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#### Abstract

Genome-encoded antibiotic resistance (ARE) ATP-binding cassette (ABC) proteins of the F subfamily (ARE-ABCFs) mediate intrinsic resistance in diverse Gram-positive bacteria. The diversity of chromosomally-encoded ARE-ABCFs is far from being fully experimentally explored. Here we characterise phylogenetically diverse genome-encoded ABCFs from Actinomycetia (Ard1 from Streptomyces capreolus, producer of the nucleoside antibiotic A201A), Bacilli (VmIR2 from soil bacterium Neobacillus vireti) and Clostridia (CpIR from Clostridium perfringens, Clostridium sporogenes and Clostridioides difficile). We demonstrate that Ard1 is a narrow spectrum ARE-ABCF that specifically mediates self-resistance against nucleoside antibiotics. The single-particle cryo-EM structure of a VmIR2-ribosome complex allows us to rationalise the resistance spectrum of this ARE-ABCF that is equipped with an unusually long antibiotic resistance determinant (ARD) subdomain. We show that CpIR contributes to intrinsic pleuromutilin, lincosamide and streptogramin A resistance in Clostridioides, and demonstrate that C. difficile CpIR (CDIF630_02847) synergises with the transposon-encoded 23S ribosomal RNA methyltransferase Erm to grant high levels of antibiotic resistance to the C. difficile 630 clinical isolate. Finally, assisted by our novel tool for detection of upstream open reading frames, we dissect the translational attenuation mechanism that controls the induction of $c p / R$ expression upon an antibiotic challenge.


## INTRODUCTION

Bacterial antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is a constantly growing threat to human health. A 2022 report in The Lancet estimated that in 20191.27 million deaths were attributable to bacterial AMR globally (Antimicrobial Resistance, 2022). One of the primary antibiotic targets is the ribosome (Arenz and Wilson, 2016), and, accordingly, bacteria protect their protein synthesis machinery via diverse resistance mechanisms (Lin et al., 2018; Wilson, 2014; Wilson et al., 2020). The functional elements primarily targeted by the antibiotics on the large (50S) ribosomal subunit are the peptidyl transferase centre (PTC) and the nascent polypeptide exit tunnel (NPET) (Lin et al., 2018; Schwarz et al., 2016). The PTC is targeted by numerous antibiotic classes, including i) nucleoside compounds, such A201A (Polikanov et al., 2015) and hygromycin A (Kaminishi et al., 2015; Polikanov et al., 2015), ii) pleuromutilins, such as tiamulin (Schlunzen et al., 2004), iii) lincosamides, such as the natural compound lincomycin (Macleod et al., 1964), its semi-synthetic derivative clindamycin (Phillips, 1981) and the fully synthetic antibiotic iboxamycin (Mitcheltree et al., 2021), iv) type A streptogramins, such as virginiamycin M1 (Tu et al., 2005), v) phenicols, such as chloramphenicol (Syroegin et al., 2022b) and vi) oxazolidinones, such as linezolid (lppolito et al., 2008; Tsai et al., 2022). Antibiotics targeting the NPET are represented by macrolides, such as the context-dependent polypeptide elongation inhibitor erythromycin (Beckert et al., 2021; Vazquez-Laslop and Mankin, 2018), and by members of the streptogramin B class such as quinupristin (Harms et al., 2004).

The $F$ subfamily of ABC ATPases includes both ribosome-associated antibiotic resistance (ARE) ABCFs (Ero et al., 2019; Wilson et al., 2020), as well as multiple housekeeping factors that assist protein synthesis and ribosome assembly (Boël et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2014; Cui et al., 2022; Murina et al., 2019). ARE-ABCF factors mediate antibiotic resistance in human pathogens (exemplified by staphylococcal VgaA (Gentry et al., 2008) and closely related Listeria monocytogenes VgaL/Lmo0919 (Crowe-McAuliffe et al., 2021; Dar et al., 2016)), in environmental bacteria (exemplified by B. subtilis VmIR (Ohki et al., 2005)), and also confer selfresistance in antibiotic producers (exemplified by Streptomyces lincolnensis LmrC (Koberska et al., 2021)). Expression of ARE-ABCFs is suppressed through abortive transcription termination, with full-length mRNA production and ARE-ABCF expression being induced upon antibioticinduced ribosomal stalling on a regulatory upstream open reading frame (uORF) that is located in the mRNA region preceding the ARE-ABCF main ORF (Cai et al., 2021; Dar et al., 2016;

Koberska et al., 2021; Ohki et al., 2005; Takada et al., 2022; Vimberg et al., 2020).
ARE-ABCFs are classified into eight relatively well-studied major subfamilies (ARE1 to ARE8) as well numerous poorly characterised phylum-specific groups, such as actinobacterial AAF1-6 (Crowe-McAuliffe et al., 2022; Murina et al., 2019). Members of ARE1-3, ARE5 and ARE6 subfamilies protect the ribosome from PTC-targeting pleuromutilin, lincosamide and streptogramin $A\left(P L S_{A}\right)$ antibiotics, with the most well-studied ARE-ABCF representatives being ARE1 VgaA and Lmo0919/VgaL, ARE2 VmIR, ARE3 LsaA, ARE5 LmrC, and ARE6 Sal (CroweMcAuliffe et al., 2018; Dar et al., 2016; Novotna and Janata, 2006; Ohki et al., 2005; Singh et al., 2002). ARE-ABCFs ARE8 PoxtA and ARE7 OptrA protect against phenicol and oxazolidinone (PhO) transpeptidation inhibitors (Antonelli et al., 2018; Crowe-McAuliffe et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2015). Finally, members of the ARE4 subfamily (such as TIrC) and a subset of ARE1 ABCFs (such as Msr) confer resistance to macrolide and streptogramin $B\left(\mathrm{MS}_{B}\right)$ antibiotics (Ross et al., 1990; Rosteck et al., 1991; Su et al., 2018).

ABCF ATPases share a common molecular architecture with two ABC nucleotidebinding domains NBD1 and NBD2 connected by an interdomain linker element which is variable in both amino acid sequence and length (Murina et al., 2019). This linker is referred to as the antibiotic resistance determinant (ARD) in the case of ARE-ABCFs (Crowe-McAuliffe et al., 2018; Murina et al., 2018; Su et al., 2018) and as the P-site tRNA-interaction motif (PtIM) in the case of housekeeping ABCFs (Boël et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2014). The ARD is crucial for displacement of the antibiotic by ARE-ABCFs, and its deletion renders the resistance determinant inactive (Murina et al., 2019). Macrolide-resisting factors such as ARE1 MsrE have the longest ARDs which extend into the ribosomal NPET (Su et al., 2018). ARDs of PLS $A_{A}-$ resisting ARE-ABCF are shorter, as they make contact with the PTC-bound antibiotic and/or induce conformational changes in the PTC that promote antibiotic dissociation (Crowe-McAuliffe et al., 2018; Crowe-McAuliffe et al., 2021; Mohamad et al., 2022). PhO-resisting PoxtA and OptrA have even shorter ARDs, which act by perturbing the positioning of the CCA-end of the P -site tRNA, rather than directly distorting the PTC (Crowe-McAuliffe et al., 2022). Finally, the P-site tRNA-contacting PtIM elements of the housekeeping ABCFs are in general shorter than the ARD elements of ARE-ABCFs (Boël et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2014; Cui et al., 2022).

Antibiotic resistance mediated by ARE-ABCF can synergise with other mechanisms, importantly with resistance mediated by $23 S$ rRNA methylation. This rRNA modification is
installed by clinically relevant and widely-spread Erm (Erythromycin resistance methylase) and Cfr (Chloramphenicol and florfenicol resistance) rRNA methyltransferases and confers resistance to macrolide, PhO and PLS ${ }_{\mathrm{A}}$ antibiotic classes (Long et al., 2006; Maravić, 2004; Schwarz et al., 2000; Uchiyama and Weisblum, 1985). Recently we have found that B. subtilis ARE-ABCF VmIR can synergise with Cfr to grant significant resistance to lincosamides, including the highly potent fully-synthetic antibiotic iboxamycin (Brodiazhenko et al., 2022). The cfr gene is often encoded next to Isa ABCF in bacterial pathogens such as Clostridioides difficile (formerly Clostridium difficile) (Gumkowski et al., 2019) and staphylococci (Kehrenberg et al., 2007), which is supportive of the two resistance determinants cooperating to grant high levels of protection. However, synergy of ARE-ABCFs with Cfr/Erm has not as yet been systematically tested experimentally. Importantly, Erm-mediated resistance is associated with an increased risk of C. difficile infection (CDI), and the risk further increases upon clindamycin use (Johnson et al., 1999). Neither the role of ARE-ABCFs in C. difficile resistance, nor potential ARE-ABCF-Erm synergy, has been studied.

To further understand the molecular mechanisms underlying intrinsic antibiotic resistance in Gram-positive bacteria, we have characterised four genome-encoded ARE-ABCF factors. First, we show that well-studied B. subtilis ARE2 VmIR mediates resistance to A201A and hygromycin A, which expands the spectrum of ABCF-mediated resistance to nucleoside antibiotics. Second, we characterise the resistance spectrum of a VmIR representative with an unusually long ARD encoded in the genome of soil bacterium Neobacillus vireti (formerly Bacillus vireti) - VmIR2. We rationalise the antibiotic sensitivity data by solving the cryo-EM structure of the VmIR2-70S complex and probe its mechanism through mutagenesis. Third, we demonstrate that actinobacterial AAF1 Ard1 encoded by the A201A producer Streptomyces capreolus (Barrasa et al., 1995; Saugar et al., 2002) is a narrow spectrum AREABCF that specifically mediates self-resistance against nucleoside antibiotics. Fourth, we establish Clostridial pleuromutilin and lincosamide resistance protein (CpIR) as an ARE1 ABCF resistance factor. We characterise CpIR of the important human pathogen C. difficile (originally annotated as a multidrug resistance (MDR)-type ABC transporter CDIF630_02847 (Fuchs et al., 2021)), of Clostridium perfringens, a common causative agent of food poisoning, as well as of the mutualistic bacterium Clostridium sporogenes. We demonstrate that CpIR contributes to intrinsic resistance of Clostridioides to lincosamides lincomycin, clindamycin and Iboxamycin as
well as pleuromutilin retapamulin. While lincosamide resistance mediated by CpIR can be overcome by the fully synthetic lincosamide iboxamycin (Mitcheltree et al., 2021), ARE1 CpIR provides high levels of iboxamycin resistance when acting together with transposon-encoded ErmB present in clinically isolated C. difficile strain 630 (Farrow et al., 2001). Given that clindamycin treatment is associated with increased risk of CDI (Bishara et al., 2008; Buffie et al., 2012; Duffy et al., 2020; Johnson et al., 1999; Sullivan et al., 2001), our discovery and characterisation of CpIR-mediated lincosamide resistance mechanism in C. difficile is of direct clinical importance.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Sequence and structure analysis

dRNA-seq and RNAtag-seq data signal tracks (Fuchs et al., 2021) were downloaded from the NCBI GEO (GSE155167) and visualised using svist4get (Egorov et al., 2019). Searching for conserved short ORFs in 5' leader region sequences of $c p I R$, vmIR and vmIR2 was performed with uORF4u [https://github.com/art-egorov/uorf4u] (Egorov and Atkinson, 2022). The pipeline consists of several steps: i) BlastP for homology searching (Camacho et al., 2009) with cut-offs set on a protein by protein basis to optimise numbers and diversity of hits: $50 \%$ sequence identity to the query cutoff for CpIR (WP_011861613.1), $70 \%$ identity cutoff for VmIR (WP_003234144.1) and VmIR2 (WP_024026878.1) and 80\% for LsaA (WP_002398829.1), ii) retrieval of 300 nt upstream sequences preceding the identified ARE-ABCF genes, iii) uORF annotation and, finally, iv) conservation analysis and multiple sequence alignment with MAFFT v7.490 (Katoh and Standley, 2013). Visualisation was performed with the ggmsa R package (Zhou et al., 2022), msa4u (Egorov and Atkinson, 2022) and Logomaker (Tareen and Kinney, 2020) Python packages. Secondary structures of the cpIR 5' leader region were predicted using RNAfold (Gruber et al., 2008) and Mfold (Peltier et al., 2020). For phylogenetic analysis, selected AREABCFs were aligned with MAFFT v7.490 with the L-INS-i strategy (Katoh and Standley, 2013), and visualized with AliView v1.26 (Larsson, 2014) and Jalview v2.11.2.0 (Waterhouse et al., 2009). Alignment positions with $>50 \%$ gaps were removed with trimAl v1.4.rev6 (CapellaGutierrez et al., 2009) before tree building with IQTree v2.1.2 on the CIPRES server with 1000 rapid bootstrap replicates and the model 'LG-I-G4', as selected using the automatic model detection setting (Miller et al., 2010; Minh et al., 2020).

