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#### Abstract

A method based off of operator consideration for solving the time evolution of a wave function is developed. The method is applied to free space, constant force and harmonic oscillator potentials where general solutions are derived for the wave function time evolutions for these potentials. Solving the Heisenberg equations of motion is discussed. A method to obtain exact solutions to Heisenberg's equations of motion is developed based off of solutions of classical equations of motion. Approximation methods for the time evolution of operators and wave functions are developed. A connection between classical and quantum chaos is made where it is realized that whenever a classical system behaves chaotically, the quantum system will as well.


## Introduction

This paper is concerned with two primary results.
1.) The time evolution of a state in the Schrödinger picture can be solved for by considering time evolved operators with the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \psi(q, t) d q|q\rangle=\psi(\hat{q}(-t)) K_{H}(\hat{q}, t) \int d q|q\rangle \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\hat{q}(-t)$ is the time evolution of the operator $\hat{q}$ in the negative time direction and $K_{H}(q, t)$ is defined by the time evolution of the wave function $\psi(q)=1$.
2.) The quantum time evolution of Heisenberg position and momentum operators $\hat{q}(t)$ and $\hat{p}(t)$ can be solved exactly by solving the classical equations for position $Q(q, p, t)$ and momentum $P(q, p, t)$ at time $t$, where $q$ and $p$ are the initial position and momentum, then substituting the initial position and momentum operators $\hat{q}$ and $\hat{p}$ for $q$ and $p$, then finding the correct ordering of $\hat{q}$ and $\hat{p}$.

The first part of the paper focuses on the general time evolution of wave functions using operator methods. To see how propagators can be used for the same purpose see [1],[3]. The methods will be applied to the free particle, constant force and harmonic oscillator potentials. These potentials are special in that they constitute potentials for which the Heisenberg equations of motion for position and momentum have been solved for exactly. The derivation of these equations are inessential for the discussion of this paper so, for later use, they will just be stated now. For more discussion of these solutions see [1],[2].

| Free Particle <br> (2) | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{q}(t)=\hat{q}+\frac{d \hat{H}}{d \hat{p}} t \\ & \hat{p}(t)=\hat{p} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Constant Force <br> (3) | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{q}(t)=\hat{q}+\frac{d \hat{H}}{d \hat{p}} t+\frac{F}{2 m} t^{2} \\ & \hat{p}(t)=\hat{p}+\frac{F}{m} t \end{aligned}$ |
| Harmonic Oscillator(Non-Relativistic) <br> (4) |  |

The second part of this paper focuses on general methods, both exact and approximate, for solving the Heisenberg equations of motion for potentials that are more difficult than these quadratic potentials.

## II

## General Time Evolution

The general solution for the time evolution of an arbitrary state $|\alpha\rangle$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\alpha, t\rangle=e^{-i \hat{i f t} \mid}|\alpha\rangle \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where from here on out we will be using the convention $\hbar=c=1$. The goal of this section is to repackage (5) into (1). Let $\hat{\xi}_{i}$ be a vector operator with a continuous set of observables that spans our Hilbert space. As an example, $\hat{\xi}_{i}$ could represent position or momentum. Also let $\psi\left(\xi_{i}\right)=\left\langle\xi_{i} \mid \alpha\right\rangle$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\alpha, t\rangle=e^{-i \hat{H} t} \int d \xi\left(\xi_{i}\right)\left|\hat{\xi}_{i}\right\rangle=e^{-i \hat{H} t} \psi\left(\hat{\xi}_{i}\right) \int d \xi_{i}\left|\xi_{i}\right\rangle \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where because $\hat{\xi}_{i}\left|\xi_{i}\right\rangle=\xi_{i}\left|\xi_{i}\right\rangle, \psi(\xi)$ can be replaced with $\psi(\hat{\xi})$ and pull it out of the integral. Now

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-i \hat{f t} t \hat{\xi}_{i}^{i \hat{H} t}=\hat{\xi}_{i}(-t) .} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus by Taylor expanding $\psi(\hat{\xi})$ we can show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-i \hat{H} t} \psi\left(\hat{\xi}_{i}\right) e^{i \hat{H} t}=\psi\left(\hat{\xi}_{i}(-t)\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

