PFLlib: Personalized Federated Learning Algorithm Library

Jianqing Zhang¹ Yang Liu^{2,*} Yang Hua³ Hao Wang⁴ Tao Song¹ Zhengui Xue¹ Ruhui Ma¹ Jian Cao^{1,*}

TSINGZ@SJTU.EDU.CN LIUY03@AIR.TSINGHUA.EDU.CN Y.HUA@QUB.AC.UK HAOWANG@LSU.EDU SONGT333@SJTU.EDU.CN ZHENGUIXUE@SJTU.EDU.CN RUHUIMA@SJTU.EDU.CN CAO-JIAN@SJTU.EDU.CN

¹ Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China

² Institute for AI Industry Research, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

³ Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, UK

⁴ Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, USA

* Corresponding Author

Abstract

Amid the ongoing advancements in Federated Learning (FL), a machine learning paradigm that allows collaborative learning with data privacy protection, personalized FL (pFL) has gained significant prominence as a research direction within the FL domain. Whereas traditional FL (tFL) focuses on jointly learning a global model, pFL aims to achieve a balance between the global and personalized objectives of each client in FL settings. To foster the pFL research community, we propose PFL1ib, a comprehensive pFL algorithm library with an integrated evaluation platform. In PFL1ib, We implement 34 state-of-theart FL algorithms (including 7 classic tFL algorithms and 27 pFL algorithms) and provide various evaluation environments with three statistically heterogeneous scenarios and 14 datasets. At present, PFL1ib has already gained 850 stars and 199 forks on GitHub¹.

Keywords: federated learning, personalization, privacy-preserving, algorithm library, collaborative learning

1 Introduction

Federated Learning (FL) has gained significant attention due to its ability to perform distributed machine learning while ensuring privacy preservation (Yang et al., 2019). In traditional FL (tFL) algorithms such as FedAvg (McMahan et al., 2017), participating clients train local models using local data and send only local model updates to a global server, which then aggregates these updates to obtain a global model. These approaches do not consider the customization needs of each local client. Personalized FL (pFL) is introduced to train customized client models to improve their performance on individualized tasks. In tandem with the burgeoning prominence of pFL, there has been a surge in the development of various pFL algorithms and associated techniques (Tan et al., 2022a; Zhang et al., 2023b). However, due to their rapid progress and diverse settings, the difficulties of tracking, implementing, and benchmarking these methods also grow tremendously.

©2022 Jianqing Zhang, Yang Liu, Yang Hua, Hao Wang, Tao Song, Zhengui Xue, Ruhui Ma, and Jian Cao. License: CC BY-NC-ND 4.0, see https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

^{1.} https://github.com/TsingZ0/PFLlib

To alleviate these challenges, we have developed PFLlib, a comprehensive pFL algorithm library with an integrated evaluation platform. PFLlib includes implementations of **34** state-of-the-art (SOTA) tFL and pFL algorithms, encompassing 7 classic tFL algorithms and **27** pFL algorithms. Our library is user-friendly and easily extendable, allowing contributors to seamlessly add new algorithms, scenarios, and datasets, thus ensuring that PFLlib remains up-to-date. Additionally, we have implemented three statistically heterogeneous scenarios and incorporated 14 datasets, covering Computer Vision (CV), Natural Language Processing (NLP), and Sensor Signal Processing (SSP) tasks. We can evaluate the algorithms in PFLlib and assess their adaptability to various scenarios, which provides valuable insights for algorithm selection in practical applications.

2 Related Work

With the rapid development of the FL field, numerous benchmarks and platforms have emerged in recent years. Most of their latest versions are for practical deployments, such as FATE (Liu et al., 2021), FedML (He et al., 2020), FederatedScope (Xie et al., 2023), Flower (Beutel et al., 2020), TensorFlow Federated², NVIDIA Clara³, SecretFlow⁴, Fedlearner⁵, and PySyft⁶. Despite the efficient resource management and extensive functionality offered by these platforms, they can present a challenge for beginners who seek to comprehend the fundamental mechanisms of FL and delve into the philosophical aspects of existing FL algorithms. There are also some beginner-friendly platforms, such as LEAF (Caldas et al., 2018), NIID-Bench (Li et al., 2022), Motley (Wu et al., 2022b), OARF (Hu et al., 2022), FedEval (Chai et al., 2020) and FedLab (Zeng et al., 2023). However, these benchmarks and platforms still lack sufficient and up-to-date built-in SOTA pFL algorithms for researchers to learn, compare, and analyze.

