomorphism from  $\langle U_1 \rangle$  to  $\langle U_2 \rangle$  extends to an automorphism of  $\Gamma$ . Finite homogeneous graphs were studied by Sheehan (1974) and were classified by the present author.

A graph is *locally homogeneous* if whenever  $U \subseteq V$ , each isomorphism from  $\langle U \rangle$  to  $\langle U \rangle$  extends to an automorphism of  $\Gamma$ . Clearly  $\Gamma$  is locally homogeneous if and only if  $\Gamma^c$  is locally homogeneous. Each homogeneous graph is locally homogeneous by definition. We prove the converse by classifying locally homogeneous graphs.

**THEOREM.** *A finite locally homogeneous graph is homogeneous.*

Let  $\Gamma$  be a graph and let  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_t \in V$ ; then we define  $\Gamma_{v_i} := \langle V - \{v_i\} \rangle$ , and for each  $i, 1 \leq i < t, \Gamma_{v_1 v_2 \dots v_{i+1}} := (\Gamma_{v_1 v_2 \dots v_i})_{v_{i+1}}$ .

A graph  $\Gamma$  is *stable* if for some enumeration  $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$  of the vertex set  $V, G_{v_1 v_2 \dots v_i} = \text{Aut}(\Gamma_{v_1 v_2 \dots v_i})$  for each  $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ .  $\Gamma$  is *totally stable* if for each enumeration  $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$  of the vertex set  $V, G_{v_1 v_2 \dots v_i} = \text{Aut}(\Gamma_{v_1 v_2 \dots v_i})$  for each  $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ .

**COROLLARY** [Yap (1974) Theorem 4]. *The only totally stable graphs are the complete and the null graphs.*

We assume throughout that  $\Gamma$  is some locally homogeneous graph.

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $\Gamma$  is disconnected, then  $\Gamma \cong t \cdot K_r$  for some  $t, r \geq 1$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $V = U_1 \cup U_2 \cup \dots \cup U_t, t \geq 2$ , be the decomposition of  $V$  into connected components. Choose  $u_i \in U_i, 1 \leq i \leq t$ ; then  $\langle u_1, u_2, \dots, u_t \rangle \cong t \cdot K_1$ , so  $G_{\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_t\}}$  induces the full symmetric group  $S_t$  on  $\langle u_1, u_2, \dots, u_t \rangle$ . Thus all the connected components of  $\Gamma$  are isomorphic. If  $\langle U_1 \rangle$  is not a complete graph choose  $u_0, u_1$  such that  $u_0 \in \Gamma_2(u_1)$ ; then  $G_{\{u_0, u_1, \dots, u_t\}}$  induces the full symmetric group  $S_{t+1}$  on  $\langle u_0, u_1, \dots, u_t \rangle \cong (t + 1) \cdot K_1$ . Hence  $t = 1$ .

**LEMMA 2.** *If  $\Gamma$  is connected, then  $(G, V)$  is transitive and either  $\Gamma \cong K_{k+1}$ , or  $d = 2$ .*

**PROOF.** If  $u \in V, v \in \Gamma(u)$ , then  $G_{\{u,v\}}$  induces the symmetric group  $S_2$  on  $\langle u, v \rangle$ . Thus for each  $u \in V, v \in \Gamma(u), G$  contains an element  $g_v$  for which  $u^{g_v} = v$ ; since  $\Gamma$  is connected,  $(G, V)$  must be transitive. In particular  $|\Gamma(u)| = k$  and  $|\Gamma_2(u)| = k_2$  are independent of  $u \in V$ . If  $d = 1$ , then  $\Gamma \cong K_{k+1}$ . Assume  $d \geq 2$  and choose  $u \in V, v \in \Gamma_2(u)$ . If  $d = 4$ , choose  $w \in \Gamma_4(u)$ ; then  $G_{\{u,v,w\}}$  must induce the full symmetric group  $S_3$  on  $\langle u, v, w \rangle$ , which is evidently impossible. Suppose  $d = 3$ . If we can choose  $w \in \Gamma_3(u) - \Gamma(v)$ , then we obtain a contradiction as for  $d = 4$ . Thus  $\Gamma_3(u) \subseteq \Gamma(v)$  for each  $v \in \Gamma_2(u), \Gamma_2(u) \subseteq \Gamma(w)$