## Bacterial culture

C. difficile 630 Aerm and its derivatives were grown anaerobically in Brain-Heart Infusion (Difco) supplemented with $0.5 \%$ yeast extract and $0.1 \%$ cysteine (BHIS) or BHIS agar under $80 \% \mathrm{~N}_{2}$, $20 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}, 4 \% \mathrm{H}_{2}$ atmosphere in the Coy anaerobic chamber (COY). C. sporogenes and its derivatives were anaerobically grown in Gifu anaerobic medium (GAM) (Nissui Co., Japan) in the Coy anaerobic chamber. C. perfringens and its derivatives were grown at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in GAM under anaerobic conditions using Anaeropack system (Mitsubishi Gas Chemical Co. Inc., Tokyo, Japan). B. subtilis were routinely aerobically cultured in LB broth. When necessary, antibiotics were supplemented in the media: $20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ erythromycin and $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ thiamphenicol in the case of $C$. difficile and $20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ chloramphenicol in the case of $C$. perfringens.

## Strain and plasmid construction

The plasmid and oligonucleotides used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table 1, respectively.

To construct C. difficile cpIR (CDIF630_02847) deletion mutant we constructed the plasmid for the allele exchange mutagenesis as previously reported by Peltier and colleagues (Peltier et al., 2020). The CD2517.1 type I toxin gene under the control of the tetracyclineinducible promoter as well as $\approx 1 \mathrm{~kb}$-long 5 ' and 3 ' flanking regions of the target gene were cloned into pMTL83151 plasmid (CHAIN biotech, Nottingham, UK), yielding the pMSRNO plasmid. Using E. coli HB101/pRK24, the pMSRNO plasmid was then introduced into C. difficile by conjugation. pRK24 (Ma et al., 2014) was a gift from Farren Isaacs (Addgene plasmid \# 51950). Briefly, $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of overnight cultured C . difficile were heated at $52^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 minutes. Meanwhile, 1 mL culture of $E$. coli HB101/pRK24 harbouring pNO201 were centrifuged and pelleted at 1500 $\times g$ for 3 minutes. The E. coli pellet was suspended to $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the heated C. difficile culture in the anaerobic chamber. The mixture was spotted onto a BHI agar plate and incubated for 8 hours. The mixed colonies were resuspended in 1 mL BHI broth, and then spread onto BHIS plates supplemented with $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ thiamphenicol, $250 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ D-cycloserine and $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ kanamycin (BHIS-TCK). After incubation for two to three days, individual colonies were restreaked on BHIS-TCK for isolation. The protocol for allele exchange was based on that of Peltier and colleagues (Peltier et al., 2020). The deletion of the target gene was confirmed by

PCR amplification.
C. sporogenes mutants were constructed using the Targetron system (Merck). A DNA fragment containing group II intron and ItrA gene from pJIR750ai (Merck) as well as a Clostridium-E. coli shuttle vector pMTL83153 (CHAIN biotech, Nottingham, UK) containing a constitutive promoter $\mathrm{P}_{f d x}$ were PCR-amplified, and the intron-containing plasmid was produced by ligation of these DNA fragments pre-digested with HindIII and Xhol. Then, a PCR-amplified C. sporogenes cpIR-targeted intron was introduced into HindIII-BsrGI site in the plasmid. The resulting pNO201 plasmid was used for cplR mutant construction. The pNO201 plasmid was introduced into $C$. sporogenes by conjugation as described above, with one modification: the heat treatment prior to conjugation was omitted. While this step is crucial for obtaining transconjugants with C.difficile, in the case of $C$. sporogenes transconjugants are easily obtained without heat shock. To screen the mutant in which the target gene was disrupted, the colonies were restreaked onto BHIS containing $20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ erythromycin. The insertion of the intron in the appropriate locus was verified by PCR amplification.

The C. perfringens mutant was constructed as described previously (Nariya et al., 2011; Obana et al., 2020). Briefly, $\approx 1 \mathrm{~kb}$ of the $5^{\prime}$ and $3^{\prime}$ flanking regions of the target gene were PCRamplified, and the resultant fragments were cloned into pCM-GALK using the In-Fusion cloning system (Takara Bio, Japan). Resulting plasmid was introduced into C. perfringens HN13 via electroporation, and the desired mutant was isolated on agar plates supplemented with $20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ chloramphenicol or 3\% galactose. The deletion of the target gene was confirmed by PCR and DNA sequencing.

For complementation of $c p I R$ genes in C. difficile and C. perfringens, cpIR-expressing plasmids were constructed. The C. difficile cpIR-expressing plasmid was based on pRPF185 plasmid which contains a tetracycline-inducible promoter (Fagan and Fairweather, 2011). The pRPF185 was a gift from Robert Fagan and Neil Fairweather (Addgene plasmid \# 106367; http://n2t.net/addgene:106367; RRID: Addgene_106367). A PCR-amplified cpIR CDS was cloned into pRFP185 using the In-Fusion cloning system. For complementation of C. perfringens $c p I R$, the DNA fragment containing a native promoter and CDS of $C$. perfringens $c p I R$ gene was amplified by PCR and cloned into pJIR750 (Bannam and Rood, 1993).

An mCherry2-L variant codon-optimized for low-GC bacteria was used to construct the reporter constructs used to assay the regulation of cpIR expression (Ransom et al., 2015). The

DNA fragment encoding a codon-optimized mCherry2-L CDS was synthesized at Eurofin Genomics (Tokyo, Japan). DNA fragments containing cpIR promoter and 5' leader region were amplified and ligated with the mCherry2-L by PCR. The resulting DNA was introduced into pMTL84151 (CHAIN biotech) using the In-Fusion cloning system.

The B. subtilis strains were derivatives of wt168 (trpC2). The strains were constructed through homologous recombination by transformation with plasmids listed in Supplementary Table 1. The plasmids were constructed by standard cloning methods including PCR and Gibson assembly using oligonucleotides listed in Supplementary Table 1. Successful integration of a gene into the chromosome was accomplished by double crossing-over at the target loci. The resulting recombinant clones were checked for their antibiotic resistance markers, including the absence of those originally present on the plasmid backbone, as well as for inactivation of the thrC target locus.

## Antibiotic susceptibility testing

The Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations (MIC) were calculated according to guidelines from the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) (http://www.eucast.org/ast of bacteria/mic determination). Bacterial cells were cultured in 96well plates in medium supplemented with 2-fold serial dilutions of the antibiotics. BHIS, GAM, or LB media was used to grow C. difficile, C. perfringens/C. sporogenes and $B$. subtilis, respectively. The $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ cultures were inoculated at the concentration of $5 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{CFU} / \mathrm{mL}$ and growth in the 96 -well plates. The MIC was determined as the lowest concentration of antibiotics in which no bacterial growth was observed after incubation for 24 hours at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reproducibility was confirmed by at least 3 individual experiments.

## Immunoprecipitation of C-terminally HTF-tagged VmIR2N.virett ${ }^{E Q 2}$

The experiments were reformed as described earlier (Crowe-McAuliffe et al., 2021). C-terminally HTF-tagged $V m I R 2_{N . v i r e t i}{ }^{\mathrm{EQ} 2}$ inducible strain VHB220 ( $\Delta v m I R$ thrC $:: \mathrm{P}_{\text {hy-spnak }}-v m I R_{B . v i r e t i}{ }^{\mathrm{EQ} 2}-H T F$; HTF stands for $\mathrm{His}_{6}-\mathrm{TEV}-\mathrm{FLAG}_{3}$ ) was pre-grown on LB plates overnight at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Fresh individual colonies were used for inoculation and grown in LB medium with 1 mM IPTG. 0.5 L cultures were grown at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{OD}_{600}=0.8$. Cells were collected by centrifugation ( 8000 rpm for 10 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, JLA-16.25 Beckman Coulter rotor), pellets frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored
at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cell pellets were resuspended in 1 mL of cell opening buffer $(95 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NH} 4 \mathrm{Cl}$, 20 mM HEPES ( $\mathrm{pH}=7.5$ ), $1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{DTT} ,15 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Mg(OAc})_{2}, 0.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl} 2,8 \mathrm{mM}$ putrescine, 1 mM spermidine, 1 tablet of cOmplete ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche) per 50 mL of buffer) and disrupted using FastPrep homogeniser (MP Biomedicals) with 0.1 mm Zirconium beads (Techtum) in 6 cycles by 20 seconds with 3 -minute chilling on ice. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation at $14,800 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 20 minutes $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in F 241.5 P rotor using 149 Microfuge $22 R$ centrifuge (Beckman Coulter). The supernatant was combined with $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of ANTI-FLAG M2 Affinity Gel (Sigma) pre-equilibrated in cell opening buffer, and incubated for 1.5 hours at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a turning wheel (Fisherbrand ${ }^{T M}$ Multi-Purpose Tube Rotators). The samples were loaded on Micro Bio-Spin columns (Bio-Rad) pre-equilibrated in cell opening buffer, and washed 5 times with 0.5 mL of cell opening buffer by gravity flow. $\mathrm{VmIR} 2_{\text {vireti }}{ }^{\mathrm{EQ} 2}$-HTF was eluted by addition of $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ opening buffer containing $0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ poly-FLAG peptide (Biotool, Bimake) for 45 min on a turning wheel. All incubations, washes and elutions were performed at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The eluted sample was collected by centrifugation at 2000 rpm for 1 minute $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a F 241.5 P rotor using a 149 Microfuge 22R centrifuge (Beckman Coulter). For SDS-PAGE analyses, $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ aliquots of samples were mixed with $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $5 x$ SDS loading buffer and heated at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 minutes, and denatured samples were loaded on 12\% SDS-PAGE. SDS-gels were stained by "Blue-Silver" Coomassie Staining (Candiano et al., 2004) and washed with water for 6 hours or overnight before imaging with LAS4000 (GE Healthcare).

