

MDPI

Article

Randomly Stopped Sums with Generalized Subexponential Distribution

Jūratė Karasevičienė and Jonas Šiaulys *

Institute of Mathematics, Vilnius University, Naugarduko 24, LT-03225 Vilnius, Lithuania; jurate.karaseviciene@mif.vu.lt

* Correspondence: jonas.siaulys@mif.vu.lt

Abstract: Let $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots\}$ be a sequence of independent possibly differently distributed random variables, defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ with distribution functions $\{F_{\xi_1}, F_{\xi_2}, \ldots\}$. Let η be a counting random variable independent of sequence $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots\}$. In this paper, we find conditions under which the distribution function of randomly stopped sum $S_{\eta} = \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \ldots + \xi_{\eta}$ belongs to the class of generalized subexponential distributions.

Keywords: subexponentiality; generalized subexponentiality; heavy tail; randomly stopped sum

MSC: 60G50; 60G40; 60E05

1. Introduction

Let $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots\}$ be a sequence of independent random variables (r.v.s) with distribution functions (d.f.s) $\{F_{\xi_1}, F_{\xi_2}, \ldots\}$, and let η be a counting random variable, that is, a nonnegative, nondegenerate at 0, and integer-valued r.v. In addition, we suppose that the r.v. η and the sequence $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots\}$ are independent.

Let $S_0 := 0$, $S_n := \xi_1 + \ldots + \xi_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let

$$S_{\eta} = \sum_{k=1}^{\eta} \xi_k$$

be the randomly stopped sum of the r.v.s ξ_1, ξ_2, \dots

By $F_{S_{\underline{\eta}}}$ we denote the d.f. of S_{η} , and, by \overline{F} , we denote the tail function (t.f.) of a d.f. F, that is, $\overline{F}(x) = 1 - F(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. It is obvious that the following equalities hold for positive x:

$$F_{S_{\eta}}(x) = \mathbb{P}(\eta = 0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\eta = n) \mathbb{P}(S_n \leqslant x),$$

$$\overline{F}_{S_{\eta}}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\eta = n) \mathbb{P}(S_n > x).$$

In this paper, we consider a sequence $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots\}$ of independent and possibly non-identically distributed r.v.s. We suppose that some of the d.f.s of these r.v.s belong to the class of generalized subexponential distributions \mathcal{OS} , and we find conditions under which d.f. F_{S_n} remains in this class.

We use the following notations for the asymptotic relations of arbitrary positive functions f and g: f(x) = o(g(x)) means that $\lim_{x \to \infty} f(x)/g(x) = 0$; $f(x) \underset{x \to \infty}{\sim} cg(x)$, c > 0, means



Citation: Karasevičienė, J.; Šiaulys, J. Randomly Stopped Sums with Generalized Subexponential Distribution. *Axioms* **2023**, *12*, 641. https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms12070641

Academic Editors: David Katz and Jiujun Zhang

Received: 24 May 2023 Revised: 20 June 2023 Accepted: 25 June 2023 Published: 28 June 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Axioms 2023, 12, 641 2 of 17

that $\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x)/g(x) = c$; f(x) = O(g(x)) means that $\limsup_{x\to\infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} < \infty$; and $f(x) \underset{x\to\infty}{\asymp} g(x)$ means that

 $0 < \liminf_{x \to \infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \leqslant \limsup_{x \to \infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} < \infty.$

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we describe a class of generalized subexponential distributions. Section 4 consists of some results on closure under randomly stopped sums for regularity classes related with generalized subexponential distributions. The main results of the paper are formulated in Section 3. The proofs of the main results are given in Sections 5 and 6. Finally, in Section 7, we provide two examples to expose the analytical usefulness of our results, and in section 8, we present short conclusions.

2. Generalized Subexponentiality

Let ξ be an r.v. defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ with d.f. F_{ξ} .

• A d.f. F_{ξ} of a real-valued r.v. is said to be generalized subexponential, denoted $F_{\xi} \in \mathcal{OS}$, if

$$\limsup_{x\to\infty}\frac{\overline{F_{\xi}*F_{\xi}}(x)}{\overline{F}_{\xi}(x)}<\infty,$$

where $F_{\xi} * F_{\xi}$ denote the convolution of d.f. F_{ξ} with itself, i.e.,

$$F_{\xi} * F_{\xi}(x) = F_{\xi}^{*2}(x) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_{\xi}(x - y) dF_{\xi}(y), x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For distributions of nonnegative r.v.s, class \mathcal{OS} was introduced by Klüppelberg [1] and later, for real-valued r.v.s, was studied by Shimura and Watanabe [2], Baltrūnas et al. [3], Watanabe and Yamamuro [4], Yu and Wang [5], Cheng and Wang [6], Lin and Wang [7], Konstantinides et al. [8], and Mikutavičius and Šiaulys [9], among others.

In [2], the class of distributions \mathcal{OS} is considered together with other distribution regularity classes. In that paper, several closedness properties of the class \mathcal{OS} were proven. For example, it is shown that the class \mathcal{OS} is not closed under convolution roots. This means that there exists r.v. ξ such that n-fold convolution $F_{\xi}^{*n} \in \mathcal{OS}$ for all $n \geqslant 2$, but $F_{\xi} \notin \mathcal{OS}$. In [3], the simple conditions are provided under which a d.f. of the special form

$$F_{\xi}(x) = 1 - \exp\left\{-\int_{0}^{x} q(u) du\right\}$$

belongs to the class \mathcal{OS} , where q is some integrable hazard rate function. For distributions of class \mathcal{OS} , the closure under tail-equivalence and the closure under convolution are established in [4]. The detailed proofs of these closures for nonnegative r.v.s are presented in [1] and, for real-valued r.v.s, in [5]. The closure under convolution means that, in the case of independent r.v.s ξ_1, ξ_2 , conditions $F_{\xi_1} \in \mathcal{OS}$, $F_{\xi_2} \in \mathcal{OS}$ imply that $F_{\xi_1} * F_{\xi_2} = F_{\xi_1 + \xi_2} \in \mathcal{OS}$. The closure under tail-equivalence means that conditions $F_{\xi_1} \in \mathcal{OS}$, $F_{\xi_1}(x) \underset{x \to \infty}{\asymp} F_{\xi_2}(x)$ imply $F_{\xi_2} \in \mathcal{OS}$.

A counterexample, showing that $F_{\xi_1}, F_{\xi_2} \in \mathcal{OS}$ for independent r.v.s ξ_1, ξ_2 does not imply $F_{\xi_1 \vee \xi_2} \in \mathcal{OS}$, can be found in [7]. Moreover in that paper, the closure under minimum is established, which means that $F_{\xi_1}, F_{\xi_2} \in \mathcal{OS}$, for independent r.v.s ξ_1, ξ_2 , imply $F_{\xi_1 \wedge \xi_2} \in \mathcal{OS}$. The authors of articles [8,9] consider when the distribution of the product of two independent random variables ξ, θ belongs to the class \mathcal{OS} . For instance, in [9], it is proven that d.f. $F_{\xi\theta}$ is generalized subexponential if $F_{\xi} \in \mathcal{OS}$ and θ is nonnegative and nondegenerate at point zero.

Axioms 2023, 12, 641 3 of 17

3. Main Results

In this section, we formulate two theorems which are the main assertions of this paper. The first theorem deals with the case when the counting r.v. has a finite support.

Theorem 1. Let $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, ...\}$ be a sequence of independent r.v.s, and η be a counting r.v. independent of $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, ...\}$. If η is bounded, $F_{\xi_1} \in \mathcal{OS}$, and, for other indices $k \ge 2$, either $F_{\xi_k} \in \mathcal{OS}$ or $\overline{F}_{\xi_k}(x) = O(\overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x))$, then d.f. of randomly stopped sum F_{S_n} belongs to the class \mathcal{OS} .

