Edge Virtual Bridging Draft PAR

PAR Request Date: 15 November 2009

PAR Approval Date:

PAR Signature Page on File: No

Type of Project: Amendment to IEEE Standard

Status: Unapproved PAR, Std 802.1Q

Root Project: 802.1Q

1.1 Project No:

1.2 Type of Document: Standard

1.3 Life Cycle: Full-Use

1.4 Is this document in ballot now? No

2.1 Title: IEEE Standard for Local and Metropolitan Area Networks --- Virtual Bridged Local Area Networks – Amendment
2.1 Amendment/Corrigenda Title
Edge Virtual Bridging

3.1 Working Group: Higher Layer LAN Protocols Working Group (C/LM/WG802.1)
Contact Information for Working Group Chair
Tony Jeffree
Email: tony@jeffree.co.uk
Phone: +44-161-973-4278
Contact Information for Working Group Vice-Chair
Paul Congdon
Email: paul.congdon@hp.com
Phone: 916-785-5753

3.2 Sponsoring Society and Committee: IEEE Computer Society/Local and Metropolitan Area Networks (C/LM)
Contact Information for Sponsor Chair
Paul Nikolich

Email: p.nikolich@ieee.org
Phone: 857.205.0050
Contact Information for Standards Representative
None

3.3 Joint Sponsor: None

4.1 Type of Ballot: Individual

4.2 Expected Date of Submission for Initial Sponsor Ballot: 12/2010

4.3 Projected Completion Date for Submittal to RevCom: 06/2011

5.1 Approximate number of people expected to work on this project: 30

5.2 Scope: This standard specifies protocols, procedures, and managed objects that:

– Provide for the discovery, configuration, and control of a pair of direct-attached limited-function S-components to extend the services of a customer bridge to remote ports and enable coexistence of multiple services on station-resident ports.(e.g. port aggregation services, embedded bridging)
– Provide for discovery, configuration, and control of a Reflective Relay Service for a bridge port when it is connected to a Port Aggregation Service.
– Define the requirements for, and operation of, a Port Aggregation Service required to allow the loop free operation of the Reflective Relay Service.
– Provide for discovery of, and coordinated configuration of, station-embedded Port Aggregators and station embedded C-Components. .

5.3 Is the completion of this standard dependent upon the completion of another standard: Yes
If yes, please explain: A limited-function S-component is being defined by 802.1Qbc (Provider Bridging Remote Customer Service Interface). There is expected to be common elements between these S-components.

5.4 Purpose:
The purpose of this standard is to allow multiple virtual stations to share a common bridge port to obtain the services of bridge relay. The standard will enable coordinated configuration and management of bridge services for virtual stations.

5.5 Need for the Project: Station (desktop and server) virtualization is introducing a proliferation of virtual stations that share access to a network through an embedded bridge. The embedded bridge in a virtual station host and bridges in the adjacent network may be under different management domains. Network administrators may desire an extension of the adjacent bridge capabilities to the virtual station ports.
A reflective relay service is needed so that inter-virtual-station traffic can be exposed to the relay in the adjacent bridge as well as its associated services (e.g., security, statistics, etc.).
A pairing of limited-functionality S-Components is needed to allow for multiple virtual links between an adjacent bridge and a virtual station host so that the host can support multiple services (port aggregation, embedded bridging, dedicated bridge link).

5.6 Stakeholders for the Standard: Developers and users of networking for data center environments including networking IC developers, bridge and NIC vendors, and users.