In the pFL field, pFL-Bench (Chen et al., 2022) is the latest project, but it supports only 5 SOTA pFL methods while the remaining pFL methods are variants created by combining them with existing approaches including FedBN (Li et al., 2021c), FedOpt (Asad et al., 2020), and Fine-tuning (FT). And all the pFL algorithms in pFL-Bench are outdated (before 2022). In contrast, our PFL1ib consists of 27 SOTA pFL algorithms, and the latest one is proposed in December 2023. Moreover, due to our straightforward file structure, PFL1ib is more accessible for beginners to learn and utilize pFL algorithms compared to pFL-Bench.

3 PFL1ib Project

Algorithms. In our PFL1ib, the primary focus is on pFL algorithms. In addition, we have also included a selection of classic tFL algorithms to facilitate the evaluation of pFL algorithms, following previous pFL research (Li et al., 2021b; T Dinh et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2023d). We have categorized a total of 7 tFL algorithms and 27 pFL algorithms based on their foundational techniques. The detailed classification is presented in Table 1.

^{2.} https://www.tensorflow.org/federated

^{3.} https://developer.nvidia.com/industries/healthcare

^{4.} https://github.com/secretflow/secretflow

^{5.} https://github.com/bytedance/fedlearner

 $^{6. \} https://github.com/OpenMined/PySyft$

| | Category | Algorithms | | | | |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 7 tFL Algorithms | Basic tFL | FedAvg (McMahan et al., 2017) | | | | |
| | Update-correction-based tFL | SCAFFOLD (Karimireddy et al., 2020) | | | | |
| | Regularization-based tFL | FedProx (Li et al., 2020) and FedDyn (Acar et al., 2021) | | | | |
| | Model-splitting-based tFL | MOON (Li et al., 2021a) | | | | |
| | Knowledge-distillation-based tFL | FedGen (Zhu et al., 2021) and FedNTD (Lee et al., 2022) | | | | |
| 27 pFL Algorithms | Meta-learning-based pFL | Per-FedAvg (Fallah et al., 2020) | | | | |
| | Regularization-based pFL | pFedMe (T Dinh et al., 2020) and Ditto (Li et al., 2021b) | | | | |
| | Personalized-aggregation-based pFL | APFL (Deng et al., 2020), FedFomo (Zhang et al., 2020), FedAMP (Huang et al., 2021), FedPHP (Li et al., 2021d), AP- PLE (Luo and Wu, 2022), and FedALA (Zhang et al., 2023d) | | | | |
| | Model-splitting-based pFL | FedPer (Arivazhagan et al., 2019), LG-FedAvg (Liang et al., 2020), FedRep (Collins et al., 2021), FedRoD (Chen and Chao, 2021), FedBABU (Oh et al., 2022), FedGC (Niu and Deng, 2022), FedCP (Zhang et al., 2023c), GPFL (Zhang et al., 2023b), FedGH (Yi et al., 2023), and DBE (Zhang et al., 2023a) | | | | |
| | Knowledge-distillation-based pFL | FedDistill (Seo et al., 2022), FML (Shen et al., 2020), FedKD (Wu et al., 2022a), FedProto (Tan et al., 2022b), FedPCL (Tan et al., 2022c), and FedPAC (Xu et al., 2022) | | | | |
| | Other pFL | FedMTL (Seo et al., 2022) and FedBN (Li et al., 2021c) | | | | |

Table 1: Algorithm Classifications in our PFLlib.

Scenarios. In PFL1ib, we consider two kinds of statistically heterogeneous scenarios: *label skew* and *feature shift*, where different client datasets differ in label categories and feature categories, respectively (Zhang et al., 2023b). Both CV and NLP classification tasks are considered in these two scenarios. Moreover, we also introduce a *real world* scenario by assigning each client with sensor signals collected from a wearable device on each person, such as HAR (Anguita et al., 2012) and PAMAP2 (Reiss and Stricker, 2012), for SSP tasks. **Privacy Features.** We also introduce the privacy-preserving technique Differential Privacy⁷ (DP) into PFL1ib. In addition, we implement the popular Deep Leakage from Gradients (DLG) attack (Zhu et al., 2019) and the Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio (PSNR) metric (Wu et al., 2022c) to evaluate the privacy-preserving abilities of existing tFL/pFL algorithms.