## Preparation of cryo-EM grids

Elutions from pull-downs were kept on ice until being applied within two hours to glow discharged cryo-grids (Quantifoil $2 / 2 \mathrm{Cu}_{300}$ coated with 2 nm continuous carbon). $3.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of sample was loaded on grids in Vitrobot (FEI) under conditions of $100 \%$ humidity at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, blotted for 5 seconds and vitrified by plunge-freezing in liquid ethane. Samples were imaged on a Titan Krios (FEI) operated at 300 kV at a nominal magnification of $165,000 \times(0.82 \AA /$ pixel $)$ with a Gatan K2 Summit camera and a BioQuantum energy filter with a slit width of 20 eV . The exposure rate was 5.277 electrons/pixel/s with a 4 second exposure and 20 frames using the EPU software.

## Cryo-EM data analysis

MotionCor2 was used to correct for beam-induced motion in 6,384 starting micrographs (Zheng
et al., 2017). Contrast transfer functions were estimated with Gctf (Zhang, 2016). Subsequent processing was performed in RELION 3.1 unless specified otherwise (Scheres, 2012; Zivanov et al., 2018). Micrographs with MaxRes > $4 \AA$ and/or a CtfFigureOfMerit < 0.05 were discarded, resulting in 5,014 micrographs used for further processing. From the resulting set of 5,014 micrographs, 279,082 particles were picked with Gautomatch [https://www2.mrc-Imb.cam.ac.uk/download/gautomatch-056] and extracted with a pixel size of $2.46 \AA$. 2D classification was used to remove non-ribosomal particles, resulting in 193,343 particles which were subjected to 3D auto refinement. The initial reference, which was low-pass filtered to $60 \AA$, was a B. subtilis 70S ribosome that contained a P-tRNA and no factor in the E site (EMD-0176, (Crowe-McAuliffe et al., 2018)). The output volume was used as a reference for subsequent 3D refinements. 3D classification with four classes and without angular sampling was then performed, resulting in two classes of interest that contained density in the $E$ site. The bestresolved of the two classes, class 2 , was used for most analyses, on the grounds that this class had the most continuous and feature-rich density for the protein in the E site. Particles from the class 2 were re-extracted at the original pixel size and used for 3D autorefinement, and then anisotropic magnification, per-particle defocus, per-micrograph astigmatism, beam tilt, and higher-order aberrations were refined before final 3D autorefinement and post-processing (Zivanov et al., 2018).

A combined volume, containing particles from both classes with VmIR density in the $E$ site, was also re-extracted as above and partial signal subtraction followed by 3D classification around the A and E sites was performed. For the A-site classification, three classes with 29.1\%, $37.6 \%$, and $33.3 \%$ occupancy were observed. The first and last of these classes contained a tRNA, each in a slightly different conformation, while the second class had no density in the A site, consistent with the poorly resolved density for the A tRNA in the parent map. Each of these classes was reverted to full density and used for 3D autorefinement. Partial signal subtraction and 3D classification around the E site and L1 stalk yielded three maps that differed mostly in the conformation of the L1 stalk, consistent with the poor resolution of this region in the parent map. However, density for VmIR2 was not improved in any of these classes, so class 2 from the initial 3D classification was used for further analyses.

For post-processing, soft solvent masks and a B factor automatically estimated by Guinier analysis were used. See also Supplementary Figure 3 for an overview of processing
and Supplementary Table 2 for collection and processing statistics.

## Model building and refinement

Starting models for B. subtilis ribosomal proteins and VmIR2 were obtained from the AlphaFold2 database (Varadi et al., 2022). Ribosomal RNA was taken from PDB 6HA1 (Crowe-McAuliffe et al., 2018). Models were first adjusted using Coot (Casanal et al., 2020) into a high-resolution B. subtilis 70 S map (to be described elsewhere). The resulting model was then fitted in the VmIR2-70S map and adjusted manually with Coot. The starting model of VmIR2 was fitted domain-by-domain and adjusted by hand. A prediction created by ModelAngelo was also used to guide modelling of VmIR2 in places (Jamali et al., 2022). For the P-site tRNA and $23 S$ rRNA of the L1 stalk, PDBs 7NHK and 7NHL were used as starting points, respectively (CroweMcAuliffe et al., 2021). Density for the uL1 protein and the CCA-3' end nucleotides of the Psite tRNA was very unclear and these regions were therefore omitted from the model. PDB 7K00 was used as a reference to guide modelling, especially around 23S rRNA helix 69 (Watson et al., 2020). The resulting model was refined first with REFMAC5/Servalcat (Kovalevskiy et al., 2018; Yamashita et al., 2021), then with Phenix using the starting model as a reference for restraints to decrease bond length and angle root-mean-square deviations (Liebschner et al., 2019). MolProbity through Phenix was used for model validation (Williams et al., 2018). Model statistics are available in Supplementary Table 2. Figures showing maps and models were made with UCSF ChimeraX (Pettersen et al., 2021) or PyMOL (https://github.com/schrodinger/pymol-open-source).

## RNA extraction and RT-PCR

Overnight culture 6304erm C. difficile strain in BHIS was diluted 1:20 in fresh BHIS medium and incubated anaerobically for 2 hours to reach the log phase. Then we added various concentrations of antibiotics to the culture. After incubation for 0.5 to 6 hours, the cells were collected from 1 mL of the culture by centrifugation at $10,000 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 2 minutes and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. Total RNAs were extracted as described previously (Obana et al., 2010) with minor modifications. Briefly, the cell pellets were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen until use. The cells were resuspended in $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of LETS buffer ( 100 mM LiCl, 20 mM EDTA, 20 mM Tris-HCI pH8.0, $1 \%$ SDS) and disrupted by addition of $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of glass beads and $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of
phenol/chloroform/isoamylalchol followed by shaking for 5 minutes using a Shake Master NEO (Bio Medical Science, Tokyo, Japan). After centrifugation at $20,000 \times g$ for 5 minutes, the aqueous layer was transferred to a fresh tube and mixed with equal volume of phenol/chloroform/isoamylalchol. To recover the total RNAs, the aqueous layer was mixed with 2.5 volumes of ethanol and 0.1 volumes of $3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOAc}, \mathrm{pH} 5.2$. The RNA was harvested by centrifugation at $20,000 \times g$ for 10 minutes, washed with $70 \%$ ethanol, and contaminated DNA was removed by DNase I treatment (Promega). Purified RNA samples were resuspended in 50 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of RNase-free water and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Reverse transcription was preformed with PrimeScript ${ }^{T M}$ RT Master Mix kit (TaKaRa, Japan) using 10 ng or 0.5 ng (genomic or plasmid encoding genes, respectively) of total RNA. The cDNAs for the target genes were amplified using Tks Gflex ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ DNA Polymerase (TaKaRa, Japan) and the primers used are listed in Supplementary Table 1.

## mCherry fluorescent reporter assays

C. difficile harbouring reporter plasmids were cultured in BHIS supplemented with $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ thiamphenicol. Cells were harvested from 1 mL cultures by centrifugation at 10,000 $\times \mathrm{g}$ for 2 min and washed with phosphate buffer saline (PBS). The resultant cell pellets were fixed with 4\% formaldehyde for 8 minutes, washed with PBS and resuspended in 1 ml of PBS. After exposure to oxygen for 90 minutes for chromophore maturation, fluorescence (ex/em = 579/616 nm ) and absorbance ( 600 nm ) of each sample were measured using a Synergy H 1 microplate reader (BioTek, Vermont, USA). To calculate the relative promoter activity, relative fluorescence intensity normalised by O.D. 600 was further normalised by the signal from the constitutive $\mathrm{P}_{f d x}$-mCherry reporter.

## RESULTS

## The ABCF ARE1 subfamily member CpIR is conserved in Clostridioides

While horizontally mobile ARE-ABCFs that are encoded in mobile genetic elements or plasmids mediate acquired antibiotic resistance, chromosomally-encoded ARE-ABCFs provide intrinsic antibiotic resistance and are likely to be predominantly inherited vertically. The three Grampositive ARE-ABCF representatives characterised here - CpIR (standing for Clostridial
pleuromutilin and lincosamide resistance; we propose this new name based on the resistance spectrum determined in the current study, see below), VmIR2 and Ard1 - are of the latter, intrinsic type. Despite this commonality, they belong to very different subfamilies of the ABCF family tree (Figure 1A). C. difficile CpIR encoded by the gene CDIF630_02847 (RefSeq protein accession WP_011861613.1) is a member of the ARE1 subfamily, although it is phylogenetically distinct from the well-characterised mobile Vga- and Msr-like ARE1 proteins. CpIR is found in a range of different Clostridia, including C. difficile, C. perfringens and C. sporogenes (Figure 1A). The ARE2 subfamily of ABCFs can be subdivided into two phylogenetically distinct types: one subtype has a short 'arm' subdomain, as is seen in VmIR from B. subtilis (Crowe-McAuliffe et al., 2018), while the second form has insertions in the arm and - sometimes - the ARD/linker element, as is the case with Neobacillus vireti VmIR2 (Figure 1B, Supplementary Figure 1). The Ard1 ABCF from Streptomyces capreolus is classified as being in the actinobacterial subfamily AAF1, closely related to ARE4 and ARE5 subfamilies (Murina et al., 2019). Just as with C. difficile CpIR ARE-ABCF (Fuchs et al., 2021), Ard1 was originally mis-annotated as a transporter (Barrasa et al., 1995). While ABCF proteins do carry the ATP-binding cassette domain that is also found in many transmembrane transporters, they lack the necessary transmembrane domains for such a role. Ard1 is encoded as part of the A201A antibiotic biosynthesis gene cluster, acting as a self-resistance determinant (Saugar et al., 2002).

## Antibiotic resistance spectra of S. capreolus AAF1 Ard1, N. vireti ARE2 VmIR2, C. difficile ARE1 CpIR expressed in B. subtilis $\Delta v m I R$ surrogate host

To determine the spectra of antibiotic resistance conferred by $N$. vireti VmIR, S. capreolus Ard1, and C. difficile CpIR, we first ectopically expressed these ARE-ABCFs in a B. subtilis surrogate host under the control of an IPTG-inducible Phy-spank promoter (Britton et al., 2002) and determined the Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations (MICs) for a comprehensive panel of translation-targeting antibiotics (Table 1). We used a B. subtilis strain lacking the VmIR AREABCF factor since the $\Delta v m I R$ strain is highly sensitive to $P_{L S}$ antibiotics (Brodiazhenko et al., 2022; Crowe-McAuliffe et al., 2018; Ohki et al., 2005; Takada et al., 2022).

