

2014 Consumer Security Products Performance Benchmarks (Edition 2)

Antivirus & Internet Security Windows 7

December 2013

Document: 2014 Consumer Security Products Performance Benchmarks (Edition 1)

Authors: M. Baquiran, D. Wren

Company: PassMark Software

Date: 12 December 2013

Edition: 2

File: antivirusinternetsecuritysuites-dec2013.docx

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | 2 |
| REVISION HISTORY | 4 |
| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | 5 |
| OVERALL SCORE | 6 |
| PRODUCTS AND VERSIONS | 7 |
| INTERNET SECURITY SOFTWARE | 7 |
| ANTIVIRUS SOFTWARE..... | 7 |
| PERFORMANCE METRICS SUMMARY | 8 |
| INTERNET SECURITY SOFTWARE – TEST RESULTS | 11 |
| BENCHMARK 1 – BOOT TIME (SECONDS) | 11 |
| BENCHMARK 2 – SCAN TIME (SECONDS) | 12 |
| BENCHMARK 3 – USER INTERFACE LAUNCH TIME (MILLISECONDS)..... | 13 |
| BENCHMARK 4 – MEMORY USAGE DURING SYSTEM IDLE (MEGABYTES)..... | 14 |
| BENCHMARK 5 – BROWSE TIME (SECONDS)..... | 15 |
| BENCHMARK 6 – INTERNET EXPLORER LAUNCH TIME (MILLISECONDS)..... | 16 |
| BENCHMARK 7 – INSTALLATION TIME (SECONDS) | 17 |
| BENCHMARK 8 – INSTALLATION SIZE (MEGABYTES)..... | 18 |
| BENCHMARK 9 – FILE COPY, MOVE AND DELETE (SECONDS)..... | 19 |
| BENCHMARK 10 – INSTALLATION OF THIRD PARTY APPLICATIONS (SECONDS)..... | 20 |
| BENCHMARK 11 – NETWORK THROUGHPUT (SECONDS) | 21 |
| BENCHMARK 12 – FILE FORMAT CONVERSION (SECONDS)..... | 22 |
| BENCHMARK 13 – FILE COMPRESSION AND DECOMPRESSION (SECONDS)..... | 23 |
| BENCHMARK 14 – FILE DOWNLOAD (SECONDS)..... | 24 |
| BENCHMARK 15 – PE SCAN TIME (SECONDS)..... | 25 |
| BENCHMARK 16 – FILE COPY DISK TO DISK (SECONDS)..... | 26 |
| BENCHMARK 17 – FILE COPY OVER NETWORK (SECONDS) | 27 |
| BENCHMARK 18 – PCMARK | 28 |
| BENCHMARK 19 – WORD DOCUMENT LAUNCH AND OPEN TIME (MILLISECONDS)..... | 29 |
| ANTIVIRUS SOFTWARE – TEST RESULTS | 30 |
| BENCHMARK 1 – BOOT TIME (SECONDS) | 30 |
| BENCHMARK 2 – SCAN TIME (SECONDS) | 31 |
| BENCHMARK 3 – USER INTERFACE LAUNCH TIME (MILLISECONDS)..... | 32 |
| BENCHMARK 4 – MEMORY USAGE DURING SYSTEM IDLE (MEGABYTES)..... | 33 |
| BENCHMARK 5 – BROWSE TIME (SECONDS)..... | 34 |
| BENCHMARK 6 – INTERNET EXPLORER LAUNCH TIME (MILLISECONDS)..... | 35 |
| BENCHMARK 7 – INSTALLATION TIME (SECONDS) | 36 |
| BENCHMARK 8 – INSTALLATION SIZE (MEGABYTES)..... | 37 |
| BENCHMARK 9 – FILE COPY, MOVE AND DELETE (SECONDS)..... | 38 |
| BENCHMARK 10 – INSTALLATION OF THIRD PARTY APPLICATIONS (SECONDS)..... | 39 |
| BENCHMARK 11 – NETWORK THROUGHPUT (SECONDS) | 40 |

| | |
|---|-----------|
| BENCHMARK 12 – FILE FORMAT CONVERSION (SECONDS)..... | 41 |
| BENCHMARK 13 – FILE COMPRESSION AND DECOMPRESSION (SECONDS)..... | 42 |
| BENCHMARK 14 – FILE DOWNLOAD (SECONDS)..... | 43 |
| BENCHMARK 15 – PE SCAN TIME (SECONDS)..... | 44 |
| BENCHMARK 16 – FILE COPY DISK TO DISK (SECONDS)..... | 45 |
| BENCHMARK 17 – FILE COPY OVER NETWORK (SECONDS) | 46 |
| BENCHMARK 18 – PCMARK | 47 |
| BENCHMARK 19 – WORD DOCUMENT LAUNCH AND OPEN TIME (MILLISECONDS)..... | 48 |
| DISCLAIMER AND DISCLOSURE | 49 |
| CONTACT DETAILS..... | 49 |
| APPENDIX 1 – TEST ENVIRONMENT | 50 |
| APPENDIX 2 – METHODOLOGY DESCRIPTION | 51 |

Revision History

| Rev | Revision History | Date |
|-----------|---|-----------------|
| Edition 1 | Initial version of this report. | 4 October 2013 |
| Edition 2 | Second version of this report. Added antivirus a section on Antivirus products. | 5 December 2013 |

Executive Summary

PassMark Software® conducted objective performance testing on eight (8) Internet Security and eight (8) Antivirus software products on Windows 7 Ultimate Edition (64-bit) SP1 between July 2013 and December 2013. This report presents our results and findings as a result of performance benchmark testing conducted for these consumer security products.

Subsequent editions of this report will include new products released for 2014 as they are made available. For more details on which versions were tested, please see the section [“Products and Versions”](#).

