Electronic Supplementary Information

A Noble Gas Sensor Platform: Linear Dense Assemblies of Singlewall Carbon Nanotubes (LACNTs) in a Multi-layered Ceramic/Metal Electrode System (MLES)

Tae-Yeon Hwang^a^{*}, Yo-min Choi^b, YoSeb Song^a, Nu Si A Eom^a, Seil Kim^a, Hong-Baek Cho^a, Nosang V. Myung^{c,*}, Yong-Ho Choa^{a,*}

^a Department of Fusion Chemical Engineering, Hanyang University, Ansan 426-791, Republic of Korea

^b Material Technology Center, Korea Testing Laboratory, Ansan 426-910, Republic of Korea

^c Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521, USA

* Corresponding authors.

Tel.: +82-31-400-5650; Fax: +82-31-400-8128; *E-mail address*: <u>choa15@hanyang.ac.kr</u> (Y.-H. Choa) Tel.: +1-951-927-7710; Fax: +1-951-827-5695; *E-mail address*: <u>myung@engr.ucr.edu</u> (N. V. Myung)

[†] These authors contributed equally to this work.

Calculation method of Pt nano crystals on SWCNTs.

- To quantify the amount of Pt nano crystals (NCs) decorated on bare and acid-treatment SWCNTs, we used the low magnification TEM images as shown below.



[low magnification image of Fig. 7 (b), (c) in the manuscript]

- At first, we mesured the size of Pt NCs in each images. The mean size of Pt NPs on bare SWCNTs (b-SWCNTs) was 2.67 nm and Pt NPs on acid-treated SWCNTs (a-SWCNTs) was 2.1 nm. Next, we calculated the number of particles per 140 nm of SWCNTs and the results was 56 for b-SWCNTs and 164 for a-SWCNTs. Then, we calculated the volume of the Pt NPs and changed them to mass multiplyed by density. Finally, we got the mass per nm of SWCNT (g/nmswCNT). The amount of deposited Pt NCs was decreased from 1.14×10^{-18} g/nmswCNT for Pt NCs on acid-modified SWCNTs to 7.99 $\times 10^{-19}$ g/nmswCNT for pristine SWCNTs.

Figures



Fig. S1 Setups of the gas sensing chamber: (a) assembled chamber, (b) assembling components, (c) mounting board, and (d) overall test systems.



Fig. S2 FE_SEM images of (a) Au electrodes in MLES, (b) magnified surface of MLES, and (c - f) EDS mapping results of the surface.



Fig. S3 Time dependent response of the electrical resistance change of the MLES-based Pt/SWCNTs and SWCNTs gas sensors as a function of the CH₃OH, CO₂ and CO concentrations at room temperature.

Table

Gas concentration	Sensitivity (%)		Response time (T ₉₀ , Sec.)		Recovery time (D ₅₀ , Sec.)	
	Pt/SWCNTs	SWCNTs	Pt/SWCNTs	SWCNTs	Pt/SWCNTs	SWCNTs
H ₂ 50 ppm	0.44	0.03	109.08	-	24.65	-
$H_2 100 \text{ ppm}$	0.50	0.01	92.93	-	14.92	-
$H_2 500 \text{ ppm}$	1.25	0.05	141.99	-	13.03	-
$H_2 1000 \text{ ppm}$	2.01	0.11	105.21	-	11.51	-
$\rm H_2~3000~ppm$	5.22	0.19	145.61	-	9.46	-
H ₂ S 5 ppm	1.98	0.76	210.91	225.65	160.65	-
H ₂ S 10 ppm	3.15	1.17	212.43	260.78	188.95	-
H ₂ S 20 ppm	4.53	1.82	252.57	265.71	194.40	-
H_2S 40 ppm	5.94	2.73	193.39	253.61	180.96	-
H_2S 60 ppm	7.43	3.60	195.56	244.30	179.39	-
$H_2S 100 \text{ ppm}$	8.24	4.63	183.16	285.28	160.79	-
NO ₂ 5 ppm	-9.87	-3.94	182.75	224.73	203.20	-
NO ₂ 10ppm	-14.87	-8.06	191.30	250.17	104.95	-
NO ₂ 30 ppm	-22.61	-16.30	170.39	224.57	111.81	-
NO ₂ 50 ppm	-27.73	-21.58	170.06	213.78	264.33	-
NH ₃ 10 ppm	2.37	2.26	188.91	274.34	57.63	29.66
NH ₃ 30 ppm	4.72	3.82	215.12	172.91	51.68	16.60
NH ₃ 50 ppm	5.28	4.26	73.23	42.88	24.64	121.25
NH ₃ 70 ppm	8.09	6.24	208.26	158.04	13.05	17.61

Table S1. The sensitivity, response time (T₉₀) and recovery time (D₅₀) of the sensors for H₂, H₂S, NO₂ and NH₃ gases at each concentrations.