

# A NOTE ON RANDOM MATRIX INTEGRALS, MOMENT IDENTITIES, AND CATALAN NUMBERS

NICHOLAS M. KATZ

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In their paper “Random Matrix Theory and L-Functions at  $s = 1/2$ ”, Keating and Snaith give explicit formulas [Ke-Sn, (10) on page 94] for the matrix integrals

$$\int_{USp(2n)} \det(1 - A)^s dA.$$

Here  $USp(2n)$  is the compact symplectic group of size  $2n$ ,  $dA$  is its Haar measure of total mass one, and  $\det(1 - A)$  is computed for the standard representation of  $A \in USp(2n)$  as a matrix of size  $2n$ . Because the group  $USp(2n)$  contains the scalar matrix  $-1$ , and because Haar measure is translation invariant, we have

$$\int_{USp(2n)} \det(1 - A)^s dA = \int_{USp(2n)} \det(1 + A)^s dA.$$

Their formula, valid for  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\Re(s) > -3/2$ , is

$$\int_{USp(2n)} \det(1 + A)^s dA = 2^{2ns} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(n + j + 1)\Gamma(1/2 + s + j)}{\Gamma(1/2 + j)\Gamma(1 + s + n + j)}.$$

We were particularly interested in the case when  $s$  is an integer  $r \geq -1$ . Out of idle curiosity, we looked what their formula gave for the case  $n = 1$ , when  $USp(2)$  is the group  $SU(2)$ , and for integer values of  $r \geq -1$ . For  $r = -1, 0, 1, \dots, 9$ , we found the sequence

$$1, 1, 2, 5, 14, 42, 132, 429, 1430, 4862, 16796,$$

which is the start of the sequence of Catalan numbers  $C_n$ , indexed by integers  $n \geq 0$ :

$$C_n := \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}.$$

This made it seem likely that for every integer  $r \geq -1$ , we had the relation

$$\int_{SU(2)} \det(1 + A)^r dA = C_{r+1}.$$

We will show

**Theorem 1.1.** *For every integer  $r \geq -1$ , we have the relation*

$$\int_{SU(2)} \det(1 + A)^r dA = C_{r+1}.$$

The Catalan numbers are themselves matrix integrals over  $SU(2)$ . For integers  $r \geq 0$  we have

$$C_r = \int_{SU(2)} \text{Tr}(A)^{2r} dA, \quad 0 = \int_{SU(2)} \text{Tr}(A)^{2r+1} dA.$$

So from Theorem 1.1, we have the identity, for integers  $r \geq 0$ ,

$$\int_{SU(2)} \text{Tr}(A)^{2r+2} dA = \int_{SU(2)} \det(1 + A)^r dA.$$

For  $SU(2)$ , we have the identity

$$\det(1 + A) = 2 + \text{Tr}(A).$$

When we expand  $(2 + \text{Tr}(A))^r$  by the binomial theorem, we find the identity

**Corollary 1.2.**

$$C_{r+1} = \sum_{0 \leq d \leq r/2} 2^{r-2d} \binom{r}{2d} C_d.$$

This identity is presumably (?) known to Catalan experts.

We then looked at what the Keating-Snaith formula gave for the case  $n = 2$ , i.e. for the group  $USp(4)$ , and for integer values of  $r \geq -1$ . For  $r = -1, 0, 1, \dots, 7$ , we found the sequence

$$1, 1, 3, 14, 84, 594, 4719, 40898, 379236.$$

Inspired by what had happened in the  $SU(2)$  case, we computed (using Mathematica) the integrals

$$\int_{USp(4)} \text{Tr}(A)^{2r+2} dA$$

for  $r = -1, 0, 1, \dots, 7$ , and found this same sequence. This led us to suspect that we had the identity

$$\int_{USp(4)} \det(1 + A)^r dA = \int_{USp(4)} \text{Tr}(A)^{2r+2} dA$$

for every  $r \geq 0$ . We will show

**Theorem 1.3.** *For every integer  $r \geq -1$ , we have*

$$\int_{USp(4)} \det(1 + A)^r dA = \int_{USp(4)} \text{Tr}(A)^{2r+2} dA$$

However, this identity failed for every  $n \geq 3$ . Already for  $r = 1$ , the Keating-Snaith formula gives

$$\int_{USp(2n)} \det(1 + A) dA = n + 1.$$

However, one knows that for every  $n \geq 2$ , one has

$$\int_{USp(2n)} \text{Tr}(A)^4 dA = 3.$$

What is to be done?