So that (6) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\alpha, t\rangle=\psi\left(\hat{\xi}_{i}(-t)\right) e^{-i \hat{H} t} \int d \xi_{i}\left|\xi_{i}\right\rangle \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see that $e^{-i \hat{H t}} \int d \xi_{i}\left|\xi_{i}\right\rangle=\int d \xi_{i} e^{-i \hat{H t} t}\left|\xi_{i}\right\rangle=\int d \xi_{i} K_{H}\left(\xi_{i}, t\right)\left|\xi_{i}\right\rangle$ for some $K_{H}\left(\hat{\xi}_{i}, t\right)$. We call this the kernel. It is just the time evolution of the state of the Schrödinger wave function $\psi\left(\xi_{i}\right)=1$. The general result for the time evolution of an arbitrary state is

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\alpha, t\rangle=\psi\left(\hat{\xi}_{i}(-t)\right) K_{H}\left(\hat{\xi}_{i}, t\right) \int d \xi_{i}\left|\xi_{i}\right\rangle \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular if $\hat{\xi}_{i}$ represents position $\hat{q}_{i}$ and $\hat{H}$ is the single particle Hamiltonian for either the relativistic or non-relativistic cases with arbitrary scalar and vector potentials, then this gives exactly (1) back as the result.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(\hat{q}_{i}(-t) K_{H}\left(\hat{q}_{i}, t\right) \int d_{i}\left|\mathscr{q}_{i}\right\rangle\right. \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Although we express this equation in the position basis, the momentum basis could have been used, in which case all the q's would be replaced with p's. The equation of motion becomes,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(\hat{p}_{i}(-t) K_{H}\left(\hat{p}_{i}, t\right) \int d_{i}\left|\boldsymbol{p}_{i}\right\rangle\right. \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This kernel obviously has different functional forms depending on the basis used. The convention is such that it is implied that the function form is different for different dependent variables.

Which basis you use is a matter of taste and also what problem you are working on. In fact, problems can occur that have a simple solution if you switch to a basis that is a mixture of both momentum and position bases. Using creation and annihilation operators with the harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian is a good example of this.

## III

## The Kernel

In order to do any practical calculation for the time evolution of the wave function we will need either find the kernel directly or deduce how it changes the time evolution. The equation we must solve is

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-i \hat{H} t} \int d \xi_{i}\left|\xi_{i}\right\rangle=K_{H}\left(\hat{\xi}_{i}, t\right) \int d \xi_{i}\left|\xi_{i}\right\rangle \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If a complete set of eigenstates of the Hamiltonians were known, then the identity operator could be formed.

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\int d \quad E \lambda|E \lambda \lambda\rangle\langle E \lambda| \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\lambda$ is a parameter that uniquely specifies a particular energy eigenket out of possibly multiple degenerate energy states. For simplicity, we assume a continuous spectrum of energies although the discrete case can easily added by adding a sum. Inserting this into [13] gives
$\int d \xi_{i}\left|\xi_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle\xi_{i}\right| \int d \quad \lambda|E \lambda\rangle\left\langle E \lambda A e^{-i \hat{H t t}} \int d \xi_{i}^{\prime} \mid \xi_{i}^{\prime}\right\rangle=d \int d \xi_{i}\left|\xi_{i}\right\rangle \int e^{-i} d^{E} \lambda \int d \xi_{i}^{\prime}\left\langle\xi_{i} \# E \lambda\right\rangle\left\langle E \lambda \| \xi_{i}^{\prime}\right\rangle$
Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{H}\left(\xi_{i}, t\right)=\int e^{-i} \quad \bar{d} \quad \lambda^{t} \int \Delta \xi_{i}^{\prime}\left\langle\xi_{i} \| E(\lambda\rangle\left\langle E \lambda \| \xi_{i}^{\prime}\right\rangle\right. \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can simply replace variable $\xi_{i}$ with the operator $\hat{\xi}_{i}$ to get $K_{H}\left(\hat{\xi}_{i}, t\right)$.
In principle we are done finding the kernel. However, a complete set of eigenstates is not always easy to find. We can do better. We can find the kernel in terms of only one eigenstate of the Hamiltonian. Let $\phi_{0}\left(\hat{\xi}_{i}\right) \int d \xi_{i}\left|\xi_{i}\right\rangle$ be an eigenstate of $\hat{H}$ with an eigenvalue of $E_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-i \hat{H} t} \int d \xi_{i}\left|\xi_{i}\right\rangle=e^{-i \hat{H} t} \frac{\phi_{0}\left(\hat{\xi}_{i}\right)}{\phi_{0}\left(\hat{\xi}_{i}\right)} \int d \xi_{i}\left|\xi_{i}\right\rangle=e^{-i \hat{H t t}} \frac{1}{\phi_{0}\left(\hat{\xi}_{i}\right)} e^{i \hat{H} t} e^{-i \hat{H} t} \phi_{0}\left(\hat{\xi}_{i}\right) \int d \xi_{i}\left|\xi_{i}\right\rangle \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which gives a way to solve for the kernel using the equation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{H}\left(\hat{\xi}_{i}, t\right) \int d \xi_{i}\left|\xi_{i}\right\rangle=e^{-i{ }_{0} t E} \frac{1}{\phi_{0}\left(\hat{\xi}_{i}(-t)\right.} \phi_{0}\left(\hat{\xi}_{i}\right) \int d \xi_{i}\left|\xi_{i}\right\rangle \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is surprising in that the kernel is the same no matter what eigenstate we use to calculate it. The eigenstates form an equivalence class such that for any $\phi_{n}$ and $\phi_{m}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-i}{ }_{n} \frac{E}{\phi_{n}\left(\hat{\xi}_{i}(-t)\right.} \phi_{n}\left(\hat{\xi}_{j}\right) \int d \xi_{i}\left|\xi_{i}\right\rangle=e^{-i}{ }_{m} \frac{E}{\phi_{m}\left(\hat{\xi}_{i}(-t)\right.} \phi_{m}\left(\hat{\xi}_{i}\right) \int d \xi_{i}\left|\xi_{i}\right\rangle \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let's call it the time evolution kernel class.
As an example of the kernel being calculated from an arbitrary eigenstate, consider the free Hamiltonian for a non-relativistic particle in one dimension. For which we've been assuming that the kernel was just the identity element. We can certainly get this if we choose our energy eigenfunction to just be $\phi_{0}(q)=1$. It doesn't time evolve at all. We could have chosen $e^{i k \hat{\vartheta}}=\phi_{0}(\hat{q})$ in which case we would have