Easy to Use and Extend. In PFLlib, algorithms are implemented by **three** critical files: serverX.py for server creation, clientX.py for client creation, and main.py for hyperparameter configuration. Here, "X" represents some algorithm name. We can simply create a new algorithm Y by only adding specific features to serverY.py and clientY.py and while utilizing the core APIs in serverbase.py and clientbase.py. To create a scenario, users simply need **one** command line and run the evaluation with another **one** command line. We show an example of using FedALA on MNIST (LeCun et al., 1998):

^{7.} https://opacus.ai/

```
1 # generate a practical non-iid and unbalanced scenario using MNIST
2 cd ./dataset; python generate_mnist.py noniid - dir
3 # evaluate the FedALA algorithm using a CNN with default hyperparameters
4 cd ./system; python main.py -data mnist -m cnn -algo FedALA -gr 2000
```

Impacts. Our PFL1ib is active and popular in the pFL community, as shown by the increasing number of GitHub stars, forks, and active discussions. Due to its simplicity and extensibility, numerous new platforms and projects have been built upon it, such as the FL-bench⁸, the HtFL⁹, and the FL-IoT¹⁰. Besides, the experiments of several latest SOTA methods (Zhang et al., 2023d,c,b,a) are also conducted using our PFL1ib.

Benchmark. Due to limited space, we only evaluate 20 algorithms in two *label skew* scenarios following the default settings¹¹ of GPFL (Zhang et al., 2023b). In Table 2, we use the 4layer CNN (McMahan et al., 2017) for CV tasks on Fashion-MNIST (FMNIST) (Xiao et al., 2017), Cifar100, and Tiny-ImageNet (Chrabaszcz et al., 2017) (TINY for short) datasets and use the fastText (Joulin et al., 2017) for NLP tasks on AG News dataset. We also use the ResNet-18 (He et al., 2016) on Tiny-ImageNet and denote it TINY^{*}.