It turns out that in order to understand the Keating-Snaith integrals

$$\int_{USp(2n)} \det(1 + A)^r dA$$

along these lines, we must introduce the compact Spin group  $USpin(2n + 1)$  (the universal covering of the group  $SO(2n + 1, \mathbb{R})$  for the sum of squares quadratic form) and its  $2^n$ -dimensional spin representation.

The general result is this.

**Theorem 1.4.** *For  $n \geq 1$  and  $r \geq 0$ , we have the identity*

$$\int_{USp(2n)} \det(1 + A)^r dA = \int_{USpin(2n+1)} \text{Tr}(\text{spin}(A))^{2r+2} dA.$$

This result includes the identities for  $USp(2) = SU(2)$  and for  $USp(4)$ . Indeed, for  $n = 1$  and  $n = 2$ , we have the accidents that  $USpin(2n+1)$  is the group  $USp(2n)$  and that the spin representation of  $USpin(2n + 1)$  is the standard representation of  $USp(2n)$ .

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4, VIA THE WEYL INTEGRATION FORMULA

For a group  $G$ , we denote by  $G^\#$  its space of conjugacy classes. When  $G$  is a topological group, we topologize  $G^\#$  so that continuous functions on  $G^\#$  are precisely the continuous central (invariant by conjugation) functions on  $G$ . The function  $\det(1 + A)$  is a continuous central function on  $USp(2n)$  with values in  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ , and the function  $\text{Tr}(\text{spin}(A))$  is a continuous central function on  $USpin(2n+1)$  with values in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

An element  $A \in USp(2n)$  has  $n$  pairs of eigenvalues  $e^{\pm i\theta_j}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , with angles  $\theta_j \in [0, \pi]$ , and  $A$  is determined up to conjugacy by the unordered  $n$ -tuple of its  $\theta_j$ 's. So a continuous (respectively Borel measurable and  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ -valued) central function  $A \mapsto f(A)$  on  $USp(2n)^\#$  is a continuous (respectively Borel measurable and  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ -valued) function

$$f(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$$

on  $[0, \pi]^n$  which is invariant under the symmetric group  $S_n$ . For such a function  $f$ , the Weyl integration formula, cf. [Ka-Sar, 5.0.4] or [Weyl, p. 218, (7.8B)], asserts that

$$\int_{USp(2n)} f(A) dA = \int_{[0, \pi]^n} f(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) \mu_{USp(2n)},$$

for  $\mu_{USp(2n)}$  the measure on  $[0, \pi]^n$  given by

$$\mu_{USp(2n)} = (1/n!) \left( \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (2 \cos(\theta_i) - 2 \cos(\theta_j))^2 \right) \prod_{i=1}^n (2/\pi) \sin(\theta_i)^2 d\theta_i.$$

An element  $A \in SO(2n + 1, \mathbb{R})$  has the eigenvalue 1, and in addition it has  $n$  pairs of eigenvalues  $e^{\pm i\theta_j}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , with angles  $\theta_j \in [0, \pi]$ , and  $A$  is determined up to conjugacy by the unordered  $n$ -tuple of its  $\theta_j$ 's. So a continuous (respectively Borel measurable and  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ -valued) central function  $A \mapsto f(A)$  on  $USp(2n)^\#$  is a continuous (respectively Borel measurable and  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ -valued) function

$$f(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$$

on  $[0, \pi]^n$  which is invariant under the symmetric group  $S_n$ . For such a function  $f$ , the Weyl integration formula, cf. [Ka-Sar, 5.0.5] or [Weyl, p. 224, (9.7)], asserts that

$$\int_{SO(2n+1, \mathbb{R})} f(A) dA = \int_{[0, \pi]^n} f(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) \mu_{SO(2n+1, \mathbb{R})},$$

for  $\mu_{SO(2n+1, \mathbb{R})}$  the measure on  $[0, \pi]^n$  given by

$$\mu_{SO(2n+1, \mathbb{R})} = (1/n!) \left( \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (2 \cos(\theta_i) - 2 \cos(\theta_j))^2 \right) \prod_{i=1}^n (2/\pi) \sin(\theta_i/2)^2 d\theta_i.$$

Notice the similarity between the formulas for the measures  $\mu_{USp(2n)}$  and  $\mu_{SO(2n+1, \mathbb{R})}$ . The only difference is that each factor  $\sin(\theta_i)^2$  in the first is replaced by  $\sin(\theta_i/2)^2$  in the second.