for each  $w \in \Gamma_3(u)$ ; then  $\Gamma_2(w) \supseteq \Gamma(u)$ , so since  $(G, V)$  is transitive we have  $\Gamma_2(u) = \Gamma(w)$ ,  $\Gamma(u) = \Gamma_2(w)$ , and  $\Gamma_3(u) = \{w\}$ . But then for  $x \in \Gamma(u)$ ,  $\Gamma_3(x) = \{y\} \subseteq \Gamma_2(u)$ ,  $\langle u, x, y \rangle = K_2 \cup K_1$ . and no element of  $G_{\{u,x,y\}}$  can fix  $y$  and interchange  $u \in \Gamma_2(y)$  and  $x \in \Gamma_3(y)$ . Thus  $d = 2$ .

LEMMA 3. *If  $\Gamma$  has diameter  $d = 2$ , then for each  $u \in V$ ,  $G_u$  acts transitively on  $\Gamma(u)$  and on  $\Gamma_2(u)$  (in other words:  $\Gamma$  is a rank three graph).*

PROOF. Let  $v \in \Gamma(u)$ . For each  $w \in \Gamma(v) \cap \Gamma(u)$ ,  $G_{\{u,v,w\}}$  induces the full symmetric group  $S_3$  on  $\langle u, v, w \rangle$  so  $G_u$  contains an element interchanging  $v$  and  $w$ . Thus  $G_u$  acts transitively on each connected component of  $\langle \Gamma(u) \rangle$ . Further if  $v_1, v_2$  lie in distinct connected components of  $\langle \Gamma(u) \rangle$ , then  $G_{\{u,v_1,v_2\}}$  contains an element fixing  $u$  and interchanging  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ . Hence  $G_u$  acts transitively on the connected components of  $\langle \Gamma(u) \rangle$ . The result for  $\Gamma_2(u)$  follows by considering the complement of  $\Gamma$ .

Thus we may assume that  $\Gamma$  is a connected (rank three) graph of diameter  $d = 2$ .

LEMMA 4.  *$\langle \Gamma(u) \rangle$  is locally homogeneous.*

PROOF. Let  $U \subseteq \Gamma(u)$ . Then each automorphism  $\varphi$  of  $\langle U \rangle$  corresponds to a unique automorphism  $\hat{\varphi}$  of  $\langle U \cup \{u\} \rangle$  fixing  $u$ , and  $\hat{\varphi}$  extends to an automorphism of  $\Gamma$  (fixing  $u$ ) which leaves  $\Gamma(u)$  invariant. Thus  $\varphi$  extends to an automorphism of  $\langle \Gamma(u) \rangle$ .

Thus if  $\Gamma$  is locally homogeneous we may choose  $u \in V = V\Gamma$  and pass to the locally homogeneous graph  $\Gamma^1 := \langle \Gamma(u) \rangle$ , then choose  $u_1 \in V\Gamma^1$  and pass to the locally homogeneous graph  $\Gamma^2 := \langle \Gamma^1(u_1) \rangle$ , etc., until we finally obtain some graph  $\Gamma^i$  isomorphic to  $t \cdot K_r$  for some  $t, r$ . We must thus determine the minimal class of graphs which contains all the graphs  $t \cdot K_r$  and which is closed with respect to 'extension'. Let  $u \in V$ .