| Settings | Pathological Label Skew Setting | | | Practical Label Skew Setting | | | | |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | FMNIST | Cifar100 | TINY | FMNIST | Cifar100 | TINY | TINY* | AG News |
| FedAvg | $80.41 {\pm} 0.08$ | $25.98 {\pm} 0.13$ | $14.20{\pm}0.47$ | $85.85 {\pm} 0.19$ | $31.89 {\pm} 0.47$ | $19.46 {\pm} 0.20$ | $19.45 {\pm} 0.13$ | $87.12 {\pm} 0.19$ |
| FedProx | $78.08 {\pm} 0.15$ | $25.94{\pm}0.16$ | $13.85 {\pm} 0.25$ | $85.63 {\pm} 0.57$ | $31.99{\pm}0.41$ | $19.37 {\pm} 0.22$ | $19.27 {\pm} 0.23$ | $87.21 {\pm} 0.13$ |
| FedGen | $79.76 {\pm} 0.60$ | $20.80{\pm}1.00$ | $13.82{\pm}0.09$ | $84.90 {\pm} 0.31$ | $30.96 {\pm} 0.54$ | $19.39{\pm}0.18$ | $18.53{\pm}0.32$ | $89.86{\pm}0.83$ |
| Per-FedAvg | $99.18{\pm}0.08$ | $56.80{\pm}0.26$ | $28.06{\pm}0.40$ | $95.10{\pm}0.10$ | $44.28{\pm}0.33$ | $25.07{\pm}0.07$ | $21.81{\pm}0.54$ | $87.08 {\pm} 0.26$ |
| \mathbf{pFedMe} | $99.35 {\pm} 0.14$ | $58.20 {\pm} 0.14$ | $27.71 {\pm} 0.40$ | $97.25 {\pm} 0.17$ | $47.34{\pm}0.46$ | $26.93 {\pm} 0.19$ | $33.44{\pm}0.33$ | $87.08{\pm}0.18$ |
| Ditto | $99.44 {\pm} 0.06$ | $67.23 {\pm} 0.07$ | $39.90{\pm}0.42$ | $97.47 {\pm} 0.04$ | $52.87 {\pm} 0.64$ | $32.15 {\pm} 0.04$ | $35.92{\pm}0.43$ | $91.89{\pm}0.17$ |
| APFL | $99.41 {\pm} 0.02$ | $64.26 {\pm} 0.13$ | $36.47 {\pm} 0.44$ | $97.25 {\pm} 0.08$ | $46.74{\pm}0.60$ | $34.86{\pm}0.43$ | $35.81{\pm}0.37$ | $89.37 {\pm} 0.86$ |
| FedFomo | $99.46 {\pm} 0.01$ | $62.49 {\pm} 0.22$ | $36.55 {\pm} 0.50$ | $97.21 {\pm} 0.02$ | $45.39 {\pm} 0.45$ | $26.33 {\pm} 0.22$ | $26.84{\pm}0.11$ | $91.20 {\pm} 0.18$ |
| FedAMP | $99.42 {\pm} 0.03$ | $64.34{\pm}0.37$ | $36.12{\pm}0.30$ | $97.20 {\pm} 0.06$ | $47.69 {\pm} 0.49$ | $27.99 {\pm} 0.11$ | $29.11 {\pm} 0.15$ | $83.35 {\pm} 0.05$ |
| APPLE | $99.30 {\pm} 0.01$ | $65.80 {\pm} 0.08$ | $36.22{\pm}0.40$ | $97.06 {\pm} 0.07$ | $53.22 {\pm} 0.20$ | $35.04{\pm}0.47$ | $39.93{\pm}0.52$ | $84.10 {\pm} 0.18$ |
| FedALA | $99.57 {\pm} 0.01$ | $67.83 {\pm} 0.06$ | $40.31 {\pm} 0.30$ | $97.66 {\pm} 0.02$ | $55.92{\pm}0.03$ | $40.54 {\pm} 0.02$ | $41.94{\pm}0.02$ | $92.45 {\pm} 0.10$ |
| FedPer | $99.47 {\pm} 0.03$ | $63.53 {\pm} 0.21$ | $39.80 {\pm} 0.39$ | $97.44{\pm}0.06$ | $49.63 {\pm} 0.54$ | $33.84{\pm}0.34$ | $38.45 {\pm} 0.85$ | $91.85 {\pm} 0.24$ |
| FedRep | $99.56 {\pm} 0.03$ | $67.56 {\pm} 0.31$ | $40.85 {\pm} 0.37$ | $97.56 {\pm} 0.04$ | $52.39 {\pm} 0.35$ | $37.27 {\pm} 0.20$ | $39.95 {\pm} 0.61$ | $92.25 {\pm} 0.20$ |
| FedRoD | $99.52 {\pm} 0.05$ | $62.30 {\pm} 0.02$ | $37.95 {\pm} 0.22$ | $97.52 {\pm} 0.04$ | $50.94{\pm}0.11$ | $36.43 {\pm} 0.05$ | $37.99 {\pm} 0.26$ | $92.16 {\pm} 0.12$ |
| FedBABU | $99.41 {\pm} 0.05$ | $66.85 {\pm} 0.07$ | $40.72 {\pm} 0.64$ | $97.46 {\pm} 0.07$ | $55.02 {\pm} 0.33$ | $36.82{\pm}0.45$ | $34.50 {\pm} 0.62$ | $95.86 {\pm} 0.41$ |
| FedCP | $99.66 {\pm} 0.04$ | $71.80{\pm}0.16$ | $44.52 {\pm} 0.22$ | $97.89 {\pm} 0.05$ | $59.56 {\pm} 0.08$ | $43.49 {\pm} 0.04$ | $44.18 {\pm} 0.21$ | $92.89 {\pm} 0.10$ |
| GPFL | $99.85 {\pm} 0.08$ | $71.78 {\pm} 0.26$ | $44.58 {\pm} 0.06$ | $97.81 {\pm} 0.09$ | $61.86 {\pm} 0.31$ | $43.37 {\pm} 0.53$ | $43.70 {\pm} 0.44$ | $97.97 {\pm} 0.14$ |
| FedAvg+DBE | $99.74 {\pm} 0.04$ | $73.38{\pm}0.18$ | $42.89 {\pm} 0.29$ | $97.69{\pm}0.05$ | $64.39 {\pm} 0.27$ | $43.32{\pm}0.37$ | $42.98{\pm}0.52$ | $96.87{\pm}0.18$ |
| FedDistill | $99.51 {\pm} 0.03$ | $66.78 {\pm} 0.15$ | 37.21 ± 0.25 | 97.43 ± 0.04 | $49.93 {\pm} 0.23$ | 30.02 ± 0.09 | $29.88 {\pm} 0.41$ | $85.76 {\pm} 0.09$ |
| FedProto | $99.49 {\pm} 0.04$ | $69.18 {\pm} 0.03$ | $36.78 {\pm} 0.07$ | $97.40 {\pm} 0.02$ | $52.70 {\pm} 0.33$ | $31.21{\pm}0.16$ | $26.38 {\pm} 0.40$ | $96.34{\pm}0.58$ |