Testing was performed on all products using nineteen (19) performance metrics. These performance metrics are as follows:

- Boot Time;
- Scan Time;
- User Interface Launch Time;
- Memory Usage during System Idle;
- Browse Time;
- Internet Explorer Launch Time;
- Installation Time;
- Installation Size;
- File Copy, Move and Delete;
- Installation of Third Party Applications;
- Network Throughput (previously named “Binary Download Test”)
- File Format Conversion;
- File Compression and Decompression;
- PE Scan Time;
- File Copy Disk to Disk;
- File Copy Over Network;
- File Download;
- PCMark; and
- Word Document Launch and Open Time.

Overall Score

PassMark Software assigned every product a score depending on its ranking in each metric compared to other products in the same category.

Internet Security Software

In the following table the highest possible score attainable is 152; in a hypothetical situation where a product has attained first place all 19 metrics. Internet Security products have been ranked by their overall scores:

| Product Name | Overall Score |
|------------------------------------|---------------|
| Norton Internet Security 2014 | 129 |
| Kaspersky Internet Security 2014 | 101 |
| Bitdefender Internet Security 2014 | 97 |
| Avast! InternetSecurity 2014 | 96 |
| G Data Internet Security 2014 | 87 |
| McAfee Internet Security 2014 | 76 |
| Panda Internet Security 2014 | 70 |
| Trend Micro Internet Security 2014 | 59 |

Antivirus Software

In the following table the highest possible score attainable is 152; in a hypothetical situation where a product has attained first place all 19 metrics. Internet Security products have been ranked by their overall scores:

| Product Name | Overall Score |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| Norton AntiVirus 2014 | 122 |
| AVG AntiVirus 2014 | 101 |
| Avast! Antivirus 2014 | 92 |
| G Data AntiVirus 2014 | 91 |
| Panda Antivirus 2014 | 86 |
| Kaspersky Anti-Virus 2014 | 80 |
| McAfee AntiVirus 2014 | 74 |
| Trend Micro Titanium Antivirus+ 2014 | 70 |

Products and Versions

Unless otherwise specified, we have tested the full, retail release of the newest generation (2014 versions) of security products. The names and versions of products which were tested can be found below from each category table:

Internet Security Software

| Manufacturer | Product Name | Release Year | Product Version | Date Tested |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Symantec Corporation | Norton Internet Security 2014 | 2013 | 21.0.0.100 | Oct 2013 |
| G Data Software, Inc. | G Data InternetSecurity 2014 | 2013 | 24.0.1.5 | Jul 2013 |
| Kaspersky Lab | Kaspersky Internet Security 2014 | 2013 | 14.0.0.4651 | Sep 2013 |
| McAfee, Inc. | McAfee Internet Security 2014 | 2013 | 12.8 | Oct 2013 |
| Softwin | Bitdefender Internet Security 2014 | 2013 | 17.15.0.682 | Sep 2013 |
| Trend Micro Inc. | Trend Micro Internet Security 2014 | 2013 | 7.0.1151 | Nov 2013 |
| AVAST Software a.s. | avast! Internet Security 2014 | 2013 | 17.15.0.682 | Dec 2013 |
| Panda Security SL | Panda Internet Security 2014 | 2013 | 19.01.00 | Oct 2013 |

Antivirus Software

| Manufacturer | Product Name | Release Year | Product Version | Date Tested |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Symantec Corporation | Norton Antivirus 2014 | 2013 | 21.1.0.18 | Dec 2013 |
| G Data Software, Inc. | G Data AntiVirus 2014 | 2013 | 24.0.1.5 | Aug 2013 |
| Kaspersky Lab | Kaspersky Anti-Virus 2014 | 2013 | 14.0.0.4651 | Oct 2013 |
| McAfee, Inc. | McAfee AntiVirus 2014 | 2013 | 12.8.856 | Feb 2013 |
| AVG Technologies | AVG AntiVirus 2014 | 2013 | 2014.0.4259 | Nov 2013 |
| AVAST Software a.s. | avast! Antivirus 2014 | 2013 | 2014.9.0.2008 | Dec 2013 |
| Panda Security SL | Panda Antivirus Pro 2014 | 2013 | 13.01.01 | Nov 2013 |
| Trend Micro Inc. | Trend Micro Titanium Antivirus+ 2014 | 2013 | 7.0.1351 | Nov 2013 |

Performance Metrics Summary

We have selected a set of objective metrics which provide a comprehensive and realistic indication of the areas in which an antivirus may impact system performance for end users. Our metrics test the impact of the antivirus software on common tasks that end-users would perform on a daily basis.

All of PassMark Software's test methods can be replicated by third parties using the same environment to obtain similar benchmark results. Detailed descriptions of the methodologies used in our tests are available as "[Appendix 2 – Methodology Description](#)" of this report.

Benchmark 1 – Boot Time

This metric measures the amount of time taken for the machine to boot into the operating system. Security software is generally launched at Windows startup, adding an additional amount of time and delaying the startup of the operating system. Shorter boot times indicate that the application has had less impact on the normal operation of the machine.

Benchmark 2 – Scan Time

All antivirus solutions have functionality designed to detect viruses and various other forms of malware by scanning files on the system. This metric measured the amount of time required to scan a set of clean files. Our sample file set comprised a total file size of 982 MB and was made up of files that would typically be found on end-user machines, such as media files, system files and Microsoft Office documents.

Benchmark 3 – User Interface Launch Time

This metric provides an objective indication as to how responsive a security product appears to the user, by measuring the amount of time it takes for the user interface of the antivirus software to launch from Windows. To allow for caching effects by the operating system, both the initial launch time and the subsequent launch times were measured. Our final result is an average of these two measurements.

Benchmark 4 – Memory Usage during System Idle

This metric measures the amount of memory (RAM) used by the product while the machine and antivirus software are in an idle state. The total memory usage was calculated by identifying all antivirus software processes and the amount of memory used by each process.

The amount of memory used while the machine is idle provides a good indication of the amount of system resources being consumed by the antivirus software on a permanent basis. Better performing products occupy less memory while the machine is idle.

Benchmark 5 – Browse Time

It is common behavior for security products to scan data for malware as it is downloaded from the internet or intranet. This behavior may negatively impact browsing speed as products scan web content for malware. This metric measures the time taken to browse a set of popular internet sites to consecutively load from a local server in a user's browser window.