LEMMA 5. *If  $\langle \Gamma(u) \rangle \cong k \cdot K_1$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , then  $\Gamma \cong C_3$  or  $\Gamma \cong K_{k,k}$ .*

PROOF. We assume  $k \geq 3$ ,  $d = 2$ . For each  $w \in \Gamma_2(u)$ ,  $|\Gamma(w) \cap \Gamma(u)| = c_2$  is constant. If  $c_2 = 1$ , then  $\Gamma$  is a Moore graph admitting a rank three group, and so is either  $0_3$  or the Hoffman-Singleton graph; however  $0_3$  contains a vertex subgraph isomorphic to  $3 \cdot K_2$  on which  $\text{Aut } 0_3 \cong S_5$  does not induce the full wreath product  $S_2 \wr S_3$ , and the Hoffman-Singleton graph has vertex stabiliser  $G_u \cong S_7$  whereas if  $v \in \Gamma(u)$ , then  $G_{uv}$  induces  $S_{k-1} \times S_{k-1}$  on  $\Gamma(u) \cup \Gamma(v)$ . If  $k > c_2 \geq 2$ , then  $G_{\{u\} \cup \Gamma(u)}$  induces the full symmetric group  $S_k$  on  $\Gamma(u)$  so each  $c_2$ -subset of  $\Gamma(u)$  corresponds to a vertex of  $\Gamma_2(u)$  and

$$\binom{k}{c_2} \leq k_2 = \frac{k(k-1)}{c_2}.$$

Moreover if  $x \in \Gamma(w) \cap \Gamma_2(u)$ , then  $\Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(u) \cap \Gamma(w) = \emptyset$ , so  $2c_2 \leq k$ . Hence  $c_2 = 2$ .  $G_{\{\{u,w\} \cup (\Gamma(u) - \Gamma(w))\}}$  induces the full symmetric group  $S_{k-2}$  on  $\Gamma(u) - \Gamma(w)$  so each 2-subset of  $\Gamma(u) - \Gamma(w)$  corresponds to a vertex of  $\Gamma(w) \cap \Gamma_2(u)$ . Thus  $\binom{k-2}{2} = k-2$ , so  $k = 5$ ,  $|V| = 16$ ,  $\langle \Gamma_2(u) \rangle \cong 0_3$ , and  $0_3$  is not locally homogeneous. Thus  $c_2 = k$ ,  $\Gamma \cong K_{k,k}$ .

LEMMA 6. *If  $\langle \Gamma(u) \rangle \cong K_k$ , then  $\Gamma \cong t \cdot K_{k+1}$ , for some  $t$ .*

LEMMA 7. *If  $\langle \Gamma(u) \rangle \cong t \cdot K_r$ ,  $r \geq 2$ ,  $t \geq 2$ , then  $\Gamma \cong L(K_{3,3})$ .*

PROOF. Let  $\Gamma(u) = U_1 \cup U_r \cup \dots \cup U_t$  be the decomposition of  $\langle \Gamma(u) \rangle$  into connected components. If  $v \in \Gamma_2(u)$ , then  $|\Gamma(v) \cap U_i| \leq 1$ , so  $c_2 \leq t$ .  $G_{\{\{u\} \cup \Gamma(u)\}}$  induces the full wreath product  $S_r \wr S_t$  on  $\Gamma(u)$ . Thus each subgraph  $c_2 \cdot K_1$  of  $\langle \Gamma(u) \rangle$  corresponds to some vertex of  $\Gamma_2(u)$ . Hence

$$\binom{t}{c_2} r^{c_2} \leq k_2 = \frac{tr(tr-r)}{c_2},$$

so  $c_2 \leq 2$ . On the other hand  $\Gamma(u) \cap \Gamma_2(v)$  contains a subset  $U$  with  $\langle U \rangle = t \cdot K_{r-1}$ , so  $G_{\{\{u,v\} \cup U\}}$  induces the full wreath product  $S_{r-1} \wr S_t$  on  $U$  and fixes both  $u$  and  $v$ . Hence  $t = c_2 = 2$ ,  $\Gamma$  is a line graph,  $\Gamma = L(\Delta)$ , and  $\Delta$  is bipartite of diameter two and valency  $r+1$ . Hence  $\Gamma \cong L(K_{r+1,r+1})$ . If  $s \geq 4$ , then  $L(K_{3,s})$  contains a vertex subgraph  $2 \cdot C_4$  on which the full automorphism group  $D_8 \wr S_2$  is not induced. Hence  $r = 2 = t$ ,  $\Gamma \cong L(K_{3,3})$ .