The case of unbounded support of counting r.v. is considered in the second theorem. In such a case, to be $F_{S_n} \in \mathcal{OS}$, we need the counting random variable to have a light tail.

Theorem 2. Let $\{\eta, \xi_1, \xi_2, ...\}$ be independent r.v.s, where counting r.v. η is such that $\mathbb{E}e^{\lambda\eta} < \infty$ for all $\lambda > 0$. Then, $F_{S_n} \in OS$, if $F_{\xi_1} \in OS$ and one of the conditions below is satisfied:

$$(\mathrm{i}) \ \ \mathbb{P}(\eta=1)>0 \ \ \mathrm{and} \ \ \limsup_{x\to\infty} \sup_{k\geqslant 1} \frac{\overline{F}_{\xi_k}(x)}{\overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x)}<\infty;$$

$$\text{(ii) } 0 < \liminf_{x \to \infty} \inf_{k \geqslant 1} \frac{\overline{F}_{\xi_k}(x)}{\overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x)} \leqslant \limsup_{x \to \infty} \sup_{k \geqslant 1} \frac{\overline{F}_{\xi_k}(x)}{\overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x)} < \infty.$$

We present the proofs of both theorems in Section 6. According to the statements of these theorems, many random variables with generalized subexponential distributions can be constructed. We demonstrate such constructions in Section 7.

4. Similar Results for Related Regularity Classes

In this section, we describe several classes of distributions related to the class \mathcal{OS} . For the described classes, we present some results on their closure with respect to a randomly stopped sum. We note that for some classes, the closedness of the randomly stopped sum is studied only in the case where the summands are identically distributed.

The class of generalized subexponential distributions is the direct generalization of

$$\widehat{\mathcal{S}} = \bigcup_{\gamma \geqslant 0} \mathcal{S}(\gamma),$$

where S(0) = S is the class of the subexponential distributions and $\{S(\gamma), \gamma > 0\}$ are the convolution equivalent distributions classes.

• A d.f. F_{ξ} of a nonnegative r.v. ξ is said to be subexponential, denoted $F_{\xi} \in \mathcal{S}$, if

$$\overline{F_{\xi}*F_{\xi}}(x) \underset{x\to\infty}{\sim} 2\overline{F}_{\xi}(x).$$

A d.f. F_{ξ} of a real-valued r.v. ξ is called subexponential if the positive part of d.f.

$$F_{\xi}^{+}(x) = F_{\xi}(x)\mathbb{I}_{[0,\infty)}(x)$$

belongs to the class S.

The class of subexponential distributions was introduced by Chistyakov [10] and later considered by Athreya and Ney [11], Chover et al. [12,13], Embrechts and Goldie [14], Embrechts and Omey [15], Cline [16], and Cline and Samorodnitsky [17], among others.

Axioms 2023, 12, 641 4 of 17

• A d.f. F_{ξ} of a real-valued r.v. ξ is said to be convolution equivalent with parameter $\gamma > 0$, denoted $F_{\xi} \in S(\gamma)$, if the following requirements are satisfied:

(i)
$$\widehat{F}_{\xi}(\gamma) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\gamma x} dF_{\xi}(x) < \infty;$$

(ii)
$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{\overline{F}_{\xi}(x-y)}{\overline{F}_{\xi}(x)} = e^{\gamma y}$$
 for all $y > 0$;

(iii)
$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{\overline{F_{\xi}*F_{\xi}}(x)}{\overline{F}_{\xi}(x)} = 2c_{\xi}$$
 for some constant c_{ξ} .

The study of class $S(\gamma)$ goes back to Chover et al. [12,13], Embrechts and Goldie [14], and Klüppelberg [18]. It is well known that $F \in S(\gamma)$ if and only if $F_{\xi}^+ \in S(\gamma)$ (see Corollary 2.1(i) in [19]), and the constant c_{ξ} in the definition above is equal to $\widehat{F}_{\xi}(\gamma)$, (see [19–21]). For $\gamma > 0$, a standard example of d.f. in $S(\gamma)$ is d.f. F satisfying

$$\overline{F}(x) \sim c e^{-\gamma x} x^{-\alpha}$$

with parameters c > 0, $\gamma > 0$, $\alpha > 1$ (see [22,23]).

For the class S, the following result is obtained in Theorem 3.37 of [24] (see also [11,25–27]).

Theorem 3. Let $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, ...\}$ be a sequence of independent real-valued r.v.s with common distribution $F_{\xi} \in \mathcal{S}$, and let η be independent of $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, ...\}$ counting r.v. with expectation $\mathbb{E}\eta$, such that $\mathbb{E}(1+\varepsilon)^{\eta} < \infty$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Then,

$$\overline{F}_{S_n}(x) \sim \mathbb{E}\eta \overline{F}_{\eta}(x),$$

and $F_{S_n} \in \mathcal{S}$.

For the class $S(\gamma)$ with $\gamma > 0$, the following assertion is derived in Theorem C of [28] (see also [29–31] for related results).

Theorem 4. Let $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, ...\}$ be independent real-valued r.v.s with common distribution $F_{\xi} \in \mathcal{S}(\gamma), \gamma > 0$, and let η be counting r.v. independent of $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, ...\}$. If

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\eta = n) \max \left\{ \left(\widehat{F}_{\xi}(\gamma) + \varepsilon \right)^{n}, 1 \right\} < \infty$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$, then $F_{S_{\eta}} \in \mathcal{S}(\gamma)$.

We note that, in Theorems 3 and 4, r.v.s in the sequences $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, ...\}$ are identically distributed. However, there are related regularity classes for which similar results can be obtained in cases where r.v.s in $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, ...\}$ are not necessarily identically distributed. Here, we discuss two such classes:

• A d.f. F_{ξ} of a real-valued r.v. ξ is said to be dominatedly varying, denoted $F_{\xi} \in \mathcal{D}$, if

$$\limsup_{x\to\infty}\frac{\overline{F_{\xi}}(yx)}{\overline{F}_{\xi}(x)}<\infty$$

for all (or, equivalently, for some) $y \in (0,1)$;

• A d.f. F_{ξ} of a real-valued r.v. ξ is said to be exponential-like-tailed, denoted $F_{\xi} \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma)$, if

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\overline{F_{\xi}}(x - y)}{\overline{F}_{\xi}(x)} = e^{\gamma y}$$

Axioms 2023, 12, 641 5 of 17

for all y > 0.

• A d.f. F_{ξ} of a real-valued r.v. ξ is said to be long-tailed, denoted $F_{\xi} \in \mathcal{L}(0) = \mathcal{L}$, if

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\overline{F_{\xi}}(x - y)}{\overline{F}_{\xi}(x)} = 1$$

for all (or, equivalently, for some) y > 0.

Class of dominatedly varying d.f.s $\mathcal D$ was introduced by Feller [32] and later considered in [4,33–38], among others. The class of long-tailed d.f.s $\mathcal L$ was introduced by Chistyakov [10] in the context of branching processes. The class $\mathcal L(\gamma)$ with $\gamma>0$ was introduced by Chover et al. [12,13]. Later, the various properties of long-tailed and exponential-like-tailed d.f.s were considered in [1,19,24,28,37,39,40], for instance. Here, we recall only that $\mathcal L\cap\mathcal D\subset\mathcal S$ and $\mathcal S(\gamma)\subset\mathcal L(\gamma)$ for $\gamma\geqslant 0$.

The following assertion on $F_{S_n} \in \mathcal{D}$ is presented in Theorem 4 of [41].