Benchmark 6 – Internet Explorer Launch Time

This metric is one of many methods to objectively measure how much a security product impacts on the responsiveness of the system. This metric measures the amount of time it takes to launch the user interface of Internet Explorer 10. To allow for caching effects by the operating system, both the initial launch time and the subsequent launch times were measured. Our final result is an average of these two measurements.

Benchmark 7 – Installation Time

The speed and ease of the installation process will strongly influence the user's first impression of the antivirus software. This test measures the minimum installation time required by the antivirus software to be fully functional and ready for use by the end user. Lower installation times represent antivirus products which are quicker for a user to install.

Benchmark 8 – Installation Size

In offering new features and functionality to users, antivirus software products tend to increase in size with each new release. Although new technologies push the size limits of hard drives each year, the growing disk space requirements of common applications and the increasing popularity of large media files (such as movies, photos and music) ensure that a product's installation size will remain of interest to home users.

This metric aims to measure a product's total installation size. This metric is defined as the total disk space consumed by all new files added during a product's installation.

Benchmark 9 – File Copy, Move and Delete

This metric measures the amount of time taken to move, copy and delete a sample set of files. The sample file set contains several types of file formats that a Windows user would encounter in daily use. These formats include documents (e.g. Microsoft Office documents, Adobe PDF, Zip files, etc), media formats (e.g. images, movies and music) and system files (e.g. executables, libraries, etc).

Benchmark 10 – Installing Third Party Applications

This metric measures the amount of time taken to install and uninstall third party programs. The installation speed of third party applications may be impacted by antivirus behavior such as heuristics or real time malware scanning.

Benchmark 11 – Network Throughput

The metric measures the amount of time taken to download a variety of files from a local server using the HyperText Transfer Protocol (HTTP), which is the main protocol used on the web for browsing, linking and data transfer. Files used in this test include file formats that users would typically download from the web, such as images, archives, music files and movie files.

Benchmark 12 – File Format Conversion

This test measures the amount of time taken to convert an MP3 file to a WAV and subsequently, convert the same MP3 file to a WMA format.

Benchmark 13 – File Compression and Decompression

This metric measures the amount of time taken to compress and decompress different types of files. Files formats used in this test included documents, movies and images.

Benchmark 14 – File Download

This test measures the amount of time taken to download a set of setup files from a local server using the HyperText Transfer Protocol (HTTP). The data set comprised a total file size of 290MB, and the formats used include executables and Microsoft installation packages.

Benchmark 15 – PE Scan Time

All antivirus solutions have functionality designed to detect viruses and various other forms of malware by scanning files on the system. This metric measured the amount of time required to scan a set of PE (Portable Executable) files. Our sample file set comprised a total file size of 2.03GB and consisted of .exe (329MB), .dll (920MB) and .sys files (827MB).

Benchmark 16 – File Copy Disk To Disk

This test measures the amount of time taken to copy files between two local drives. The data set comprised a total file size of 5.44GB, and the formats used included documents, movies, images and executables.

Benchmark 17 – File Copy Over Network

This test measures the amount of time taken to copy files from a local drive to a local server. The data set comprised a total file size of 5.44GB, and the formats used included documents, movies, images and executables.

Benchmark 18 – PCMark

This test measures the overall performance as an overall score over a range of areas, including memory, video, gaming, music, communications, productivity and HDD performance.

Benchmark 19 – Word Document Launch and Open Time


This metric measures how much security software impacts on the responsiveness and performance of the system. This metric measures the amount of time it takes to open a large, mixed media document with Microsoft Word. To allow for caching effects by the operating system, both the initial launch time and the subsequent launch times were measured. Our final result is an average of these two measurements.

Internet Security Software – Test Results

In the following charts, we have highlighted the results we obtained for Norton Internet Security in yellow. The average has also been highlighted in blue for ease of comparison.


Benchmark 1 – Boot Time (seconds)

The following chart compares the average time taken for the system to boot (from a sample of five boots) for each Internet Security product tested. Products with lower boot times are considered better performing products in this category.




Benchmark 2 – Scan Time (seconds)

The following chart compares the average time taken to scan a set of 6159 files (totaling 982 MB) for each Internet Security product tested. This time is calculated by averaging the initial (Run 1) and subsequent (Runs 2-5) scan times. Products with lower scan times are considered better performing products in this category.




Benchmark 3 – User Interface Launch Time (milliseconds)

The following chart compares the average time taken to launch a product’s user interface. Products with lower launch times are considered better performing products in this category.




Benchmark 4 – Memory Usage during System Idle (megabytes)

The following chart compares the average amount of RAM in use by an Internet Security product during a period of system idle. This average is taken from a sample of ten memory snapshots taken at roughly 60 seconds apart after reboot. Products with lower idle RAM usage are considered better performing products in this category.




Benchmark 5 – Browse Time (seconds)

The following chart compares the average time taken for Internet Explorer to successively load a set of popular websites through the local area network from a local server machine. Products with lower browse times are considered better performing products in this category.




Benchmark 6 – Internet Explorer Launch Time (milliseconds)

The following chart compares the average launch times of Internet Explorer after rebooting the machine for each Internet Security product we tested. Products with lower launch times are considered better performing products in this category.




Benchmark 7 – Installation Time (seconds)

The following chart compares the minimum installation time it takes for Internet Security products to be fully functional and ready for use by the end user. Products with lower installation times are considered better performing products in this category.




Benchmark 8 – Installation Size (megabytes)

The following chart compares the total size of files added during the installation of Internet Security products. Products with lower installation sizes are considered better performing products in this category.




Benchmark 9 – File Copy, Move and Delete (seconds)

The following chart compares the average time taken to copy, move and delete several sets of sample files for each Internet Security product tested. Products with lower times are considered better performing products in this category.




Benchmark 10 – Installation of Third Party Applications (seconds)

The following chart compares the average time taken to install 3 different third party applications for each Internet Security product tested. Products with lower times are considered better performing products in this category.




Benchmark 11 – Network Throughput (seconds)

The following chart compares the average time to download a sample set of common file types for each Internet Security product tested. Products with lower times are considered better performing products in this category.