LEMMA 8. *If  $\langle \Gamma(u) \rangle \cong K_{t,r}$ ,  $r \geq 2$ ,  $t \geq 2$ , then  $\Gamma \cong K_{t+1,r}$ .*

PROOF. Choose  $v \in \Gamma(u)$  and set  $\Gamma(v) \cap \Gamma_2(u) =: W$ . In  $\langle \Gamma(v) \rangle \cong K_{t,r}$  we see that  $\langle \{u\} \cup W \rangle \cong r \cdot K_1$  and that for each  $v_i \in \Gamma(u) \cap \Gamma(v)$ ,  $W \subseteq \Gamma(v_i)$ . Since  $\langle \Gamma(u) \rangle$  is connected we obtain  $W = \Gamma_2(u)$ ,  $\Gamma \cong K_{t+1,r}$ .

LEMMA 9.  $\langle \Gamma(u) \rangle \not\cong C_5, L(K_{3,3})$ .

PROOF. Suppose  $\langle \Gamma(v) \rangle \cong C_5$  for each  $v \in V$ . Considering each  $v \in \Gamma(u)$  in turn forces  $\langle \Gamma_2(u) \rangle \cong C_5$ , whence  $\Gamma$  is the isosahedron, contrary to  $d = 2$ . Suppose  $\langle \Gamma(u) \rangle \cong L(K_{3,3})$ . Choose  $w \in \Gamma_2(u)$ . If  $v \in \Gamma(u) \cap \Gamma(w)$ , then  $|\Gamma(u) \cap \Gamma(v) \cap \Gamma(w)| = 2$ , and applying this to  $v_i \in \Gamma(u) \cap \Gamma(w) \cap \Gamma(v)$  implies  $c_2 \geq 4$ . Since  $9 \cdot 4 / c_2 = k_2$  we have either (a)  $c_2 = 4$ , or (b)  $c_2 = 6$ . If  $c_2 = 4$ , then  $|V| = 19$ , so  $\Gamma$  is a graph of valency 9 on 19 vertices which is impossible. If  $c_2 = 6$ , then  $\langle \Gamma_2(u) \rangle$  is a trivalent graph on six vertices, so  $\langle \Gamma_2(u) \rangle \cong K_{3,3}$ . But  $\Gamma^c$  then contradicts Lemma 7.

Our induction is thus complete: a finite locally homogeneous graph is one of the following: (i)  $t \cdot K_r$ ,  $t \geq 1$ ,  $r \geq 1$ , (ii)  $K_{t,r}$ ,  $t \geq 1$ ,  $r \geq 1$ , (iii)  $C_5$ , (iv)  $L(K_{3,3})$ . But each of these graph is also homogeneous. Thus we have the

**THEOREM.** *The following conditions on a finite graph  $\Gamma$  are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\Gamma$  is homogeneous,
- (b)  $\Gamma$  is locally homogeneous,
- (c)  $\Gamma$  is one of the graphs  $t \cdot K_r$ ,  $t \cong 1$ ,  $r \cong 1$ ;  $K_{t,r}$ ,  $t \cong 1$ ,  $r \cong 1$ ;  $C_5$ ;  $L(K_{3,3})$ .

**REMARK.** Our results do not in fact need the full force of the finiteness assumption; the same results, with the same proofs, hold for locally finite graphs.

#### References

- A. Gardiner (to appear), 'Homogeneous graphs', *J. Combinatorial Theory*.  
J. Sheehan (1974), 'Smoothly embeddable subgraphs', *J. London Math. Soc.* (2), **9**, 212–218.  
R. J. Wilson (1972), *Introduction to graph theory* (Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh).  
H. Wielandt (1964), *Finite permutation groups* (Academic Press, New York).  
H. P. Yap (1974), 'Some remarks on stable graphs', *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **10**, 351–357.

Pure Mathematics  
University of Birmingham  
Birmingham B15 2TT  
England.