While the wild-type $B$. subtilis is virtually immune to A201A and hygromycin A (MICs in excess of $256 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, beyond the concentration levels that are experimentally feasible given the paucity of these antibiotics), the $\Delta v m / R$ strain is sensitive (MIC for A201A of $4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, MIC for
hygromycin A of $16 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) (Table 1). This observation expands the spectrum of known VmIRmediated resistance to nucleoside compounds. When B. subtilis VmIR is overexpressed in $\Delta v m I R B$. subtilis, the strain becomes PLS $_{A^{-}}$and nucleoside-resistant; no protective effect is detectable when an ATPase-deficient $E Q_{2}$ variant of VmIR is expressed (Table 1). Expression of $N$. vireti $V_{m I R}$ confers the same PLS $_{A}$ and nucleoside resistance spectrum, despite the relatively long ARD, without any detectable resistance to macrolides (Table 1). The $P_{h y-s p a n k}$ driven expression of wild-type S. capreolus Ard1 - but not that of $\mathrm{EQ}_{2}$ Ard1 - confers $\Delta v m I R$ B. subtilis moderate resistance to nucleoside - but not PLS $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{A}}$ - antibiotics. The narrow spectrum of Ard1-mediated resistance suggests that Ard1 specifically confers self-resistance to A201A (Murina et al., 2019) (Table 1). Finally, ectopic expression of C. diffficile CpIR confers $\Delta v m I R$ B. subtilis resistance to $\mathrm{PLS}_{\mathrm{A}}$ antibiotics and has a moderate (2- to 4 -fold increase in MIC) protective effect against nucleosides.

Collectively, these results i) expand the resistance spectra of ABCF-mediated resistance to nucleoside antibiotics, ii) demonstrate that despite its relatively long ARD, $N$. vireti ARE2 VmIR has a $\mathrm{PLS}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and nucleoside resistance spectrum identical to that of the short-ARD B. subtilis ARE2 VmIR, iii) establish S. capreolus Ard1 ARE-AAF1 as a dedicated narrow-spectrum nucleoside resistance factor and iv) establish genome-encoded C. difficile ARE1 CpIR as a PLS $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{A}}$ resistance determinant.

## Cryo-EM structure of $\boldsymbol{N}$. vireti VmIR2-70S complex

Next, we aimed to determine cryo-electron microscopy structures of ribosome-bound ATPasedeficient ( $\mathrm{EQ}_{2}$ ) C. difficile ARE1 CpIR and $N$. vireti ARE2 VmIR2. To generate the ribosomebound complexes we employed our well-established affinity purification strategy (CroweMcAuliffe et al., 2022; Crowe-McAuliffe et al., 2021). While the C. difficile CpIR structure would provide the necessary structural insights into intrinsic $\mathrm{PLS}_{A}$ and nucleoside antibiotic resistance of C. difficile pathogen, the $N$. vireti $\operatorname{VmIR}$ structure would be instructive for structural rationalisation of the $\operatorname{VmIR} 2^{N}$.vireti $r$ resistance spectrum that is identical to that of short-ARD B. subtilis VmIR. S. capreolus Ard1 has not been prioritised for structural studies for two reasons: i) the lack of established genetic tools for S. capreolus and ii) the levels of nucleoside antibiotic resistance conferred in $B$. subtilis surrogate host are modest, indicative of imperfect functioning of the resistance determinant on the $B$. subtilis 70 ribosome.

Unfortunately, our attempts to purify the $\mathrm{FLAG}_{3}$-tagged C. difficile CpIR in complex with the ribosome were unsuccessful. As C-terminal FLAG $_{3}$-tagging of $C$. difficile CpIR abrogated the functionality of CpIR in $\Delta v m I R$ B. subtilis (Table 1; $\Delta v m I R$ thrC:: $P_{\text {hyspank- }}$ cp/ $R^{C d}-F L A G_{3}$ ), we did not pursue this direction further. However, we were successful in isolating a complex of $E Q_{2}$ N. vireti VmIR2-HTF with B. subtilis 70S ribosomes (Supplementary Figure 2). The immunoprecipitated $\mathrm{FLAG}_{3}$-tagged $\mathrm{EQ}_{2} \mathrm{VmIR} 2$ was eluted and applied to a cryo-EM grid for imaging in a Titan Krios followed by single-particle analysis using RELION (Scheres, 2012). Three-dimensional classification revealed one major class of particles (72.3\% of particles after 2D classification) consisting of VmIR2-EQ2 bound to the 70S ribosome (Supplementary Figure 3). This volume could be refined to an average resolution of $2.9 \AA$ (Supplementary Figure 4A,B). The VmIR2 NBDs and C-terminal extension (CTE) could be modelled by domain-wise fitting and adjustment of the AlphaFold2 model (Supplementary Figure 4C). While the density for the NBDs was not sufficient to draw conclusions about the precise geometry adopted by the catalytic region of the enzyme, the VmIR2 interdomain linker, consisting of two $\alpha$ helices and a loop, and which extends into the core of the large ribosomal subunit, was well resolved and could be modelled de novo with high confidence (Supplementary Figure 4C). The VmIR2-70S structure is globally similar to other antibiotic resistance ABCF-70S complexes (Crowe-McAuliffe et al., 2018; Crowe-McAuliffe et al., 2022; Crowe-McAuliffe et al., 2021; Mohamad et al., 2022; Su et al., 2018) (Figure 2A,B). VmIR2-EQ2 is bound in the ribosomal E site with closed NBDs and density corresponding to two bound NTP molecules, presumably ATP (Figure 2A-C). The VmIR2 $\alpha$-helical interdomain linker extends from the E site into the PTC, overlapping the canonical position of a P-site tRNA (Figure 2D,E). This results in a distorted tRNA occupying the $P$ site, with the tRNA elbow contacting the VmIR2 NBD2, pulled towards the E site, and the acceptor stem highly distorted. Poor density for the P-tRNA acceptor stem indicates a high degree of flexibility in this region, and in particular the CCA-3' end could not be modelled

## (Supplementary Figure 5A).

As observed previously in ABCF samples prepared by ex vivo immunoprecipitation (Crowe-McAuliffe et al., 2018; Crowe-McAuliffe et al., 2022; Crowe-McAuliffe et al., 2021), the density of the P-site tRNA was most consistent with an initiator fMet-tRNA (Supplementary Figure 5A), and density for the P-site codon was most consistent with AUG (Supplementary Figure 5B). An apparent Shine-Dalgarno-anti-Shine-Dalgarno helix is positioned in the mRNA
exit channel, close to, but not visibly contacting, the VmIR2 CTD (Supplementary Figure 5C). A sub-stoichiometric tRNA is present in the A site, as observed previously for the $70 S-L$ saA-EQ2 complex from Enterococcus faecalis, and sub-classification revealed that the A-site tRNA can adopt multiple conformations (Figure 2B, Supplementary Figure 6). These observations imply that $\mathrm{VmIR2}$-EQ 2 had bound to and stalled the 70 S ribosome after translation initiation, but before the first translocation event, which is the same state stalled by PLS ${ }_{\mathrm{A}}$ and nucleoside antibiotics (Orelle et al., 2013; Polikanov et al., 2015).

As expected from the high degree of sequence homology, the structure of VmIR2 bound to the 70 S ribosome is globally similar to $B$. subtilis $V m I R$ bound to the $70 S$, with an root square mean deviation (RMSD) of $1.44 \AA$ between the two factors after least-squares fitting. In particular, the $\alpha$-helices of the well-resolved interdomain linker, which travel through the path normally occupied by the P-tRNA to reach into the PTC, are highly structurally conserved (Figure 2D,E). The ends of the $\alpha$-helices and the ARD-loop linking them, diverge from the equivalent region in the B. subtilis VmIR (Figure 2E), as expected from the sequence alignment (Figure 1B). Compared to VmIR, the additional eight residues in the VmIR2 ARD are mostly oriented away from the PTC and drug binding site (Supplementary Figure 7A), similar to that observed for the ARDs of LsaA, VgaALc, and VgaL, which are also extended compared to B. subtilis VmIR despite sharing a similar spectrum of antibiotic resistance (Supplementary Figure 7B-D) (CroweMcAuliffe et al., 2021). Indeed, despite containing eight more amino acids than B. subtilis VmIR, the VmIR2 ARD reaches a similar site in the PTC as many other PLS A-specific ARE-ABCFs $^{\text {A }}$ (Figure 2E, Supplementary Figure 7). Residue lle261 of VmIR2 overlaps with the binding site of PLS ${ }_{A}$ and nucleoside antibiotics, similarly to Phe237 of B. subtilis VmIR except inserted approximately $1.4 \AA$ deeper into the PTC (Figure 2F, Supplementary Figure 8A-H).

We next mutated selected residues of the ARD-loop of VmIR2 to alanine and assessed the ability of the resulting VmIR2 variants to mediate antibiotic resistance in a $\Delta v m I R$ B. subtilis background (Table 2). As observed for other antibiotic-resistance ABCFs, mutating the only residue that overlaps with the PLS $A$ binding site, in this case lle261 to alanine, did not appreciably shift the antibiotic resistance spectrum of VmIR2 (Table 1). However, due to the increased penetration of the VmIR2 ARD into the PTC, an alanine side chain at position 261 is also predicted to still overlap with the PLSA binding site (Supplementary Figure 81-K). We therefore conclude that while the lle261 sidechain is not required for antibiotic resistance, this experiment
is not informative about the role of steric hindrance in the mechanism of antibiotic resistance by VmIR2.

Mutation of other selected residues close to the drug-binding site resulted in a complex pattern of changes to antibiotic resistance (Supplementary Figure 9). Residues Arg259 and Gln260, positioned in the tip of the ARD, were insensitive to mutation to alanine despite making defined contacts with the 23 S rRNA (Supplementary Figure 9A,B). By contrast, VmIR2 Y266 was required for resistance to tiamulin and virginiamycin M . This residue is positioned similarly to B. subtilis VmIR Y240 and VgaAlc Y223, with each residue stacking with 23S rRNA nucleotide U2585 (E. coli numbering; Figure 2G), and we previously found that a VgaA ${ }_{\text {Lc }}$ Y223A variant also displayed reduced resistance against PLS $_{A}$ antibiotics (Crowe-McAuliffe et al., 2021). The adjacent residue, E265, also had a drastic effect on antibiotic resistance when mutated to alanine. E265 is within hydrogen-bonding distance of 23 S rRNA residues A2450 and A2451, which form part of a region we have previously termed PTC loop 2 (PL2, Supplementary Figure 9C, (Crowe-McAuliffe et al., 2021)). Interactions between VmIR2 and the $23 S$ rRNA in this region may serve to position the VmIR2 ARD in the PTC.

In summary, residues that interact with U2585 and A2450/A2451, located at the beginning of interdomain linker $\alpha 2$, appear to be important for $P L S_{A}$ resistance mediated by VmIR2, while by contrast, mutating the residue that physically overlaps with the $\mathrm{PLS}_{\mathrm{A}}$ binding site or other residues in the extended tip of the ARD does not affect antibiotic resistance (Supplementary Figure 9C,D). These observations are consistent with previous mutagenesis studies of ARE-ABCFs (Crowe-McAuliffe et al., 2018; Crowe-McAuliffe et al., 2021). Previously, we proposed a model in which ARE-ABCFs confer antibiotic resistance by modulation of the antibiotic binding site (Crowe-McAuliffe et al., 2018; Crowe-McAuliffe et al., 2022; CroweMcAuliffe et al., 2021). Similar to B. subtilis VmIR, VmIR2 modulates the conformation of several $23 S$ rRNA nucleotides that form the $P_{L S}$ binding site. In particular, nucleotides around A2451, part of PL2, are displaced in the VmIR2-bound structure compared to the antibiotic-bound structures or a stalled elongating ribosome (Figure 2H,I, Supplementary Figure 10). We therefore propose that binding of VmIR 2 to a $\mathrm{PLS}_{A^{-}}$or nucleoside-bound ribosome triggers a distortion of the antibiotic-binding site, in turn leading to dissociation of the antibiotic and ultimately allowing translation to resume after dissociation of the ARE-ABCF.