Theorem 5. Let $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots\}$ be a sequence of independent real-valued r.v.s with common d.f. $F_{\xi} \in \mathcal{D}$, and let η be a counting r.v. independent of $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots\}$. Then, $F_{S_{\eta}} \in \mathcal{D}$ if $\mathbb{E}\eta^{p+1} < \infty$ for some

$$p > J_{F_{\xi}}^{+} := -\lim_{y \to \infty} \frac{1}{\log y} \log \liminf_{x \to \infty} \frac{\overline{F}_{\xi}(xy)}{\overline{F}_{\xi}(x)}.$$

In the inhomogeneous case, when sumands are not necessarily identically distributed, the following statement is obtained in Theorem 2.1 of [42].

Theorem 6. Let $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, ...\}$ be a sequence independent nonnegative r.v.s, and let η be a counting r.v. independent of $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, ...\}$. Then, $F_{S_{\eta}} \in \mathcal{D}$ if the following three conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $F_{\xi_{\varkappa}} \in \mathcal{D}$ for some $\varkappa \in \text{supp}(\eta) := \{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \mathbb{P}(\eta = n) > 0\};$
- (ii) $\limsup_{x\to\infty} \sup_{n>\varkappa} \frac{1}{n\overline{F}_{\xi_{\varkappa}}(x)} \sum_{i=1}^n \overline{F}_{\xi_i}(x) < \infty;$
- (iii) $\mathbb{E}\eta^{p+1} < \infty$ for some $p > J_{F_{\xi_{\nu}}}^+$.

Examples of conditions for the function $F_{S_{\eta}}$ to belong to the class $\mathcal{L}(\gamma)$ are given in the theorems below. Theorem 7, proven in [41], presents conditions for the homogeneous case for class $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(0)$, while Theorem 8, proven in [43], gives conditions for the inhomogeneous case for class $\mathcal{L}(\gamma)$ with $\gamma \geqslant 0$.

Theorem 7. Suppose that $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, ...\}$ are independent nonnegative r.v.s with common distribution $F_{\xi} \in \mathcal{L}$, and let η be a counting r.v. independent of $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, ...\}$. If

$$\overline{F}_{\eta}(\delta x) = o(\sqrt{x}\,\overline{F}_{\xi}(x))$$

for any $\delta \in (0,1)$, then $F_{S_n} \in \mathcal{L}$.

Theorem 8. Let $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, ...\}$ be a sequence of independent r.v.s such that, for some $\gamma \ge 0$,

$$\sup_{k\geqslant 1}\left|\frac{\overline{F}_{\xi_k}(x+y)}{\overline{F}_{\xi_k}(x)}-\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma y}\right|\underset{x\to\infty}{\to}0$$

for each fixed y > 0, and let η be a counting r.v. independent of $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots\}$. If

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(\eta = k+1)}{\mathbb{P}(\eta = k)} \underset{k \to \infty}{\to} 0,$$

then $F_{S_n} \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma)$.

Axioms 2023, 12, 641 6 of 17

In the context of the randomly stopped sums, the class \mathcal{OS} was considered by Shimura and Watanabe [2]. In Proposition 3.1 of that paper, the following assertion is presented.

Theorem 9. Let $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, ...\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative independent r.v.s with common d.f. F_{ξ} , and let η be a counting r.v. independent of $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, ...\}$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}(\eta > 1) > 0$$
, $\sup \left\{ x \geqslant 1 : \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\eta = k) x^k < \infty \right\} = \infty$.

Then, $F_{\xi} \in \mathcal{OS}$ if and only if $\overline{F}_{S_{\eta}}(x) \underset{x \to \infty}{\approx} \overline{F}_{\xi}(x)$.

From the information presented, it can be seen that our main Theorems 1 and 2, in fact, are inhomogeneous versions of the formulated Theorem 9.

5. Auxiliary Lemmas

In this section, we present and prove some auxiliary lemmas that are then applied to the derivations of the main theorems, i.e., Theorems 1 and 2.

Lemma 1. Let X and Y be two real-valued r.v.s with corresponding d.f.s F_X and F_Y . The following statements hold:

- (i) $F_X \in \mathcal{OS}$ if and only if $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{\overline{F_X * F_X}(x)}{\overline{F}_X(x)} < \infty$;
- (ii) If $F_X \in \mathcal{OS}$ and $\overline{F}_Y(x) \underset{x \to \infty}{\simeq} \overline{F}_X(x)$, then $F_Y \in \mathcal{OS}$;
- (iii) If $F_X \in \mathcal{OS}$ and $F_Y \in \mathcal{OS}$, then $F_X * F_Y \in \mathcal{OS}$;
- (iv) If $F_X \in \mathcal{OS}$, then $F_X \in \mathcal{OL}$ i.e., $\limsup_{x \to \infty} \frac{\overline{F}_X(x-1)}{\overline{F}_X(x)} < \infty$;
- (v) If $F_X \in \mathcal{OS}$ and $\overline{F}_Y(x) = O(\overline{F}_X(x))$, then $F_X * F_Y \in \mathcal{OS}$ and $\overline{F_X * F_Y}(x) \underset{x \to \infty}{\approx} \overline{F}_X(x)$.

Proof. A large part of the properties of the class \mathcal{OS} listed in Lemma 1 can be found, for instance, in [1,2,4,5]. However, for the sake of exposition completeness, we present the full proof of the formulated lemma.

Part (i). If $F_X \in OS$, then

$$\limsup_{x \to \infty} \frac{\overline{F_X * F_X}(x)}{\overline{F}_X(x)} < \infty \tag{1}$$

according to the definition. This estimate implies that $\overline{F}_X(x) > 0$ for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$. In addition, the inequality (1) gives that

$$\frac{\overline{F_X * F_X}(x)}{\overline{F}_X(x)} \leqslant M$$

if $x \ge x_M$ for some M and x_M .

If $x < x_M$, then, obviously, $\overline{F}_X(x) \ge \overline{F}_X(x_M)$ and $\overline{F}_X * F_X(x) \le 1$.

Therefore, for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain that

$$\frac{\overline{F_X * F_X}(x)}{\overline{F}_X(x)} \leqslant \max \left\{ M, \frac{1}{\overline{F}_X(x_M)} \right\} < \infty$$

because $\overline{F}_X(x_M) > 0$. The last estimate finishes the proof of part (i), because the condition

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{\overline{F_X * F_X}(x)}{\overline{F}_X(x)} < \infty$$

Axioms **2023**, 12, 641 7 of 17

implies (1), obviously.

Part (ii). The condition $\overline{F}_Y(x) \underset{r \to \infty}{\approx} \overline{F}_X(x)$ implies

$$\liminf_{x \to \infty} \frac{\overline{F}_Y(x)}{\overline{F}_X(x)} > 0 \text{ and } \limsup_{x \to \infty} \frac{\overline{F}_Y(x)}{\overline{F}_X(x)} < \infty.$$
(2)

It follows from this that

$$\frac{\overline{F}_Y(x)}{\overline{F}_X(x)} \leqslant N, \ x \geqslant x_N,$$

for some N and x_N . If $x < x_N$, then

$$\frac{\overline{F}_Y(x)}{\overline{F}_Y(x)} \leqslant \frac{1}{\overline{F}_Y(x_N)} < \infty$$

because $F_X \in \mathcal{OS}$. According to the derived estimates,

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}\frac{\overline{F}_Y(x)}{\overline{F}_X(x)}=\max\bigg\{N,\frac{1}{\overline{F}_X(x_N)}\bigg\}=C<\infty.$$

Therefore, for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\overline{F_Y * F_Y}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{F}_Y(x - y)}{\overline{F}_X(x - y)} \overline{F}_X(x - y) dF_Y(y) \leqslant C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{F}_X(x - y) dF_Y(y)$$

$$= C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{F}_Y(x - y) dF_X(y) = C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{F}_Y(x - y)}{\overline{F}_X(x - y)} \overline{F}_X(x - y) dF_X(y)$$

$$\leqslant C^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{F}_X(x - y) dF_X(y) = C^2 \overline{F_X * F_X}(x).$$

This estimate implies that

$$\limsup_{x \to \infty} \frac{\overline{F_Y * F_Y}(x)}{\overline{F}_Y(x)} \leqslant C^2 \limsup_{x \to \infty} \frac{\overline{F_X * F_X}(x)}{\overline{F}_Y(x)}$$
$$\leqslant C^2 \limsup_{x \to \infty} \frac{\overline{F_X * F_X}(x)}{\overline{F}_X(x)} \frac{1}{\liminf_{x \to \infty} \frac{\overline{F}_Y(x)}{\overline{F}_Y(x)}} < \infty$$

due to the assumption $F_X \in \mathcal{OS}$ and the first inequality in (2). The last estimate gives that d.f. F_Y belongs to the class \mathcal{OS} . Part (ii) of the lemma is proven.

