

Cornell University

Asynchronous Large-Scale Graph Processing Made Easy

<u>Guozhang Wang</u>, Wenlei Xie, Al Demers, Johannes Gehrke

Cornell University



Graphs are **ubiquitous**..





Social Networks



Retail Advertising



Physical Simulations



Web



DNA Analysis



Computer Vision



Capture complex *dependencies* and *interactions*

Become *essential* in knowledge discovery and scientific studies









- Either follow BSP to compute *synchronously*
 - Data is updated simultaneously and iteratively
 - Easy to program





- Either follow BSP to compute *synchronously*
 - Data is updated simultaneously and iteratively
 - Easy to program





- Or compute *asynchronously*
 - Data updates are (carefully) ordered
 - Data is updated using whatever available dependent state
 - Fast convergence





- Or compute *asynchronously*
 - Data updates are (carefully) ordered
 - Data is updated using latest available dependent state
 - Fast convergence





- Or compute *asynchronously*
 - Data updates are (carefully) ordered
 - Data is updated using latest available dependent state
 - Fast convergence



Research Goal:

A new graph computation framework that allows:

- Sync. implementation for easy programming
- Async. execution for better performance
 - Without reimplementing everything



- Core procedure for many inference tasks in graphical models
 - Example: MRF for Image Restoration







 Based on message passing to update local belief of each vertex:

$$b_u(x_u) \propto \phi_u(x_u) \prod_{e_{w,u} \in E} m_{w \to u}(x_u)$$
 (1)





 Based on message passing to update local belief of each vertex:

$$b_u(x_u) \propto \phi_u(x_u) \prod_{e_{w,u} \in E} m_{w \to u}(x_u)$$
 (1)





 Based on message passing to update local belief of each vertex:

$$b_{u}(x_{u}) \propto \phi_{u}(x_{u}) \prod_{e_{w,u} \in E} m_{w \to u}(x_{u}) \quad (1)$$

$$m_{u \to v}(x_{v}) \propto \sum_{x_{u} \in \Omega} \phi_{u,v}(x_{u}, x_{v}) \cdot \frac{b_{u}(x_{u})}{m_{v \to u}(x_{u})} \quad (2)$$



Original BP Implementation





Original BP Implementation





Original BP Implementation





























Comparing Original and Residual BPs

Algorithm 1: Original BP Algorithm	Algorithm 2: Residual BP Algorithm
1 Initialize $b_u^{(0)}$ as ϕ_u for all $u \in V$; 2 Calculate the message $m_{u \to v}^{(0)}$ using $b_u^{(0)}$ according to Eq. 2 for all $u \to v \in E$; 3 Initialize $t = 0$; 4 repeat 5 $t = t + 1$; 6 foreach $u \in V$ do 7 Calculate $b_u^{(t)}$ using $m_{w \to u}^{(t-1)}$ according to Eq. 1; 8 foreach outgoing edge $e_{u,v}$ of u do 9 Calculate $m_{u \to v}^{(t)}$ using $b_u^{(t)}$ according to Eq. 2; 10 end 11 end 12 until $\forall u \in V, b_u^{(t)} - b_u^{(t-1)} \le \epsilon$;	1 Initialize $b_u^{(new)}$ as ϕ_u and $b_u^{(old)}$ as uniform distribution for all $u \in V$; 2 Initialize $m_{u \to v}^{(old)}$ as uniform distribution for all $u \to v \in E$; 3 Calculate message $m_{u \to v}^{(new)}$ using $b_u^{(new)}$ according to Eq. 2 for all $u \to v \in E$; 4 repeat 5 $u = \arg \max_v(\max_{(w,v)\in E} m_{w\to v}^{new} - m_{w\to v}^{old})$; 6 Set $b_u^{(old)}$ to $b_u^{(new)}$; 7 Calculate $b_u^{(new)}$ using $m_{w\to u}^{(new)}$ according to Eq. 1; 8 foreach outgoing edge $e_{u,v}$ of u do 9 Set $m_{u\to v}^{(old)}$ to $m_{u\to v}^{(new)}$; 10 Calculate $m_{u\to v}^{(new)}$ using $b_u^{(new)}$ according to Eq. 2; 11 end
	12 until $\forall u \in V, b_u^* \leq b_u^* \leq \epsilon;$

- Computation logic is actually identical: Eq 1 and 2
- Only differs in when/how to apply this logic



GRACE:

- Separate vertex-centric computation from execution policies
- Customizable BSP-style runtime that enables asynchronous execution features