The key lemma is this.

**Lemma 2.1.** *The measures  $\mu_{USp(2n)}$  and  $\mu_{SO(2n+1, \mathbb{R})}$  on  $[0, \pi]^n$  are related by the identity*

$$\mu_{USp(2n)} = \left( \prod_{i=1}^n (2 + 2 \cos(\theta_i)) \right) \mu_{SO(2n+1, \mathbb{R})}.$$

*Proof.* This is immediate from the trig identity

$$(2 + 2 \cos(\theta)) \sin(\theta/2)^2 = \sin(\theta)^2,$$

whose verification is left to the reader.  $\square$

The factor  $\prod_{i=1}^n (2 + 2 \cos(\theta_i))$  has the following two interpretations..

**Lemma 2.2.** *We have the following identities.*

- (1) *For  $A \in SO(2n + 1, \mathbb{R})$  with eigenvalues 1 and  $n$  pairs of eigenvalues  $e^{\pm i\theta_j}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , with angles  $\theta_j \in [0, \pi]$ ,*

$$(1/2) \det(1 + A) = \prod_{i=1}^n (2 + 2 \cos(\theta_i)).$$

- (2) *For  $A \in USp(2n)$  with  $n$  pairs of eigenvalues  $e^{\pm i\theta_j}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , with angles  $\theta_j \in [0, \pi]$ ,*

$$\det(1 + A) = \prod_{i=1}^n (2 + 2 \cos(\theta_i)).$$

The spin representation of  $USpin(2n + 1)$  does not descend to  $SO(2n + 1, \mathbb{R})$ , but its tensor square  $\text{spin}^{\otimes 2}$  does. In terms of the standard representation  $\text{std}_{2n+1}$  of  $SO(2n + 1, \mathbb{R})$ , and the double covering projection map

$$p : USpin(2n + 1) \rightarrow SO(2n + 1, \mathbb{R}),$$

one knows [Var, Lemma 6.6.2] that

$$\text{spin}^{\otimes 2} = \left( \sum_{i=0}^n \Lambda^i(\text{std}_{2n+1}) \right) \circ p.$$

Each representation  $\Lambda^i(\text{std}_{2n+1})$  is self-dual, and hence isomorphic to  $\Lambda^{2n+1-i}(\text{std}_{2n+1})$ . So we have

$$2\text{spin}^{\otimes 2} = \left( \sum_{i=0}^{2n+1} \Lambda^i(\text{std}_{2n+1}) \right) \circ p.$$

For  $A \in SO(2n + 1, \mathbb{R})$  (indeed for  $A \in GL(2n + 1, \mathbb{C})$ ) we have the identity

$$\text{Tr} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{2n+1} \Lambda^i(A) \right) = \det(1 + A).$$

So for any  $B \in USpin(2n + 1)$  lying over  $A$ , we have

$$\text{Tr}(\text{spin}(B))^2 = (1/2) \det(1 + A).$$

For any continuous function  $g$  on  $SO(2n+1, \mathbb{R})$ , with pullback function  $G := g \circ p$  on  $USpin(2n + 1)$ , we have

$$\int_{USpin(2n+1)} G(A) dA = \int_{SO(2n+1, \mathbb{R})} g(A) dA,$$

simply because the direct image of Haar measure is Haar measure for any surjective homomorphism of compact groups, cf. [Ka-Sar, Lemma 1.3.1].

Putting this all together, we have, for  $r \geq -1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{USp(2n)} \det(1 + A)^r dA &= \int_{[0, \pi]^n} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n (2 + 2 \cos(\theta_i)) \right)^r \mu_{USp(2n)} \\ &= \int_{[0, \pi]^n} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n (2 + 2 \cos(\theta_i)) \right)^{r+1} \mu_{SO(2n+1, \mathbb{R})} \\ &= \int_{SO(2n+1, \mathbb{R})} ((1/2) \det(1 + A))^{r+1} dA \\ &= \int_{USpin(2n+1)} \text{Tr}(\text{spin}(A))^{2r+2} dA. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.4.