Part (iii). According to part (i), we have that

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}\frac{\overline{F_X*F_X}(x)}{\overline{F}_X(x)}=C_1<\infty \ \text{ and } \ \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}\frac{\overline{F_Y*F_Y}(x)}{\overline{F}_Y(x)}=C_2<\infty.$$

Axioms 2023, 12, 641 8 of 17

Let X_1 , X_2 , Y_1 , Y_2 be independent r.v.s. Suppose that X_1 , X_2 are distributed according to the d.f. F_X , and Y_1 , Y_2 are distributed according to the d.f. F_Y . For each $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain

$$\overline{((F_X * F_Y)^*)^2}(x) = \overline{(F_X * F_Y) * (F_X * F_Y)}(x) = \mathbb{P}(X_1 + Y_1 + X_2 + Y_2 > x)$$

$$= \mathbb{P}(X_1 + X_2 + Y_1 + Y_2) > x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(X_1 + X_2 > x - y) d\mathbb{P}(Y_1 + Y_2 \leqslant y)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{\frac{F_X * F_X}{F_X}(x - y)} \overline{F}_X(x - y) d\mathbb{P}(Y_1 + Y_2 \leqslant y)$$

$$\leqslant C_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{F}_X(x - y) d\mathbb{P}(Y_1 + Y_2 \leqslant y) = C_1 \mathbb{P}(X_1 + Y_1 + Y_2 > x)$$

$$= C_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{\frac{F_Y * F_Y}{F_Y}(x - y)} \overline{F}_Y(x - y) d\mathbb{P}(X_1 \leqslant y)$$

$$\leqslant C_1 C_2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{F}_Y(x - y) dF_X(y) = C_1 C_2 \overline{F_X * F_Y}(x).$$

Hence,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{\overline{((F_X * F_Y)^*)^2}(x)}{\overline{F_X * F_Y}(x)} \leqslant C_1 C_2$$

implying that $F_X * F_Y \in \mathcal{OS}$ by part (i). Part (iii) of the lemma is proven.

Part (iv). Due to part (i),

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}\frac{\overline{F_X*F_X}(x)}{\overline{F_X}(x)}=C_3<\infty.$$

In addition, for x > 2, we obtain

$$\overline{F_X * F_X}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{F}_X(x - t) dF_X(t) \geqslant \int_{(1,x]} \overline{F}_X(x - t) dF_X(t)$$
$$\geqslant \overline{F}_X(x - 1)(F_X(x) - F_X(1)).$$

When *x* is large enough, we have F(x) - F(1) > 0, and, therefore,

$$\frac{\overline{F}_X(x-1)}{\overline{F}_X(x)} \leqslant \frac{\overline{F_X * F_X}(x)}{\overline{F}_X(x)} \frac{1}{F_X(x) - F_X(1)}.$$

Hence,

$$\limsup_{x\to\infty}\frac{\overline{F}_X(x-1)}{\overline{F}_X(x)}\leqslant \frac{C_3}{\overline{F}_X(1)}<\infty,$$

and part (iv) of the lemma is proven.

Part (v). Since $\overline{F}_Y(x) = O(\overline{F}_X(x))$, we have

$$\frac{\overline{F}_Y(x)}{\overline{F}_X(x)} \leqslant Q, \ x \geqslant x_Q,$$

Axioms 2023, 12, 641 9 of 17

with certain constants Q and x_Q . If $x < x_Q$, then

$$\frac{\overline{F}_Y(x)}{\overline{F}_X(x)} \leqslant \frac{1}{\overline{F}_X(x_O)} < \infty$$

because $F_X \in \mathcal{OS}$ implies $\overline{F}_X(x_Q) > 0$. From the above inequalities, it follows that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{\overline{F}_Y(x)}{\overline{F}_X(x)} \leqslant \max \left\{ Q, \frac{1}{\overline{F}_X(x_Q)} \right\} = C_4.$$

Consequently, for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain

$$\overline{F_X * F_Y}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{F}_Y(x - y) dF_X(y) \leqslant C_4 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{F}_X(x - y) dF_X(y)$$

$$= C_4 \overline{F_X * F_X}(x) \leqslant C_5 \overline{F_X}(x) \tag{3}$$

with some positive constant C_5 , where the last step in the above derivation follows from part (i) of the lemma.

On the other hand, there exists a real $b \in \mathbb{R}$ for which

$$\overline{F}_Y(b) = 1 - F_Y(b) \geqslant \frac{1}{2}.$$

For this b, we obtain

$$\overline{F_X * F_Y}(x) \geqslant \int\limits_{(b,\infty)} \overline{F}_X(x-y) dF_Y(y) \geqslant \overline{F}_X(x-b) \int\limits_{(b,\infty)} dF_Y(y)
= \overline{F}_X(x-b) \overline{F}_Y(b) \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \overline{F}_X(x) \frac{\overline{F}_X(x-b)}{\overline{F}_Y(x)}.$$

Hence,

$$\liminf_{x \to \infty} \frac{\overline{F_X * F_Y}(x)}{\overline{F}_X(x)} \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \liminf_{x \to \infty} \frac{\overline{F}_X(x - b)}{\overline{F}_X(x)}.$$
(4)

In part (iv) of the lemma, we proved that $F_X \in \mathcal{OL}$. It is easy to verify that

$$F_X \in \mathcal{OL} \iff \limsup_{x \to \infty} \frac{\overline{F}_X(x-1)}{\overline{F}_X(x)} < \infty \iff \overline{F}_X(x-y) \underset{x \to \infty}{\sim} \overline{F}_X(x) \text{ for each } y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Therefore, the estimate (4) implies that

$$\liminf_{x \to \infty} \frac{\overline{F_X * F_Y}(x)}{\overline{F}_Y(x)} > 0.$$
(5)

From inequalities (3) and (5), it follows that $\overline{F_X*F_Y}(x) \underset{x\to\infty}{\asymp} \overline{F_X}(x)$. Moreover, by part (ii) of the lemma, $F_X*F_Y\in \mathcal{OS}$. This finishes the proof of the last part of the lemma. \square

Lemma 2. Let $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots\}$ be a sequence of independent r.v.s, for which $F_{\xi_1} \in \mathcal{OS}$, and, for other indices $k \geq 2$, either $F_{\xi_k} \in \mathcal{OS}$ or $\overline{F}_{\xi_k}(x) = O(\overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x))$. Then, $F_{S_n} \in \mathcal{OS}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. If n=1, then the statement is obvious because $S_1=\xi_1$. If n=2, then two options are possible: $F_{\xi_2}\in\mathcal{OS}$ or $\overline{F}_{\xi_2}=O(\overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x))$. In the first case, $F_{S_2}=F_{\xi_1}*F_{\xi_2}\in\mathcal{OS}$ according to part (iii) of Lemma 1. In the second case, $F_{S_2}\in\mathcal{OS}$ by part (v) of the same lemma.