Table 2: The test accuracy (%) on the CV and NLP tasks in *label skew* settings.

4 Conclusion

To bolster the rapidly evolving pFL research community, we have developed PFLlib, a beginner-friendly library encompassing 34 cutting-edge tFL/pFL algorithms. We also built an evaluation platform in PFLlib with privacy features and extensive scenarios.

^{8.} https://github.com/KarhouTam/FL-bench/tree/c11efc286dab4565245da34d7300d5bb07b87a0a

^{9.} https://github.com/TsingZ0/HtFL

^{10.} https://github.com/TsingZ0/FL-IoT

^{11.} Due to frequent updates, some default settings and codes for scenario creation may change in PFLlib.

References

- D. A. E. Acar, Y. Zhao, R. Matas, M. Mattina, P. Whatmough, and V. Saligrama. Federated Learning Based on Dynamic Regularization. In *International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*, 2021.
- D. Anguita, A. Ghio, L. Oneto, X. Parra, and J. L. Reyes-Ortiz. Human activity recognition on smartphones using a multiclass hardware-friendly support vector machine. In Ambient Assisted Living and Home Care: 4th International Workshop, IWAAL 2012, Vitoria-Gasteiz, Spain, December 3-5, 2012. Proceedings 4, pages 216–223. Springer, 2012.
- M. G. Arivazhagan, V. Aggarwal, A. K. Singh, and S. Choudhary. Federated Learning with Personalization Layers. arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.00818, 2019.
- M. Asad, A. Moustafa, and T. Ito. Fedopt: Towards communication efficiency and privacy preservation in federated learning. *Applied Sciences*, 10(8):2864, 2020.
- D. J. Beutel, T. Topal, A. Mathur, X. Qiu, J. Fernandez-Marques, Y. Gao, L. Sani, K. H. Li, T. Parcollet, P. P. B. de Gusmão, et al. Flower: A friendly federated learning research framework. arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.14390, 2020.
- S. Caldas, S. M. K. Duddu, P. Wu, T. Li, J. Konečný, H. B. McMahan, V. Smith, and A. Talwalkar. Leaf: A benchmark for federated settings. arXiv preprint arXiv:1812.01097, 2018.
- D. Chai, L. Wang, L. Yang, J. Zhang, K. Chen, and Q. Yang. Fedeval: A holistic evaluation framework for federated learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.09655, 2020.
- D. Chen, D. Gao, W. Kuang, Y. Li, and B. Ding. pfl-bench: A comprehensive benchmark for personalized federated learning. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 35:9344–9360, 2022.
- H.-Y. Chen and W.-L. Chao. On Bridging Generic and Personalized Federated Learning for Image Classification. In International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR), 2021.
- P. Chrabaszcz, I. Loshchilov, and F. Hutter. A Downsampled Variant of Imagenet as an Alternative to the Cifar Datasets. arXiv preprint arXiv:1707.08819, 2017.
- L. Collins, H. Hassani, A. Mokhtari, and S. Shakkottai. Exploiting Shared Representations for Personalized Federated Learning. In *International Conference on Machine Learning* (*ICML*), 2021.
- Y. Deng, M. M. Kamani, and M. Mahdavi. Adaptive Personalized Federated Learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.13461, 2020.
- A. Fallah, A. Mokhtari, and A. Ozdaglar. Personalized Federated Learning with Theoretical Guarantees: A Model-Agnostic Meta-Learning Approach. In International Conference on Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS), 2020.