Benchmark 12 – File Format Conversion (seconds)

The following chart compares the average time it takes for five sample files to be converted from one file format to another (MP3 ↔ WMA, MP3 ↔ WAV) for each Internet Security product tested. Products with lower times are considered better performing products in this category.




Benchmark 13 – File Compression and Decompression (seconds)

The following chart compares the average time it takes for sample files to be compressed and decompressed for each Internet Security product tested. Products with lower times are considered better performing products in this category.



Benchmark 14 – File Download (seconds)


The following chart compares the average time taken to download a set of setup files from a local server. The test was performed 5 times, and the average of all 5 runs was taken as the result. Products with lower times are considered better performing products in this category.*



*Panda Internet Security was omitted from the results as the test was blocked by the software.


Benchmark 15 – PE Scan Time (seconds)

The following chart compares the average time taken to scan a set of 6351 portable executable files (totaling 2076 MB) for each Internet Security product tested. This time is calculated by averaging the initial (Run 1) and subsequent (Runs 2-5) scan times. Products with lower scan times are considered better performing products in this category.




Benchmark 16 – File Copy Disk to Disk (seconds)

The following chart compares the average time taken to copy a total of 8,501 files, with a total file size of 5.44GB files, from one local drive to another local drive for each Internet Security product tested. The test was performed 5 times, and the average of all 5 runs was taken as the result. Products with lower times are considered better performing products in this category.




Benchmark 17 – File Copy Over Network (seconds)

The following chart compares the average time taken to transfer a total of 8,501 files over the local network, with a total file size of 5.44GB files, from a local drive on the test machine to a local server. The test was performed 5 times, and the average of all 5 runs was taken as the result. Products with lower times are considered better performing products in this category.




Benchmark 18 – PCMark

The following chart compares the average PCMark score for each Internet Security product tested. The test was performed 2 times, and the average of both runs was taken as the result. Products with higher scores are considered better performing products in this category.



Benchmark 19 – Word Document Launch and Open Time (milliseconds)

The following chart compares the average time taken to launch Microsoft Word and open a 10MB document. Products with lower launch times are considered better performing products in this category.




Antivirus Software – Test Results

In the following charts, we have highlighted the results we obtained for Norton Internet Security in yellow. The average has also been highlighted in blue for ease of comparison.


Benchmark 1 – Boot Time (seconds)

The following chart compares the average time taken for the system to boot (from a sample of five boots) for each Antivirus product tested. Products with lower boot times are considered better performing products in this category.




Benchmark 2 – Scan Time (seconds)

The following chart compares the average time taken to scan a set of 6159 files (totaling 982 MB) for each Antivirus product tested. This time is calculated by averaging the initial (Run 1) and subsequent (Runs 2-5) scan times. Products with lower scan times are considered better performing products in this category.




Benchmark 3 – User Interface Launch Time (milliseconds)

The following chart compares the average time taken to launch a product's user interface. Products with lower launch times are considered better performing products in this category.




Benchmark 4 – Memory Usage during System Idle (megabytes)

The following chart compares the average amount of RAM in use by an Antivirus product during a period of system idle. This average is taken from a sample of ten memory snapshots taken at roughly 60 seconds apart after reboot. Products with lower idle RAM usage are considered better performing products in this category.




Benchmark 5 – Browse Time (seconds)

The following chart compares the average time taken for Antivirus to successively load a set of popular websites through the local area network from a local server machine. Products with lower browse times are considered better performing products in this category.




Benchmark 6 – Internet Explorer Launch Time (milliseconds)

The following chart compares the average launch times of Antivirus after rebooting the machine for each Internet Security product we tested. Products with lower launch times are considered better performing products in this category.




Benchmark 7 – Installation Time (seconds)

The following chart compares the minimum installation time it takes for Antivirus products to be fully functional and ready for use by the end user. Products with lower installation times are considered better performing products in this category.




Benchmark 8 – Installation Size (megabytes)

The following chart compares the total size of files added during the installation of Antivirus products. Products with lower installation sizes are considered better performing products in this category.




Benchmark 9 – File Copy, Move and Delete (seconds)

The following chart compares the average time taken to copy, move and delete several sets of sample files for each Antivirus product tested. Products with lower times are considered better performing products in this category.




Benchmark 10 – Installation of Third Party Applications (seconds)

The following chart compares the average time taken to install 3 different third party applications for each Antivirus Security product tested. Products with lower times are considered better performing products in this category.




Benchmark 11 – Network Throughput (seconds)

The following chart compares the average time to download a sample set of common file types for each Antivirus product tested. Products with lower times are considered better performing products in this category.




Benchmark 12 – File Format Conversion (seconds)

The following chart compares the average time it takes for five sample files to be converted from one file format to another (MP3↔WMA, MP3↔WAV) for each Antivirus product tested. Products with lower times are considered better performing products in this category.




Benchmark 13 – File Compression and Decompression (seconds)

The following chart compares the average time it takes for sample files to be compressed and decompressed for each Antivirus product tested. Products with lower times are considered better performing products in this category.




Benchmark 14 – File Download (seconds)

The following chart compares the average time taken to download a set of setup files from a local server. The test was performed 5 times, and the average of all 5 runs was taken as the result. Products with lower times are considered better performing products in this category.*




Benchmark 15 – PE Scan Time (seconds)

The following chart compares the average time taken to scan a set of 6351 portable executable files (totaling 2076 MB) for each Antivirus product tested. This time is calculated by averaging the initial (Run 1) and subsequent (Runs 2-5) scan times. Products with lower scan times are considered better performing products in this category.




Benchmark 16 – File Copy Disk to Disk (seconds)

The following chart compares the average time taken to copy a total of 8,501 files, with a total file size of 5.44GB files, from one local drive to another local drive for each Internet Security product tested. The test was performed 5 times, and the average of all 5 runs was taken as the result. Products with lower times are considered better performing products in this category.