## CpIR contributes to intrinsic PLS $_{\mathrm{A}}$ resistance in Clostridioides

Next, we characterised the resistance mediated by C. difficile, C. perfringens and C. sporogenes CpIR in the native bacterial hosts.

In our C. difficile antibiotic sensitivity experiments we used two strains: the erm- R20291 and the erm+630. R20291 is an epidemic hypervirulent strain that belongs to PCR-ribotype 027 (Stabler et al., 2009). The MIC values determined with this strain are directly comparable with erm-ATCC 700057 MICs reported by Mitcheltree and colleagues in the original iboxamycin study (Mitcheltree et al., 2021). Despite encoding a genomic copy of CpIR, both R20291 and ATCC 700057 are sensitive to iboxamycin (MIC of $0.0625 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for R20291, MIC of $0.25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for ATCC 700057 (Mitcheltree et al., 2021)) (Table 2).

The C. difficile 630 wild-type reference strain is a clinical isolate that over the years gained popularity with the research community (Roberts and Smits, 2018). The prophage region of the mobilizable, non-conjugative transposon Tn5398 present in the genome of C. difficile 630 encodes a tandem expression cassette for two identical copies of the ermB gene for rRNA adenine N-6-methyltransferase (Farrow et al., 2001). This ubiquitous antibiotic resistance determinant confers resistance to macrolides as well as lincomycin and clindamycin (Lai and Weisblum, 1971; Leclercq and Courvalin, 1991). Compared to wild-type 630, the $\Delta$ erm 630 C. difficile is more sensitive to pleuromutilin retapamulin (MIC for of $0.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ vs $1.6 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) as well as lincosamides (MIC for lincomycin of $16 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ vs $>256 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, MIC for clindamycin of 16 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ vs $256 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and MIC for iboxamycin of $0.25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ vs $16 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) (Table 2). Since in B. subtilis VmIR synergises with Cfr to grant high levels of resistance (Brodiazhenko et al., 2022), we next tested the effects of CpIR loss in $\Delta$ erm 630 C. difficile. The strain is further sensitised to lincosamides (MIC for lincomycin, clindamycin and iboxamycin of $0.1,0.5$ and $<0.125 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, respectively) as well as virginiamycin M 1 (MIC of $16 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) but not florfenicol or hygromycin A. The effect of CpIR expression on nucleoside resistance is minor: a mere 2-fold increase in sensitivity to A201A, and no effect on sensitivity to hygromycin A (Table 2, compare $630 \Delta \mathrm{erm} \triangle A R E 1$ to $630 \Delta \mathrm{erm}$ as well as $630 \Delta \mathrm{erm} \Delta c p / R /$ pRFP185 to $630 \Delta \mathrm{erm} \Delta \mathrm{cpIR} / \mathrm{pRFP} 185-c p / R)$. Our results establish that the synergetic action of Erm and CpIR is responsible for the ability of wild-type C. difficile 630 to resist PLS ${ }_{A}$ antibiotics, including iboxamycin (MIC of $16 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ).

Next, we tested the effects of CpIR loss on antibiotic sensitivity of C. perfringens (Table
3) and C. sporogenes (Table 4). Compared to wild-type HN13 C. perfringens, the isogenic strain harbouring a markerless disruption of $c p / R$ is sensitised to the pleuromutilin retapamulin ( 32 -fold increased sensitivity, MIC of $0.8 \mathrm{vs} 0.025 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), lincosamides (MICs dropping 8- (lincomycin), 2- (iboxamycin) or $>32$-fold (clindamycin)), as well as virginiamycin M1 (a 2 -fold MIC drop). Conversely, ectopic overexpression of $C_{p I R}{ }^{C p}$ from the pJIR 750 vector in the $\Delta c p I R$ background drastically increased the MICs against retapamulin, lincomycin, clindamycin and iboxamycin, exceeding that of the wild-type HN13 C. perfringens by 8 -fold (Table 3).

To test the role of CpIR in antibiotic resistance of $C$. sporogenes, we constructed a strain in which the $c p / R^{C s}$ gene is disrupted by the insertion of the intron-ermB resistance marker (Table 4). To deconvolute the effect of the introduced ermB gene on antibiotic resistance from that of $c p / R^{\text {Cs }}$ disruption, we also constructed a strain in which the intron-ermB marker is introduced into the sigD locus. Compared to the isogenic wild type, the $c p / R:: i n t r o n-e r m B$ strain is more sensitive to retapamulin (MICs of $0.025 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ vs $25.6 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, Table 4) suggesting that CpIR mediates resistance to pleuromutilins. As expected, to the introduction of the ErmB marker the cpIR::intron-ermB strain displays higher resistance to lincomycin than the isogenic wild type (MIC for lincomycin of $256 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ vs $32 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, Table 4). At the same strain, the $c p / R:: i n t r o n-e r m B$ strain is more sensitive to iboxamycin (MICs of $0.031 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ vs $0.125 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), consistent with the role of CpIR in lincosamide resistance. The antibiotic resistance function of ClpR was is further supported by the clindamycin and iboxamycin MIC of the cpIR-disrupted mutant being lower than that of the sigD mutant (compare cpIR:intron-ermB to sigD::intron-ermB: clindamycin MICs of $8 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ vs $128 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, iboxamycin MICs of $0.031 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ vs $16 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) (Table 4). Finally, the effects on nucleoside antibiotic sensitivity are minor (2-fold increased sensitivity to hygromycin B, and no effect on sensitivity to A201A).

Taken together, our results establish ARE1 CpIR as a Clostridiales genome-encoded PLS $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{A}}$ resistance determinant and suggest that high PLS $_{\mathrm{A}}$ resistance levels of $C$. difficile 630 are mediated through a combined action of direct ribosome protection by CpIR and 23S rRNA modification by the ErmB methyltransferase.

## An antibiotic challenge induces the accumulation of C. difficile CpIR full-length mRNA, and expression is re-repressed once bacterial growth is restored

Expression of ARE-ABCFs is typically induced upon a sub-MIC antibiotic challenge through a
de-repression mechanism, with the full-length mRNA levels being kept low in the absence of the cognate antibiotic due to premature transcription termination in the absence of ribosomal stalling on a regulatory uORF (Cai et al., 2021; Dar et al., 2016; Koberska et al., 2021; Ohki et al., 2005; Takada et al., 2022; Vimberg et al., 2020).

Genome-wide mapping of transcriptional start sites (TSSs; identified using dRNA-seq) and termination sites (TTSs; identified using RNAtag-seq) in C. difficile 630 (Fuchs et al., 2021) revealed that i) the cpIR ORF preceded by a 212 nucleotide-long 5' upstream region (CDIF630nc_084), and that ii) as expected for an ARE-ABCF, under non-inducing conditions, the transcript prematurely terminates at start codon of the cpIR ORF (CDIF630_02847) (Figure 3A). Since antibiotic-induced ribosomal stalling by a regulatory uORF is a common regulatory strategy employed for inducible control of ARE-ABCF expression (Cai et al., 2021; Dar et al., 2016; Koberska et al., 2021; Ohki et al., 2005; Takada et al., 2022; Vimberg et al., 2020), we have mounted an in silico search for regulatory ORFs of CpIR and close relatives using our bioinformatic tool for uORF detection, uORF4u (Egorov and Atkinson, 2022). Sequence conservation analysis reveals that the uORF of Clostridial CpIR has a consensus of MR[I/M], with $C$. difficile cpIR uORF specifically encoding Met-Arg-lle (Figure 3B,C). The consensus is different from the uORF4u-computed uORF for VmIR (MIN, Figure 3C), VmIR2 (MK[L/Q], Figure 3D) and LsaA (MAGN, Figure 3F), despite the four ARE-ABCFs having similar resistance spectra. The identified short uORFs are likely to be stalled by PLS ${ }_{A}$ and nucleoside antibiotics, which inhibit translation after initiation with little context-specificity (Orelle et al., 2013; Polikanov et al., 2015). We could not identify an adequate number of sufficiently closely related homologues for the tool to be able to predict any conserved uORFs for Ard1.

We predicted secondary structures of the 5' cpIR leader region in induced (i.e. full-length mRNA with 70 S ribosome stalled on the uORF in the presence of antibiotics) and repressed (i.e. prematurely terminated mRNA generated in the absence of antibiotics) conformations using RNAfold (Gruber et al., 2008) and Mfold (Zuker, 2003). In the repressed conformation the 5' cpIR leader region is predicted to form two stable stem loop structures (Figure 3G). The 5' hairpin sequesters the putative regulatory $\mathrm{uORF}(\mathrm{MRI})$. The 3' terminator hairpin is followed by a singlestranded polyU stretch, thus constituting a canonical signal for efficient intrinsic termination in bacteria (Farnham and Platt, 1981; Gusarov and Nudler, 1999; Sipos et al., 2007; Yarnell and Roberts, 1999). Importantly, the stem loop of the terminator hairpin sequesters the Shine-

Dalgarno element positioned to drive the expression of the $c p / R$ ORF, potentially mediating the suppression of the cpIR expression from full-length mRNA transcripts that fail to terminate prematurely. In repressed conformation the terminator hairpin is not formed, with an antiterminator stem loop formed instead which allows transcription of full-length mRNA (Figure 3H). Furthermore, the Shine-Dalgarno that drives the cpIR expression is readily accessible for initiating ribosomes (Figure 3D).

To test antibiotic-inducible expression of C.difficile cpIR, we cultured 630 C. difficile in the presence of increasing sub-MIC concentrations of retapamulin ( $2-200 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$; MIC of $800-1600 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) and lincomycin ( $0.02-2.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$; MIC of $32 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) and assessed the levels of $c$ pIR mRNA by RT-PCR using two primer pairs: one amplifying 5 ' leader region and the other targeting the ORF (Figure 4A). As expected, the level of cpIR mRNA was increased in a dose-dependent manner for both retapamulin and lincomycin treatment, with the RT-PCR signal for both mRNA regions increasing upon antibiotic challenge (Figure 4B).

Next, we investigated the time-course of $c p / R$ expression upon antibiotic challenge. We hypothesised that when the inhibitory effect of the antibiotic on translation is relieved by the AREABCF, the expression of the factor should subside as the antibiotic-induced ribosomal stalling that drives the expression is abolished. To test this hypothesis, we contrasted the RT-PCR data with bacterial growth kinetics. The cplR expression quickly responded to the antibiotic challenge, peaking within 30 minutes after the addition of antibiotics (Figure 4C, left). Importantly, while the retapamulin-induced growth inhibition was severe and persisted for the whole duration of the experiment, the lincomycin-induced growth inhibition is much milder and at the later time points the growth kinetics are similar to that one the untreated control (Figure 4C, right). Consistent with the prediction, while in the presence of $200 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ retapamulin the maximal levels of $c p / R$ mRNA are maintained throughout the 6 hours of the RT-PCR time course, but the cpIR mRNA levels gradually decreased as bacterial growth - and, by inference, protein synthesis - has recovered from the lincomycin challenge (Figure 4C, left).