- C. He, S. Li, J. So, X. Zeng, M. Zhang, H. Wang, X. Wang, P. Vepakomma, A. Singh, H. Qiu, et al. Fedml: A research library and benchmark for federated machine learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.13518, 2020.
- K. He, X. Zhang, S. Ren, and J. Sun. Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition. In *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2016.
- S. Hu, Y. Li, X. Liu, Q. Li, Z. Wu, and B. He. The oarf benchmark suite: Characterization and implications for federated learning systems. ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology (TIST), 13(4):1–32, 2022.
- Y. Huang, L. Chu, Z. Zhou, L. Wang, J. Liu, J. Pei, and Y. Zhang. Personalized Cross-Silo Federated Learning on Non-IID Data. In AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI), 2021.
- A. Joulin, E. Grave, P. Bojanowski, and T. Mikolov. Bag of Tricks for Efficient Text Classification. In Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics (EACL), 2017.
- S. P. Karimireddy, S. Kale, M. Mohri, S. Reddi, S. Stich, and A. T. Suresh. Scaffold: Stochastic Controlled Averaging for Federated Learning. In *International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, 2020.
- Y. LeCun, L. Bottou, Y. Bengio, and P. Haffner. Gradient-Based Learning Applied to Document Recognition. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 86(11):2278–2324, 1998.
- G. Lee, M. Jeong, Y. Shin, S. Bae, and S.-Y. Yun. Preservation of the global knowledge by not-true distillation in federated learning. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 35:38461–38474, 2022.
- Q. Li, B. He, and D. Song. Model-Contrastive Federated Learning. In IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2021a.
- Q. Li, Y. Diao, Q. Chen, and B. He. Federated Learning on Non-IID Data Silos: An Experimental Study. 2022.
- T. Li, A. K. Sahu, M. Zaheer, M. Sanjabi, A. Talwalkar, and V. Smith. Federated Optimization in Heterogeneous Networks. In *Conference on Machine Learning and Systems* (*MLSys*), 2020.
- T. Li, S. Hu, A. Beirami, and V. Smith. Ditto: Fair and Robust Federated Learning Through Personalization. In *International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, 2021b.
- X. Li, M. JIANG, X. Zhang, M. Kamp, and Q. Dou. FedBN: Federated Learning on Non-IID Features via Local Batch Normalization. In International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR), 2021c.
- X.-C. Li, D.-C. Zhan, Y. Shao, B. Li, and S. Song. FedPHP: Federated Personalization with Inherited Private Models. In European Conference on Machine Learning and Principles and Practice of Knowledge Discovery in Databases (ECML), 2021d.

- P. P. Liang, T. Liu, L. Ziyin, N. B. Allen, R. P. Auerbach, D. Brent, R. Salakhutdinov, and L.-P. Morency. Think locally, act globally: Federated learning with local and global representations. arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.01523, 2020.
- Y. Liu, T. Fan, T. Chen, Q. Xu, and Q. Yang. Fate: An industrial grade platform for collaborative learning with data protection. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 22(1):10320–10325, 2021.
- J. Luo and S. Wu. Adapt to Adaptation: Learning Personalization for Cross-Silo Federated Learning. In International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI), 2022.
- B. McMahan, E. Moore, D. Ramage, S. Hampson, and B. A. y Arcas. Communication-Efficient Learning of Deep Networks from Decentralized Data. In International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics (AISTATS), 2017.
- Y. Niu and W. Deng. Federated Learning for Face Recognition With Gradient Correction. In AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI), 2022.
- J. Oh, S. Kim, and S.-Y. Yun. FedBABU: Toward Enhanced Representation for Federated Image Classification. In International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR), 2022.
- A. Reiss and D. Stricker. Introducing a new benchmarked dataset for activity monitoring. In 2012 16th international symposium on wearable computers, pages 108–109. IEEE, 2012.
- H. Seo, J. Park, S. Oh, M. Bennis, and S.-L. Kim. 16 federated knowledge distillation. Machine Learning and Wireless Communications, page 457, 2022.
- T. Shen, J. Zhang, X. Jia, F. Zhang, G. Huang, P. Zhou, K. Kuang, F. Wu, and C. Wu. Federated mutual learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.16765, 2020.
- C. T Dinh, N. Tran, and T. D. Nguyen. Personalized Federated Learning with Moreau Envelopes. In International Conference on Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS), 2020.
- A. Z. Tan, H. Yu, L. Cui, and Q. Yang. Towards Personalized Federated Learning. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, 2022a. Early Access.
- Y. Tan, G. Long, L. Liu, T. Zhou, Q. Lu, J. Jiang, and C. Zhang. Fedproto: Federated Prototype Learning across Heterogeneous Clients. In AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI), 2022b.
- Y. Tan, G. Long, J. Ma, L. Liu, T. Zhou, and J. Jiang. Federated learning from pre-trained models: A contrastive learning approach. arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.10083, 2022c.
- C. Wu, F. Wu, L. Lyu, Y. Huang, and X. Xie. Communication-efficient federated learning via knowledge distillation. *Nature communications*, 13(1):2032, 2022a.