Benchmark 17 – File Copy Over Network (seconds)

The following chart compares the average time taken to transfer a total of 8,501 files over the local network, with a total file size of 5.44GB files, from a local drive on the test machine to a local server. The test was performed 5 times, and the average of all 5 runs was taken as the result. Products with lower times are considered better performing products in this category.




Benchmark 18 – PCMark

The following chart compares the average PCMark score for each Antivirus product tested. The test was performed 2 times, and the average of both runs was taken as the result. Products with higher scores are considered better performing products in this category.



Benchmark 19 – Word Document Launch and Open Time (milliseconds)

The following chart compares the average time taken to launch Microsoft Word and open a 10MB document. Products with lower launch times are considered better performing products in this category.



Disclaimer and Disclosure

This report only covers versions of products that were available at the time of testing. The tested versions are as noted in the “Products and Versions” section of this report. The products we have tested are not an exhaustive list of all products available in these very competitive product categories.

Disclaimer of Liability

While every effort has been made to ensure that the information presented in this report is accurate, PassMark Software Pty Ltd assumes no responsibility for errors, omissions, or out-of-date information and shall not be liable in any manner whatsoever for direct, indirect, incidental, consequential, or punitive damages resulting from the availability of, use of, access of, or inability to use this information.

Disclosure

Symantec Corporation funded the production of this report, selected the test metrics and list of products to include in this report, and supplied some of the test scripts used for the tests.

Trademarks

All trademarks are the property of their respective owners.

Contact Details

PassMark Software Pty Ltd

Suite 202, Level 2
35 Buckingham St.
Surry Hills, 2010
Sydney, Australia

Phone + 61 (2) 9690 0444

Fax + 61 (2) 9690 0445

Web www.passmark.com

Download Location

An electronic copy of this report can be found at the following location:

<http://www.passmark.com/isreport14>

Appendix 1 – Test Environment

For our testing, PassMark Software used a test environment running Windows 7 Ultimate (64-bit) SP1 with the following hardware specifications:

Windows 7 (64-bit) System

| | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| CPU: | HP Pavilion P6-2300A |
| Video Card: | 1GB nVIDIA GeForce GT 620M |
| Motherboard: | Foxconn 2ABF 3.10 |
| RAM: | 6GB DDR3 RAM |
| HDD : | Hitachi HDS721010CLA630 931.51GB |
| 2nd Drive : | Samsung 1000GB 7200RPM Model: HD103UJ |
| Network: | Gigabit (1GB/s) switch |

For network tests (wget, http), PassMark Software used a server with the following specifications:

Test Server – Windows Server 2013

| | |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| CPU: | Intel Xeon E3-1220v2 CPU |
| Video Card: | Kingston 8GB (2 x 4GB) ECC |
| Motherboard: | Intel S1200BTL Server Motherboard |
| RAM: | 4GB DDR3 RAM, 1333 Mhz |
| HDD : | Samsung 1.5TB 7200RPM |
| Network: | Gigabit (1GB/s) switch |

Appendix 2 – Methodology Description

Windows 7 Image Creation

As with testing on Windows Vista, *Norton Ghost* was used to create a “clean” baseline image prior to testing. Our aim is to create a baseline image with the smallest possible footprint and reduce the possibility of variation caused by external operating system factors.

The baseline image was restored prior to testing of each different product. This process ensures that we install and test all products on the same, “clean” machine.

The steps taken to create the base Windows 7 image are as follows:

1. Installation and activation of **Windows 7 Ultimate** Edition.
2. Disabled Automatic Updates.
3. Changed User Account Control settings to “Never Notify”.
4. Disable Windows Defender automatic scans to avoid unexpected background activity.
5. Disable the Windows firewall to avoid interference with security software.
6. Disabled *Superfetch* to ensure consistent results.
7. Installed *HTTP Watch* for Browse Time testing.
8. Installed *Windows Performance Toolkit x64* for Boot Time testing.
9. Installed Active Perl for interpretation of some test scripts.
10. Install OSForensics for testing (Installation Size) purposes.
11. Disabled updates in Internet Explorer 10.
12. Update to Windows Service Pack 1
13. Created a baseline image using Norton Ghost.

Benchmark 1 – Boot Time

PassMark Software uses tools available from the **Windows Performance Toolkit version 4.6** (as part of the Microsoft Windows 7 SDK obtainable from the [Microsoft Website](#)) with a view to obtaining more precise and consistent boot time results on the Windows 7 platform.

The boot process is first optimized with **xbootmgr.exe** using the command “*xbootmgr.exe -trace boot –prepSystem*” which prepares the system for the test over six optimization boots. The boot traces obtained from the optimization process are discarded.

After boot optimization, the benchmark is conducted using the command “*xbootmgr.exe -trace boot -numruns 5*”. This command boots the system five times in succession, taking detailed boot traces for each boot cycle.

Finally, a post-processing tool was used to parse the boot traces and obtain the *BootTimeViaPostBoot* value. This value reflects the amount of time it takes the system to complete all (and only) boot time processes. Our final result is an average of five boot traces.

Benchmark 2 – Scan Time

Scan Time is the time it took for each product to scan a set of sample files. The sample used was identical in all cases and contained a mixture of system files and Office files. In total there were 6159 files whose combined size

was 982 MB. Most of these files come from the Windows system folders. As the file types can influence scanning speed, the breakdown of the main file types, file numbers and total sizes of the files in the sample set is given here:

| File Extension | Number of Files | File Size |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------|
| .dll | 2589 | 490MB |
| .exe | 695 | 102MB |
| .sys | 332 | 23MB |
| .gif | 302 | 1MB |
| .doc | 281 | 64MB |
| .wmf | 185 | 2MB |
| .png | 149 | 2MB |
| .html | 126 | 1MB |
| .nls | 80 | 6MB |
| .jpg | 70 | 1MB |
| .ini | 59 | 2MB |
| .ico | 58 | <1MB |
| .mof | 43 | 6MB |
| .ax | 39 | 4MB |
| .xls | 38 | 3MB |
| .ime | 35 | 5MB |
| .drv | 31 | 1MB |
| .txt | 31 | 1MB |
| .chm | 30 | 6MB |
| .cpl | 29 | 4MB |
| .mfl | 29 | 3MB |
| .inf | 26 | 2MB |
| .hlp | 22 | 3MB |
| .imd | 20 | 18MB |
| .py | 20 | <1MB |
| .msc | 18 | 1MB |
| .vbs | 18 | 1MB |
| .xml | 18 | 1MB |
| .rtf | 16 | 62MB |
| .ocx | 16 | 4MB |
| .tsp | 14 | 1MB |
| .com | 14 | <1MB |
| .xsl | 14 | <1MB |
| .h | 13 | <1MB |
| .vsd | 12 | 2MB |
| .scr | 12 | 2MB |
| .aw | 12 | 2MB |
| .js | 12 | 1MB |
| .zip | 11 | 25MB |
| .lex | 9 | 10MB |
| .ppt | 9 | 4MB |
| .acm | 9 | 1MB |
| .wav | 7 | 5MB |
| Total | 6159 | 982 |

This scan was run without launching the product's user interface, by right-clicking the test folder and choosing the "Scan Now" option. To record the scan time, we have used product's built-in scan timer or reporting system. Where this was not possible, scan times were taken manually with a stopwatch.

For each product, five samples were taken with the machine rebooted before each sample to clear any caching effects by the operating systems.

In 2009, we noticed many more products showing a substantial difference between the initial scan time (first scan) and subsequent scan times (scans 2 to 5). We believe this behavior is due to products themselves caching recently scanned files.

As a result of this mechanism, we have averaged the four subsequent scan times to obtain an average subsequent scan time. Our final result for this test is an average of the subsequent scan average and the initial scan time.

Benchmark 3 – User Interface Launch Time

The launch time of a product's user interface was taken using *AppTimer* (v1.0.1006). For each product tested, we obtained a total of fifteen samples from five sets of three UI launches, with a reboot before each set to clear caching effects by the operating system. When compiling the results the first of each set was separated out so that there was a set of values for the initial launch after reboot and a set for subsequent launches.

We have averaged the subsequent launch times to obtain an average subsequent launch time. Our final result for this test is an average of the subsequent launch average and the initial launch time.

In some cases, *AppTimer* did not correctly record the time taken for UI launch. For instance, some applications would open their window and look like they were ready, but then continued to be unresponsive. Where the measurement from *AppTimer* appeared inaccurate, we have taken the time manually with a stop watch.

AppTimer is publically available from the [PassMark Website](#).

Benchmark 4 – Memory Usage during System Idle

The *Perflog++* utility was used to record process memory usage on the system at boot, and then every minute for another fifteen minutes after. This was done only once per product and resulted in a total of 15 samples. The first sample taken at boot is discarded.

The *PerfLog++* utility records memory usage of all processes, not just those of the anti-malware product. As a result of this, an anti-malware product's processes needed to be isolated from all other running system processes. To isolate relevant process, we used a program called *Process Explorer* which was run immediately upon the completion of memory usage logging by *PerfLog++*. *Process Explorer* is a Microsoft Windows Sysinternals software tool which shows a list of all DLL processes currently loaded on the system.

Benchmark 5 – Browse Time

We used a script in conjunction with *HTTPWatch* (Basic Edition, version 9.0.8.0) to record the amount of time it takes for a set of 106 'popular' websites to load consecutively from a local server. This script feeds a list of URLs into *HTTPWatch*, which instructs the browser to load pages in sequence and monitors the amount of time it takes for the browser to load all items on one page.

For this test, we have used *Internet Explorer 10* (Version 10.0.9200.16635) as our browser.

The set of websites used in this test include front pages of high traffic pages. This includes shopping, social, news, finance and reference websites.

The Browse Time test is executed five times and our final result is an average of these five samples. The local server is restarted between different products and one initial 'test' run is conducted prior to testing to install *Adobe Flash Player*, an add-on which is used by many popular websites.

Benchmark 6 – Internet Explorer Launch Time

The average launch time of Internet Explorer interface was taken using *AppTimer*. This test was practically identical to the User Interface launch time test. For each product tested, we obtained a total of fifteen samples from five sets of three Internet Explorer launches, with a reboot before each set to clear caching effects by the operating system. When compiling the results the first of each set was separated out so that there was a set of values for the initial launch after reboot and a set for subsequent launches.

For this test, we have used *Internet Explorer 10* (Version 10.0.9200.16635) as our test browser.

We have averaged the subsequent launch times to obtain an average subsequent launch time. Our final result for this test is an average of the subsequent launch average and the initial launch time.

AppTimer is publically available from the [PassMark Website](#).

Benchmark 7 – Installation Time

This test measures the minimum Installation Time a product requires to be fully functional and ready for use by the end user. Installation time can usually be divided in three major phases:

- The **Extraction and Setup phase** consists of file extraction, the EULA prompt, product activation and user configurable options for installation.
- The **File Copy phase** occurs when the product is being installed; usually this phase is indicated by a progress bar.
- The **Post-Installation phase** is any part of the installation that occurs after the File Copy phase. This phase varies widely between products; the time recorded in this phase may include a required reboot to finalize the installation or include the time the program takes to become idle in the system tray.

To reduce the impact of disk drive variables, each product was copied to the Desktop before initializing installation. Each step of the installation process was manually timed with a stopwatch and recorded in as much detail as possible. Where input was required by the end user, the stopwatch was paused and the input noted in the raw results in parenthesis after the phase description.

Where possible, all requests by products to pre-scan or post-install scan were declined or skipped. Where it was not possible to skip a scan, the time to scan was included as part of the installation time. Where an optional component of the installation formed a reasonable part of the functionality of the software, it was also installed (e.g. website link checking software as part of an Internet Security Product).

Installation time includes the time taken by the product installer to download components required in the installation. This may include mandatory updates or the delivery of the application itself from a download manager. We have noted in our results where a product has downloaded components for product installation.

We have excluded product activation times due to network variability in contacting vendor servers or time taken in account creation.