Collectively, our results suggest that i) inhibition of translation by retapamulin or lincomycin induces accumulation of $c p I R$ mRNA and ii) induction of $c p I R$ mRNA is abrogated once expression of the factor overcomes the drug-induced translation inhibition.

## The 5 ' leader region of $c p I R$ controls the induction of ABCF expression upon an antibiotic

## challenge

To test the role of the predicted 5 ' cp/R leader region elements in antibiotic-induced expression of $c p I R$ in C. difficile we used a series of reporter constructs in which the expression of the mCherry red fluorescent protein was driven by the native $c p I R$ promoter ( $\mathrm{P}_{c p / R}$ ) with either wildtype or mutated $5^{\prime}$ leader variants (Figure 5A). We used i) RT-PCR to assess the effects of $5^{\prime}$ leader mutations and/or antibiotic challenge that act on the level of transcription and ii) mCherry fluorescence measurements to assess the compound effects that act both on transcriptional and translational levels simultaneously.

When $\mathrm{P}_{c p / R}$ is used to drive the expression of $m$ Cherry preceded by wild-type $c p I R 5^{\prime}$ leader, while virtually no RT-PCR or fluorescence signal is detectable in the absence of antibiotics, whereas addition of either retapamulin (at $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ ) or lincomycin (at $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) results in strong induction of the reporter (Figure 5BC, Supplementary Figure 11). Conversely, when the 5 ' leader region is replaced with that of constitutively and strongly expressed ferredoxin gene (fdx) (Takamizawa et al., 2004), both the RT-PCR (Figure 5B) and fluorescence (Figure 5C,D) signal drastically increased and became insensitive to the antibiotic challenge. Taken together, these results suggest that our mCherry-based reporter strategy faithfully replicates the regulatory mechanisms that natively control the expression of $c p / R$.

After establishing the validity of the assay, we next introduced point mutations in the cpIR 5 ' leader probing i) the regulatory uORF (AUG to AUC substitution of the initiation codon; mut ${ }^{\text {UORF }}$ ), ii) the terminator stem loop that sequesters the cpIR main ORF Shine-Dalgarno motif (triple C-to-G substitution disrupting the helix; mut ${ }^{\text {termSL }}$ ) and, finally, iii) the terminator polyU tract (triple U-to-A substitution; mut ${ }^{\text {polyU }}$ ) (Figures 3G,H and 5A).

The mut ${ }^{\text {tORF }}$ fluorescent reporter is inactive both in the presence and the absence of either retapamulin (Figure 5C) or lincomycin (Figure 5D), which is consistent with translation of the uORF being essential for the induction of $c p I R$. The mutermSL reporter in which premature transcription termination is ablated and the Shine-Dalgarno (SD) element that drives expression of $c p / R$ is made directly accessible for translation initiation is i) constitutively active and nonresponsive to addition of antibiotics, and ii) the fluorescent signal is more than 10-fold higher than that of the fully induced wild-type 5 ' leader reporter construct (Figure 5CD). By contrast, the expression of mCherry from the mutpolyU reporter is i) tightly regulated by antibiotics, with only very weak signal detectable in the absence of retapamulin, ii) in the presence of retapamulin,
the fluorescent signal was about twice (2.3-fold) as strong as that in the case of the wild-type reporter (Figure 5C). In the case of the mutpolyU reporter the full-length mRNA is expected to be constitutively produced (just as in the case of mutermSL). Our RT-PCR experiments directly support this prediction: in good agreement with consistent production of the mutpolyU reporter mRNA, the maximum induced signal is higher for the mutpolyU reporter than for the wild-type reporter (Figure 5B). At the same time, the cpIR SD element is expected - just as in the wildtype reporter - to be accessible only upon ribosomal stalling on the uORF, and, evidently, translational attenuation is sufficient to ensure the suppression of $c p / R$ expression in the absence of antibiotic.

Collectively, our results establish that translational attenuation and transcriptional attenuation synergise to control the inducible expression of CpIR in Clostridiales.

## DISCUSSION

We have experimentally characterised five genome-encoded ARE-ABCF proteins that are implicated in the intrinsic antibiotic resistance of $S$. capreolus (AAF1 Ard1), N. vireti (ARE2 VmIR2), and Clostridioides C. difficile, C. perfringens and C. sporogenes (ARE1 CpIR). As we show here, the $\Delta v m I R B$. subtilis strain is a convenient surrogate host for uncovering the resistance spectrum of different ARE-ABCFs. Thus, antibiotic sensitivity assays using heterologous expression in $\Delta v m I R$ B. subtilis could be used to study other chromosomal ABCFs, guided by bioinformatic surveys.

Our cryo-EM reconstruction of the $N$. vireti VmIR2-70S complex is broadly similar to structures of other ARE-ABCFs with specificity for PLS $_{A}$ antibiotics. The ARD of these elements is counter-intuitively both essential for resistance and poorly conserved at the sequence level, exemplified by the eight-amino-acid insertion in the VmIR2 ARD compared to the B. subtilis VmIR ARD, despite the similar antibiotic resistance profiles of both these ARE-ABCFs (Figure 1B, Table 1, Supplementary Figure 7). We observe the extended VmIR2 ARD to be oriented mostly away from the PTC and towards the P-tRNA, reminiscent of the ARDs from more distantly-related PLSA-specific ARE-ABCFs such as LsaA, VgaALc, and VgaL (Supplementary Figure 7). Thus, ARDs from PLS $A_{A}$-specific ARE-ABCFs can accommodate addition or deletion of amino acids while only modestly modulating their position with respect to the PTC. To date, all ribosome-bound ARE-ABCF structures have shown either a modest overlap between the
factor and the antibiotic binding site, or no overlap at all—a pattern which holds for ARE-ABCFs specific for both PLS ${ }_{A}$ s as well as macrolide, ketolide, and streptogramin Bs (Crowe-McAuliffe et al., 2018; Crowe-McAuliffe et al., 2021; Mohamad et al., 2022; Su et al., 2018). This pattern is challenged by our results expanding the specificity of VmIR and VmIR 2 to the nucleoside antibiotics A201A and Hygromycin A (Table 1), as each of these antibiotics occupy the PLS ${ }_{A}$ binding site but additionally extend towards the A site. Modelled overlaps between VmIR and these antibiotics are extensive (Supplementary Figure 8).

The most clinically important aspect of this work is the detailed study of the Clostridial ARE1 CpIR. The Gram-positive anaerobic spore-forming bacterium C. difficile is a causative agent of nosocomial and chronic infections as well as healthcare-associated diarrhoea (Czepiel et al., 2019). Disruption of the protective gut microbiota by broad-spectrum antibiotics allows for efficient proliferation of antibiotic-resistant $C$. difficile in the gut, thus triggering $C$. difficile infection, CDI (Martin et al., 2016). C. difficile has high levels of antibiotic resistance, and treatment with lincosamides such as clindamycin has long been recognised as a risk factor for development of CDI, especially in the case of erm+ strains (Bishara et al., 2008; Buffie et al., 2012; Duffy et al., 2020; Johnson et al., 1999; Sullivan et al., 2001). As we show here, i) similarly to L. monocytogenes ARE-ABCF VgaL and 23 ribosomal RNA methyltransferase Cfr (Brodiazhenko et al., 2022), C. difficile CpIR synergises with the 23 r rRNA methyltransferase Erm to grant high levels of PLSA resistance, and, ii) analogously to other experimentally studied ARE-ABCFs, induction of CpIR is controlled by ribosomal stalling on a regulatory uORF. These results warrant a systematic exploration for co-occurrence of ARE-ABCFs and 23S rRNA methyltransferase antibiotic resistance determinants, with a special focus on the cases of their co-localisation in one operon on a mobile element (as is observed for LsaA and Cfr (Gumkowski et al., 2019; Kehrenberg et al., 2007)). We demonstrate the utility of our bioinformatic tool uORF4u (Egorov and Atkinson, 2022) for identification of regulatory uORFs and their consensus sequences. The induction of ARE-ABCFs relies on antibiotic-induced ribosomal stalling on regulatory uORFs (Cai et al., 2021; Dar et al., 2016; Koberska et al., 2021; Ohki et al., 2005; Takada et al., 2022; Vimberg et al., 2020), and for elongation inhibitors such as macrolides, phenicols and oxazolidinones, antibiotic-induced ribosomal stalling is context-specific (Beckert et al., 2021; Davis et al., 2014; Kannan et al., 2014; Seip et al., 2018; Syroegin et al., 2022a; Syroegin et al., 2022b; Tsai et al., 2022). A dedicated follow-up investigation of the relationship
between uORF consensuses and ARE-ABCF resistance and antibiotic inducibility spectra is warranted. The specific sequence conservation of the uORF could point towards as-yetuncharacterized patterns of sequence-specific antibiotic-mediated ribosomal stalling that are used by the cell to trigger the resistance factor induction upon an antibiotic challenge. As we have shown earlier, in the case of $B$. subtilis $V \mathrm{mIR}$, the sequence identity of the regulatory $\operatorname{uORF}(\mathrm{MIN})$ is crucial for efficient induction upon a lincomycin challenge (Takada et al., 2022), despite this antibiotic not being known to act in a context-dependent manner. These insights, in turn, could potentially be leveraged for development of antibiotic variants with unnatural novel stalling profiles that are not detected by uORF-mediated attenuation mechanisms, and, therefore, do not trigger inducible resistance.
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## FIGURE AND TABLE LEGENDS

Table 1. Resistance profiles of VmIR, VmIR2, Ard1 and CpIR ARE-ABCFs expressed in B. subtilis $\Delta v m I R$ surrogate host.
B. subtilis MIC testing for a panel of nucleoside, $\mathrm{PLS}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and phenicol antibiotics was carried out in either LB medium or LB supplemented with 1 mM IPTG (to induce expression of ARE-ABCF proteins), and growth inhibition was scored after 16-20 hours at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. N.D. stands for 'not determined'.