Axioms 2023, 12, 641 10 of 17

Now, let n > 2, and denote

$$\mathcal{K} = \{k \in \{2, ..., n\} : \overline{F}_{\xi_k}(x) = O(\overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x))\}.$$

Initially, assume that the set K is empty. In such a case, $F_{\xi_k} \in \mathcal{OS}$ for all indices $k \in K^c = \{1, 2, 3, ..., n\}$. By part (iii) of Lemma 1, we know that $F_{S_n} \in \mathcal{OS}$.

Now, let the index set $\mathcal{K} = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ no longer be empty. Since

$$\overline{F}_{\xi_{k_1}}(x) = O(\overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x)),$$

part (v) of Lemma 1 implies that

$$F_{\xi_1} * F_{\xi_{k_1}} \in \mathcal{OS}, \tag{6}$$

and

$$\overline{F_{\xi_1} * F_{\xi_{k_1}}}(x) \underset{r \to \infty}{\sim} \overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x). \tag{7}$$

According to relation (7),

$$\limsup_{x\to\infty}\frac{\overline{F}_{\xi_{k_2}}(x)}{\overline{F}_{\xi_1}*F_{\xi_{k_1}}(x)}\leqslant \limsup_{x\to\infty}\frac{\overline{F}_{\xi_{k_2}}(x)}{\overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x)}\frac{1}{\liminf\limits_{x\to\infty}\frac{\overline{F}_{\xi_1}*F_{\xi_{k_1}}(x)}{\overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x)}}<\infty$$

because $\overline{F}_{\xi_{k_2}}(x) = O(\overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x)).$ This means that

$$\overline{F}_{\xi_{k_2}}(x) = O(\overline{F_{\xi_1} * F_{\xi_{k_1}}}(x)).$$

Hence, according to (6) and part (v) of Lemma 1, we obtain

$$F_{\xi_1} * F_{\xi_{k_1}} * F_{\xi_{k_2}} = (F_{\xi_1} * F_{\xi_{k_1}}) * F_{\xi_{k_2}} \in \mathcal{OS},$$

and

$$\overline{F_{\xi_1} * F_{\xi_{k_1}} * F_{\xi_{k_2}}}(x) \underset{x \to \infty}{\asymp} \overline{F_{\xi_1} * F_{\xi_{k_1}}}(x).$$

Continuing the process we obtain

$$F_{\mathcal{K}} := F_{\xi_1} * \prod_{j=1}^r F_{\xi_{k_j}} = F_{\xi_1} * F_{\xi_{k_1}} * F_{\xi_{k_2}} * \dots * F_{\xi_{k_r}} \in \mathcal{OS},$$

and

$$\overline{F_{\xi_1} * F_{\xi_{k_1}} * F_{\xi_{k_2}} * \ldots * F_{\xi_{k_r}}}(x) \underset{x \to \infty}{\approx} \overline{F_{\xi_1} * F_{\xi_{k_1}} * F_{\xi_{k_2}} * \ldots * F_{\xi_{k_r-1}}}(x).$$

For the remaining indices $k \in \mathcal{K}^c = \{2, 3, ..., n\} \setminus \{k_1, k_2, ..., k_r\}$, d.f. F_{ξ_k} belongs to the class \mathcal{OS} . By part (iii) of Lemma 1, we obtain

$$F_{\mathcal{K}^c} := \overline{\prod_{k \in \mathcal{K}^c}} F_{\mathcal{\zeta}_k} \in \mathcal{OS}.$$

Using part (iii) of Lemma 1 again, we derive that

$$F_{S_n} = F_K * F_{K^c} \in \mathcal{OS}.$$

This finishes the proof of Lemma 2. \Box

Axioms 2023, 12, 641 11 of 17

Lemma 3. Let ξ_1, ξ_2, \ldots be a sequence of independent random variables, for which $F_{\xi_1} \in \mathcal{OS}$ and

$$\limsup_{x \to \infty} \sup_{k \ge 1} \frac{\overline{F}_{\xi_k}(x)}{\overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x)} < \infty \tag{8}$$

Then, there exists a constant $\widehat{C} \geqslant 1$ *such that*

$$\overline{F}_{S_n}(x) \leqslant \widehat{C}^{n-1}\overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x) \tag{9}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $n \ge 2$.

Proof. The condition (8) implies that

$$\sup_{k\geqslant 1}\frac{\overline{F}_{\xi_k}(x)}{\overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x)}\leqslant C_6$$

for all $x \ge A$, with some constants $C_6 \ge 1$ and A > 0. If x < A, then

$$\sup_{k\geqslant 1}\frac{\overline{F}_{\xi_k}(x)}{\overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x)}\leqslant \frac{1}{\overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x)}\leqslant \frac{1}{\overline{F}_{\xi_1}(A)}<\infty.$$

Therefore, for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\sup_{k\geqslant 1} \frac{\overline{F}_{\zeta_k}(x)}{\overline{F}_{\zeta_1}(x)} \leqslant \max \left\{ C_6, \frac{1}{\overline{F}_{\zeta_1}(A)} \right\} := C_7. \tag{10}$$

In addition, part (i) of Lemma 1 gives that

$$\overline{F_{\xi_1} * F_{\xi_1}}(x) \leqslant C_8 \overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x) \tag{11}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ with some constant $C_8 \geqslant 1$.

We prove the inequality (9) with constant $\widehat{C} = C_7 C_8$. If n = 1, the inequality (9) holds, evidently, because $\overline{F}_{S_1}(x) = \overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x)$. If n = 2, then, by (10) and (11), for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\overline{F}_{S_2}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{F}_{\xi_2}(x - y) dF_{\xi_1}(y) \leqslant C_7 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x - y) dF_{\xi_1}(y)
= C_7 \overline{F_{\xi_1} * F_{\xi_1}}(x) \leqslant \widehat{C} \overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x).$$

Suppose now that the inequality (9) holds for $n = m \ge 2$, i.e.,

$$\frac{\overline{F}_{S_m}(x)}{\overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x)} \leqslant \widehat{C}^{m-1}, x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

After choosing n = m + 1, from this assumption and from (10) and (11), we obtain

$$\overline{F}_{S_{m+1}}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{F}_{S_m}(x-y) dF_{\xi_m+1}(y) \leqslant \widehat{C}^{m-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x-y) dF_{\xi_m+1}(y)$$

$$= \widehat{C}^{m-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{F}_{\xi_m+1}(x-y) dF_{\xi_1}(y) \leqslant \widehat{C}^{m-1} C_7 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x-y) dF_{\xi_1}(y)$$

$$= \widehat{C}^{m-1} C_7 \overline{F}_{\xi_1} * F_{\xi_1}(x) \leqslant \widehat{C}^m \overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x), x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

According to the induction principle, the inequality (9) holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Lemma 3 is proven. \square

Axioms **2023**, 12, 641 12 of 17

6. Proofs of the Main Results

In this section, we present proofs of the main results of the paper.