Benchmark 8 – Installation Size

A product's Installation Size was previously defined as the difference between the initial snapshot of the Disk Space (C: drive) before installation and the subsequent snapshot taken after the product is installed on the system. Although this is a widely used methodology, we noticed that the results it yielded were not always reproducible in Vista due to random OS operations that may take place between the two snapshots. We improved the Installation Size methodology by removing as many Operating System and disk space variables as possible.

Using PassMark's **OSForensics** we created initial and post-installation disk signatures for each product. These disk signatures recorded the amount of files and directories, and complete details of all files on that drive (including file name, file size, checksum, etc) at the time the signature was taken.

The initial disk signature was taken immediately prior to installation of the product. A subsequent disk signature was taken immediately following a manual update and system reboot after product installation. Using **OSForensics**, we compared the two signatures and calculated the total disk space consumed by files that were new, modified, and deleted during product installation. Our result for this metric reflects the total size of all newly added files during installation.

Benchmarks 9 -14 – Real-Time Performance

We used a single script in testing Benchmarks 9-14. The script consecutively executes tests for Benchmarks 9-14. The script times each phase in these benchmarks using *CommandTimer.exe* and appends results to a log file.

Benchmarks 9 – File Copy, Move and Delete

This test measures the amount of time required for the system to copy, move and delete samples of files in various file formats. This sample was made up of 812 files over 760,867,636 bytes and can be categorized as documents [26% of total], media files [54% of total] and PE files (i.e. System Files) [20% of total].

The breakdown of the main file types, file numbers and total sizes of the files in the sample set is shown in the following table:

| File format | Number | Size (bytes) |
|-------------|--------|--------------|
| DOC | 8 | 30,450,176 |
| DOCX | 4 | 13,522,409 |
| PPT | 3 | 5,769,216 |
| PPTX | 3 | 4,146,421 |
| XLS | 4 | 2,660,352 |
| XLSX | 4 | 1,426,054 |
| PDF | 73 | 136,298,049 |
| ZIP | 4 | 6,295,987 |
| 7Z | 1 | 92,238 |
| JPG | 351 | 31,375,259 |

| | | |
|--------------|------------|--------------------|
| GIF | 6 | 148,182 |
| MOV | 7 | 57,360,371 |
| RM | 1 | 5,658,646 |
| AVI | 8 | 78,703,408 |
| WMV | 5 | 46,126,167 |
| MP3 | 28 | 191,580,387 |
| EXE | 19 | 2,952,914 |
| DLL | 104 | 29,261,568 |
| AX | 1 | 18,432 |
| CPL | 2 | 2,109,440 |
| CPX | 2 | 4,384 |
| DRV | 10 | 154,864 |
| ICO | 1 | 107,620 |
| MSC | 1 | 41,587 |
| NT | 1 | 1,688 |
| ROM | 2 | 36,611 |
| SCR | 2 | 2,250,240 |
| SYS | 1 | 37,528,093 |
| TLB | 3 | 135,580 |
| TSK | 1 | 1,152 |
| UCE | 1 | 22,984 |
| EXE | 19 | 2,952,914 |
| DLL | 104 | 29,261,568 |
| AX | 1 | 18,432 |
| CPL | 2 | 2,109,440 |
| CPX | 2 | 4,384 |
| DRV | 10 | 154,864 |
| ICO | 1 | 107,620 |
| MSC | 1 | 41,587 |
| NT | 1 | 1,688 |
| ROM | 2 | 36,611 |
| SCR | 2 | 2,250,240 |
| SYS | 1 | 37,528,093 |
| TLB | 3 | 135,580 |
| TSK | 1 | 1,152 |
| UCE | 1 | 22,984 |
| Total | 812 | 760,867,636 |

This test was conducted five times to obtain the average time to copy, move and delete the sample files, with the test machine rebooted between each sample to remove potential caching effects.

Benchmark 10 – Third Party Program Installation

This test measured how much time was required to install and uninstall a third party application. For this test, *CommandTimer.exe* timed how long it took to install and uninstall the following applications on the test machine:

- Firefox 3.6.3 (11,909 KB) (MSI File)
- Microsoft .NET 3.5 (34,121 KB) (MSI File)
- Steam (1,551 KB) (MSI File)

This test was conducted five times to obtain the average time to install/uninstall the above third party programs, with the test machine rebooted between each sample to remove potential caching effects.

Benchmark 11 – Network Throughput

This benchmark measured how much time was required to download a sample set of binary files of various sizes and types over a 100MB/s network connection. The files were hosted on a server machine running Windows Server 2008 and IIS 7. *CommandTimer.exe* was used in conjunction with *GNU Wget* (version 1.10.1) to time and conduct the download test.

The complete sample set of files was made up of 553,638,694 bytes over 484 files and two file type categories: media files [74% of total] and documents [26% of total]. The breakdown of the file types, file numbers and total sizes of the files in the sample set is shown in the following table:

| File format | Number | Size (bytes) |
|--------------|------------|--------------------|
| JPEG | 343 | 30,668,312 |
| GIF | 9 | 360,349 |
| PNG | 5 | 494,780 |
| MOV | 7 | 57,360,371 |
| RM | 1 | 5,658,646 |
| AVI | 8 | 78,703,408 |
| WMV | 5 | 46,126,167 |
| MP3 | 28 | 191,580,387 |
| PDF | 73 | 136,298,049 |
| ZIP | 4 | 6,295,987 |
| 7Z | 1 | 92,238 |
| Total | 484 | 553,638,694 |

This test was conducted five times to obtain the average time to download this sample of files, with the test machine rebooted between each sample to remove potential caching effects.

Benchmark 12 – File Format Conversion (MP3 → WAV, MP3 → WMA)

This test measured how much time was required to convert five (5) different MP3 files into WAV files and subsequently, convert the same MP3 samples into a WMA files. The total size of the five (5) MP3s used was 25,870,899 bytes.

To encode the MP3 into another format, we used an application called *ffmpeg.exe*. The format conversion process was timed using *CommandTimer.exe*.

This test was conducted five times to obtain the average conversion speed between these formats, with the test machine rebooted between each sample to remove potential caching effects.