**Remark 2.3.** The odd moments of the spin representation all vanish:

$$\int_{USpin(2n+1)} \text{Tr}(\text{spin}(A))^{2r+1} dA = 0$$

for all  $r \geq 0$ . Indeed, this integral is the multiplicity of spin in the representation  $\text{spin}^{\otimes 2r}$ , a representation which descends to  $SO(2n + 1, \mathbb{R})$ . Hence all of its irreducible constituents also descend to  $SO(2n + 1, \mathbb{R})$ . But none of these irreducible components can be isomorphic to spin, because the spin representation does not descend to  $SO(2n + 1, \mathbb{R})$ .

### 3. A CATALAN DETERMINANT INTERPRETATION

**Theorem 3.1.** *For integers  $n \geq 1$  and  $r \geq 0$ , we have the (equivalent) identities*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{USpin(2n+1)} \text{Tr}(\text{spin}(A))^{2r} dA &= \det_{\substack{n \times n \\ 0 \leq i, j \leq n-1}} (C_{r+i+j}), \\ \int_{SO(2n+1, \mathbb{R})} 2^{-r} \det(1 + A)^r dA &= \det_{\substack{n \times n \\ 0 \leq i, j \leq n-1}} (C_{r+i+j}), \\ \int_{USp(2n)} \det(1 + A)^r dA &= \det_{\substack{n \times n \\ 0 \leq i, j \leq n-1}} (C_{r+1+i+j}). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* This is simply a matter of comparing the Keating-Snaith formula for

$$\int_{USp(2n)} \det(1 + A)^r dA$$

with the formula, cf. [Krat, Theorem 3] and [Ge-Vi, page 21, line 6], of Gessel-Viennot for the Catalan determinant, and checking that the two formulas give the

same answer. Each is a product of  $n$  terms. The individual terms don't quite match, but their ratios turn out to have product one.  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** For  $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ , these sequences of  $n \times n$  Catalan determinants indexed by  $r$  appear in the Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences [OEIS] as the sequences A000108, A005700, A006149, A006150, A006151 respectively. The interpretation of A000108, the sequence of Catalan numbers, as the even moments of the standard representation of  $SU(2)$  is classical. The interpretation of A005700 as the sequence of even moments of the standard representation of  $USp(4)$  occurs in [Ked-Suth, (11) on page 131]. For higher  $n$ , the moment interpretation of these determinants seems to be new. Is it?

#### 4. A QUESTION

Our proof of Theorem 1.4,

$$\int_{USp(2n)} \det(1 + A)^r dA = \int_{USpin(2n+1)} \text{Tr}(\text{spin}(A))^{2r+2} dA,$$

via the Weyl integration formula, comes down to the trig identity of Lemma 2.1. From the point of view of representation theory, the first integral is, for  $r \geq 0$ , the multiplicity of the trivial representation in the  $r$ 'th tensor power of the exterior algebra  $\Lambda(\text{std}_{2n}) := \bigoplus_{k=0}^{2n} \Lambda^k(\text{std}_{2n})$  as a representation of  $USp(2n)$ . The second integral is the multiplicity of the trivial representation in the  $2r+2$ 'nd tensor power of the spin representation of  $USpin(2n+1)$ . Is there a representation theoretic proof that they are equal?

#### REFERENCES

- [Ge-Vi] Gessel, I., Viennot, X. Determinants, Paths, and Plane Partitions, 1989 preprint, available at <http://www.cs.brandeis.edu/~ira>.
- [Ka-Sar] Katz, N. M., Sarnak, P. C., Random matrices, Frobenius eigenvalues, and monodromy. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, 45. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999. xii+419 pp.
- [Ke-Sn] Keating, J. P., Snaith, N. C., Random matrix theory and  $\zeta(1/2+it)$ . *Comm. Math. Phys.* 214 (2000), no. 1, 57-89.
- [Ked-Suth] Kedlaya, K., Sutherland, A., Hyperelliptic curves, L-polynomials, and random matrices. Arithmetic, geometry, cryptography and coding theory, 119-162, *Contemp. Math.*, 487, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.
- [Krat] Krattenthaler, C. Determinants of (generalised) Catalan numbers. *J. Statist. Plann. Inference* 140 (2010), no. 8, 2260-2270.
- [Var] Varadarajan, V. S. Supersymmetry for mathematicians: an introduction. *Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 11. New York University, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.
- [OEIS] Sloane, N., The on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences, <https://oeis.org/>.
- [Weyl] Weyl, H., *Classical Groups*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1946

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, MATHEMATICS, FINE HALL, NJ 08544-1000, USA  
*E-mail address:* nmk@math.princeton.edu