Because $e^{-i \sqrt{k}} \hat{p} e^{i \sqrt{h}}=\hat{p}+k$ we find that $e^{-i \hbar} f(\hat{p}) e^{i \hbar}=f(\hat{p}+k)$ thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{H}(\hat{q}, t) \int d|q\rangle=e^{\frac{-i^{2} t}{2} \underline{q}} e^{i \hat{q}} e^{\bar{k}^{i}\left(\hat{q}-\frac{\hat{p}+k}{m} t\right)} \int d|q\rangle=e^{\frac{i^{2} t}{2 \eta}{ }^{k}} e^{i \hat{q}} e^{\bar{k}^{i}\left(\hat{q}-k_{m}^{\hat{p}_{m}} t\right)} \int d|q\rangle \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-i \hat{H} t} e^{-i \hat{q}} \int d|q\rangle=\boldsymbol{q}^{-i \hat{H} t} \int d d^{-i}| |^{k} \phi\left|=^{q} e^{\frac{-i^{2} t}{2 m}} e \int^{-i}\right|^{k} \varphi \phi \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

But also

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-i \hat{H} t} e^{-i \hat{q} k} \int d|q\rangle_{q} \neq e^{-i\left(\hat{q}-\frac{\hat{p}}{m} t\right)} \int d|q\rangle \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{H}(\hat{q}, t) \int d|q\rangle=q^{\frac{i^{2} t k}{2 m}} e^{i \hat{q}} e^{k^{-\frac{i^{2}}{2} t k}} e^{-i \hat{q}} \int d|q\rangle=\oint d|q\rangle \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we see that we get the same free space kernel no matter what momentum eigenstate we use to calculate it is.

## III <br> Propagation in Free Space

When solving for the propagation of the electromagnetic waves we find that they all travel at the same speed c. The wave equation for the propagation of the electromagnetic waves is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{c^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial t^{2}}=\nabla^{2} \psi \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can substitute the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=\psi\left(q_{i}-n_{i} c\right) t \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{i}$ is a unit vector that gives the direction of the propagation of the wave, and we see that this solves the differential equation (25). A sum of arbitrary wave forms of this kind, each moving in an arbitrary direction, gives us the most general solution to (1).

This simplicity seemingly breaks down when we add a mass term to get the Klein Gordon equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{H}^{2} \psi=\left(\hat{p}^{2} c^{2}+m^{2} c^{4}\right) \psi \\
& \text { or }  \tag{27}\\
& -\hbar^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial t^{2}}=\left(-c^{2} \hbar^{2} \nabla^{2}+m^{2} c^{4}\right) \psi
\end{align*}
$$

Now only wave forms proportional to $e^{i k x}$, i.e. with definite wave lengths, travel with a definite velocity. Let us define a group velocity operator by,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial \hat{p}_{i}}=\frac{d \hat{q}_{i}}{d t}=\hat{v}_{i} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the group velocity operator operates on a momentum eigenstate, we get the equation for group velocity as a function of momentum eigenstates.