- Update vertex data value based on received messages
- Generate new messages for outgoing edges
- Send out messages to neighbors and vote for halt

```
List<Msg> Proceed(List<Msg> msgs) {
 Distribution newBelief = potent;
 for (Msg m in msgs) {
  newBelief = times(newBelief, m.belief);
 List<Msg> outMsgs(outDegree);
 for (Edge e in outgoingEdges) {
  Distribution msgBelief;
  msgBelief = divide(newBelief, Msg[e]);
  msgBelief = convolve(msgBelief, e.potent);
  msgBelief = normalize(msgBelief);
  outMsg[e] = new Msg(msgBelief);
 if (L1(newBelief, belief) < eps) voteHalt();</pre>
 belief = newBelief;
 return outMsgs;
```



Vertex-Centric Programming Model

- Update vertex data value based on received messages
- Generate new messages for outgoing edges
- Send out messages to neighbors and vote for halt

```
List<Msg> Proceed(List<Msg> msgs) {
Distribution newBelief = potent;
for (Msg m in msgs) {
newBelief = times(newBelief, m.belief);
```

```
List<Msg> outMsgs(outDegree);
for (Edge e in outgoingEdges) {
  Distribution msgBelief;
  msgBelief = divide(newBelief, Msg[e]);
  msgBelief = convolve(msgBelief, e.potent);
  msgBelief = normalize(msgBelief);
  outMsg[e] = new Msg(msgBelief);
```

```
if (L1(newBelief, belief) < eps) voteHalt();
belief = newBelief;
return outMsgs;
```



Vertex-Centric Programming Model

- Update vertex data value based on received messages
- Generate new messages for outgoing edges
- Send out messages to neighbors and vote for halt

```
List<Msg> Proceed(List<Msg> msgs) {
 Distribution newBelief = potent;
 for (Msg m in msgs) {
  newBelief = times(newBelief, m.belief);
 List<Msg> outMsgs(outDegree);
 for (Edge e in outgoingEdges) {
  Distribution msgBelief;
  msgBelief = divide(newBelief, Msg[e]);
  msgBelief = convolve(msgBelief, e.potent);
  msgBelief = normalize(msgBelief);
  outMsg[e] = new Msg(msgBelief);
 if (L1(newBelief, belief) < eps) voteHalt();</pre>
```

```
belief = newBelief;
return outMsgs;
```



return outMsgs;

- Update vertex data value based on received messages
- Generate new messages for outgoing edges
- Send out messages to neighbors and vote for halt

```
List<Msg> Proceed(List<Msg> msgs) {
 Distribution newBelief = potent;
 for (Msg m in msgs) {
  newBelief = times(newBelief, m.belief);
 List<Msg> outMsgs(outDegree);
 for (Edge e in outgoingEdges) {
  Distribution msgBelief;
  msgBelief = divide(newBelief, Msg[e]);
  msgBelief = convolve(msgBelief, e.potent);
  msgBelief = normalize(msgBelief);
  outMsg[e] = new Msg(msgBelief);
 if (L1(newBelief, belief) < eps) voteHalt();</pre>
 belief = newBelief;
```



Customizable BSP-Style Runtime





Scheduler

- At each tick barrier:
 - Check if the computation can stop
 - Collect graph data snapshot
 - Schedule the subset of vertices for the next tick





Driver

- For each worker:
 - Get a partition of the graph
 - Apply update function for scheduled vertices
 - Send newly generated messages to neighbors



- When update a vertex:
 - Choose which received messages to use
 - Specify what to do with the newly received messages



Back to Original BP

 Schedule all vertices at the tick barrier

Use the message received from the last tick

```
void OnPrepare(List<Vertex> vertices) {
    scheduleAll(true);
```

```
Msg OnSelectMsg(Edge e) {
  return PrevRecvdMsg(e);
```

void OnRecvMsg(Edge e, Message msg) {
 // Do nothing since every vertex
 // will be scheduled



Back to Residual BP

Schedule only one vertex with the highest residual

 Use the most recently received message

```
void OnPrepare(List<Vertex> vertices) {
   Vertex selected = vertices[0];
   for (Vertex vtx in vertices)
      if (vtx.priority > selected.priority)
      selected = vtx;
   Schedule(selected);
```

```
Msg OnSelectMsg(Edge e) {
return GetLastRecvdMsg(e);
}
```

void OnRecvMsg(Edge e, Message msg) {
 Distn lastBelief = GetLastUsedMsg(e).belief;
 float residual = L1(newBelief, msg.belief);
 UpdatePrior(GetRecVtx(e), residual, sum);



Experimental Setup

- Implementation
 - Multi-core prototype
 - Static graph partitioning
 - Four execution policies
 - Jacobi, Gauss-Seidel, Eager, Prioritized



• Hardware: 8 quad-cores with 128GB RAM



Results: Image Restoration with BP





Results: Image Restoration with BP





- Graph processing: Code synchronously while execute asynchronously (if it is better)
- We can make such a development cycle easy
 - Code-once with vertex-centric programming model
 - Customizable BSP-style runtime to allow switching with various execution policies

http://www.cs.cornell.edu/bigreddata/grace/