Proof of Theorem 1. According to conditions of the theorem, $\mathbb{P}(\eta \in \{0,1,\ldots,L\}) = 1$ and $\mathbb{P}(\eta = L) > 0$ for some $L \in \mathbb{N}$. We have

$$\overline{F}_{S_{\eta}}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{L} \mathbb{P}(\eta = n) \overline{F}_{S_n}(x), x > 0.$$

Hence, for each positive x,

$$\frac{\overline{F}_{S_{\eta}}(x)}{\overline{F}_{S_{L}}(x)} \geqslant \frac{\mathbb{P}(\eta = L)\overline{F}_{S_{L}}(x)}{\overline{F}_{S_{L}}(x)} = \mathbb{P}(\eta = L) > 0.$$
(12)

On the other hand,

$$\overline{F}_{S_{\eta}}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{L-1} \mathbb{P}(\eta = L - k) \mathbb{P}(S_{L-k} > x), x > 0.$$
(13)

For any random variable ξ_k , $k \in \{1, 2, ..., L\}$, there exists a negative number $-a_k$, for which $\mathbb{P}(\xi_k \geqslant -a_k) \geqslant 1/2$. We have

$$\mathbb{P}(S_{L-1} > x) = \mathbb{P}(S_{L-1} - a_L > x - a_L, \xi_L \geqslant -a_L) + \mathbb{P}(S_{L-1} > x, \xi_L < -a_L)$$

$$\leq \mathbb{P}(S_L > x - a_L) + \mathbb{P}(S_{L-1} > x) \mathbb{P}(\xi_L < -a_L).$$

From this, we derive that

$$\mathbb{P}(S_{I-1} > x) \leq 2\mathbb{P}(S_I > x - a_I)$$

for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Similarly,

$$\mathbb{P}(S_{L-2} > x) \leq 2\mathbb{P}(S_{L-1} > x - a_{L-1}) \leq 4\mathbb{P}(S_L > x - a_{L-1} - a_L)$$

also for each real number x. Continuing the process, we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}(S_{L-k} > x) \le 2^k \mathbb{P}\left(S_L > x - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a_{L-j}\right)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all k = 1, 2, ..., L - 1. After inserting the derived estimates into inequality (13), we obtain that

$$\overline{F}_{S_{\eta}}(x) \leqslant \sum_{k=0}^{L-1} \mathbb{P}(\eta = L - k) 2^{k} \mathbb{P}(S_{L} > x - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a_{L-j})$$

$$\leqslant \mathbb{P}(S_{L} > x - a) \sum_{k=0}^{L-1} 2^{k} \mathbb{P}(\eta = L - k)$$

$$= C^{*}\overline{F}_{S_{L}}(x - a),$$

where

$$C^* = \sum_{k=0}^{L-1} 2^k \mathbb{P}(\eta = L - k)$$
, and $a = \sum_{j=1}^{L} a_j$.

Axioms **2023**, 12, 641 13 of 17

Consequently, for all positive x,

$$\frac{\overline{F}_{S_{\eta}}(x)}{\overline{F}_{S_{L}}(x)} \leqslant \frac{C^{*}\overline{F}_{S_{L}}(x-a)}{\overline{F}_{S_{L}}(x)}.$$

By Lemma 2 and part (iv) of Lemma 1, we have that $F_{S_L} \in \mathcal{OS} \subset \mathcal{OL}$. Therefore,

$$\limsup_{x \to \infty} \frac{\overline{F}_{S_{\eta}}(x)}{\overline{F}_{S_{I*}}(x)} < \infty.$$
 (14)

By (12) and (14), we have that

$$\overline{F}_{S_{\eta}}(x) \underset{x \to \infty}{\simeq} \overline{F}_{S_L}(x).$$

Therefore, $F_{S_n} \in \mathcal{OS}$, together with F_{S_1} by part (ii) of Lemma 1. Theorem 1 is proven.

Proof of Theorem 2. Part (i) Because

$$\overline{F}_{S_{\eta}}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\eta = n) \overline{F}_{S_n}(x), x > 0,$$

by Lemma 3 for all positive numbers x, we obtain

$$\frac{\overline{F}_{S_{\eta}}(x)}{\overline{F}_{\xi_{1}}(x)} \leqslant \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \widehat{C}^{n-1} \mathbb{P}(\eta = n) \overline{F}_{\xi_{1}}(x)}{\overline{F}_{\xi_{1}}(x)} \leqslant \mathbb{E} e^{\eta \log \widehat{C}} < \infty, \tag{15}$$

where $\widehat{C} > 1$ is some constant.

On the other hand,

$$\overline{F}_{S_{\eta}}(x) \geqslant \mathbb{P}(\eta = 1)\overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x).$$

Hence, under conditions of part (i), we have that $\overline{F}_{S_{\eta}}(x) \underset{x \to \infty}{\sim} \overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x)$. Therefore, $F_{S_{\eta}} \in \mathcal{OS}$ according to part (ii) of Lemma 1. Part (i) of Theorem 2 is proven.

Part (ii). If $\mathbb{P}(\eta=1)>0$, then assertion of this part follows from the proven part (i). Hence, we can further suppose that $\mathbb{P}(\eta=1)=0$, implying that $\mathbb{P}(\eta\geqslant 2)>0$. Since $\mathbb{E}\mathrm{e}^{\lambda\eta}<\infty$ for each $\lambda>0$, the inequality (15) implies that

$$\limsup_{x \to \infty} \frac{\overline{F}_{S_{\eta}}(x)}{\overline{F}_{\xi_{1}}(x)} < \infty. \tag{16}$$

In addition, conditions of part (ii) of the theorem give that

$$\inf_{k\geqslant 1}\frac{\overline{F}_{\xi_k}(x)}{\overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x)}\geqslant \Delta$$

for all $x \ge x_{\Delta}$ and some positive Δ . If $x < x_{\Delta}$, then

$$\inf_{k\geqslant 1}\frac{\overline{F}_{\xi_k}(x)}{\overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x)}\geqslant \inf_{k\geqslant 1}\overline{F}_{\xi_k}(x_\Delta)\geqslant \inf_{k\geqslant 1}\frac{\overline{F}_{\xi_k}(x_\Delta)}{\overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x_\Delta)}\,\overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x_\Delta)\geqslant \Delta\,\overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x_\Delta):=\widetilde{C}>0$$

due to the assumption $F_{\xi_1} \in \mathcal{OS}$. The derived inequalities imply that

$$\overline{F}_{\xi_k}(x) \geqslant \widetilde{C}\,\overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x)$$

for some positive constant \widetilde{C} , and for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \{1, 2, ...\}$.

Axioms 2023, 12, 641 14 of 17

Using the last estimate, we obtain

$$\overline{F}_{S_2}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{F}_{\xi_2}(x-y)}{\overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x-y)} \overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x-y) dF_{\xi_1}(y)
\geqslant \widetilde{C} \overline{F_{\xi_1} * F_{\xi_1}}(x) \geqslant \widetilde{C} \overline{F}_{\xi_1}(0) \overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x), x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Similarly,

$$\overline{F}_{S_3}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{F}_{S_2}(x-y)}{\overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x-y)} \overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x-y) dF_{\xi_1}(y)
\geqslant \widetilde{C} \overline{F}_{\xi_1}(0) \overline{F_{\xi_1} * F_{\xi_1}}(x) \geqslant \widetilde{C} (\overline{F}_{\xi_1}(0))^2 \overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x), x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Continuing the process, we obtain

$$\overline{F}_{S_n}(x) \geqslant \widetilde{C} \left(\overline{F}_{\xi_1}(0)\right)^{n-1} \overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \in \{2, 3, \ldots\}$.

Therefore,

$$\liminf_{x \to \infty} \frac{\overline{F}_{S_{\eta}}(x)}{\overline{F}_{\xi_{1}}(x)} \geqslant \liminf_{x \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}(\eta = \widetilde{L})\overline{F}_{S_{\widetilde{L}}}(x)}{\overline{F}_{\xi_{1}}(x)}$$

$$\geqslant \mathbb{P}(\eta = \widetilde{L})\widetilde{C}\left(\overline{F}_{\xi_{1}}(0)\right)^{\widetilde{L}-1} > 0, \tag{17}$$

where $\widetilde{L} = \min\{n \geqslant 2 : \mathbb{P}(\eta = n) > 0\}.$

The derived inequalities (16) and (17) imply $\overline{F}_{S_{\eta}}(x) \underset{x \to \infty}{\sim} \overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x)$. By part (ii) of Lemma 1, we have $F_{S_{\eta}} \in \mathcal{OS}$. Theorem 2 is proven. \square

7. Illustration of the Results

In this section, we present two examples showing how, using Theorems 1 and 2, it is possible to construct distributions belonging to the class \mathcal{OS} . It is practically impossible to write the analytical expression of d.f $F_{S_{\eta}}$ in the general case, but, according to Theorems 1 and 2, we can establish whether the constructed distributions are generalized subexponential.