By using equation (1), the equations of motion of position and momentum operators for free particle and the fact that the free space kernel is the identity operator, we find the time evolution of a state is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\psi\left(\hat{q}_{i}-\hat{v}_{i} t\right) \int d|\Psi|\right\rangle \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the limit that the rest mass goes to zero for a relativistic particle, we have $\frac{d \hat{q}_{i}}{d}=c \hat{n}_{i}=c \frac{\hat{p}_{i}}{\sqrt{\hat{p}_{j} \hat{p}_{j}}}$, where c is the speed of light and $\hat{n}_{i}$, satisfies $\hat{n}_{i} \hat{n}_{i}=1$,
is a unit operator that picks out the direction of the propagation of the wave from the form of the wave function $\psi\left(q_{i}\right)$ at $t=0$. We see then that if our wave function is such that it propagates in only one direction, then we get back exactly (26) from (29). See [1] for a treatment with propagators.

## Free Space Polynomials

Any well behaved wave function can be expanded in an infinite series of basis functions. Hence if we can solve for the time evolution of each term in the series, we can solve for the time evolution of the state as a whole. In free space the time evolution of a Fourier component $e^{i k q}$ has already been solved.

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{k(\hat{q}-\hat{t} t)} \int d|q\rangle_{q}=\int d^{i} \quad \hat{q}^{-i q(\hat{q})}|q\rangle \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we will focus on another set of basis states, polynomials. For simplicity we will consider a non relativistic Hamiltonian $\hat{H}=\frac{\hat{p}^{2}}{2 m}$ in which group velocity operator is just $\hat{v}=\frac{\hat{p}}{m}$. In general a function can be expressed as a Taylor series.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(q)=\sum_{n} \frac{1}{n!} \psi^{(n)}(0) q^{n} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the time evolution is just,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(q, t)=\sum_{n} \frac{1}{n!} \psi^{(n)}(0)\left(q+\frac{i}{m} \frac{d}{d}\right)^{n} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The problem is to find an expression for $\left(q+\frac{i t}{m} \frac{d}{d q}\right)^{n}$ for arbitrary $n$. We can relate this problem to that of finding moments of a Gaussian distribution.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d{ }^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{q} \alpha q^{2}+J}=\left(\frac{d}{d} \phi^{n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \alpha q^{2}+J} q=\left(\frac{d}{d}\right)^{n} e^{\frac{J^{2}}{2 \alpha}}=e^{\frac{J^{2}}{2 \alpha}}\left(e^{-\frac{J^{2}}{2 \alpha}} \frac{d}{d} e^{\frac{J^{2}}{2 \alpha}}\right)^{n}=e^{\frac{J^{2}}{2 \alpha}}\left(\frac{d}{d}+\frac{J}{\alpha}\right)^{n}\right. \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

We know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d{ }^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \alpha q^{2}} q=\left(\frac{1+(-1)^{n}}{2}\right) \frac{(n+1)!}{\alpha^{n / 2}}=\left.\left(\frac{!d}{d}+\frac{J}{\alpha}\right)_{J}^{n}\right|^{J=0} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first differentiate and then set ${ }^{J=0}$. We can use this result to expand in a Taylor series.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d}{d}+\frac{J}{\alpha}\right)^{n}=\left.\sum_{l=0}^{n} \frac{1}{l!}\left(\frac{d}{d}\right)^{l}\left(\frac{d}{d}+\frac{J}{J}\right)^{n}\right|_{J} ^{J=0} J^{l}=\sum_{l=0}^{n} \frac{\left(1+(-1)^{n-l}\right)}{2} \frac{n!}{l!(n-l)}(n-l+1)!\frac{J^{l}}{\alpha^{\frac{n+l}{2}}} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence
(36) $\left(q+\frac{i}{m} \frac{d}{d}\right)^{n}=\left(\frac{i}{m q}\right)^{n}\left(\frac{q}{\frac{i}{m}}+\frac{d}{t d}\right)^{n}=\left(\frac{i}{m q}\right)^{n} \sum_{l=0}^{n t} \frac{\left(1+(-1)^{n-l}\right)}{2} \frac{n!}{l!(n-l)}(n-l+1) \frac{q^{l}}{\frac{i}{\frac{i}{2}^{\frac{n+l}{2} t}}}$

Thus we have a complete set of time evolved wave function that we can use to find the time evolution of an arbitrary wave function in free space.