- S. Wu, T. Li, Z. Charles, Y. Xiao, K. Liu, Z. Xu, and V. Smith. Motley: Benchmarking heterogeneity and personalization in federated learning. In Workshop on Federated Learning: Recent Advances and New Challenges (in Conjunction with NeurIPS 2022), 2022b.
- Y. Wu, Y. Kang, J. Luo, Y. He, L. Fan, R. Pan, and Q. Yang. Fedcg: Leverage conditional gan for protecting privacy and maintaining competitive performance in federated learning. In *International Conference on Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* (NeurIPS), 2022c.
- H. Xiao, K. Rasul, and R. Vollgraf. Fashion-MNIST: A Novel Image Dataset for Benchmarking Machine Learning Algorithms. arXiv preprint arXiv:1708.07747, 2017.
- Y. Xie, Z. Wang, D. Gao, D. Chen, L. Yao, W. Kuang, Y. Li, B. Ding, and J. Zhou. Federatedscope: A flexible federated learning platform for heterogeneity. *Proceedings of the VLDB Endowment*, 16(5):1059–1072, 2023.
- J. Xu, X. Tong, and S.-L. Huang. Personalized federated learning with feature alignment and classifier collaboration. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2022.
- Q. Yang, Y. Liu, T. Chen, and Y. Tong. Federated Machine Learning: Concept and Applications. ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology, 10(2):1–19, 2019.
- L. Yi, G. Wang, X. Liu, Z. Shi, and H. Yu. Fedgh: Heterogeneous federated learning with generalized global header. In *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, 2023.
- D. Zeng, S. Liang, X. Hu, H. Wang, and Z. Xu. Fedlab: A flexible federated learning framework. J. Mach. Learn. Res., 24:100–1, 2023.
- J. Zhang, Y. Hua, J. Cao, H. Wang, T. Song, Z. Xue, R. Ma, and H. Guan. Eliminating domain bias for federated learning in representation space. arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.14975, 2023a.
- J. Zhang, Y. Hua, H. Wang, T. Song, Z. Xue, R. Ma, J. Cao, and H. Guan. Gpfl: Simultaneously learning global and personalized feature information for personalized federated learning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 5041–5051, 2023b.
- J. Zhang, Y. Hua, H. Wang, T. Song, Z. Xue, R. Ma, and H. Guan. Fedcp: Separating feature information for personalized federated learning via conditional policy. In *Proceed*ings of the 29th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, 2023c.
- J. Zhang, Y. Hua, H. Wang, T. Song, Z. Xue, R. Ma, and H. Guan. FedALA: Adaptive Local Aggregation for Personalized Federated Learning. In AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI), 2023d.

PFLLIB: PERSONALIZED FEDERATED LEARNING ALGORITHM LIBRARY

- M. Zhang, K. Sapra, S. Fidler, S. Yeung, and J. M. Alvarez. Personalized Federated Learning with First Order Model Optimization. In *International Conference on Learning Repre*sentations (ICLR), 2020.
- L. Zhu, Z. Liu, and S. Han. Deep Leakage from Gradients. In International Conference on Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS), 2019.
- Z. Zhu, J. Hong, and J. Zhou. Data-Free Knowledge Distillation for Heterogeneous Federated Learning. In International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML), 2021.