Example 1. Let ξ_1 be r.v. having the t.f.

$$\overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x) = \mathbb{I}_{(-\infty,0)}(x) + \frac{e^{-x}}{(1+x)^3} \left(1 + \frac{\sin x}{d}\right) \mathbb{I}_{[0,\infty)}(x),$$

where d > 2. According to the results of [44], the d.f. F_{ξ_1} belongs to class \mathcal{OS} . Therefore, Theorem 1 gives that d.f. $F_{S_{\eta}}$ belongs to \mathcal{OS} for each sequence of independent r.v.s $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots\}$ such that either $F_{\xi_k} \in \mathcal{OS}$ or

$$\overline{F}_{\xi_k}(x) = O\left(\frac{e^{-x}}{(1+x)^3}\right)$$

when $k \in \{2,3,...\}$, and for each bounded counting r.v. η independent of $\{\xi_1,\xi_2,...\}$. In particular, the d.f. with tail

$$\overline{F}_{S_{\eta}}(x) = \mathbb{I}_{(-\infty,0)}(x) + \frac{1}{3} \left(\overline{F}_{\xi_{1}}(x) + \overline{F_{\xi_{1}} * F_{\xi_{2}}}(x) + \overline{F_{\xi_{1}} * F_{\xi_{2}} * F_{\xi_{3}}}(x) \right) \mathbb{I}_{[0,\infty)}(x)$$

Axioms 2023, 12, 641 15 of 17

belongs to the class OS with

$$\begin{split} \overline{F}_{\xi_1}(x) &= \mathbb{I}_{(-\infty,0)}(x) + \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-x}}{(1+x)^3} \left(1 + \frac{\sin x}{3} \right) \mathbb{I}_{[0,\infty)}(x), \\ \overline{F}_{\xi_2}(x) &= \mathbb{I}_{(-\infty,0)}(x) + \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-x}}{(1+x)^3} \left(1 + \frac{\sin x}{4} \right) \mathbb{I}_{[0,\infty)}(x), \\ \overline{F}_{\xi_3}(x) &= \mathbb{I}_{(-\infty,0)}(x) + \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-x}}{(1+x)^3} \mathbb{I}_{[0,\infty)}(x). \end{split}$$

Example 2. Let $\{\eta, \xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots\}$ be independent r.v.s, where counting r.v. η is distributed according to the Poisson law with parameter $\mu > 0$, and

$$\overline{F}_{\xi_k}(x) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{I}_{(-\infty,1)}(x) + e^{1-x}x^{-2} \mathbb{I}_{[1,\infty)}(x) & \text{if } k \in \{1,3,5,\ldots\}, \\ \mathbb{I}_{(-\infty,2)}(x) + 4 e^{2-x}x^{-2} \mathbb{I}_{[2,\infty)}(x) & \text{if } k \in \{2,4,6,\ldots\}. \end{cases}$$

According to the results of [22], d.f. F_{ξ_1} belongs to the class OS. In addition,

$$\limsup_{x \to \infty} \sup_{k \geqslant 1} rac{\overline{F}_{\xi_k}(x)}{\overline{F}_{\mathcal{E}_1}(x)} = 4 \, \mathrm{e}.$$

Hence, d.f. F_{S_n} with the t.f.

$$\overline{F}_{S_{\eta}}(x) = \mathbb{I}_{(-\infty,1)}(x) + e^{-\mu} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^{n}}{n!} \, \overline{F_{\xi_{1}} * F_{\xi_{2}} * \dots F_{\xi_{n}}}(x) \, \mathbb{I}_{[1,\infty)}(x)$$

is generalized subexponential, due to Theorem 2.

8. Concluding Remarks

One of the incentives to study the closure properties is the evolution of ruin probability in the insurance business. We recall that, in the renewal risk model (Sparre Andersen model), the risk process is

$$R_x(t) = x + pt - \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} Z_i, \ t \geqslant 0,$$

where $x \ge 0$ is the initial capital, p > 0 is the premium rate, $\{Z_1, Z_2, \ldots\}$ is a sequence of nonnegative independent and identically distributed random claims, and

$$N(t) = \#\{n \ge 1 : \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \ldots + \theta_n \le t\}$$

is a counting process generated by independent and identically distributed inter-arrival times $\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots\}$. In addition, it is assumed that the sequences $\{Z_1, Z_2, \ldots\}$ and $\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots\}$ are independent.

It is well known (see, for instance, [25,45–47]) that the model ruin probability

$$\psi(x) = \mathbb{P}\Big(\inf_{t\geqslant 0} R_x(t) < 0\Big) \underset{x\to\infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{\rho} \,\overline{F}_I(x)$$

in the case when $\rho = (p \mathbb{E}\theta_1/\mathbb{E}X_1) - 1 > 0$ and the integrated tail d.f.

$$F_I(x) = \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}X_1} \int_0^x \mathbb{P}(X_1 > y) \mathrm{d}y$$

belongs to the class S. The similar results for the generalized subexponential distributions are presented in [48–50].