This procedure can be used for an arbitrary group velocity operator in free space. For instance if we had started with the relativistic Hamiltonian, the group velocity operator would be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{v}_{i}=c \frac{\hat{p}_{i}}{\sqrt{m^{2}+\hat{p}_{j} \hat{p}_{j}}} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finding the relativistic free space polynomials would be more difficult but still manageable. We can use the canonical commutation relationships to commute all the momentum operators to the right where upon acting on the unity function, momentum operators go to zero.

## IV

## Propagation in a Constant Force Potential

The problem of finding the time evolution of a wave function under a constant force can be used to approximate the time evolution of wave functions in regions where the change in the slope of a potential is small over the width of a wave packet. The eigenstates of this potential is given by the Airy function. See [4] for more details. To solve this problem in general we first find the kernel of the Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}=\frac{\hat{p}^{2}}{2 m}-F \hat{q} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where the kernel is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.e^{-i \hat{H} t} \int d|q\rangle=\oint d d^{-i\left(\frac{\hat{p}^{2}}{2 \eta q}-F \hat{q}\right) t} \right\rvert\, q\right) e=\int d \quad(q, q)|q\rangle \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the form of the Hamiltonian we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d q} K(q, t)=i F t K(q, t) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(q, t)=\alpha(t) e^{i F}+\xi(t) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because the kernel is a solution to the Schrödinger equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \frac{d}{d}\left(\alpha(t) e_{t}^{i} \quad F_{+} \beta(t)\right) \underline{\underline{q}}\left(\frac{\hat{p}^{2}}{2 m}-F \hat{q}\right)\left(\alpha(t) e^{i} \quad F_{+} \beta(t)\right) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

We find that

$$
\begin{align*}
& i \dot{\alpha}(t)=\frac{\left(F \dot{\eta}^{2}\right.}{2 m}  \tag{42}\\
& i \dot{\beta}(t)=-F \mathscr{\beta}(t)
\end{align*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha(t)=e^{-i \frac{F^{2} t^{3}}{6 m}}  \tag{43}\\
& \beta(t)=0
\end{align*}
$$

And so the kernel is just

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(q, t)=e^{-i \frac{F^{2} t^{3}}{6 m}} e^{i F} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now that we know what the kernel is, we can use the time evolution for the position operator to find the time evolution of an arbitrary wave function. We have to solve the equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left.\int \psi(q, t) d \phi q\right\rangle=\psi\left(\hat{q}-\frac{\hat{p}}{m} t+\frac{F}{2 m} t^{2}\right) e^{-i \frac{F^{2} t^{3}}{6 m}} e^{i F \hat{q}} \int d \phi q\right\rangle \\
& \left.=e^{-i \frac{F^{2} t^{3}}{6 m}} e^{i F \hat{q}} \psi\left(\hat{q}-\frac{e^{-i F \hat{q}} \hat{p} e^{i F \hat{q}}}{m} t+\frac{F}{2 m} t^{2}\right) \int d \phi q\right\rangle \\
& \left.=e^{-i \frac{F^{2} t^{3}}{6 m}} e^{i F \hat{q}} \psi\left(\hat{q}-\frac{\hat{p}+F}{m} t+\frac{F}{2 m} t^{2}\right) \int d \phi q\right\rangle \\
& \left.=e^{-i \frac{F^{2} t^{3}}{6 m}} e^{i F \hat{q}} \psi\left(\hat{q}-\frac{\hat{p}}{m} t-\frac{F}{2 m} t^{2}\right) \int d \phi q\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

But this is just like the time evolution of a wave function in free space where the position operator has been translated by a multiple of the identity operator by $\frac{F}{2 m} t^{2}$. We will solve the time evolution both using Taylor and Fourier series expansions. Using free space polynomials, the solution is trivial.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(q, t)=e^{-i \frac{F^{2} t^{3}}{6 m}} e^{i} \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi^{(n)}(0)}{n!}\left(\frac{i}{m}\right)^{n} \sum_{l=0}^{p} \frac{\left(1+(-1)^{n-l}\right)}{2} \frac{n!}{l!(n-l)}(n-l+1)!\frac{\left(q-\frac{F}{!2 m} t^{2}\right)^{l}}{\frac{i}{m} \frac{n+1}{2 t}} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving for the time evolution in terms of a Fourier transform is equally easy. First we note that