Intellectual Property
6.1.a. Has the IEEE-SA policy on intellectual property been presented to those responsible for preparing/submitting this PAR prior to the PAR submittal to the IEEE-SA Standards Board? Yes
If yes, state date: tbd
6.1.b. Is the Sponsor aware of any copyright permissions needed for this project? No
6.1.c. Is the Sponsor aware of possible registration activity related to this project? No

---

7.1 Are there other standards or projects with a similar scope? No

7.2 Future Adoptions
Is there potential for this standard (in part or in whole) to be adopted by another national, regional, or international organization? No

7.3 Will this project result in any health, safety, security, or environmental guidance that affects or applies to human health or safety? No

7.4 Additional Explanatory Notes: (Item Number and Explanation) none

Five Criteria

1. **Broad Market Potential**
    a. **Broad sets of applicability**
        The use of virtual stations is common in data centers and server environments and is gaining popularity in client computing as well. As a result vast numbers of virtual stations are deployed adjacent to bridges with advance services that may be offered to these virtual stations. A reflective relay service in the adjacent bridge makes this possible and may also be beneficial to other environments such as wireless access points.
    b. **Multiple vendors and numerous users**
        Multiple software and hardware vendors have expressed interest in the proposed project and have participated in an ad-hoc public forum in order to create this project authorization request.
        In addition, multiple vendors have announced products supporting similar technologies in a proprietary way.
    c. **Balanced costs (LAN versus attached stations)**
        The introduction of this standard is specifically intended to keep the balance of costs between bridge and station components consistent with current costs by allowing virtual station hosts to exploit the enhanced bridging capabilities of the adjacent bridges without requiring them to incur the additional costs associated with those capabilities.

2. **Compatibility**
    The proposed standard will be an amendment to 802.1Q, and will interoperate and coexist with all prior revisions and amendments of the 802.1Q standard. No new changes to the frame format are required. Moderate changes to existing 802.1Q frame relay are required to allow for reflective relay and discovery of this new capability will be included to assure loop free operation is achieved. This technology will assume full benefit of other Data Center Bridging technologies under development including Priority-based flow control, Enhanced Transmission Selection, and Congestion Notification.

3. **Distinct Identity**
    a. **Substantially different from other IEEE 802 standards**
        No other standard addresses providing reflective relay to enable multiple virtual stations to share the relay and other services of an adjacent bridge.
    b. **One unique solution per problem (not two solutions to a problem)**
        The proposed standard is the only proposed standard for defining and managing reflective relay and remote ports extended to an adjacent port aggregation service. Importantly, this proposal

addresses the needs produced by bridges extending their services to virtual stations with a common solution thereby eliminating the need for an additional solution in the future.

c. **Easy for the document reader to select the relevant specification**
IEEE Std. 802.1Q is the natural reference for connection of any station (including virtual stations) to an 802.1 bridged environment, which will make the capabilities defined by this amendment easy to locate. The amendment will clearly state where its use is appropriate.

4. **Technical Feasibility**
   a. **Demonstrated system feasibility**
   Similar techniques (e.g. station embedded bridges) are being deployed as proprietary enhancements to virtual server environments and as enhancements to multi-function NICs. The proposal is a natural extension of capabilities already provided in these environments.
   The reflective relay capability has been successfully demonstrated using existing switch chips and minor software code changes to the Linux Open Source bridge module.
   b. **Proven technology, reasonable testing**
   This technology has been proven on an operational basis in data centers using proprietary implementations and prototype software implementations. These techniques are used in operational environments and have been shown to be reasonably testable
   c. **Confidence in reliability**
   These and similar techniques have been proven reliable in real-world deployments of virtual machine hypervisors and station embedded bridges.
   d. **Coexistence of 802 wireless standards specifying devices for unlicensed operation**
   Not applicable.

5. **Economic Feasibility**
   a. **Known cost factors, reliable data**
   The proposed amendment will not materially change the cost structure of hypervisor software, NICs with embedded bridging support, and 802.1Q bridges as it requires no additional hardware structures and minimal software changes.
   b. **Reasonable cost for performance**
   The proposed technology reduces the overall cost of extending adjacent bridge services to virtual stations while also allowing for configurations where virtual stations may choose higher performance relay services from embedded virtual bridges.

c. Consideration of installation costs
The cost of installing and configuring virtual station bridging is expected to be reduced by allowing for consistent management of bridge services extended to virtual stations and to physical stations.