Axioms **2023**, 12, 641 16 of 17

The results obtained in this work can be applied to the analysis of the compound renewal risk model, which is described in [51–53], for instance, and in which the claim amount has the form of a randomly stopped sum.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, J.Š.; methodology, J.K. and J.Š.; software, J.K.; validation, J.Š.; formal analysis, J.K.; investigation, J.K. and J.Š.; writing—original draft preparation, J.K.; writing—review and editing, J.Š.; visualization, J.Š.; supervision, J.Š.; project administration, J.Š.; funding acquisition, J.K. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to express deep gratitude to three anonymous referees for their valuable suggestions and comments which have helped to improve the previous version of the paper.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Klüppelberg, C. Asymptotic ordering of distribution functions and convolution semigroups. *Semigr. Forum* **1990**, *40*, 77–92. [CrossRef]
- 2. Shimura, T.; Watanabe, T. Infinite divisibility and generalized subexponentiality. Bernoulli 2005, 11, 445–469. [CrossRef]
- 3. Baltrūnas, A.; Omey, E.; Van Gulck, S. Hazard rates and subexponential distributions. *Publ. l'Institut Math.* **2006**, *80*, 29–46. [CrossRef]
- 4. Watanabe, T.; Yamamuro, K. Ratio of the tail of an infinitely divisible distribution on the line to that of its Lévy measure. *Electron. J. Probab.* **2010**, *15*, 44–74. [CrossRef]
- 5. Yu, C.; Wang, Y. Tail behaviovior of supremum of a random walk when Cramér condition fails. *Front. Math. China* **2014**, *9*, 431–453. [CrossRef]
- 6. Cheng, D.; Wang, Y. Asymptotic behavior of the ratio of tail probabilities of sum and maximum of independent random variables. *Lith. Math. J.* **2012**, 52, 29–39. [CrossRef]
- 7. Lin, J.; Wang, Y. New examples of heavy tailed O-subexponential distributions and related closure properties. *Stat. Probab. Lett.* **2012**, *82*, 427–432. [CrossRef]
- 8. Konstantinides, D.; Leipus, R.; Šiaulys, J. A note on product-convolution for generalized subexponential distributions. *Nonlinear Anal. Model. Control* **2022**, 27, 1054–1067. [CrossRef]
- 9. Mikutavičius, G.; Šiaulys, J. Product convolution of generalized subexponential distributions. *Mathematics* **2023**, *11*, 248. [CrossRef]
- 10. Chistyakov, V.P. A theorem on sums of independent, positive random variables and its applications to branching processes. *Theory Probab. Appl.* **1964**, *9*,640–648. [CrossRef]
- 11. Athreya, K.B.; Ney, P.E. Branching Processes; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 1972.
- 12. Chover, J.; Ney, P.; Waigner, S. Degeneracy properties of subcritical branching processes. Ann. Probab. 1973, 1, 663–673. [CrossRef]
- 13. Chover, J.; Ney, P.; Waigner, S. Functions of probability measures. J. d'Analyse Math. 1973, 26, 255–302. [CrossRef]
- 14. Embrechts, P.; Goldie, C.M. On convolution tails. Stoch. Process. Their Appl. 1982, 13, 263–278. [CrossRef]
- 15. Embrechts, P.; Omey, E. A property of long tailed distributions. J. Appl. Probab. 1984, 21, 80–87. [CrossRef]
- 16. Cline, D.B.H. Intermediate regular and Π variation. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 1994, 68, 594–611. [CrossRef]
- 17. Cline, D.B.H.; Samorodnitsky, G. Subexponentiality of the product of independent random variables. *Stoch. Process. Their Appl.* **1994**, 49, 75–98. [CrossRef]
- 18. Klüppelberg, C. Subexponential distributions and characterization of related classes. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **1989**, *82*, 259–269. [CrossRef]
- 19. Pakes, A.G. Convolution equivalence and infinite divisibility. J. Apll. Probab. 2004, 41, 407–424. [CrossRef]
- 20. Rogozin, B.A. On the constant in the definition of subexponential distributions. Theory Probab. Appl. 2000, 44, 409–412. [CrossRef]
- 21. Foss, S.; Korshunov, D. Lower limits and equivalences for convolution tails. Ann. Probab. 2007, 35, 366–383. [CrossRef]
- 22. Cline, D.B.H. Convolution tails, product tails and domain of attraction. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* 1986, 72, 529–557. [CrossRef]
- 23. Watanabe, T. The Wiener condition and the conjectures of Embrechts and Goldie. Ann. Probab. 2019, 47, 1221–1239. [CrossRef]
- 24. Foss, S.; Korshunov, D.; Zachary, S. An Introduction to Heavy-Tailed and Subexponential Distributions, 2nd ed.; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2013.
- 25. Embrechts, P.; Klüppelberg, C.; Mikosch, T. Modelling Extremal Events for Insurance and Finance; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 1997.
- 26. Asmussen, S. Applied Probability and Queues, 2nd ed.; Springer: New York, MY, USA, 2003.

Axioms **2023**, 12, 641 17 of 17

27. Denisov, D.; Foss, S.; Korshunov, D. Asymptotics of randomly stopped sums in the presence of heavy tails. *Bernoulli* **2010**, *16*, 971–994. [CrossRef]

- 28. Watanabe, T. Convolution equivalence and distribution of random sums. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields 2008, 142, 367–397. [CrossRef]
- 29. Schmidli, H. Compound sums and subexponentiality. Bernoulli 1999, 5, 999-1012. [CrossRef]
- 30. Pakes, A.G. Convolution equivalence and infinite divisibility: Corrections and corollaries. *J. Appl. Probab.* **2007**, *44*, 295–305. [CrossRef]
- 31. Wang, Y.; Yang, Y.; Wang, K.; Cheng, D. Some new equivalent conditions on asymptotics and local asymptotics for random sums and their applications. *Insur. Math. Econ.* **2007**, *40*, 256–266. [CrossRef]
- 32. Feller, W. One-sided analogues of Karamata's regular variation. Enseign. Math. 1969, 15, 107–121.
- 33. Seneta, E. Regularly Varying Functions. Lecture Notes in Mathematics; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 1976; Volume 508.
- 34. Bingham, N.H.; Goldie, C.M.; Teugels, J.L. Regular Variation; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 1987.
- 35. Tang, Q.; Yan, J. A sharp inequality for the tail probabilities of i.i.d. r.v.'s with dominatedly varying tails. *Sci. China Ser. A* **2002**, *45*, 1006–1011. [CrossRef]
- 36. Tang, Q.; Tsitsiashvili, G. Precise estimates for the ruin probability in the finite horizon in a discrete-time risk model with heavy-tailed insurance and financial risks. *Stoch. Processes Appl.* **2003**, *108*, 299–325. [CrossRef]
- 37. Cai, J.; Tang, Q. On max-type equivalence and convolution closure of heavy-tailed distributions and their applications. *J. Appl. Probab.* **2004**, *41*, 117–130. [CrossRef]
- 38. Konstantinides D. A class of heavy tailed distributions. J. Numer. Appl. Math. 2008, 96, 127–138.
- 39. Embrechts, P.; Goldie, C.M. On closure and factorization properties of subexponential and related distributions. *J. Aust. Math. Soc. Ser. A* **1980**, 29, 243–256. [CrossRef]
- 40. Foss, S.; Korshunov, D.; Zachary, S. Convolution of long-tailed and subexponential distributions. *J. Appl. Probab.* **2009**, *46*, 756–767. [CrossRef]
- 41. Leipus, R.; Šiaulys, J. Closure of some heavy-tailed distribution classes under random convolution. *Lith. Math. J.* **2012**, *52*, 249–258. [CrossRef]
- 42. Danilenko, S.; Šiaulys, J. Randomly stopped sums of not identically distributed heavy tailed random variables. *Stat. Probab. Lett.* **2016**, *113*, 84–93. [CrossRef]
- Danilenko, S.; Markevičiūtė, J.; Šiaulys, J. Randomly stopped sums with exponential-type distributions. Nonlinear Anal. Model. Control 2017, 22, 793–807. [CrossRef]
- 44. Cui, Z.; Wang, Y. On the long tail property of product convolution. Lith. Math. J. 2020, 60, 315–329. [CrossRef]
- 45. Embrechts, P.; Veraverbeke, N. Estimates for the probability of ruin with special emphasis on the posibility of large claims. *Insur. Math. Econ.* **1982**, *1*, 55–72. [CrossRef]
- 46. Borovkov, A.A.; Borovkov, K.A. *Asymptotic Analysis of Random Walks: Heavy Tailed Distributions*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2008.
- 47. Asmussen, S.; Albrecher, H. Ruin Probabilities, 2nd ed.; World Scientific: Singapore, 2010.
- 48. Yang, Y.; Wang, K. Estimates for the tail probability of the supremum of a random walk with independent increments. *Chin. Ann. Math.* **2011**, 32B, 847–856. [CrossRef]
- 49. Wang, K.Y.; Yang, Y.; Yu, C.J. Estimates for the overshoot of a random walk with negative drift and non-convolution equivalent increments. *Stat. Probab. Lett.* **2013**, *83*, 1504–1512. [CrossRef]
- 50. Beck, S.; Blath, J.; Sheutzow, M. A new class of large claim size distributions: Definition, properties, and ruin theory. *Bernoulli* **2015**, 21, 2457–2483. [CrossRef]
- 51. Aleškevičienė, A.; Leipus, L.; Šiaulys, J. Tail behavior of random sums under consistent variation with application to the compound renewal risk model. *Extremes* **2008**, *11*, 261–279. [CrossRef]
- 52. Wang, S.J.; Gao, Y. Precise large deviations for agregate claims of a compound renewal risk model with arbitrary dependence between claims sizes and waiting times. *Lith. Math. J.* **2020**, *62*, 542–552. [CrossRef]
- 53. Yang, Y.; Wang, X.Z.; Chen, S.Y. Second order asymptotics for infinite-time ruin probability in a compound renewal risk model. *Methodol. Comput. Appl. Probab.* **2022**, 24, 1221–1236. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.