Hence if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(q)=\int d \frac{A(k)}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{i k} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

The time evolution of the wave function on the action of a constant force is just

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(q, t)=e^{-i \frac{F^{2} t^{3}}{6 m}} e^{i} \int \tilde{q} \int d \frac{t A(k)}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-i \frac{k^{2}}{2 m} t} e^{i\left(\left(\alpha-\frac{F}{2 m} t^{2}\right)\right.} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

## V <br> Propagation in a Harmonic Potential

The time evolution of a state in a harmonic oscillator potential is just

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\psi(q, t) \int d|q\rangle=\psi q(\hat{q} \mathrm{c} \quad \omega t) \Theta \frac{\hat{p}}{m \omega} \mathrm{~s} \quad \mathrm{~s} \omega t\right) \mathrm{i} K\left((\hat{q}, t) \int d|q\rangle\right. \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

To find the kernel we use (18) with the ground state harmonic oscillator wave function $e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{q}{q_{0}}\right)^{2}}$ so that the time evolution becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\psi(q, t) \int d|q\rangle=\psi(\hat{q} \mathrm{c} \quad \omega t) 0+\frac{\hat{p}}{m \omega} \mathrm{ss} \omega t\right) e^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\hat{q} \mathrm{c} c}{\left.\omega t) \hat{t} \frac{\hat{p}}{m \omega} \mathrm{~s} \omega t\right)}\right.} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{n}}()^{2} e^{-\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}}\left(\frac{q}{q_{0}}\right)^{2}} \int d|q\rangle \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general
(52) $f(\hat{q}, \hat{p}) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\hat{q}}{q_{0}}\right)^{2}}=e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\hat{q}}{q_{0}}\right)^{2}} f\left(\hat{q}, e^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\hat{q}}{q_{0}}\right)^{2}} \hat{p} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\hat{q}}{q_{0}}\right)^{2}}\right)=e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\hat{q}}{q_{0}}\right)^{2}} f\left(\hat{q}, \hat{p}+i \frac{\hat{q}}{q_{0}{ }^{2}}\right)$

Therefore
(53) $\psi(q, t) \int d q|q\rangle=e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{q}{q_{0}}\right)^{2}} \psi\left(\hat{q} e^{-i \omega t}-\frac{\hat{p}}{m \omega} \sin (\omega t)\right) e^{\left.\frac{1}{2\left(\frac{\hat{q}-i \omega t}{-\frac{\hat{p}}{m \omega} \sin (\omega t)}\right.}\right)^{2}} \int d q|q\rangle$

We can make this equation look more familiar by making some definitions

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha & =\alpha(t)=e^{-i \omega t} \\
\tau & =\tau(t)=\frac{1}{\omega} e^{i \omega t} \sin (\omega t) \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we can write

We see now how we can use the mathematics that describes free space propagation to describe propagation in a harmonic oscillator potential. We can expand in a Taylor series to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(q) e^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{q}{q_{0}}\right)^{2}}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi^{(n)}(0)}{n!k!}\left(\frac{1}{2 q_{0}{ }^{2}}\right)^{k} q^{2 k+n} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using free space polynomials we find
(57) $\begin{aligned} & \left(\alpha\left(\hat{q}+\frac{i \tau}{m} \frac{d}{d}\right)\right)_{q}^{2 k+n}=\left(\alpha \frac{i \tau}{m}\right)^{2 k+n}\left(\frac{1}{\frac{i \tau}{m}} q+\frac{d}{d}\right)_{q}^{2 k+n} \\ & =\left(\alpha \frac{i \tau}{m}\right)^{2 k+n} \sum_{l=0}^{2 k+n} \frac{\left(1+(-1)^{2 k+n-l}\right)}{2} \frac{(2 k+n)!}{l!(2 k+n-l)}(2 k+n-l+1)!\frac{q^{2 k+n}}{\frac{i \tau}{m}}\end{aligned}$

Finally we write the general solution as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(q, t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi^{(n)}(0)}{n!k!}\left(\frac{1}{2 q_{0}^{2}}\right)^{k}\left(\alpha \frac{i \tau}{m}\right)^{2 k+n} \sum_{l=0}^{2 k+n} \frac{\left(1+(-1)^{2 k+n-l}\right)}{2} \frac{(2 k+n)}{l!(2 k+n-l)}\left(2 k+\frac{!}{n-l+1)!} \frac{q^{2 k+n}}{\frac{i \tau}{m} \frac{2 k+n+l}{2}}!\right. \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can also solve the problem by expressing our wave function as a Fourier transform. In order to do this we need to time evolve an exponential function.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(q)=\int d \frac{A(k)}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}{ }^{i k} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