Benchmark 13 – File Compression and Decompression

This test measured the amount of time required to compress and decompress a sample set of files. For this test, we used a subset of the media and documents files used in the *File Copy, Move and Delete* benchmark. *CommandTimer.exe* recorded the amount of time required for *7zip.exe* to compress the files into a *.zip and subsequently decompress the created *.zip file.

This subset comprised 1,218 files over 783 MB. The breakdown of the file types, file numbers and total sizes of the files in the sample set is shown in the following table:

| File Type | File Number | Total Size |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|
| .xls | 13 | 9.23 MB |
| .xlsx | 9 | 3.51 MB |
| .ppt | 9 | 7.37 MB |
| .pptx | 11 | 17.4 MB |
| .doc | 17 | 35.9 MB |
| .docx | 19 | 24.5 MB |
| .gif | 177 | 1.10 MB |
| .jpg | 737 | 66.2 MB |
| .png | 159 | 48.9 MB |
| .mov | 7 | 54.7 MB |
| .rm | 1 | 5.39 MB |
| .avi | 46 | 459 MB |
| .wma | 11 | 48.6 MB |
| .avi | 46 | 459 MB |
| .wma | 11 | 48.6 MB |
| Total | 1218 | 783 MB |

This test was conducted five times to obtain the average file compression and decompression speed, with the test machine rebooted between each sample to remove potential caching effects.

Benchmark 14 – File Download

This test measures the time it takes to complete an http download of a sample set of installation files over a 100MB/s network connection. The files are hosted on a local server machine running Windows Server 2013 and IIS 7. *CommandTimer.exe* was used in conjunction with the native .NET *DownloadFile()* method to time and conduct the download test. The file set includes the following:

- Firefox Setup 22.0 (20.8 MB) (EXE file)
- GIMP Setup 2.8.6 (85.9 MB) (EXE file)
- LibreOffice Installer Package 4.0 (MSI file)
- Adobe Reader Installer 3.3.7.0 (EXE file)

This test was conducted five times to obtain the average time to download this sample of files, with the test machine rebooted between each sample to remove potential caching effects.

Benchmark 15 – PE Scan Time

This test measures the on demand scan times of a file set comprised only of executable files (.exe, .dll and .sys files). We performed five scans of the sample file set, with a machine restart between each scan to remove possible caching effects. The time taken to scan the files is taken from an antivirus product's scan logs, or where logs are not available, manually with a stopwatch. Scans were launched by right clicking on the folder to be scanned.

A breakdown of the sample file set is as follows:

| File Type | Number of Files | File Size |
|--------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Sys Files | 2174 | 329MB |
| Dll Files | 2037 | 920MB |
| Exe Files | 2140 | 827MB |
| Total | 6351 | 2076MB |

The final result is calculated as an average of the five samples.

Benchmark 16 – File Copy Disk to Disk

This test measures the amount of time taken to copy files between two local drives. The data set comprised of 8,501 files with a total file size of 5.44GB, and the formats used included documents, movies, images and executables. A breakdown of the sample file set is given below:

| File Extension | Number of Files | File Size |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------|
| .jpg | 2903 | 588MB |
| .dll | 773 | 25MB |
| .exe | 730 | 197MB |

| | | |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|
| .gif | 681 | 63MB |
| .wav | 430 | 260MB |
| .sys | 501 | 79MB |
| .png | 451 | 27MB |
| .mp3 | 333 | 2157MB |
| .wma | 585 | 925MB |
| .docx | 267 | 81MB |
| .avi | 247 | 1079MB |
| .doc | 160 | 57MB |
| .xls | 329 | 132MB |
| .ppt | 97 | 148MB |
| .zip | 14 | 177MB |
| Total | 8501 | 5995MB |

A total of five runs of this test were performed, with a machine restart between each run. The time taken to copy files was measured and recorded by CommandTimer.exe. All the files were copied between a folder on the local drive and a 2nd folder on a different drive. Files were deleted from the 2nd drive once the copy was complete. The final result is calculated as an average of the five samples

Benchmark 17 – File Copy Over Network

This test measures the amount of time taken to transfer files from a local drive on the test machine to a shared folder on the local server. The data set comprised of 8,501 files with a total file size of 5.44GB, and the formats used included documents, movies, images and executables. A breakdown of the sample file set is given below:

| File Extension | Number of Files | File Size |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------|
| .jpg | 2903 | 588MB |
| .dll | 773 | 25MB |
| .exe | 730 | 197MB |
| .gif | 681 | 63MB |
| .wav | 430 | 260MB |
| .sys | 501 | 79MB |
| .png | 451 | 27MB |
| .mp3 | 333 | 2157MB |
| .wma | 585 | 925MB |
| .docx | 267 | 81MB |
| .avi | 247 | 1079MB |
| .doc | 160 | 57MB |
| .xls | 329 | 132MB |
| .ppt | 97 | 148MB |
| .zip | 14 | 177MB |

| | | |
|-------|------|--------|
| Total | 8501 | 5995MB |
|-------|------|--------|

A total of five runs of this test were performed, with a machine restart between each run. The time taken to transfer files was measured and recorded by CommandTimer.exe. Files were deleted from the server once the copy was complete. The final result is calculated as an average of the five samples

Benchmark 18 – PCMark

This test aims to benchmark the test machines performance over several subtests, including music, communications, productivity, memories, TV and movies, gaming and HDD. The PCMark Vantage benchmark is run twice with a reboot in between. The final result is an average of the overall scores of the two iterations.

Benchmark 19 – Word Document Launch and Open Time

The average launch time of Word interface was taken using *AppTimer*. This includes the time to launch the Word 2013 application and open a 10MB document. This test was practically identical to the User Interface launch time test. For each product tested, we obtained a total of fifteen samples from five sets of three Word launches, with a reboot before each set to clear caching effects by the operating system. When compiling the results the first of each set was separated out so that there was a set of values for the initial launch after reboot and a set for subsequent launches.

We have averaged the subsequent launch times to obtain an average subsequent launch time. Our final result for this test is an average of the subsequent launch average and the initial launch time.

AppTimer is publically available from the [PassMark Website](#).