| B. subtilis strain | MIC ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A201A | Hygromy <br> cin A | Retapa <br> mulin | Tiamuli <br> n | Lincom ycin | Iboxam ycin | Virginia mycin M1 | Chloramp henicol | Erythrom <br> ycin |
| 168 wt | >256 | >256 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 2 | >64 | 5 | 0.125 |
| $\Delta v m I R$ | 4 | 16 | 0.078 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3- \\ & 0.6 \end{aligned}$ | 2.5 | 0.06 | 2 | 5 | 0.125 |
| $\Delta v m I R$ thrC:: $\mathrm{P}_{\text {hy-spank }}$ $v m I R$ (IPTG: 1 mM ) | >256 | >256 | >80 | >80 | >80 | >2 | >64 | 5 | 0.125 |
| $\Delta v m I R$ thrC:: $\mathrm{P}_{\text {hy-spank }}$ $v m I R^{E Q 2} \quad$ (IPTG: 1 mM ) | 2-4 | 16 | 0.078 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3- \\ & 0.6 \end{aligned}$ | 2.5 | 0.06 | 2 | 5 | 0.125 |
| $\Delta v m I R$ thrC:: $\mathrm{P}_{\text {hy-spank }}$ $v m I R 2^{N}$.vireti (IPTG: 1 mM ) | >256 | >256 | >80 | >80 | >80 | 8 | >64 | 5 | 0.125 |
| $\Delta v m I R$ thrC:: $\mathrm{Phy}_{\text {h-spank }}$ vmIR2N.vireti-HTF (IPTG: 1 mM ) | N.D. | N.D. | >80 | >80 | >80 | 8 | >64 | 5 | 0.125 |
| $\Delta v m I R$ thrC:: $\mathrm{P}_{\text {hy-spank }}$ vmIR2N..vireti ${ }^{\text {EQ2 }}$-HTF (IPTG: 1 mM ) | N.D. | N.D. | 0.078 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3- \\ & 0.6 \end{aligned}$ | 2.5 | 0.03 | 2 | 5 | 0.125 |
| $\Delta v m I R$ thrC $::_{\text {hy-spank }}$ vmIR2N.vireti ${ }^{259 A}$ <br> (IPTG: 1 mM ) | N.D. | N.D. | 80 | N.D. | >80 | 2 | >64 | 5 | N.D. |
| $\Delta v m I R$ thrC:: $\mathrm{P}_{\text {hy-spank }}$ $v m I R 2_{N . \text { vireti }}{ }^{2260 \mathrm{~A}}$ <br> (IPTG: 1 mM ) | N.D. | N.D. | >80 | N.D. | >80 | 8 | >64 | 5 | N.D. |
| $\Delta v m I R$ thrC:: $\mathrm{P}_{\text {hy-spank }}-$ vmIR2N.vireti ${ }^{1261 \mathrm{~A}}$ (IPTG: 1 mM ) | N.D. | N.D. | >80 | N.D. | >80 | 8 | >64 | 5 | N.D. |
| $\Delta v m I R$ thrC:: $\mathrm{P}_{\text {hy-spank }}$ $v m I R 2_{\text {N. } \text { viret }^{2265 A}}$ (IPTG: 1 mM ) | N.D. | N.D. | 1.25> | N.D. | 80 | 0.25 | 16 | 5 | N.D. |
| $\Delta v m I R$ thrC:: $\mathrm{P}_{\text {hy-spank }}$ vmIR2N.vireti ${ }^{\text {266A }}$ <br> (IPTG: 1 mM ) | N.D. | N.D. | 2.5 | N.D. | >80 | 2 | >64 | 5 | N.D. |
| $\Delta v m I R$ thrC:: $\mathrm{P}_{\text {hy-spank }}$ ard1 (IPTG: 1 mM ) | 16 | 32 | 0.078 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.3- \\ & 0.6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2.5 | N.D. | 2 | 5 | 0.125 |
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| $\Delta v m I R$ Phy-spank- <br> $\operatorname{ard1}^{\text {EQ2 }}$ (IPTG: 1 mM$)$  | 4 | 16 | 0.078 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.3- \\ & 0.6 \end{aligned}$ | 2.5 | N.D. | 2 | 5 | 0.125 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta v m I R P_{\text {hy-spank-cpI }}{ }^{C d}$ (IPTG: 1 mM ) | 16 | N.D. | >80 | >80 | >80 | 1-2 | >64 | 5 | 0.125 |
| $\Delta v m I R \quad P_{\text {hy-spank- }}$ cpIR ${ }^{C d}$-FLAG 3 (IPTG: 1 mM ) | 4 | N.D. | 0.078 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3- \\ & 0.6 \end{aligned}$ | 2.5 | N.D. | 2 | 5 | N.D. |

Table 2. Effects of CpIR and Erm functionality on resistance of $C$. difficile to nucleoside and PLS $_{\mathrm{A}}$ antibiotics.
C. difficile MIC testing was carried out in BHIS media, and growth inhibition was scored after 3648 hours of anaerobic incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Plasmid-harboring strains were cultured in BHIS media supplemented with $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ thiamphenicol (to maintain the plasmids) and $500 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ anhydrotetracycline (to induce the expression of ARE-ABCF proteins).

|  |  | MIC ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C. difficile strain | erm | A201A | Hygrom <br> ycin A | Retapa mulin | Lincom ycin | Clinda mycin | Iboxam ycin | Virginia mycin M1 | Erythro mycin | Florfeni col |
| R20291 | - | 2 | 16 | 0.4 | 16 | 8 | 0.0625 | 128 | >256 | 4 |
| 630 | c-erm | 4 | 16 | 0.4 | >256 | 256 | 16 | 128 | >256 | 4 |
| 6304erm | - | 4 | 16 | 0.8-1.6 | 32 | 16 | 0.25 | 32 | 1 | 4 |
| 630 erm <br> $\Delta c p I R$ | - | 2 | 16 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.125 | 0.0156 | 16 | 1 | 4 |
| 630 4 erm/pR <br> FP185 | - | 1 | 8 | 0.2 | 16 | 4 | 0.03125 | 16 | 1 | 4 |
| 6304erm <br> $\Delta c p / R 1 / p R F$ <br> P185 | - | 1 | 4 | 0.05 | 0.25 | 0.03125 | 0.03125 | 8 | 1 | 4 |
| 6304erm <br> $\Delta c p / R / p R F P$ <br> 185-cpIR | - | 2 | 8 | 1.6 | 32 | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & <0.0078 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | 16 | 1 | 4 |
| 630 Aerm <br> $\Delta c p I R$ <br> /pRFP185cpIR $\Delta C$ | - | 1 | 2 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.0625 | 8 | 1 | 4 |

Table 3. Effects of CpIR functionality on $C$. perfringens resistance to PLS $_{A}$ and macrolide antibiotics.

The MIC test for C. perfringens was carried out in GAM media and the growth inhibition was scored after 24 hours of anaerobic incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In the case of plasmid-harboring strains GAM media was attentionally supplemented with $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ chloramphenicol to maintain the plasmids. Expression of the CpIR ARE-ABCF was driven by the native promotor.

| C. perfringens strain | erm | MIC ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A201A | Hygromy $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { c i n }} \mathrm{A}$ | Retapam ulin | Lincomyc in | Clinda mycin | Iboxamyc in | Virginiam ycin M1 | Erythrom ycin |
| wt/pJIR750 | - | 4 | 4 | 0.8 | 4 | 2 | 0.0156 | 3.2 | 1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \Delta c p I R / \mathrm{pJIR} 75 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | - | 4 | 4 | 0.025 | 0.25 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline<0.06 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | 0.0078 | 1.6 | 1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \Delta c p / R / \mathrm{pJIR} 75 \\ & 0-c p / R \end{aligned}$ | - | 8 | 8 | 12.8 | 32 | 16 | 0.125 | 3.2 | 1 |

Table 4. Effects of CpIR functionality on C. sporogenes resistance to nucleoside, PLS $_{\mathrm{A}}$ and macrolide antibiotics.

The MIC test for $C$. sporogenes was carried out in GAM media, and the growth inhibition was scored after 24 hours of anaerobic incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

| C. sporogenes strain | erm | MIC ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A201A | Hygrom ycin A | Retapa mulin | Lincom ycin | Clindam <br> ycin | Iboxam <br> ycin | Chloramph enicol | Erythro mycin |
| JCM1416 wt | - | 1 | 4 | 25.6 | 32 | 16 | 0.125 | 2 | 0.5 |
| cpIR::intron- <br> ermB | $\begin{gathered} c- \\ \text { ermB } \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 2 | 0.025 | 256 | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.031 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | >256 |
| sigD::intronermB | $\begin{gathered} c- \\ \text { ermB } \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 4 | 25.6 | 256 | 128 | 16 | 2 | >256 |
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Figure 1. Maximum likelihood phylogenetic analysis of selected ABCFs places CpIR, Ard1 and VmIR2 in different clades of the ABCF family tree.
(A) Branches are proportional to the number of amino acid substitutions according to the lower left legend. Branch support values are percentage Ultrafast Bootstrap Support values, in percentages. Only those $50 \%$ or higher are shown. CpIR is a member of the ARE1 subfamily, but without any strongly supported specific association with Vga or Msr subtypes. There is strong support for Ard1 falling within the same clade as other actinomycete ARE subfamilies, all of which likely confer self-protection of the antibiotic producer. VmIR 2 is a close relative of VmIR , but has distinctive sequence/structure features, including (B) an extended ARD/linker subdomain (see Supplementary Figure 1 for full alignment).


Figure 2. Cryo-EM structure of $\boldsymbol{N}$. vireti VmIR2-EQ2 bound to the 70 (ibosome.
(A) Overview of cryo-EM density containing the large ribosomal subunit (grey), VmIR2 (brown), and the small ribosomal subunit (yellow). (B) As for A, except the large ribosomal subunit is shown as an outline only. A distorted P-tRNA is coloured cyan, and a substoichiometric A-tRNA is pink. (C) Isolated density and model of VmIR2 only. The model is coloured by domain, with ATPs coloured red. Non-sharpened maps are shown. (D) View of P- and A-tRNAs poised for peptidyl transfer in the PTC (pre-attack state, PDB ID 1VY4, (Polikanov et al., 2014)). The position of lincomycin is superimposed (PDB ID 8A5I, (Koller et al., 2022)). (E) Same view as D but showing the VmIR2 (brown) and B. subtilis VmIR (transparent, orange, PDB ID 6HA8, (Crowe-McAuliffe et al., 2018)) interdomain linkers. A dashed circle indicates the site of PLS $_{A}$
binding. Distorted P-tRNAs are shown in cyan, and a dashed line indicates the approximate path of the tRNA CCA-3' end, which was not modelled. (F) Close view of the VmIR2 ARD reaching into the PTC. lle261 is predicted to clash with superimposed lincomycin (PDB ID 8A5I). Van der Waals radii of the two closest atoms are shown as semi-transparent spheres, with a red line indicating a clash between them. (G) VmIR2 Y223 forms a stacking interaction with U2585. (H) View of PL2 forming part of the lincomycin binding site with 23S rRNA nucleotides shown in cyan (PDB ID 8A5I). (I) Same as $\mathbf{H}$ but with VmIR2 superimposed. 23 S rRNA nucleotides from the VmIR2-bound structure are shown in grey. Models were aligned by 23 S rRNA.


Figure 3. Nucleotide sequence and predicted secondary structures of the 5 ' leader region of CpIR mRNA in induced and repressed conformations.
(A) dRNA-seq (sampled in late exponential or stationary phase) and RNAtag-seq reads for annotation of transcriptional start sites (TSSs) and termination sites (TTSs), respectively, mapped to 5 ' upstream region (CDIF630nc_084) preceding the cpIR ORF (CDIF630_02847) (Fuchs et al., 2021).
(B) Alignments of $5^{\prime}$ upstream regions elements in Clostridial CpIRs and L. monocytogenes Lmo0919/VgaL focused on the uORF and anti-Shine-Dalgarno (anti-SD) elements.
(C-F) Consensus sequences for (C) CpIR, (D) VmIR, (E) VmIR2 and (F) LsaA uORF elements.
The full uORF peptide alignments are shown in Supplementary Table 3.
( $\mathbf{G}$ and $\mathbf{H}$ ) Predicted secondary structure of $c p I R$ mRNA 5' leader region in repressed ( $\mathbf{G}$, in the absence of antibiotic-induced ribosomal stalling on the uORF(MRI)) and induced ( $\mathbf{H}$, in the presence of ribosomal stalling). Substitutions that were used for experimental probing the key functional elements are shown in red.