The time evolved state is just

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(\hat{q}, t) \int d|q\rangle=e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\hat{q}}{q_{0}}\right)^{2}} \int d \frac{A(k) e^{i \alpha k\left(\hat{q}-\frac{\hat{p}}{m} \tau\right)}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\alpha\left(\hat{q}-\frac{\hat{q}}{m} \tau\right)}{q_{0}}\right)^{2}} \int d|q\rangle \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we make the definitions

$$
\begin{align*}
& k^{\prime}=\alpha k \\
& q_{0}^{\prime}=\frac{q_{0}}{\alpha} \tag{61}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the only step needed to find the time evolution is to find the free space time evolution of the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i k^{\prime} q} e^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{q}{q_{0}^{\prime}}\right)^{2}}=e^{i k^{\prime} q} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{q}{i q_{0}^{\prime}}\right)^{2}} \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see that this is equivalent to the time evolution of a Gaussian wave packet with an imaginary width. The result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(q, t)=e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{q}{q_{0}}\right)^{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{i \tau \alpha^{2}}{m q_{0}{ }^{2}}}} \int d k \frac{A(k)}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{i \frac{\alpha k q_{0}{ }^{2}}{2}} e^{\frac{1^{\left.\left(q+i \frac{k}{\alpha} q_{0}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}}}{\left(\frac{q_{0}}{\alpha}\right)^{2}-\frac{i \tau}{m}}} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a treatment using propagators see [1],[3].

## VI

## Solving Heisenberg's Equations of Motion

Potentials that are quadratic are easy to solve because they lead to linear second order differential equations which have known solutions [2]. In order to apply the scheme in this paper for finding the time evolution of wave functions, we'll need to have general methods for solving Heisenberg's equations of motion. We will revert to including $\hbar$ because we would like to send it to zero and show a connection to classical mechanics that will be helpful.

First some definitions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{q}(t)=e^{i \frac{\hat{H} t}{\hbar}} \hat{q} e^{-i \frac{\hat{H} t}{\hbar}}  \tag{64}\\
& \hat{p}(t)=e^{i \frac{\hat{H} t}{\hbar}} \hat{p} e^{-i \frac{\hat{H} t}{\hbar}}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $\hat{q}$ and $\hat{p}$ are the position and momentum operators at time $t=0$ and $\frac{d \hat{q}}{d t}$ and $\frac{d \hat{p}}{d t}$ are the derivatives with respect to time of position and momentum operators at time $t=0$, that is, we first take the derivative, and then set time to zero. We can expand $\hat{q}(t)$ in a Taylor series about time $t=0$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{q}(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n}}{n!} \frac{d^{n} \hat{q}}{d^{n} t} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general $\frac{d^{n} \hat{q}}{d t^{n}}$ will be some polynomial in terms of $\hat{q}$ and $\hat{p}$ with terms like $\hat{q}(\hat{q} \hat{p}+\hat{p} \hat{q})+(\hat{q} \hat{p}+\hat{p} \hat{q}) \hat{q}$ or $\hat{q}^{2} \hat{p}+\hat{p} \hat{q}^{2}$. We have two ways of computing time derivatives. By using the chain rule and by finding the commutator of an operator with the Hamiltonian. For instance, the derivative of $\hat{q}^{n}$ using the chain rule is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d} \hat{q}_{t}^{n}=\sum_{j=0}^{n} \hat{q}^{j}\left(\frac{d \hat{q}}{d}\right) \hat{q}^{n-1-j} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where using Heisenberg's equations of motion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \hat{q}}{d}=\frac{1}{t}[\hat{q}, \hat{H}]=\frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial \hat{p}} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d} \hat{q}_{t}^{n}=\sum_{j=0}^{n} \hat{q}^{j} \frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial \hat{p}} \hat{q}^{n-1-j} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $\frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial \hat{p}}$ can be expanded as a Taylor series in $\hat{q}$ and $\hat{p}$ and so can be expressed as a polynomial in $\hat{q}$ and $\hat{p}$. It is interesting that if we choose an appropriate ordering, then no $\hbar$ 's enter the solution of $\hat{q}(t)$. If we let $\hbar$ go to zero, that is let $\hat{q}$ and $\hat{p}$ commute, we get exactly the Poisson bracket as our equation for the time derivative of an operator. This suggests a useful way for solving the time evolution of quantum mechanical operators. We can solve the classical equations of motion for position and momentum $Q(q, p, t)$ and $P(q, p, t)$ where $q$ and $p$ are the initial position and momentum of our classical system. If we now substitute the quantum operators $\hat{q}$ and $\hat{p}$ for the initial conditions $q$ and $p$ and find the correct ordering of $\hat{q}$ and $\hat{p}$, then we will have solved for the time evolution of the quantum operators.

## VII <br> Approximation Methods

We've seen that we can expand the position operator in a Taylor series in time

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{q}(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n}}{n!} \frac{d^{n} \hat{q}}{d^{n} t} \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the Heisenberg equations of motion for any operator $\hat{A}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \hat{A}}{d t}=\frac{1}{i \hbar}[\hat{A}, \hat{H}] \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can use as many terms as we'd like to get whatever accuracy that is needed. Inserting the approximation for $\hat{q}(t)$ into (1) to gives an approximation for the time evolution of a wave function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \psi(q, t) d|q\rangle=\psi\left(\sum_{n} \frac{(-t)^{n}}{n!} \frac{d^{n} \hat{q}}{d^{n}}\right) K_{H}(\hat{q}, t) \int d|q\rangle \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where because we are working in finite order in time, we can expand the kernel as

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{H}(\hat{q}, t) \int d|q\rangle q e^{-i \frac{\hat{H t}}{\hbar}} \int d|q\rangle q \sum_{n} \frac{\left(-i \frac{\hat{H}(\hat{q}, \hat{p}) t}{\hbar}\right)^{n}}{n!} \int d|q\rangle \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Commuting all the momentum operators to the right and annihilating them on $\int d q|q\rangle$ gives the correct result for the time evolution. Although this seems tedious to do by hand, a computer could do it very quickly. The benefit to using this approximation is that each term is relatively easy to compute. The draw back is that, in general, the approximation diverges as time gets larger. Therefore, in general, many terms will be needed to get the accuracy that is desired in any calculation.

Perhaps a more satisfying approach is to solve the classical equations of motion, expand in a Taylor series in initial position and momentum, and substitute the quantum operators in the appropriate order.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{q}(t) \approx Q(0,0, t)+\frac{\partial Q}{\partial q}(0,0, t) \hat{q}+\frac{\partial Q}{\partial p}(0,0, t) \hat{p}+\frac{\partial^{2} Q}{\partial q^{2}}(0,0, t) \frac{\hat{q}^{2}}{2}+\frac{\partial^{2} Q}{\partial p \partial q}(0,0, t) \frac{(\hat{q} \hat{p}+\hat{p} \hat{q})}{2}+\frac{\partial^{2} Q}{\partial p^{2}}(0,0, t) \frac{\hat{p}^{2}}{2}+\cdots \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

The benefit to this approximation is that the time dependence of the coefficients do not diverge. They reflect the physical situation. For instance, if the classical behavior is oscillatory, then the coefficients will have the same period. The drawback of using this approximation is that the wave packet has to be localized in position and momentum enough to make the Taylor series a good approximation and that there is an ordering ambiguity that needs to be solved for higher order terms than quadratic. However, if all that is needed are the linear terms, then the methods used in the examples can be used to solve for the time evolution of a wave function.

Which approximation to use depends on whether or not resolution in space and momentum or time is desired.

## VIII Implications for Quantum Chaos

It has been shown that the time evolution of a state depends on the time evolved position and momentum operators and that, apart from ordering, the classical and quantum equations of motion are the same. Therefore we expect that wherever a system exhibits classical chaos, it will exhibit quantum chaos as well. That is, the time evolution of a wave function will depend sensitively on the initial wave function. As an example consider a real symmetric wave packet centered upon a point of unstable equilibrium (e.g. the top of a hill). After a short time it will be observed that the wave packet has split into two, half on one side and half on the other side.

## IX <br> Conclusion

Often times looking at the same problem with a different perspective can lead to new insight that allows one to develop beyond what was possible with the original method. In this case the method of propagators can be used to find the time dependence of for the simple potentials used in this paper. However, reformulating the time dependence problem in terms of operators using equations (1) has lead to approximation methods that might not have been so obvious had we used differential equation methods.

Although it may be obvious that in the limit that $\hbar$ goes to zero, the Heisenberg equations of motion become the classical Poisson brackets. It is not so obvious that the Heisenberg equations of motion are identical with Poisson brackets if ordering of operators is taken into account.
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