Figure 4. cpIR expression is de-repressed in C. difficile upon retapamulin or lincomycin challenge and re-repressed once the bacterial growth recovers.
(A) Experimental setup used for cpIR mRNA detection by RT-PCR using primers specific for cpIR 5' leader region, cpIR ORF and fdxA ORF.
(B) cpIR mRNA accumulates in a dose-dependent manner in response to the addition of increasing concentrations of either retapamulin (RET) or lincomycin (LIN). The antibiotics were added to logarithmic phase C. difficile $630 \Delta e r m R$ cultures of and total RNA collected 2 hours after the antibiotic challenge. 23 S and 16 S rRNA were used as loading controls.
(C) Kinetics of cpIR mRNA accumulation in response to a sub-MIC challenge with retapamulin (200 ng/ml) or lincomycin ( $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ). (Left) cpIR 5' leader region, cpIR ORF and fdxA ORF were probed by RT-PCR amplification. (Right) Growth kinetics of C. difficile in the absence or presence of sub-MIC concentrations of retapamulin (200 ng/ml) or lincomycin ( $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ). The time point of antibiotic addition is set as zero on the time axis.


Figure 5. The ribosomal stalling on the uORF but not the premature RNAP termination is essential for induction of ARE1 in response to antibiotic challenge.
(A) Experimental setup used for mutational probing of the $c p I R 5^{\prime}$ leader region. Fluorescent reporters relied on mCherry expression driven by the $c p / R$ promotor ( $\mathrm{P}_{C P I R}$ ) using wither i) wildtype $c p / R$ 5' leader, ii) fdxA 5' leader (5' leader ${ }_{f d x A}$ ), iii-v) $c p / R 5$ ' leader with substitutions in the uORF start codon (mut ${ }^{\text {tORF }}$ ), the intrinsic terminator stem (mut ${ }^{\text {termSL }}$ ) or the polyU track downstream of the intrinsic terminator stem loop (mutpolyU). Locations of the substitutions on the mRNA secondary structure are presented on Figure 3CD.
(B) Accumulation mCherry mRNA variants with different $c p / R 5^{\prime}$ leaders in response to a subMIC challenge with lincomycin $(2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})$. The antibiotics were added to the logarithmic phase of C. difficile 630 sermR culture and total RNA was collected 30 mins after the antibiotic challenge. mCherry ORF and fdx ORF were probed by RT-PCR amplification. 23 S rRNA was used as loading control.
( $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ ) Mutational probing of the $c p / R 5^{\prime}$ leader. Expression of mCherry driven by is $5^{\prime}$ leader $_{f d x A}$ ( $\mathrm{P}_{f d x}$-mCherry) is insensitive to sub-MIC challenge with retapamulin (C) or lincomycin (D). Wild-type $\mathrm{P}_{\text {cpIR-mCherry reporter is induced by both retapamulin (C) and lincomycin (D), }}$ (D) though the signal is much lower than in the case of $\mathrm{P}_{f d x}$-mCherry. The mut ${ }^{\text {termSL }}$ reporter is constitutively induced and is insensitive to addition of either retapamulin (C) or lincomycin (D). The mutpolyU reporter is repressed in the absence of antibiotics and is induced by the retapamulin challenge (C). To calculate the relative promoter activity, relative fluorescence intensity normalised by O.D. 600 was further normalised by the signal from the constitutive $\mathrm{P}_{f d x}$-mCherry reporter.

Supplementary Table 1. Strains, plasmids and oligonucleotides used in this study.
The table is provided as a separate excel file. Details of plasmid and stain construction are also provided in the Supplementary Table 1. B. subtilis strains used in the study were trpC2 $\Delta v m I R$ (Crowe-McAuliffe et al., 2018).

## Supplementary Table 2. Cryo-EM data collection, modelling and refinement statistics.

## Data collection and processing

Nominal magnification
Acceleration voltage (kV)
Spherical aberration
Energy filter slit (eV)
Pixel Size (Å)
Defocus range ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ )
Electron flux ( $e^{-} / \AA^{2} / \mathrm{s}$ )
Electron fluence ( $\mathrm{e}^{-} / \mathrm{A}^{2}$ )
Exposure time (s)
Number of frames
Number of micrographs
Number of particles
Map resolution (masked, $\AA$ )

## Model

Number of atoms 144763
RNA residues
Protein residues
RMS deviations
Bond length ( $\AA$ ) 0.004
Angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ )
Validation
MoIProbity score 1.43
Clash score 4.58
FSC model, 0.5 (masked) 2.96
Map-model cross-correlation (masked volume) 0.88
Rotamer outliers (\%) 1.01
$\mathrm{C} \beta$ outliers 0.00
Ramachandran statistics (\%)
Outliers 0.12
Allowed 3.09
Favoured 96.79
Z-score
0.004
0.591

165000
300
2.7

20
0.82
-0.7 to -1.9
7.13
28.52

4
20
6384
140804
2.9

4584
5859
-1.12

## Supplementary Table 3. Multiple sequence alignments of ARE-ABCF leader peptides.

The table is provided as a separate excel file. Complete multiple sequence alignments of leader peptides that regulate expression of CpIR, VmIR, VmIR2, and LsaA generated by uORF4u (Egorov and Atkinson, 2022).
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## Supplementary Figure 1. Multiple sequence alignment of selected ABCF proteins.

Boxes show the three variable subdomains: the arm, ARD (also referred to as linker), which separates the two conserved $A B C$ domains, and C-terminal domain (CTD).


## Supplementary Figure 2. Preparation of samples for cryo-EM reconstructions.

Affinity purification of C-terminally HTF-tagged VmIR2 ${ }_{N . \text { viretit }}{ }^{\text {EQ2 }}$ expressed in B. subtilis VHB220
 $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of molecular weight marker; flowthrough: $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$; wash: $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of last wash before specific elution; elution: $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of elution with $\mathrm{FLAG}_{3}$; beads: $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $2 x$ SDS loading buffer boiled with 50 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of beads. The samples were resolved on $12 \%$ SDS-PAGE gel.
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Supplementary Figure 3. Cryo-EM processing scheme for VmIR2-70S.
See also methods for details.


Supplementary Figure 4. FSC curves and local resolution of the VmIR2-EQ2-70S volume.
(A) FSC curves. (B) Whole volume (left) and cut-through (right) coloured according to local resolution. (C) Isolated density for VmIR2 coloured according to local resolution. Non-sharpened maps are shown.


Supplementary Figure 5. VmIR2 binds an initiation complex.
(A) Isolated density and model of the distorted P-tRNA. The modelled residues correspond to an fMet-initiator tRNA. The CCA-3' end is poorly resolved and not included in the model. (B) Isolated density and model for the codon-anticodon interaction. The codon is modelled as AUG (pink). The anticodon is shown in blue. (C) View of the VmIR2-70S complex focusing on the mRNA exit channel. Density corresponding to a putative Shine-Dalgarno-anti-Shine-Dalgarno interaction is indicated.


## Supplementary Figure 6. A substoichiometric tRNA occupies the A site of the VmIR2-70S complex.

View of three sub-classified volumes (see Supplementary Figure 3) focusing on the A site. Volumes were low-pass-filtered to $6 \AA$. Inset shows A site with tRNA (classes 1 and 3 ) or without ligand (class 2). The right-most panel shows class 1 with transparent density for class 3 overlaid, revealing a different A-tRNA conformation.


Supplementary Figure 7. Comparison of interdomain linkers between selected AREABCFs.
(A) The VmIR2 interdomain linker (brown) with distorted P-tRNA (cyan). Dashed cyan lines indicate the approximate path of the tRNA CCA-3' end, which was not modelled. Residues which are inserted compared to $B$. subtilis $V$ mIR (see Figure 1B) are shown in red and demarcated by the black dotted line. Ile261, which reaches into the PTC, is shown as a stick representation. (B) Comparison of the LsaA (PDB ID 7NHK) and VmIR2 interdomain linkers. The red dashed circle indicates the approximate site of $\mathrm{PLS}_{A}$ binding. (C) As for B but with VgaA $\mathrm{LCC}_{\text {( }}$ (PDB 7NHL). (D) As for B but with VgaL (PDB ID 7NHN). Models were aligned by 23S rRNA.


Supplementary Figure 8. Overlap between ABCF-ARDs and selected antibiotics.
(A) The VmIR2 (brown) and B. subtilis VmIR (orange) ARDs are similarly positioned with respect to the PLS $_{A}$ binding site. For reference, lincomycin (PDB ID 8A5I) has been superimposed. (B) Close view of A, showing a $1.4 \AA$ distance between the most-distal atoms of the VmIR2 and the B. subtilis VmIR ARDs. (C, D) Extensive predicted overlaps between the VmIR2 ARD and hygromycin A (C, beige, PDB ID 5DOY) and A201A (D, salmon, PDB ID 4Z3S) (Polikanov et al., 2015). (E, F) Same view as in A but with virginiamycin M (E, green, PDB ID 4U25) or tiamulin (F, purple, PDB ID 1XBP) superimposed (Schlunzen et al., 2004). (G, H) As for C and D but with the $B$. subtilis VmIR ARD still showing extensive overlaps. (I-K) Predicted overlaps between the VmIR2 I261A variant and lincomycin (I), tiamulin (J), and virginiamycin M (K) (Noeske et al., 2014). Transparent spheres represent van der Waals radii; red lines indicate clashes. Models were aligned by 23 S rRNA.


Supplementary Figure 9. Interactions between the VmIR2 ARD and the $23 S$ rRNA.
(A) VmIR2 (brown) Arg259 forms H-bonds with $23 S$ rRNA (grey) phosphate groups. (B) VmIR2 Gln260 interacts with G2583 and U2506 (C) VmIR2 E265 is within hydrogen-bonding distance of $23 S$ rRNA A2450 and A2451. (D) Overview of alanine mutants tested in the VmIR2 ARD. Residues that, when substituted with alanine, substantially shifted the antibiotic resistance profile are shown in blue. Residues for which an alanine substitution had no effect are shown in red. (E) same as D but with a different view.


## Supplementary Figure 10. VmIR2 modulates the conformation of 23S rRNA nucleotides around the $\mathrm{PLS}_{\mathrm{A}}$ binding site.

(A) View of lincomycin binding with selected 23 S rRNA nucleotides shown in cyan (PDB ID 8A5I).
(B) Same as A but with the VmIR2 structure superimposed. 23S rRNA from the VmIR2 model is shown in grey. (C) Same as B, except the VmIR2 structure is compared to a stalled elongating B. subtilis 70 ribosome (PDB ID 6HA1).


## Supplementary Figure 11. Expression of $\mathbf{P}_{\text {cplR }}$-mCherry fluorescent reporter is induced by retapamulin in a concentration-dependent manner.

(A) Growth kinetics of $C$. difficile in the absence or presence of sub-MIC concentrations of retapamulin ( 20 and $200 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ ). (B) Kinetics of the induction of the $\mathrm{P}_{\text {cplR }}-\mathrm{mCherry}$ fluorescent reporter upon addition of retapamulin (RET) to final concentration of either 20 or $200 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$. To calculate the relative promoter activity, relative fluorescence intensity normalised by O.D. 600 was further normalised by the signal from the constitutive $\mathrm{P}_{\text {fdx }}-m$ Cherry reporter.
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